The Australian Symphony of the 1950s: A Preliminary survey

Introduction

The period of the 1950s was arguably Australia’s ‘Symphonic decade’. In 1951 alone, 36 Australian symphonies were entries in the Commonwealth Jubilee Symphony Competition. This music is largely unknown today. Except for six of the Alfred Hill symphonies, arguably the least representative of Australian composition during the 1950s and a short Sinfonietta-like piece by Peggy Glanville-Hicks, the Sinfonia da Pacifica, no Australian symphony of the period is in any current recording catalogue, or published in score. No major study or thesis to date has explored the Australian symphony output of the 1950s. Is the neglect of this large repertory justified?

Writing in 1972, James Murdoch made the following assessment of some of the major Australian composers of the 1950s.

*Generally speaking, the works of the older composers have been underestimated. Hughes, Hanson, Le Gallienne and Sutherland, were composing works at least equal to those of the minor English composers who established sizeable reputations in their own country.*

This positive evaluation highlights the present state of neglect towards Australian music of the period. Whereas recent recordings and scores of many second-ranking British and American composers from the period 1930-1960 exist, almost none of the larger works of Australians Robert Hughes, Raymond Hanson, Dorian Le Gallienne and their contemporaries are heard today.

This essay has three aims: firstly, to show how extensive symphonic composition was in Australia during the 1950s, secondly to highlight the achievement of the main figures in this movement and thirdly, to advocate the restoration and revival of this repertory.

Since Federation in 1901, many Australian composers have written symphonies. Early examples include Joshua Ives’s *Symphony Australienne* of 1901, and George Marshall-Hall’s two symphonies of 1892 and 1903. Prior to 1950, the most successful symphonic works by Australian-born composers were the single symphonies of London-based expatriates Hubert Clifford and Arthur Benjamin (1940 and 1945 respectively) which were performed in both Britain and Australia. In Australia, symphonies were composed by Vera Bedford (1920s), Fritz Hart (1934), George English senior (1932-33), Alfred Hill (1938-41), Lindley Evans (1938), and Edgar Bainton (1941) prior to 1945. Other composers like Clive Douglas, Robert Hughes, Adolphe Beutler, Hooper Brewster Jones and Toowoomba composer Reginald Boys attempted symphonies but did not complete them. During the last 25 years many Australian composers have written symphonies. Despite this inclination of Australian composers to write symphonies over a period of over 100 years, there exists no comprehensive survey or study of this body of music.

The Commonwealth Jubilee Symphony Competition of 1950-51 sparked the most prolific decade of symphonic composition in Australia. During the 1950s some 34 symphonies were created by the following composers: John Antill, Edgar Bainton, Clive Douglas, Felix Gethen, Raymond Hanson, Alfred Hill, Mirrie Hill, Robert Hughes, Dorian Le Gallienne, David Morgan, James Penberthy, Horace Perkins, Margaret Sutherland, and Felix Werder. There are undoubtedly others. Expatriate Australians Malcolm Williamson, David Lumsdaine and Peggy Glanville-Hicks also composed symphonic works during the 1950s.

None of the men and women discussed in this essay were full-time composers, and apart from occasional opportunities for prizes and commissions, there was little or no government
support for composers during the 1950s. This makes the considerable repertory of 1950s Australian symphonies even more remarkable.

**Contributing Factors**

There were at least four contributing factors leading to the proliferation of the composing of symphonies in Australia during the 1950s.

First of all, during the 1930s and 40s, the symphony remained the most prestigious instrumental form. Inspired by the example of Sibelius’s seven symphonies, many composers in Britain and the US composed symphonies. This trend was part of the musical ‘mainstream’ of the 1930s and early 1940s, in which many composers used a tonal, neo-classical style or, in Britain, Russia and America, an epic, neo-romantic symphonic style. This, in turn, influenced the musical language of many Australian composers working during the 1950s.

Important composers of symphonies include Vaughan Williams, Arnold Bax, William Walton, E.J. Moeran, Aaron Copland, Leonard Bernstein, Samuel Barber and Roy Harris. In Stalin’s Soviet Union, composers were encouraged to write epic symphonies expressing the Socialist struggles and aspirations of the nation. Prokofiev (seven symphonies), Shostakovich (15 symphonies), Myaskovsky (27 symphonies) and many others made important contributions to the repertory. Their comparatively approachable idioms, which usually were tonal and triad-based, made these works acceptable for programming, even for conservative concert audiences in Australia. During the immediate post World War 2 period, many younger British, American and Soviet composers continued to write symphonies. The impetus of symphonic composition began to slacken by the late 1950s as serialism and more experimental manifestations of the avant guard began to take hold. It is therefore not surprising, that Australian composers influenced heavily by British models aspired to write symphonies during the 1950s, and also that the trend declined correspondingly during the 1960s.

Secondly, the policy of the Australian Broadcasting Commission (ABC) to set up symphony orchestras of professional standard in every state capital in Australia gave resident composers much greater opportunities for their orchestral works to be heard. The policy, initiated after the founding of the ABC in 1932, was finally implemented in each state during the late 1940s. Bernard Heinze was in many ways the architect of this scheme. Eugene Goossens’s ten years (1947-1956) as chief conductor of the Sydney Symphony Orchestra demonstrated that Australia was capable of developing world-class orchestras. During the 1950s, subscriber interest in ABC symphony orchestra concerts were extremely high, with Sydney, Melbourne and Adelaide sustaining three performances of each program in their main concert series, in addition to Youth concerts and school programs. Composers like John Antill, Clive Douglas, Robert Hughes, Felix Gethen and Horace Perkins, who were either music editors or conductors within the ABC, had relatively easy access for their works. Conductors like Joseph Post and Henry Krips championed the work of Australian composers throughout the 1950s. This climate was probably a factor in Alfred Hill’s reworking of eleven string quartets as symphonies during the period 1951 to the composer’s death in 1960.

Thirdly, Eugene Goossens sought out works by Australian composers for his concerts. The success of John Antill’s *Corroboree*, both in Australia and overseas, was the best-known example of Goossens’s active advocacy. It surely encouraged other composers to produce big works. Goossens was probably one of the instigators of the Jubilee Symphony Competition, and he had considerable control over the outcome of it, shown by the documents relating to the Competition held by National Archives of Australia.

Fourth was the stimulus of the Commonwealth Jubilee Symphony Competition. On 18 October 1950, to mark the fiftieth anniversary of Federation, Prime Minister Robert Menzies
announced a Jubilee Symphony Competition “open to all natural-born and naturalised British subjects”, with a prize of £1000\textsuperscript{III}. Composers had until 15 June 1951, a relatively short time, to compose a symphony with a maximum duration of 40 minutes. The ABC administered the music competition. Similar Jubilee competitions for literature and art were also announced with similar prize money, but unlike the symphony competition, these limited participants to Australian citizens only. There was an additional stipulation in the music competition.

If the winner is not a natural-born or naturalised Australian, a special prize of £250 will be offered for the best entry submitted by an Australian citizen\textsuperscript{XIV}. According to one of the Australian participants, Robert Hughes\textsuperscript{XV}, the competition stipulations were deeply insulting to Australian composers. However, the organizers thought that the competition would only attract a limited number of entries\textsuperscript{XVI}. They were surprised by and unprepared for the subsequent deluge of entries: amongst 89 entries, there were 36 symphonies submitted by Australian composers for consideration\textsuperscript{XVII}. This is an extraordinary number of works considering the relatively small number of symphonies by Australians during the previous decades. The first round of adjudication took place in Australia, involving Goossens, Heinze and Bainton, that is after Goossens sorted the entries in three categories. I suspect that all three judges did not see the majority of the scores. Eleven works were then selected and sent to London for the final round of judging, the jury there being Arnold Bax, John Barbirolli and Goossens. Amongst the finalists were four Australian symphonies, by Robert Hughes, Clive Douglas, David Morgan and David Lumsdaine. First prize was awarded to English composer David Moule-Evans (whose work was generally criticized when heard in both Britain and Australia), and the ‘special’ Second and Third prizes in the competition were awarded to Australian composers, Robert Hughes and Clive Douglas respectively.

Some of the works to be considered here originated in this competition. Let us now examine individual composers and symphonies in more detail.

**The Composers and their Symphonies**

**Alfred Hill (1869-1960)**

Alfred Hill deserves pride of place by his seniority and fecundity. His compositional style seems to have been set by the early 1890s from his time as a music student in Leipzig, and remained little changed throughout his long working life spanning 70 years. Conservative late 19th century German music is the principal influence, with occasional whiffs of more advanced, tonally ambiguous harmony derived from impressionism, or perhaps Delius in some of his later music. Although Hill composed two symphonies in 1896 and 1941 respectively, his output prior to 1950 focussed on opera, chamber music (including 17 string quartets), concertos, tone poems for orchestra and many smaller works for voice and piano. During the last decade of his life, Hill transformed many of his earlier string quartets into symphonies, owing probably to the proliferation of professional orchestras after the war. Eleven such works appeared between 1951 and his death in 1960 beginning in 1951 with his third symphony, the *Symphony in B minor ‘Australia’*, scored for standard full orchestra. The first, second and fourth movements were a reworking of the corresponding movements of an earlier string quartet dating from 1937, just as his ‘Joy of Life’ Choral symphony of 1941 had been a reworking of an earlier chamber work. Hill added a new program extolling the Australian landscape, its people and Australia’s potential for growth and development and added a third movement about indigenous Australians that he adapted from his film music for a documentary about the Aborigines of North Australia\textsuperscript{XVIII}. The date of the ‘new’ symphony suggests that Hill submitted it as an entry in the Commonwealth Jubilee Competition\textsuperscript{XIX}. Hill’s scherzo is unusual in his music with its 5/4 metre and its extensive trio. There are at least three indigenous melodies in this movement, which is much longer than Hill’s usual
practice in other symphonies. The rest of the work sounds like a late 19th century symphony in an idiom akin to Dvorak, Bruch, Grieg, or early, pre-1900 Elgar. The Symphony is the longest of his 1950s symphonies.

Of Hill’s remaining symphonies of the 1950s, four are for strings only (8, 9, 11 and 13), one is for a moderate-sized Beethoven orchestra (10), and five are for full orchestra (4 – 7 and 12). Several of them are relatively modest-sized works lasting between 17 and 20 minutes. Only in the tonally ambiguous slow introductions of symphonies 7, 8 and 12, and also Hill’s penchant for finishing a movement with a progression of harmonically unrelated chords, for example at the end of Symphony 12, is there any extension of his Leipzig-derived style. These pieces are all derived from string quartets of the mid 1930s. Hill has difficulty in blending this ‘mild modernism’ with his main voice, which the ‘uninitiated’ could mistake for Schumann or Dvorak. Symphonies 4, 5, 6, 8 and 9 employ either subtitles or programs. The least convincing works are the quasi-Spanish inflections of No.5 ‘Carnaval’ – especially the outer movements – and the rather corny imitation of Irish music in No.6 ‘Celtic’. At its best, as in much of Symphonies 3, 4, 7 and 12, Hill’s music is delightful and has a good sense of continuity, orchestral colour and craftsmanship. His melodic gift was very strong. However, his gentle music rarely operates with a sense of ‘high voltage’. Hill’s symphonies remain the best known of the 1950s Australian symphonic repertoire, with six symphonies currently available on CD. He remains the only Australian composer of the 1950s to be so feted. However, it would be a grievous mistake to judge the Australian symphony of the 1950s by Hill’s oeuvre alone.

Nationalist Program Symphonies
Clive Douglas (1903-1977), John Antill (1904-1986) Horace Perkins (1901-1986) and Mirrie Hill – nee Solomon (1892-1986) wrote symphonies marked by much greater awareness of 20th century trends than Hill. All four of these composers were completely home-grown in their musical education, the men coming to their initial composition studies during their mid twenties after establishing careers outside the music profession. Mirrie Hill was one of the first students at the New South Wales Conservatorium of Music when it opened in 1915, and became a teacher of harmony and aural training there. All four composers wrote symphonies with either Australian titles or accompanied by nationalistic programs intended to assist the ‘ordinary’ concert-goer.

Clive Douglas (1903-1977)
Douglas’s attempts to cultivate a distinctively Australian idiom were a central motif to his work from the late 1930s until his adoption of serial techniques during the early 1960s. Roger Covell described Douglas as a ‘musical Jindyworobak’, because of his appropriation of indigenous melodies in the symphonic poem Carwoola and Symphony No.2 ‘Namatjira’ and his use of Aboriginal words as work titles. Nevertheless, Douglas had no formal links with the Jindyworobak literary movement. In the absence of a distinctive ‘white’ Australian folk music tradition, he saw the appropriation of aboriginal music as a source of a distinct Australian idiom. Nevertheless, Douglas overstated his case as actual quotation of Aboriginal melodies occupies only a small part of both Carwoola and Namatjira. Unlike Sculthorpe’s similar approach, most recently in his Requiem, Douglas seems to have been quite naïve about the implication of appropriation, simply using the melodies as local colour in his ‘tonal’ paintings of Australian landscapes. Listeners unaware of this ‘borrowing’ would probably not hear it, as the overall result still sounds very European. Douglas’s principal strength as a composer was his colourful and brilliant orchestration, a skill in which only Hughes and Antill rivalled him in Australia.

Douglas deliberately avoided this ‘aboriginal idiom’ in his Symphony No.1, Op.48 as he wanted to express modern Australian life. After two previous attempts at a symphony during the 1930s, Douglas composed this work for the 1951 Commonwealth Jubilee Competition, winning third prize of £100. The symphony received generous exposure early
on, but was later withdrawn from performance when Douglas submitted the score as part of his DMus folio in 1957\textsuperscript{xvii}. It was constructed on an epic scale with bold, grandiose ideas to open and close the four-movement symphony. Douglas’s program notes reveal his intentions of a symphony extolling Australia, its achievements and future potential, although elsewhere he insisted that programs were not vital to the musical understanding of his works\textsuperscript{xviii}. It is a pity that he did not take this further because in the symphony (and in other works) the program becomes ‘an albatross’ around his neck – an act of self-sabotage. Take, for instance this example of Douglas’s prose in his note for the finale:

\begin{quote}
The finale reflects the dignity of Australia taking its place in the affairs of nations. Lighter moments appear suggestive of a sport-loving people, but the more serious tones of national achievement predominate. With the measured rhythm of the drums of war as a background, the symphony ends as a song of freedom rises in simplicity and strength pointing towards a yet unformed future.\textsuperscript{xxix}
\end{quote}

Douglas was also active as a composer for the Commonwealth Film Unit, and the symphony often has strong echoes of early 1950s film music. The last pages assume an Elgarian pomp and circumstance, an unusual ending considering the impressionistic harmonies of the slow movement and the echoes of early Stravinsky ballets in the scherzo. After 1957, Douglas used the symphony for ‘spare parts’, extracting a theme from the first movement for his Variations Symphonique (1961) and reworking the scherzo to become the finale of his Sinfonietta, composed for the Festival of Perth, 1961\textsuperscript{xxx}.

Douglas’s next major orchestral work was a 30 minute, three movement symphonic suite entitled Wongadilla. Although the work was completed in 1954, it included parts, or rewritten movements, of earlier pieces – Jindarra, Warra-Wirrawaal and some film music – which had been discarded. Wongadilla comprises the ternary form slow-fast-slow first movement ‘Sherbrooke Forest’, with its central Lyrebird dance, the slow movement ‘Derwent Waters’ and the large-scale finale ‘Metropolis’, a portrait of urban Sydney. In its size and effect, the work is like a symphony in three movements.

Douglas’s idiom underwent significant metamorphosis towards a more dissonant atonal idiom in his next symphony, Symphony No.2 ‘Namatjira’, composed between 1952 and 1956. This piece is amongst the most advanced orchestral pieces composed in Australia during the 1950s, demonstrating a deliberate effort on Douglas’s part to ‘update’ his style. It consists of one large movement of about 27 minutes duration divided into eight continuous sections. The work is inspired by central Australian landscapes as depicted by famous indigenous artist Albert Namatjira, and has a descriptive program including two depictions of Aboriginal ceremonies in sections five and eight. In it, Douglas includes remarkable, shimmering aural representations of Australia’s desert regions. In 1959, Douglas added parts for narrator, soprano soloist and chorus for a Radio Italia Prize entry, renaming that version Terra Australis and changing the program.

Douglas’s final symphony was composed in 1963. Perhaps sensing a change in the musical landscape in Australia, Douglas adopted serialism and a more internationalist approach. Symphony No.3 was a large piece in four movements and Douglas considered it his most important work\textsuperscript{xxxvi}. In 1969, he removed the finale, changed the order of the first three movements, added Italian place names to each movement, and renamed the work Three Frescoes\textsuperscript{xxxii}. Douglas’s unsettled feelings about the ultimate fate of his symphonic works was probably connected to the progressive decline of interest in his music after the early 1960s. In 2003, the 100th anniversary of Douglas’s birth, the ABC (his employer from 1936-1966) played only 20 minutes of his music – a sad epitaph to Douglas’s current status in Australian music.
John Antill (1904-1986)
John Antill is best known today for his remarkable ballet score *Corroboree*, which was composed between 1936 and 1944. *Corroboree* has eclipsed the rest of his output, much of which remains unperformed. Antill’s *Symphony on a City* arguably is of similar importance, despite the fact that it has been performed publicly only once, its premiere in Newcastle to mark the Centenary of the city in 1959. The work was also recorded and broadcast by the ABC. It demonstrates a wider range of styles and emotional expression than *Corroboree*, particularly the lyric warmth of the slow movement. Antill’s symphony was intended to form a sonic counterpart to the coffee-table book *Symphony on a City* produced the previous year. The book was full of symphonic metaphors for the history, development and civic life of the city. Newcastle City Council commissioned the work from Antill in January 1958 and the work was premiered in August the following year. *Symphony on a City* has three large movements totalling approximately 35 minutes. The accompanying program provided pictorial associations for his audience. Cast in slow introduction and fast sonata form, the first movement depicts the creation of Newcastle’s coal deposits, its prehistory, and early development. The central, ternary form slow movement depicts the landscape and pastoral activities of the surrounding Hunter Valley hinterland, in marked contrast to the celebration of industrial might and urban life in the rondo-like finale. Much of the music in the outer movements has Antill’s ‘larrikin’ trademark sound in it, although the slow movement is strongly redolent of Vaughan Williams in reflective, meditative mode. The final paragraph of the work is based on an ostinato figure, repeated over and over again to represent the power of BHP’s industrial might, capped by a blow on a sheet of BHP steel. Much of the music is powerful and colourful, but the sense of continuity is not always convincing in the outer movements. This work deserves to be heard again, especially during 2004, the centenary of Antill’s birth.

Horace Perkins (1901-1986)
Horace Perkins, born in Gawler, South Australia in 1901 also came to music studies late after working in a number of careers including sheep-shearing in Winton, Queensland. He completed a degree in music at the Elder Conservatorium during the late 1920s, and his first orchestral works were performed in Adelaide during the 1930s. During World War 2, Perkins served in the Middle East and in New Guinea. After the war, Perkins was appointed as Controller of Music for the ABC South Australia, a position he held until his retirement in 1966. Apart from short entries in Covell’s book and in James Glennon’s *Australian Music and Musicians*, Perkins is largely forgotten today and is not mentioned at all in any of the major dictionaries of Australian or international music.

Perkins’s first symphony, the *Elegiac Symphony* is the only large-scale, symphonic tribute to the armed services in Australian concert music. His first ideas about the piece stemmed from his experience of battle in New Guinea in 1942, which profoundly affected him. Perkins completed the symphony in June 1952, with the first performances given by the South Australian Symphony Orchestra conducted by Henry Krips on 6, 7 and 8 November 1952. Possibly an earlier version was submitted for the Commonwealth Jubilee Competition. It is cast in one long continuous movement of about 40 minutes duration. Beginning as a sonata form piece with two main subject groups, a popular-sounding march is interpolated into the development section. A nostalgic trio for solo flugel horn forms the central section of the march section. The recapitulation of the main themes is blended with a new slow dirge section, which also includes quotations of popular tunes and nursery rhymes. His most daring effect is to place the entire ‘Last Post’ on solo trumpet against dissociated, simultaneous string counterpoint based on ‘Boys and Girls come out to play’. The mixing of different tunes with strong associations and the military calls reminds the listener of techniques used by American composer Charles Ives, although Perkins was probably not aware of Ives’s music in 1952. A full rendition of ‘Reveille’ links the slow movement to the final section, a series of variations on the second subject group theme. There is a curious sense of light and exaltation about this diatonic finale, a musical counterpart to the design and images in the Memorial
Chapel at the National War Museum in Canberra. Although long and diffuse, there is much that is moving about this symphony. It is surprising, given the prominence of Anzac mythology in the Australian psyche, that this work is not better known, especially as it is a musical war memorial by an active combatant. After its initial three performances in Adelaide in November 1951, the work reappeared only once in a 1958 Youth concert then disappeared.

Perkins’s second symphony ‘The Romantic’ is a smaller work in four movements. It was composed during 1959 – early 1960 and is a curious mixture of romantic melody and academic counterpoint which does not cohere successfully. All of the movements fall into conventional formal patterns: sonata form in the first movement, theme and variations for the second, scherzo and trio for the third and rondo (with aspirations towards Mozart’s ‘Jupiter’ Symphony with its combination of themes towards the end of the work) in the finale. Despite some pleasant music in the first two movements, the finale is too long for its thematic material, lacking effective continuity between sections, and ends very untidily and abruptly.

A lighter style was adopted in Perkins’s third symphony *Pickwick Sinfonia*, which overall is more convincing than Symphony No.2. This three-movement work dates from 1964, and is very anachronistic to the climate of Australian music of that period. Of these Perkins symphonies, the *Elegiac Symphony* is the most interesting despite its length and multiplicity of styles.

**Mirrie Hill (1892-1986)**

Mirrie Hill’s *Symphony in A ‘Arnhem Land’* was composed in 1954. Of some 27-28 minutes duration and four movements, it is the longest symphony composed by a Australian female composer during the 1950s. In this work, she went further than any other Australian symphonist of the period in appropriating indigenous melodies into her music. The first movement is the most orthodox of the four, both in terms of its very clear sonata form subdivisions and thematic materials. In the remaining three movements, Mirrie Hill incorporates Aboriginal melodies as the major thematic elements in the music. The melodies are named and labelled in the score. The slow, second movement, labelled ‘Aboriginal Song’, is cast in a ternary form, with the 5/4 ‘Song of the lame man’ as its centrepiece. The third movement is a scherzo and trio. The movement is titled “Ondyamatana Rhythm” (Rhythm song to divert whirlwinds off their course). Mirrie Hill employs a colorful balletic style here with pounding rhythms and ostinati suggesting her awareness of early Stravinsky and Antill’s *Corroboree*. The Trio section is titled “The Song of the Two Snakes”, and provides gentle contrast. The finale is titled “Song of the Jungle Fowl” - the first melody is presented in octaves between mildly dissonant, despairing calls from the orchestra. Several unconnected sections based on other tunes with titles like “The Wind” and “Grotesque - Jungle Fowl” follow. With most of the movement moderate in tempo, the themes are not convincing in their Western dress and the movement is sectional and lacking in continuity. Overall, the most successful movement of the symphony is the second, where the scoring and harmonic choices are delicate and musical.

In summary, each one of these ‘nationalist’ symphony composers has their own distinctive voice. Douglas, Antill, Perkins and Mirrie Hill used extra-musical programs to communicate with their audiences. Of these composers and their symphonies, Clive Douglas’s Symphony No.1 and No.2 ‘Namatjira’ and John Antill’s *Symphony on a City* are the ones most in need of revival. The works are well scored and taut, accurate and sympathetic modern recordings would probably win new audiences.

**Non-Program Symphonies**

A next group of symphonies of the 1950s are ‘absolute’ music pieces with no external program. Composers represented here are Edgar Bainton, Robert Hughes, Dorian Le Gallienne, Raymond Hanson and David Morgan.
**Edgar Bainton (1880-1956)**
Bainton is often seen more as a British composer than an Australian one. He was already 54 and an experienced composer who had enjoyed some minor prominence in Britain at the time of his appointment as director of the New South Wales Conservatorium of Music in 1934. Nevertheless, Bainton then spent 22 years in Sydney, a longer period than the time spent by either Percy Grainger or Arthur Benjamin in this country, and his second and third symphonies were composed and performed here. His Symphony No.2 in D minor is one of the finest symphonies to be composed in Australia. It was premiered in Sydney in 1941. In its concise, one movement structure, the symphony demonstrates Bainton’s mastery of an idiom reminiscent of his contemporary Arnold Bax.

Bainton’s Third Symphony was composed between 1951 and 1956. He wrestled to compose this symphony in the wake of his wife’s death and his own failing health. Its first performance and ABC recording for broadcast took place in Sydney on 23, 25 and 26 March 1957. A larger work than its predecessor, the Third symphony is cast in four large movements, with a total duration of about 38 minutes.

Although there is no written program, the music seems to depict intense inner struggle, not nationalistic or pictorial elements as with the ‘Australian nationalists’. The first movement is tone-poem-like in its structure, with only a slight nod to sonata form conventions. The mood moves between slow tragic music, intense and furious fast music that shows Bainton’s connections to Elgar and Bax, and luxuriant, impressionistic dream-like sections. One of the themes, a gentle pentatonic melody, returns in later movements as a unifying device. The movement is linked without break to the tripartite second movement, a scherzo with a slower trio section at its centre. Once again, the mood is unsettled and tonality ambiguous, moving between gentle elegance and more threatening passages. A diabolical climax crowns the movement and, with the energy spent, the rest of the movement dies away.

The elegiac third movement is brooding and troubled until a striking diatonic string melody marked *tempo di Pavane* enters. From this comes a restatement of the pentatonic tune from the first movement, which forms the rapt climax of the movement before closing peacefully. The mood of the first two-thirds of the finale is both positive and defiant. Formally, it is organised like a rondo, with short contrasting episodes. The movement closes with a slow epilogue, a device used by Bax in his Third and Sixth symphonies and Vaughan Williams in his *London Symphony*. This epilogue is recapitulatory for the entire symphony, with various themes from all the previous movements being reviewed. Finally the first idea from the grim beginning of the work returns but this time at peace in triumphant C major.

The symphony demonstrates Bainton’s mastery of the orchestra. Unfortunately, the luxuriant detail of the inner voices tends to clog the texture at times, but by no means justifying the work’s neglect. The score survives in manuscript only, and has not been performed in concert or recorded since 1957.

**Robert Hughes (1912 - )**
Robert Hughes came to Australia in 1930 after some preliminary musical studies in Aberdeen, Scotland. He was essentially self-taught as a composer, apart from some rudimentary tuition from A.E.H.Nickson between 1938-1940 at the University of Melbourne Conservatorium. Hughes’s principal interest was in orchestral music and he first came before the musical public in that capacity during the early 1940s. During the war, Hughes served in New Guinea and the Solomon Islands and had little time for composition. After demobilisation in 1946, he became music arranger for the ABC in Melbourne until his retirement in 1976.
Following several unsuccessful attempts to write a symphony, including a work in an extended one movement form, Hughes completed his first symphony in ten weeks at the end of May 1951. He entered the work into the Commonwealth Jubilee Competition and won second prize, being considered the composer of the best Australian symphony. Later, after hearing a recording of the work, one of the British judges, Sir John Barbirolli confessed to Hughes that it should have been awarded first prize. A similar verdict was given by British critic Arthur Jacobs.

The original 1951 shape of the work was in three movements – a sonata form first movement with slow introduction, a scherzo and trio and a finale cast in a theme and variations form. The ABC recorded this version, which is very effective and powerful, for broadcast purposes. Despite his success in the competition and the early performances of the symphony, Hughes was dissatisfied with both the scherzo (second movement) and the finale. He proceeded to make two extensive revisions of the work in 1953 and 1955 respectively. He also added a slow intermezzo between the scherzo and finale in 1955, but when Norman Del Mar conducted the work in the UK, possibly during the early 1960s, Hughes asked that the slow movement be omitted. Both Del Mar and Sir John Barbirolli were very impressed with the Symphony. It led to Barbirolli inviting Hughes to write a Sinfonietta for the 1957 Centenary of the Halle Orchestra in Manchester – the most prestigious international commission offered to an Australian composer during the 1950s.

Hughes had begun working on a second symphony prior to the Halle commission and, deciding to tighten the work up, presented it as a ‘little’ symphony or sinfonietta. The end result was a powerful work, cast in four short movements, and most certainly not small in effect. Its duration of approximately 18 minutes is similar to Sibelius’s 7th Symphony, Harris’s 3rd symphony, Raymond Hanson’s Symphony and several of Hill’s symphonies. Hughes himself was not averse to my suggestion that the work be regarded as a second symphony. This work is often regarded as Hughes’s finest, and it received qualified praise from British reviewer, Michael Kennedy and from Covell. It was published by Chappell in the UK, and subsequently released on two separate LP releases in Australia. Currently, though, the work is out of print and not represented on CD.

Meanwhile, Hughes still contemplated further revision for his symphony. Owing to his principal occupation with the ABC and his work for the Australian Performing Rights Association (APRA), through which he fought for better recognition for all Australian composers, the revision waited until 1970-71. Hughes’s method of revision can be traced by working through the various versions preserved in the State Library of Victoria. Using his 1955 score as a departure point, Hughes tightened up the first sonata-form movement, lengthened the Intermezzo to become the second, slow movement, restored the trio of his original scherzo and rewrote the ending, and then rewrote much of the finale. Hughes maintained the original idiom of the early 1950s in his work. In my opinion, this work is the finest symphony composed by an Australian to date. It demonstrates Hughes’s mastery of the orchestra; it has strong themes, a fluent and convincing harmonic style, logical, concise form and a tremendous sense of continuity and power. To an uninitiated listener, the work sounds like a conflation of Elgar, Walton, Bax, with interesting melodies derived from unorthodox scalar forms: Hughes has long been fascinated by unorthodox divisions of tones and semitones in scales. The 1971 version of the Symphony was recorded by Festival in 1973 but unfortunately there is no modern recording on CD.

Dorian Le Gallienne (1915-1963)
Dorian Le Gallienne has been consistently regarded as one of Australia’s finest composers prior to the 1960s. However, he is best known through only two works – his Sinfonietta, a charming piece of 12 minutes duration showing the influence of Shostakovich, Hindemith and Prokofiev as well as Vaughan Williams, and his four settings of John Donne’s Holy Sonnets.
for low voice and piano. Some of his chamber music is on CD, but none of his orchestral music – even including the *Sinfonietta*.

Le Gallienne’s principal orchestral works date from his second sojourn in Britain while he studied with Gordon Jacob between 1951 and 1953 on a Commonwealth Jubilee Scholarship – the *Overture in E flat*, the first two movements of the *Sinfonietta* and Le Gallienne’s finest achievement, his *Symphony in E*⁰. After his return to Melbourne, the symphony was premiered there at an ABC Youth Concert in 1955, and the *Sinfonietta* completed and performed the following year. The first movement of an unfinished second symphony was completed in 1961.

The *Symphony in E⁰* is a powerful four movement work in a rough-hewn, linear idiom. It operates in a sound world reminiscent of composers like Vaughan Williams (the later, dissonant idiom of works like Symphony 4 and 6), early Rubbra symphonies and Rawsthorne’s First Symphony. Often the musical material can be traced to two or three main contrapuntal voices. Although there is a firm tonal centre, the level of dissonance is high in the outer movements. The work demonstrates a command of 20th century extended tonality techniques. Approximately 28 minutes in duration, the symphony is concise but still amply proportioned. In powerful utterance, the first movement in sonata structure exceeds the less logical finale, and the mostly restrained slow movement builds to a strong climax. Perhaps the finest writing in the work is the very quiet, mysterious and fast scherzo movement, unlike anything else in Australian music. An interesting mannerism of the work is the series of detached, off-beat chords which finish the work – very similar to several works which ape the effect of the ending of Sibelius’s *Symphony No.5*; the symphonies by Walton, Moeran, Benjamin and Clifford respectively. In my opinion, this work is only eclipsed by Hughes’s Symphony as the finest Australian symphony of the period. Hughes wins by virtue of the greater polish and orchestral skill shown consistently throughout the entire work, whereas the Le Gallienne full orchestral textures are often strident and over-scored in the outer movements. Nevertheless, Le Gallienne’s idiom is by far the more advanced in terms of his usage of 20th century techniques. Although Covell calls this work ‘still the most accomplished and purposive symphony written by an Australian⁸⁹, Le Gallienne’s symphony shares the fate of most of his contemporaries; the score is unpublished and the work awaits its first commercial recording.

**Raymond Hanson (1913-1976)**

Raymond Hanson was one of the most forward-thinking Australian composers of his generation, despite being completely Australian trained and in composition, largely self-taught. Like Hughes and Perkins, military service interrupted his career. During the late 1940s, Hanson became a teacher in harmony and aural training at the New South Wales Conservatorium of Music, a position he held up to his death. Of his major orchestral works, only his concertos for trumpet and trombone achieved commercial recordings. In the main, his output was relatively ignored – especially during the 1960s and 70s, when his idiom was no longer considered modern.

Hanson, like Le Gallienne, lacked the formal contact with the ABC which composers like Douglas, Antill, Hughes and Perkins enjoyed. This meant that it was harder for him to get performances of his works. It is possible, also, that Hanson’s left-wing sympathies during the 1950s made potential supporters of his music wary⁹⁰.

The Symphony Op.28 was composed for the Commonwealth Jubilee Competition in 1951, under the *nom de plume* ‘Saggitarius’. It did not make the final round of adjudication – an extraordinary error of judgement in my opinion. The symphony remained unperformed for twenty years, until a workshop performance during the Perth Festival on 11 February 1971. The delay in performance meant that the work had no chance of being influential when its idiom was still fresh. It was repeated by the Sydney Symphony Orchestra as part of the Blue
and White Subscription series in November 1971. An ABC recording appeared subsequently. There is no current recording and the score remains in autograph only.

The symphony is cast in one extended movement of 477 bars with an approximate duration of 18 to 21 minutes. There is no underlying program to the work. It grows organically from start to finish and within the single continuous movement there are four main sections. These are determined more by changes in time signature rather than drastic speed changes. In fact, throughout the work there are not large changes in speed – Hanson maintains a moderate pulse almost throughout. Rather, the speed fluctuations are governed more by note values. For example, the latter part of the second section is notable for pages of ‘white’ notation. Sections one, two and four begin with energy and, in the case of the first and fourth sections, an epic, powerful tone, but each time the energy subsides to a state of comparative relaxation. Perhaps this progression from tension to relaxation has to do with one of Hanson’s compositional dicta as recalled by his student, composer Ralph Middenway; “the stuff of music is building and release of tension.” The beginning of section four serves as a recapitulatory paragraph derived from section one. Only section three maintains energy throughout, forming the main climactic point of the work when the section overflows into the beginning of section four.

The overall tone and the big gestures of the climaxes suggest a romantic approach to composition, even though the sense of tonality is often ambiguous. A more neo-classical, motoric persona emerges in the 6/8, scherzo-like third section of the work. As well as the Hindemith influence, which was basic to Hanson’s idiom and approach to teaching, there are occasional echoes of British Romantic composers like Bax and Moeran as well as woodwind writing in paired thirds and brass chords which suggest Sibelius. Significant features unique to this symphony include the vigorous, sweeping theme which opens the work, and which forms the basic source of the thematic material for the remainder, and the passacaglia which crowns the third, scherzo-like section. The lack of genuinely fast music, however, proves an impediment to rating the work equal to the Bainton, Hughes and Le Gallienne symphonies.

**David Morgan (1932–)**

The youngest composer in this survey is David Morgan, who composed five symphonies during the 1950s. He is arguably Australia’s most neglected composer of the Sculthorpe, Williamson and Meale generation, despite the quality and quantity of his music, especially the early works of the late 1940s and early 1950s. Morgan’s initial musical training was in Sydney. He came to unusually early prominence in 1948-9 when he won second prize in a National competition for an overture to mark the planned Royal Tour of King George VI. His first two symphonies date from the period 1949-51. Both works are astonishing for the age of the composer, and show that in the early 1950s David Morgan was one of Australia’s most promising composers. Like his fellow students at the New South Wales Conservatorium of Music, Malcolm Williamson and David Lumsdaine, Morgan went to England for further composition studies. There his principal teachers were Matyas Seiber, Walter Goehr and Norman Del Mar. Morgan remained in England until the late 1950s. On returning to Australia he played cor anglais in the Sydney Symphony Orchestra. After several occupations in Britain, Morgan worked for many years as a composer to the South Australian Education Department. Living in the Barossa Valley, he is now retired, and keeps up a daily regimen of composing, undeterred by his low profile in the Australian musical scene.

Symphony No.1 was premiered at a Jubilee concert of Australian music in Adelaide in 1951 – to date its only performance. The horrible recording made of the occasion reveals a poor performance. There are three large movements in a fast – slow – fast order. Morgan plans to revise this work. Although Symphony No.2 was entered into the Commonwealth Jubilee Competition, where it made the final round of judging, it was not performed until April 1963 following revisions to the scherzo and finale and a rescoring of the work for smaller orchestra. Morgan restored the original large orchestra configuration in 1993, and the
premiere of this third version was in 1994. Symphony No.2 is a striking work which seems to have stylistic connections to both British and American styles of the 1940s, including the music of Benjamin Britten in the finale. There are also echoes of Hindemith, Sibelius and Shostakovich. There are four movements: a Chorale Prelude, an Allegro movement, a slow movement and a finale in three major sections – ‘Declamation, Fugue and Coda’. Morgan is currently writing an alternative finale for the small orchestra version of the work.

Subsequent Morgan symphonies include No.3, composed for smaller orchestra and revealing the influence of Stravinsky and neo-classicism, of 1956 for a contemporary music festival at Guildhall School of Music, Symphony No.4 ‘The Classical’ of 1957 which was premiered in Sydney at three Youth Concerts in October 1958 and the first version of Symphony No.5, completed in 1958. Many of these works, as well as Symphony No.6, continue to be revised as Morgan makes digital copies of his hand-written originals.

Although Morgan should be ranked amongst Australia’s finest symphonists, his name does not appear in any current music reference on music in Australia.

Other Composers

Other Australian or Australian-based composers who composed symphonies during this period include Margaret Sutherland, Peggy Glanville-Hicks, James Penberthy, Felix Gethen, J.V.Peters, David Lumsdaine, and Felix Werder. Most of these symphonies fit into the ‘absolute’ symphony category.

Margaret Sutherland is best known today for her chamber music and vocal music. Her symphony is perhaps the least known of her larger works for orchestra – it has never been performed in its original four movement form nor has it been recorded. The work was one of the entries in the Commonwealth Jubilee Competition, entered as Symphony in F sharp under the nom de plume, ‘John Smith’. It did not reach the final round. The work is cast in four short movements. After the competition, Sutherland called the work Four Symphonic Studies, then Four Symphonic Concepts, the title on the score at the Australian Music Centre library. She later grouped the first three movements together under the title Triptych, and the fourth movement as an independent piece entitled ‘Vistas’, in which shape the music was performed. No recording remains of this work or its individual movements. Nevertheless, Sutherland really regarded the work as a symphony in interviews with James Murdoch during 1968, thereby upholding her original concept of the work.

Peggy Glanville-Hicks (1912-1990) spent most of the 1950s living in the United States, where she took up citizenship and became an important figure as composer and writer on music. However, her only symphony-like orchestral work, the Sinfonia da Pacifica was composed during 1952-53 for Sir Bernard Heinze and premiered in Melbourne in 1953. In three short movements, the work has a total duration of approximately 12-13 minutes. The first is the most conventionally symphonic movement, having stylistic connections to Vaughan Williams her composition teacher; the other movements having links with Indian thematic material. The tiny two and a half minute finale is a different version of music opening Scene Three of Glanville-Hicks’s one act opera The Transposed Heads, which was composed at the same time and premiered in 1954. Apart from the Hill symphonies, Sinfonia da Pacifica is the only work discussed here available on a modern CD.

James Penberthy (1917-1999) composed three symphonies – Symphony No.1 in G minor (1948), No.2 (1953) and No.3, Uranus (1956) – between 1948 and 1960, later extending this total to nine symphonies by the time of his death. They are located with the Penberthy papers at the National Library of Australia. At the time of writing, these symphonies await investigation.
Felix Gethen (1916-2002) came to Australia from Britain during the early 1950s. He was music arranger for the ABC in Hobart. His Symphony in E flat appeared in 1957, and was broadcast from Melbourne in December 1957. Its duration is approximately 22 minutes. In a letter of 1959 to Michael Best, Gethen declared the symphony his best work. A recording of the work, copied courtesy of Mrs Beth Gethen, reveals a tonal work in one continuous movement. The idiom is not dissimilar to Robert Hughes, except that the feeling of drive and power is largely absent. One of the main themes is the Isaac melody Innsbruck, ich muss dich lassen (or perhaps better known through J.S.Bach’s harmonisations of the chorale ‘Nun ruhen alle Wälder’) which appears in the second main section and also crowns the final climax of the work. Perhaps there was a programmatic association with this tune for the composer. The scoring is colourful and effective throughout.

J.V.Peters (1920-1973), originally from New Zealand, was a lecturer at Adelaide’s Elder Conservatorium and Adelaide City Organist from the early 1950s onwards. The first of his two symphonies was composed as a Doctoral exercise for the University of Canterbury, New Zealand during the mid 1950s. The work is laid out as one continuous movement but with four subsections. The second symphony, in three movements for wind, brass and organ, dates from 1961. Scores of both works are located in the Barr Smith Library at the University of Adelaide.

David Lumsdaine (1931-2002) was, together with Malcolm Williamson and Don Banks, perhaps the most successful Australian composer resident in Britain during the second half of the 20th century. He composed two symphonies during the 1950s, the first, ‘Symphony 1951’, a finalist in the Commonwealth Jubilee Symphony Competition (bearing the nom de plume ‘Witchetty Grub’). The second symphony was composed in Britain in 1958 and was performed by the Halle Orchestra and in London. Both works have since been withdrawn and the scores are not extant.

Felix Werder represents the most radical modernism seen in Australia during the 1950s. Born in Vienna in 1922, Werder arrived in Australia during the late 1930s to escape Nazi persecution. Of Werder’s six numbered symphonies to date, two are connected with the 1950s. The date of the first (Op.6) presents a conundrum, as does the congruence between the score at the Australian Music Centre library and the only recording of the work available. This three movement work as recorded is like nothing else from its time in Australian music. The style seems serial – with quieter, pointilistic sections reminiscent of Webern, and other more aggressive and heavy sections which recall Schoenberg or Berg. The overall shape of the 22 minute work is fast – slow – fast, with extensive solos for cello in the slow movement. The work suggests that Werder was fully aware of the Second Viennese School and, possibly, also aware of the 1950s works by Boulez. Werder’s second symphony dates from 1959.

Why the neglect?
During the early 1960s, following the decline of the genre in Europe, Britain and America, the symphony went into relative eclipse in Australia. The new generation of prominent Australian composers during the 1960s – composers like Peter Sculthorpe, Keith Humble, Nigel Butterley, Richard Meale, Larry Sitsky, Felix Werder and George Dreyfus – brought the innovations of post-war European and American modernism to the mainstream of Australian music. Of these composers, only Dreyfus and Werder composed symphonies during the 1960s. Commentators on Australian music of the 1960s and 1970s perceived the new composers of the 1960s as bringing Australia up to date with the rest of the world. Roger Covell’s Australia’s Music: Themes of a New Society of 1967 remains the most significant published evaluation of Australian art music. It was followed in 1972 by James Murdoch’s Australia’s Contemporary Composers and by Australian Music Composition in the Twentieth Century, edited by Frank Callaway and David Tunley. These books reflect the success of the 1960s generation of composers. Covell criticised the influence of the ‘palely anonymous
school of English pastoralism and ‘the overworked vein of sub-Vaughan Williams pastoral
Englishness’ on a number of older Australian composers. Occasionally positive evaluations
of the older music appeared, for example the quotation from James Murdoch near the
beginning of this paper.

Likewise, the modernist aesthetic prevalent in composition studies in Australian universities
during the late 1960s and 1970s tended to sideline much Australian music of the period 1930-
1960 as derivative and outmoded. The subsequent growth in the numbers of active Australian
composers passing through the universities brought more pressure on performance resources
– this also tended to place older Australian music on the margins, especially the expensive
genre of symphonic orchestral music. The Australian symphonies of the 1950s were largely
forgotten.

A Change of Perspective?
During the late 1970s, composers like Meale and Brumby began to return to triadic tonality in
preference to free atonality or serialism. In this, they were soon joined by Sculthorpe,
Edwards and younger composers like Carl Vine, Brenton Broadstock and Graeme Koehne,
while other composers remained experimental. This trend was not unique to Australia as,
from 1970 onwards, approachable tonal styles and symphonic, orchestral writing also
reappeared in Europe and the United States as a musical signifier of the onset of post-
modernism. Since 1980, Australian symphonies were composed in significant numbers once
again by Carl Vine (6 symphonies), Brenton Broadstock (5), Ross Edwards (4) Colin Brumby
(2), Paul Paviour (10), Philip Bracanin (3), Nigel Butterley and Richard Meale (one each),
Peter Tahourdin (3 symphonies since 1980) and Matthew Hindson. However, these recent
Australian composers seem to be writing symphonies without any connection to the previous
Australian symphonic tradition prior to 1960. This is largely because of the absence of scores
and recordings of this music.

During the 1990s, Australian musicologists began to reinvestigate the pre-1960 period.
Joel Crotty wrote:

Australian music too is going through a series of postmodern journeys. In such a
light, history becomes important, not in the 60s meaning of dismissal, but in the 90s
meaning of incorporation. While the climate is right for composers to reinterpret old
forms (the symphonic revival is a good example), musicologists are also reassessing Australian music history.

Despite this, there has been no significant assessment of the 1950s symphonies within
Australian music history.

It is remarkable that such an interesting and large group of symphonies from the 1950s exists
in Australia, especially as not one of the composers listed was a full-time composer. There
was little Government support for them, except the odd prize or commission. In this respect,
the Australian composer of the 1960s and after was much better off, relatively speaking. A
poignant example is that of Antill with his Symphony on a City. The personal expense
incurred in writing out orchestral parts and having them duplicated exceeded the £300
commission fee that he received from the Newcastle City Council. And yet the work
received only one public performance.

Some of the works discussed here, in particular the symphonies of Hughes, Le Gallienne,
Douglas, Bainton and Morgan, are landmark works in Australian musical heritage. They, at
the very least, equal many of the current batch of Australian symphonies composed since
1980. Most of the symphonies discussed deserve modern editions and recordings as befits
their status as an important repertory within the musical development of this country. This
music must not remain silent any longer.
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