



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN  
QUEENSLAND

MESHLESS RADIAL BASIS FUNCTION  
METHOD FOR UNSTEADY  
INCOMPRESSIBLE VISCOUS FLOWS

A dissertation submitted by

**Lan Mai-Cao**

B.Eng., HoChiMinh City University of Technology, Vietnam, 1991

M.Eng., Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology (RMIT), Australia, 1998

For the award of the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy**

December 2008 (emended April 2009)

# Dedication

*To the memory of my grandparents & my father,  
and to my mother, my wife & sons.*

# Notes to Readers

To facilitate the reading of this thesis, a number of files are included on the attached CD to provide colour presentation as well as animation of some numerical results in this thesis. The contents of the CD include:

1. thesis.pdf: An electronic version of this thesis with colour figures;
2. chap4-test4-1b.avi: An animation showing the numerical simulation of a bubble that moves and deforms in a shear flow (Test 4, Chapter 4);
3. chap4-test5-4b.avi: An animation showing the numerical simulation of four bubbles that move, deform and merge together in a shear flow (Test 5, Chapter 4);
4. chap5-test3-vc.avi: An animation showing the evolution of the velocity along the mid-vertical and horizontal lines in a lid-driven cavity flow (Test 3, Chapter 5);
5. chap5-test3-stream.avi: An animation showing the evolution of the stream-function in a lid-driven cavity flow (Test 3, Chapter 5);
6. chap5-test3-vort.avi: An animation showing the evolution of the vorticity in a lid-driven cavity flow (Test 3, Chapter 5);
7. chap6-test1-br.avi: An animation showing the numerical simulation of two bubbles rising upward in an interfacial flow (Test 1, Chapter 6).

# Abstract

This thesis reports the development of new meshless schemes for solving time-dependent partial differential equations (PDEs) and for the numerical simulation of some typical unsteady incompressible viscous flows.

The new numerical schemes are based on the Indirect/Integrated Radial Basis Function Network (IRBFN) method which is fully meshless as no element-type mesh is required. The IRBFN method has been successfully applied to solve time-independent elliptic PDEs, some steady fluid flows and recently unsteady Navier-Stokes equations in streamfunction-vorticity formulation using simple time integration methods (e.g. first-order backward Euler method). The main objective of the present research is to devise and implement meshless numerical schemes for unsteady problems in computational fluid dynamics where not only the accuracy but also the efficiency and stability of the numerical schemes are of primary concerns. In addition, the effects of different parameters of the IRBFN method on the accuracy, stability and efficiency of the proposed numerical schemes are extensively studied in this research.

As the first step in extending the IRBFN method to various types of time-dependent PDEs, two numerical schemes combining the IRBFN method with high-order time stepping algorithms are developed for solving parabolic, hyperbolic, and advection-diffusion equations. Sensitivity analysis of the method to point density, time-step size and shape parameter are extensively performed to study the influence of these parameters to the overall accuracy of the method.

---

A further extension of the IRBFN method for incompressible fluid flows with moving interfaces, especially for passive transport problems is accomplished in this research with a novel meshless approach in which the level set method is coupled with the the IRBFN method for capturing moving interfaces in an ambient fluid flow without any explicit computation of the actual front location.

Another contribution of this research is the development of two new meshless schemes based on the IRBFN method for the numerical simulation of unsteady incompressible viscous flows governed by the Navier-Stokes equations. In the new schemes, the splitting approach is used to deal with the momentum equation and the incompressibility constraint in a segregated manner. Numerical experiments on the new schemes in terms of accuracy and stability are performed for verification purposes.

Finally, a novel meshless hybrid scheme is developed in this research to numerically simulate interfacial flows in which the motion and deformation of the interface between the two immiscible fluids are fully captured. Unlike the passive transport problems mentioned above where the influence of the moving interface on the surrounding fluid is ignored, the interfacial flows are studied here with the surface tension taken into account. As a result, a two-way interaction between the moving interface and the ambient flow is fully investigated.

All numerical schemes developed in this research are verified through a wide range of transient problems including different kinds of time-dependent PDEs, typical passive transport problems and interfacial flows as well as unsteady incompressible viscous flows governed by Navier-Stokes equations.

# Certification of Dissertation

I certify that the idea, experimental work, results and analyses, software and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award.

---

Lan Mai-Cao, Candidate

---

Date

## ENDORSEMENT

---

Prof. Thanh Tran-Cong, Principal supervisor

---

Date

---

Dr. Ruth Mossad, Associate supervisor

---

Date

# Acknowledgments

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to Professor Thanh Tran-Cong for his guidance and encouragement throughout this research. Without his continuous support this thesis would not have been possible.

I am very grateful to Ms. Ruth Hilton and Ms. Christine Bartlett formerly from the Office of Research and Higher Degrees for their invaluable help during my research. I would like to express my sincere thanks to Associate Professor David Buttsworth from the Faculty of Engineering and Surveying (FoES) for his kind supports. I wish to extend my thanks to Dr. Ruth Mossad for acting her role as the associate supervisor. Many thanks go to my colleagues and friends at FoES for their friendship and fruitful discussions.

I gratefully acknowledge the financial support from Moldflow Pty Ltd, the Post-graduate Scholarship from the University of Southern Queensland and the financial aids from the Faculty of Engineering and Surveying in the form of a Scholarship Supplementation.

Finally, special thanks go to my mother and my wife for their patience and supports during my study.

# Papers Resulting from the Research

## Book Chapters

1. N. MAI-DUY, L. MAI-CAO and T. TRAN-CONG (2006) “A new meshless RBF-based method for unsteady fluid flow analysis”, Advances in Meshless Methods, Chapter XI, J. Sladek and V. Sladek (Eds), Tech Science Press, ISBN: 0-9717880-2-2, pp241-262.

## Journal Papers

1. L.MAI-CAO and T.TRAN-CONG (2008) “A Meshless Approach to Capturing Moving Interfaces in Passive Transport Problems”, CMES: Computer Modeling in Engineering and Sciences, 31(3), 157-188.
2. N. MAI-DUY, L. MAI-CAO and T. TRAN-CONG (2007) “Computation of transient viscous flows using indirect radial basis function networks”. CMES: Computer Modeling in Engineering and Sciences, 18(1), 59-77.
3. L. MAI-CAO and T. TRAN-CONG (2005) “A meshless IRBFN-based method for transient problems”, CMES: Computer Modeling in Engineering and Sciences, 7(2), 149-171.



4. L. MAI-CAO and T. TRAN-CONG (2008) “Meshless projection schemes for unsteady incompressible Navier-Stokes equations”, in preparation.
5. L. MAI-CAO and T. TRAN-CONG (2008) “Meshless approach to interfacial flows”, in preparation.

### **Conference Papers**

1. L. MAI-CAO and T. TRAN-CONG (2006) “A meshless level-set scheme for interfacial flows”, in Nguyen Quoc Son and Nguyen Dung (Eds), International Conference on Non-linear Analysis & Engineering Mechanics Today, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, 11-14 December 2006, CD paper No 26.
2. L. MAI-CAO and T. TRAN-CONG (2005) “An IRBFN-based scheme for moving interfaces in an incompressible viscous flow”, Keynote Lecture, in ”Advances in Computational & Experimental Engineering and Sciences”, SM Sivakumar, A Meher Prasad, B Dattaguru, S Narayanan, AM Rajendran, SN Atluri (Eds), pp473-479.
3. L. MAI-CAO and T. TRAN-CONG (2004) “Element-Free Simulation for Non-Newtonian Flows”, Keynote Lecture in Advances in Computational and Experimental Engineering and Sciences, S.N. Atluri and A.J.B. Tadeu (Ed), Tech Science Press, pp1332-1338.
4. L. MAI-CAO and T. TRAN-CONG (2003) “A meshless level set approach to interface capturing”, Keynote Lecture, International Conference on Computational & Experimental Engineering and Sciences, July 24-29, Corfu, Greece, CD Chapter 17.
5. L. MAI-CAO and T. TRAN-CONG (2003) “Solving time-dependent PDEs with a meshless IRBFN-based method”, Invited paper, International Workshop on Meshfree Methods 2003, July 21-23, Lisbon, Portugal, pp119-124.

# Contents

Dedication	ii
Notes to Readers	iii
Abstract	iv
Certification of Dissertation	i
Acknowledgments	ii
Published Papers Resulting from the Research	iii
Acronyms & Abbreviations	xi
List of Tables	xii
List of Figures	xvi
Chapter 1 Introduction	1

1.1	Numerical Methods for Fluid Dynamics . . . . .	1
1.2	Meshless Methods based on Radial Basis Functions . . . . .	2
1.3	Indirect/Integrated Radial Basis Function Networks Method . . . . .	4
1.4	About the Thesis . . . . .	5
1.5	Outline of the Thesis . . . . .	6
<b>Chapter 2 Fundamental Background</b>		<b>8</b>
2.1	Governing Equations of Fluid Dynamics . . . . .	8
2.2	Numerical Solutions of Time-dependent PDEs . . . . .	13
2.2.1	Space Discretization by RBFN Methods . . . . .	14
2.2.2	Time Discretization . . . . .	18
2.2.3	Solving Systems of Linear Equations . . . . .	22
2.2.4	Numerical Methods for the Solution of Nonlinear Systems . . . . .	25
2.3	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	27
<b>Chapter 3 Meshless IRBFN Schemes for Time-Dependent PDEs</b>		<b>28</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	29
3.2	Approximation of Time-dependent Functions and their Derivatives . . . . .	30
3.3	IRBFN-based Schemes for Time-Dependent PDEs . . . . .	38
3.3.1	Problem statement . . . . .	38

---

3.3.2	Fully discrete schemes . . . . .	39
3.3.3	Semi-discrete schemes . . . . .	42
3.4	Numerical Results . . . . .	43
3.4.1	Test problem 1. One-dimensional heat equation . . . . .	44
3.4.2	Test problem 2. Two-dimensional diffusion equation . . . . .	50
3.4.3	Test problem 3. One-dimensional wave equation . . . . .	59
3.4.4	Test problem 4. Two-dimensional wave equation . . . . .	65
3.4.5	Test problem 5. One-dimensional advection-diffusion equation . . . . .	68
3.5	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	70
<b>Chapter 4 Meshless Approach to Passive Transport Problems</b>		<b>71</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	72
4.2	Level set method . . . . .	75
4.3	SL-IRBFN scheme for convective transport equations . . . . .	76
4.4	Taylor-IRBFN schemes for convective transport equations . . . . .	79
4.4.1	The TE-IRBFN Scheme . . . . .	80
4.4.2	The TCN-IRBFN Scheme . . . . .	82
4.5	A new IRBFN-based approach to passive transport problems . . . . .	83
4.5.1	Initialization . . . . .	84

---

4.5.2	Advancing the level set function . . . . .	84
4.5.3	Calculation of $\phi$ at departure points . . . . .	85
4.5.4	Re-initialization and mass correction . . . . .	85
4.6	Numerical results . . . . .	86
4.6.1	Test 1 - Convective transport problems . . . . .	87
4.6.2	Test 2 - Solid body translation . . . . .	95
4.6.3	Test 3 - Rotation of a solid body . . . . .	99
4.6.4	Test 4 - Passive transport of a bubble in a shear flow . .	103
4.6.5	Test 5 - Passive transport of four bubbles in a shear flow	110
4.7	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	115

**Chapter 5 Meshless Schemes for Unsteady Navier-Stokes Equations** **116**

5.1	Introduction . . . . .	116
5.2	Mathematical Formulation . . . . .	119
5.3	Numerical Schemes . . . . .	120
5.3.1	The IPC-IRBFN schemes . . . . .	120
5.3.2	The IPCPP-IRBFN Schemes . . . . .	127
5.4	Numerical Results . . . . .	129

5.4.1	Test 1: Unsteady Stokes equations with known analytical solution . . . . .	130
5.4.2	Test 2: Unsteady Navier-Stokes equations with known analytical solution . . . . .	136
5.4.3	Numerical analysis of the unsteady lid-driven cavity flow	146
5.5	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	156
<b>Chapter 6 Meshless Approach to Interfacial Flows</b>		<b>157</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	158
6.2	Mathematical Formulation . . . . .	159
6.2.1	The Two-Fluid Navier-Stokes Equations . . . . .	159
6.3	Numerical Schemes . . . . .	163
6.3.1	Computing interface properties (normal and curvature) and fluid properties (density and viscosity) . . . . .	164
6.3.2	Solving the two-fluid Navier-Stokes equations . . . . .	165
6.3.3	Advancing the level set function . . . . .	167
6.3.4	Re-initializing the level set function . . . . .	167
6.3.5	Adjusting the level set function with the mass correction algorithm . . . . .	168
6.4	Numerical results . . . . .	168
6.5	Concluding Remarks . . . . .	180

<b>Chapter 7. Conclusions and Future Work</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>Appendix A The first and second order antiderivatives of Hardy's multiquadrics</b>	<b>183</b>
<b>Appendix B The first and second order antiderivatives of Duchon's thin plate splines</b>	<b>185</b>

# Acronyms & Abbreviations

AB-CN	Adams Bashforth-Crank Nicolson
BDF	Backward Differentiation Formula
BEM	Boundary Element Method
DRBFN	Direct Radial Basis Function Network
FDM	Finite Difference Method
FEM	Finite Element Method
FVM	Finite Volume Method
GMRES	Generalized Minimal Residual Method
IPC	Incremental Pressure Correction
IPCPP	Incremental Pressure Correction with Pressure Prediction
IRBFN	Indirect/Integrated Radial Basis Function Network
MQ	MultiQuadric
NSE	Navier-Stokes Equation
PDE	Partial Differential Equation
RBF	Radial Basis Function
RK	Runge-Kutta
SL	Semi-Lagrangian
SVD	Singular Value Decomposition
TCN	Taylor-Crank-Nicolson
TE	Taylor-Euler
TPS	Thin Plate Splines



# List of Tables

2.1	Some common boundary conditions for Navier-Stokes equations	13
2.2	Coefficients of the BDF methods of order $k = 1, 2, 3$ . . . . .	19
2.3	Coefficients of the AB methods of order $k = 1, 2, 3$ . . . . .	20
3.1	Accuracy comparison of the solution at time $t = 0.5$ between the FDM and the IRBFN method (using either MQ or TPS) in Test problem 1.1. Both methods use the same discretization ( $N = 11$ , $\Delta t = 0.01$ ). The $L_\infty$ -norm of the error vector for FDM, TPS-IRBFN and MQ-IRBFN are $2.6766e - 4$ , $1.6887e - 5$ and $8.3494e - 6$ , respectively. . . . .	45
3.2	Accuracy comparison of the solution at time $t = 0.5$ between the FDM and the IRBFN method (using either MQ or TPS) in Test problem 1.1. Although the number of collocation points and time steps the IRBFN method needs (6 and 25) are only half as many as the FDM uses (11 and 50), the IRBFN method still yields more accurate solution. The $L_\infty$ -norm of the error vector for FDM, TPS-IRBFN and MQ-IRBFN are $2.5455e - 4$ , $4.3845e - 5$ and $1.8211e - 5$ , respectively. . . . .	46

---

3.3	Test problem 1.2: Accuracy comparison between the DRBFN method using explicit exponential scheme with MQ (Zerroukat et al., 1998) and the IRBFN using semi-discrete scheme with MQ. With the same number of collocation points, the IRBFN method yields more accurate solution while the number of time steps it uses ( $Nts = 10$ ) is one-tenth of that required by the DRBFN method ( $Nts = 100$ ). The $L_\infty$ -norm of the error vector for MQ-DRBFN and MQ-IRBFN methods are $5.6000e - 4$ and $5.2220e - 5$ , respectively. . . . .	50
3.4	Test problem 1.2: Accuracy comparison between the DRBFN method using explicit exponential scheme with MQ (Zerroukat et al., 1998) and the IRBFN using semi-discrete scheme with TPS. Although the number of time steps it uses ( $Nts = 10$ ) is one-tenth of that required by the DRBFN method ( $Nts = 100$ ), still the IRBFN method yields more accurate solution. The two methods use the same number of collocation points. The $L_\infty$ -norm of the error vector for MQ-DRBFN and TPS-IRBFN are $5.6000e - 4$ and $1.6953e - 4$ , respectively. . . . .	51
3.5	Solution to Test problem 2 at the interior point $x = 0.8$ , $y = 0.8$ . With a coarser point density (12 boundary points and 13 interior points), the IRBFN method (based on either MQ or TPS) still yields more accurate result compared to the BEM (Ingber and Phan-Thien, 1992) using a much finer mesh (289 boundary points and 81 interior points). Both methods use the same time step size $\Delta t=0.25$ . The $L_\infty$ -norm of the error vector for BEM, TPS-IRBFN and MQ-IRBFN are $3.9589e - 3$ , $1.5474e - 4$ and $1.1788e - 4$ , respectively. . . . .	53

- 
- 3.6 Accuracy comparison in Test problem 3 between the two semi-discrete schemes, Runge-Kutta (RK) and Predictor-Corrector (PC), based on MQ-IRBFN. The numerical and analytical solutions are shown at time  $t=1.0$ . The  $L_\infty$ -norm of the error vector for MQ-IRBFN using RK and PC are  $4.8788e-3$  and  $4.9064e-3$ , respectively. . . . . 61
- 3.7 Accuracy comparison in Test problem 3 between the two semi-discrete schemes, Runge-Kutta (RK) and Predictor-Corrector (PC), based on TPS-IRBFN. The numerical and analytical solutions are shown at time  $t=1.0$ . The  $L_\infty$ -norm of the error vector for TPS-IRBFN using RK and PC are  $5.2349e-3$  and  $5.2791e-3$ , respectively. . . . . 61
- 3.8 Comparison of accuracy and efficiency between the FEM and the MQ-IRBFN method in Test problem 4 at points  $(0.8,:)$  at time  $t = 0.16$ . The FEM uses 177 nodes and 312 triangles while the MQ-IRBFN method uses 121 collocation points. The  $L_\infty$ -norm of the error vector for FEM and MQ-IRBFN are  $7.9915e-4$  and  $2.3697e-4$ , respectively. . . . . 66
- 3.9 Comparison of accuracy and efficiency between the FEM and the TPS-IRBFN method in Test problem 4 at points  $(0.8,:)$  at the point of time  $t = 0.16$ . The FEM uses 177 nodes and 312 triangles while the TPS-IRBFN method uses 121 collocation points. The  $L_\infty$ -norm of the error vector for FEM and TPS-IRBFN are  $7.9915e-4$  and  $2.4562e-4$ , respectively. . . . . 67

---

3.10	Test problem 5: Comparison of accuracy and efficiency between the MQ-IRBFN method and the TPS-DRBFN method (Zerroukat et al., 2000) at $t = 1$ . With the same number of collocation points, the MQ-IRBFN method outperforms the TPS-DRBFN method while the number of time steps it uses ( $Nts=25$ ) is half as many as that required by the TPS-DRBFN method. The $L_\infty$ -norm of the error vector for TPS-DRBFN and MQ-IRBFN are $9.8935e - 3$ and $5.9243e - 5$ , respectively. . . . .	69
3.11	Test problem 5: Comparison of accuracy and efficiency between the TPS-IRBFN method and the TPS-DRBFN method (Zerroukat et al., 2000) at $t = 1$ . With the same number of collocation points, the TPS-IRBFN method outperforms the TPS-DRBFN method while the number of time steps it uses ( $Nts=25$ ) is half as many as that required by the TPS-DRBFN method. The $L_\infty$ -norm of the error vector for TPS-DRBFN and TPS-IRBFN are $9.8935e - 3$ and $1.2150e - 3$ , respectively. . . . .	69
5.1	Streamfunction $\psi_c$ and vorticity $\omega_c$ at the center of the primary vortex at different time steps corresponding to $Re=100,400$ and $1000$ . . . . .	149

# List of Figures

3.1	Solution profile in Test problem 1.1 by the MQ-IRBFN method.	46
3.2	Sensitivity analysis of the MQ-IRBFN method with respect to the network parameters in Test problem 1.1 for the case where the number of time steps = 25. Figures (a),(b),(c),(d) show the maximum absolute error over the entire set of collocation points	47
3.3	Sensitivity analysis of the MQ-IRBFN method with respect to the network parameters in Test problem 1.1 for the case where the number of time steps = 25. Figures (a),(b),(c),(d) show the root-mean-squared errors over the whole time domain. . . . .	48
3.4	Numerical solution given by the MQ-IRBFN method for Test problem 1.2. . . . .	52
3.5	(a) A set of randomly generated points used by the IRBFN method; (b) Solution at point (0.8,0.8) by the IRBFN method; (c) An accuracy comparison between the IRBFN method and the BEM (Ingber and Phan-Thien, 1992) in Test problem 2. Using a coarser point density, the IRBFN method maintains its higher accuracy compared to the BEM throughout the whole time domain.	54

---

3.6	In Test problem 2, as the point density increases from figure (a) to more than 2 times denser in figure (b), the maximum errors by the MQ-IRBFN method do not decrease noticeably, indicating “mesh” convergence. In addition, the errors by the MQ-IRBFN method do not vary significantly within the range of $\beta$ 's values from 1 to 10. . . . .	55
3.7	In Test problem 2, as the point density increases from figure (c) to more than 2 times denser in figure (d), the maximum errors by the MQ-IRBFN method do not decrease noticeably, indicating “mesh” convergence. The accuracy of the solution can be, however, improved by an order of magnitude by decreasing the time step size in Figure (3.6) to half the value in this figure. In addition, the errors by the MQ-IRBFN method do not vary significantly within the range of $\beta$ 's values from 1 to 10. . . . .	56
3.8	The root-mean-squared errors by the MQ-IRBFN method in Test problem 2 do not vary significantly within a range of $\beta$ 's values from 1 to 10 in figures (a)-(j) where the number of time steps $Nts = 16$ . . . . .	57
3.9	The root-mean-squared errors by the MQ-IRBFN method in Test problem 2 do not vary significantly within a range of $\beta$ 's values from 1 to 10 in figures (k)-(t) for $Nts = 32$ . An increase of the number of time steps from 16 (Figure 3.8) to 32 (this figure) results in a noticeable decrease in the root-mean-squared errors. . . . .	58
3.10	Solution profile of the problem in Test problem 3 by the MQ-IRBFN method. . . . .	62

---

3.11	Sensitivity analysis of the MQ-IRBFN method for the problem in Test problem 3. The numerical solution yielded by the proposed method maintains its high accuracy with the maximum error remaining within an order of magnitude for the range of $\beta$ 's values from 1 to 3. . . . .	63
3.12	Sensitivity analysis of the MQ-IRBFN method for the problem in Test problem 3. The numerical solution yielded by the proposed method maintains its high accuracy with the root-mean-squared error remaining within an order of magnitude for the range of $\beta$ 's values from 1 to 3. . . . .	64
3.13	Numerical solution by the MQ-IRBFN method at time step 5 for the problem in Test problem 4. . . . .	67
4.1	Numerical solution (top) and its norm error (bottom) by the SL-IRBFN scheme for Test 1.1. . . . .	89
4.2	Comparison between the two variants of the TCN-IRBFN scheme (using MQ and TPS basis functions) in Test 1.1: (Top) Absolute error at the last time step; (Bottom) $L_\infty$ -norm error with respect to time. . . . .	90
4.3	Comparison between the two variants of the TE-IRBFN scheme (using MQ and TPS basis functions) in Test 1.1: Absolute error at the last time step (top); $L_\infty$ -norm error with respect to time (bottom). . . . .	91
4.4	Numerical solution to Test 1.2 by the TCN-IRBFN scheme. The analytical (solid line) and numerical solution ("o" symbol) are plotted at $t = \pi/2$ with CFL=1 and $dx = 1/10$ . . . . .	93

---

4.5	Numerical investigation on the accuracy and stability of the present numerical schemes for Test 1.2: Root mean square errors corresponding to various values of the CFL number within a rather wide range (0.5-4) are bounded to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ for the SL-IRBFN scheme (top), and $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ for the TE-IRBFN scheme (bottom).	94
4.6	Zero contours of the level set function at different points in time by TCN-IRBFN scheme in Test 2. Although using a rather coarse point density ( $dx = dy = 1/15$ ) and large time-step size ( $dt = 0.0667$ ), the present approach is still able to exactly reconstruct the moving circle at the points in time of interest. . . . .	97
4.7	Percentage errors in area at different points in time in Test 2. With a coarser point density ( $dx = 1/15$ ) and a larger time step ( $CFL = 1.0$ ), the present meshless approach (using either using TE-IRBFN or TCN-IRBFN scheme for solving the level set function) gives more accurate solutions ( $\%error \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-5}) - \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ ) than those resulted from the mesh-based level set scheme ( $\%error \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ ) with denser grid points ( $81 \times 81$ ) and time ( $CFL = 0.9$ ) (Sethian, 1999). The numerical result also shows that the TCN-IRBFN scheme is more accurate and stable than the TE-IRBFN scheme for this test problem. . . . .	98
4.8	Zero contours of the level set function at different points in time in Test 3. Although using a rather coarse point density ( $dx = dy = 1/12$ ) and normal time step ( $dt = 0.0314$ ), the present approach still exactly reconstructs the moving circle at the points in time of interest. . . . .	101



4.9	Percentage errors in area at different points in time in Test 3. With a rather coarse point density ( $dx = dy = 1/12$ ) and normal time-step size ( $dt = 0.0314$ ), the present meshless approach using the SL-IRBFN scheme for advancing the level set function) is quite accurate and stable. . . . .	102
4.10	The zero contour and the level set function at $t = 0$ (top) and $t = 0.60$ (bottom) in Test 4. . . . .	105
4.11	The zero contour and the level set function at $t = 1.40$ (top) and $t = 1.90$ (bottom) in Test 4. . . . .	106
4.12	The zero contour and the level set function at $t = 2.40$ (top) and $t = 2.90$ (bottom) in Test 4. . . . .	107
4.13	The zero contour and the level set function at $t = 3.40$ (top) and $t = 4.00$ (bottom) in Test 4. . . . .	108
4.14	Comparison on the percentage error in area of the bubble resulted from the present approach with and without mass correction in Test 4. The accuracy of the numerical solution is improved significantly with mass correction. The error bounded within $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$ - $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ indicates that the present approach with mass correction is stable for this test problem. . . . .	109
4.15	Zero contours and the level set function at $t=0$ (top) and $t=1.357$ (bottom) in Test 5. . . . .	111
4.16	Zero contours and the level set function at $t=3.392$ (top) and $t=6.105$ (bottom) in Test 5. . . . .	112
4.17	Zero contours and the level set function at $t=8.141$ (top) and $t=10.176$ (bottom) in Test 5. . . . .	113

---

4.18	Comparison on the percentage error in area of the bubbles resulted from the present approach with and without mass correction in Test 5. The accuracy of the numerical solution is improved significantly for the latter case. The error in total area of the bubbles in motion bounded within $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$ - $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$ indicates the good stability of the present approach with mass correction for this test problem. . . . .	114
5.1	The numerical and analytical solutions of the velocity field with $\Delta t = 0.005$ in Test 1. Both IPC-IRBFN and IPCPP-IRBFN schemes yield accurate results compared to the analytical solution.	132
5.2	Analytical and numerical solutions of the pressure field with $\Delta t = 0.005$ in Test 1. Both IPC-IRBFN and IPCPP-IRBFN schemes yield accurate results compared to the analytical solution. . . .	133
5.3	Stability analysis for the velocity field with $\Delta t = 0.01$ in Test 1. All rotational schemes have the norm errors bounded within $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ in the time interval of interest with the time-step size $\Delta t = 0.01$ . . . . .	134
5.4	Stability analysis for the velocity field with $\Delta t = 0.005$ in Test 1. All rotational schemes have the norm errors bounded within $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$ in the time interval of interest with the time-step size $\Delta t = 0.005$ . . . . .	135
5.5	Stability analysis for the pressure field with $\Delta t = 0.01$ in Test 1. The IPC-IRBFN scheme in rotational form shows its superior to the other schemes with respect to the pressure stability as well as accuracy for this test problem. . . . .	137

---

5.6	Stability analysis for the pressure field with $\Delta t = 0.005$ in Test 1. The IPC-IRBFN scheme in rotational form shows its superior to the other schemes with respect to the pressure stability as well as accuracy for this test problem. . . . .	138
5.7	Analytical and numerical solutions of the velocity field in Test 2 by the IPCPP-IRBFN with $\Delta t = 0.005$ and the multistep time integration methods (BDF,AB) of order two. . . . .	140
5.8	Analytical and numerical solutions of the pressure with $dt=0.005$ in Test 2. . . . .	141
5.9	Stability analysis of the IPC-IRBFN and IPCPP-IRBFN schemes in terms of the velocity field with $\Delta t = 0.01$ in Test 2. . . . .	142
5.10	Stability analysis of the IPC-IRBFN and IPCPP-IRBFN schemes in terms of the pressure with $\Delta t = 0.01$ in Test 2. . . . .	143
5.11	Stability analysis of the IPC-IRBFN and IPCPP-IRBFN schemes in terms of the velocity field with $\Delta t = 0.005$ in Test 2. . . . .	144
5.12	Stability analysis of the IPC-IRBFN and IPCPP-IRBFN schemes in terms of the pressure with $\Delta t = 0.005$ in Test 2. . . . .	145
5.13	Convergence of the $u$ -component velocity along the mid-vertical line in the lid-driven cavity flow. . . . .	147
5.14	Convergence of the $v$ -component velocity along the mid-horizontal line in the lid-driven cavity flow. . . . .	148

5.15 The evolution of the streamfunction at the centre of the primary vortex at different points in time with different Reynolds numbers. For any of the Reynolds numbers, the streamfunction values at the center of the primary vortex change stiffly in the beginning. The rate of change then slows down, and finally the streamfunction reaches its steady state. . . . . 150

5.16 The evolution of the vorticity at the centre of primary vortex at different points in time with different Reynolds numbers. For any of the Reynolds numbers, the vorticity values at the center of the primary vortex change stiffly in the beginning. The rate of change then slows down, and finally the vorticity reaches its steady state. . . . . 151

5.17 Streamlines at  $t = 1, 5, 10, 15$  of the lid-driven cavity flow. . . . . 152

5.18 Streamlines at  $t = 17, 20, 25, 30$  of the lid-driven cavity flow. . . . . 153

5.19 Contours of vorticity at  $t = 1, 5, 10, 15$  of the lid-driven cavity flow. 154

5.20 Contours of vorticity at  $t = 17, 20, 25, 30$  of the lid-driven cavity flow. . . . . 155

6.1 Numerical simulation of two bubbles rising up in a buoyancy-driven flow at time  $t = 0.1$  and  $t = 0.2$ . Initially separated from the upper one, the lower bubble moves faster due to the wake formation below the upper one. . . . . 170

6.2 Numerical simulation of two bubbles rising up in a buoyancy-driven flow at time  $t = 0.3$  and  $t = 0.4$ . The two bubbles merge together and continue to move upwards. . . . . 171

- 
- 6.3 Numerical simulation of two bubbles rising up in a buoyancy-driven flow at time  $t = 0.5$  and  $t = 0.6$ . The bubbles reach the free surface on the top part of the cavity. The curvature of the free surface shows the effect of the surface tension in keeping the kinematic equilibrium on the free surface. . . . . 172
- 6.4 Numerical simulation of two bubbles rising up in a buoyancy-driven flow at time  $t = 0.7$  and  $t = 0.8$ . The free surface is finally broken by the moving bubbles. This also causes the bubbles to diffuse themselves into the surrounding fluid. . . . . 173
- 6.5 Numerical simulation of two bubbles rising up in a buoyancy-driven flow. The shape of the free surface after broken and the velocity field at time  $t = 0.9$  and  $t = 1.0$ . . . . . 174
- 6.6 Numerical simulation of two bubbles rising up in a buoyancy-driven flow. The shape of the free surface after broken and the velocity field at time  $t = 1.1$  and  $t = 1.2$ . . . . . 175
- 6.7 Velocity field in the numerical simulation of two bubbles rising up in a buoyancy-driven flow at time  $t = 1.3$  and  $t = 1.4$ . The presence of the vorticities indicates the effect of the surface tension along the free surface on the velocity field even when the bubbles completely diffused into the surrounding fluid. . . . . 176
- 6.8 Numerical simulation of two bubbles rising up in a buoyancy-driven flow at time  $t = 1.5$  and  $t = 1.6$ . The disappearance of the two upper vortices (see Figures 6.7) corresponds to the decrease in curvature of the free surface. . . . . 177
- 6.9 Numerical simulation of two bubbles rising up in a buoyancy-driven flow at time  $t = 1.7$  and  $t = 1.8$ . The free surface is on the way to setup a new equilibrium. . . . . 178

6.10 Velocity field in the numerical simulation of two bubbles rising  
up in a buoyancy-driven flow at time  $t = 1.9$  and  $t = 2.0$ . A new  
equilibrium is about to be set for the free surface. . . . . 179