
Multi-Pultrusion Fibre Composite Truss Systems for Deployable Shelters

By

Tarek Omar

Supervised by

Prof. Gerard Van Erp

Assoc. Prof. Thiru Aravinthan

Dr. Tim Heldt

A dissertation submitted for the award of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Centre of Excellence in Engineered Fibre Composites

Faculty of Engineering & Surveying

University of Southern Queensland

Queensland, Australia

March 2008

Abstract

Deployable shelters of various forms have been utilized since ancient civilization. The need for these systems has not diminished over time and development continues for military forces, civilian humanitarian aid, and natural disaster scenarios. Recent developments have focused mainly on tent-type structures, air-beam technology and steel frames supporting soft fabric; yet none of these have fully satisfied the deployability requirements. The Military Modular Shelter System (M^2S^2) initiative is a research project with the University of Southern Queensland that aims to develop a fibre composite re-deployable arched shelter system with rigid PVC or fabric cladding. The main frames are formed from modular fibre composite truss panels that are connected and stressed into position by prestressing cables. Flexibility in defining the geometry of frames constructed by using this system is achieved by changing the number of panels per frame and the packer sizes between panels.

The current study is the first to investigate a suitable truss system for the M^2S^2 concept. Accordingly, it was necessary to validate the M^2S^2 concept by searching the literature for previously developed deployable shelter concepts and locate the currently used fibre composite truss systems. Then try to establish a suitable truss system that fulfils the deployability needs with sound structural performance.

An innovative all-composite truss concept, named Multi-Pultrusion Truss-System (MPTS), was developed as a result of this study. It overcame the classical difficulty of joining composite members by loading each component of the truss in its strength direction. In addition, the system had inherent redundancy that provided alternate load paths after reaching ultimate capacity. The basic idea of this system was to have chord and vertical members formed from a few pultrusions of the same size. The traditional usage of gussets was eliminated by using laminates for the bracing system which directly connected between the pultrusions. This system allowed direct

transfer of the bracing forces to the connected members (pultrusions). This layout led to reducing the concentration of stresses in the adhesive layers (due to its continuous nature), while providing symmetric joints with two double-lap joints. All these factors contributed to having failure away from the joint area. The confinement of the bracing system, due to its finite dimensions, was one of the characteristics of this construction technology.

Two MPTS alternatives were developed, tested and investigated. The first alternative used a Discrete-Diagonal (DD) bracing system made of sandwich diagonal. Two panels DI-MPTS panels were tested using this configuration, one with the diagonals under tension and the other with the diagonals under compression.

The second alternative used a Diaphragm (DI) bracing system. Three different DI-MPTS panels were investigated. The first panel had an empty diaphragm (no core); the second panel had a partially-filled sandwich diaphragm while the third panel had a completely-filled sandwich diaphragm.

To achieve understanding of the basic behaviour of each of these panels, finite element (FE) analyses were conducted at micro level. The different components of the panel were included in each model, with idealisations to achieve an efficient analysis process. The FE analysis results were used to investigate the distribution of forces in each of the panel components.

Due to the associated costs of micro-model analyses, macro-analysis models are important tools for engineers interested in modelling this system, conduct pre-micro-analysis parametric studies and in modelling the overall frame structure. This study ended with presenting simplified analysis procedures for the different panel types.

The work conducted in this study has revealed that this new fibre composite truss system suits the characteristics of fibre composites and accordingly provides an efficient solution for general truss applications. It combines simplicity, easiness of manufacturing, high-load carrying capacity and structural redundancy. In addition, its behaviour and failure modes can be accurately predicted by using the currently available finite element software packages.

Certification of Dissertation

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analysis and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

----- / /
Signature of Candidate Date

Endorsed:

----- / /
Signature of Supervisor/s Date

----- / /
Signature of Supervisor/s Date

Acknowledgement

Putting my name, solely, as the author of this thesis is not quite fair. I was one of a team and, without the contribution of each member, this work would not be in this form. Many people in the Centre of Excellence in Engineered Fibre Composites (CEEFC) and the Faculty of Engineering and Surveying (FOES) made a direct and indirect contribution in helping me to complete my research work successfully. I sincerely appreciate the efforts of my supervisors - Prof. Gerard Van Erp, who introduced me to the world of composite materials in civil engineering, Assoc. Prof. Thiru Aravinthan for help in testing procedures and prestressing technologies, and Dr. Tim Heldt for starting the research work in this project. It would have been difficult to finish this thesis without their continual patience, advice, support and understanding.

I thank Strarch Australia and Kencana Composites for sponsoring this project and more specifically Dr. Peter Key who convinced me to join the CEEFC and supporting my shift to Australia. I thank especially Assoc. Prof. David Buttsworth, Associate Dean for Research, for his continuous support that facilitated my work through the different departments. Also, I would like to thank all the technical and administration staff who worked behind the scenes to support the research work, Darren Browne, John Ashby, Wayne Crowell, Henk Van Kerkwyk, and all the others for their valuable advice, innovation and co-operation. The library staff must have a special appreciation for their efforts to locate references from different destinations. Ian Mitchell, Ruth Hilton and Jean Althoff were of a great help in editing the text of the thesis and kept questioning me about the logistics of its contents. And it would be remiss not to mention the friendly environment we found from the wider community that made our settlement in Toowoomba quite enjoyable.

I wish to thank my parents for raising me to love my work, my wife who was very patient for the long time spent alone looking after the family while I was preparing this thesis and for my kids who missed my company for some time. At the end I like to thank You, the readers, who spend time in reading this thesis. I trust that the outcome of the effort of the team who worked in this project will be of a good value to you.

Associated Publications

- Omar, T., Aravinthan, T., and Van Erp, G. (2007). "Behaviour of sandwich columns under edgewise compression loading." *Asia-Pacific Conference on FRP in Structures (APFIS 2007)*, Hong Kong, China.
- Omar, T., Heldt, T., Key, P. W., and Van Erp, G. (2005). "Development of modular deployable composite shelters." *Australian Structural Engineering Conference - ASEC2005*, Newcastle City Hall, Newcastle, NSW, Australia.
- Omar, T., Van Erp, G., Aravinthan, T., and Key, P. W. (2007). "Innovative all-composite multi-pultrusion truss system for stressed-arch deployable shelters." *Sixth Alexandria International Conference on Structural & Geotechnical Engineering (AICSCE 6)*, Alexandria, Egypt.
- Omar, T., Heldt, T., Key, P. W., and Van Erp, G. (2006). "M²S² Modular deployable composite shelters - concept and loading criteria." *Australian Journal of Structural Engineering*, 6(3), 217-226.
- Omar, T., Van Erp, G., Aravinthan, T., and Key, P. W. (2007). "M²S² modular deployable shelter system - concept and analysis technique." *Structural Engineering & Construction Conference (ISEC-4)*, Melbourne, Australia.
- Omar, T., Van Erp, G., and Key, P. W. (2007). "Modular deployable composite shelters - truss system." *Proceedings of ACIC 07, Advanced Composites in Construction*, University of Bath, Bath, UK.
- Omar, T., Van Erp, G., and Key, P. W. (2006). "Stressed-arch modular deployable composite shelters, concept and development." *Third International Conference on FRP Composites in Civil Engineering (CICE 2006)*, Miami, Florida, USA.

Table of Contents

| | |
|------------------------|------------|
| List of Figures | xv |
| List of Tables | xxi |

CHAPTER 1 Introduction

| | |
|---|----|
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Background | 2 |
| 1.3 The Concept of M ² S ² | 4 |
| 1.4 M ² S ² - Main Components | 6 |
| 1.5 Objectives of the Study | 7 |
| 1.6 Outline of the Thesis | 8 |
| 1.7 Summary | 11 |
| 1.8 References | 11 |

CHAPTER 2 Deployable Shelters and Fibre Composite Trusses- State of the Art

| | |
|---|----|
| 2.1 General | 13 |
| 2.2 Deployable Shelters Performance Criteria | 13 |
| 2.3 Modern Deployable Shelters - Review | 14 |
| 2.3.1 Pantograph Type Structures | 15 |
| 2.3.2 Air-Inflated Shelters | 16 |
| 2.3.2.1 M-51 Air-Supported Shelter | |
| 2.3.2.2 Battalion Aid Station Air-Supported Shelter | |
| 2.3.2.3 High-Pressure Air-Supported Shelter | |
| 2.3.3 Rigid Frames Supporting Soft Fabric Shelter | 18 |
| 2.3.3.1 Battalion Aid Station Frame-Supported Shelter | |
| 2.3.3.2 Expeditionary Aircraft Maintenance Hanger | |
| 2.3.3.3 WideSpan Frame-Supported Shelter | |
| 2.3.3.4 Extra Large Deployable Aircraft Hanger | |
| 2.3.4 Tent Shelters | 20 |
| 2.4 Fibre Composite Truss Systems | 21 |
| 2.4.1 Trusses Made of Pultrusions | 21 |
| 2.4.2 Bridge Decks with Truss Form | 22 |
| 2.4.3 Monocoque Fibre Composite Truss | 22 |
| 2.4.4 Fibre Composite Truss with Snap-Joint | 23 |
| 2.4.5 Modular Composite Truss Panels | 24 |

| | |
|-----------------|----|
| 2.5 Conclusions | 25 |
| 2.6 References | 26 |

CHAPTER 3 Behaviour of Discrete-Diagonal, Multi-Pultrusion Truss Systems

| | |
|--|----|
| 3.1 General | 29 |
| 3.2 Adhesively Bonded Pultrusion / PFR Truss System (Panel: P109) | 30 |
| 3.2.1 P109 - Concept | 30 |
| 3.2.2 P109 - Panel Manufacturing | 31 |
| 3.2.3 P109 - Panel Evaluation | 32 |
| 3.2.4 Important Parameters for the Panel System | 32 |
| 3.3 Discrete-Diagonal, Multi-Pultrusion Truss System (DD-MPTS) – Concept Development | 33 |
| 3.3.1 DD-MPTS - Concept | 34 |
| 3.3.2 DD-MPTS Joint (P209) Manufacturing and Materials Used | 35 |
| 3.3.3 DD-MPTS Joint (P209) Behaviour | 38 |
| 3.3.4 DD-MPTS – The Updated Concept | 40 |
| 3.4 Development of the Updated Discrete-Diagonal, Multi-Pultrusion Truss System (Panel: P309) | 41 |
| 3.4.1 P309 - Materials Used | 41 |
| 3.4.2 P309 - Panel Manufacturing | 42 |
| 3.5 P309 - FE Modelling | 44 |
| 3.5.1 Modelling Pultrusions | 45 |
| 3.5.2 Modelling Diagonals | 47 |
| 3.5.3 Modelling Adhesive Layers | 49 |
| 3.5.4 P309 - Modelling Options | 49 |
| 3.6 P309 - Test and FE Results | 50 |
| 3.6.1 P309 - Experimental Observations and FE Model Verifications | 53 |
| 3.6.1.1 Test Observations | |
| 3.6.1.2 Performance of the FE Model | |
| 3.6.2 P309 - Behaviour | 56 |
| 3.6.2.1 P309 – Behaviour of the Diagonals | |
| 3.6.2.2 P309 – Behaviour of the Pultrusions | |
| 3.6.2.3 P309 – Behaviour of the Adhesive Layers | |
| 3.6.2.4 P309 – Behaviour of the Gussets | |
| 3.6.2.5 P309 – General Behaviour | |
| 3.7 Conclusions | 74 |
| 3.8 References | 76 |

CHAPTER 4 Behaviour of Sandwich Members under Axial Loads – Application for Discrete-Diagonal, Multi-Pultrusion Truss System

| | |
|---|-----|
| 4.1 General | 79 |
| 4.2 Pre-investigations of Sandwich Prototype Columns | 81 |
| 4.2.1 Sample Preparations and Testing Procedures | 81 |
| 4.2.2 Test Results | 83 |
| 4.3 Development of Sandwich Structures | 85 |
| 4.4 Behaviour of Sandwich Panels - Review | 87 |
| 4.4.1 Sandwich Columns Failure Modes | 87 |
| 4.4.2 Predicting the Capacity of Sandwich Columns | 89 |
| 4.4.2.1 Overall Buckling Capacity (due to bending and shear) | |
| 4.4.2.2 Face Plastic Micro-Buckling Capacity | |
| 4.4.2.3 Face Wrinkling Capacity | |
| 4.4.2.4 Failure Predictions in Composite Materials | |
| 4.5 Single-Core Prototype Columns Testing Program | 96 |
| 4.5.1 Specimen Preparations | 97 |
| 4.5.2 Characterisation of the Core Materials | 98 |
| 4.5.3 Test Set-Up and Observations | 100 |
| 4.5.4 FE Modelling | 102 |
| 4.5.5 Verification of the FE Model for the T02-01 Column | 104 |
| 4.5.6 Verification of the FE Model for the T02-06 Column | 106 |
| 4.6 Behaviour of DD-MPTS with Diagonals in Compression (Panel: P409) | 114 |
| 4.6.1 P409 - Panel Manufacturing | 114 |
| 4.6.2 P409 - FE Modelling | 115 |
| 4.6.3 P409 - Test Observations & FE Results | 116 |
| 4.7 Conclusions | 121 |
| 4.8 References | 122 |

CHAPTER 5 Behaviour of Diaphragm, Multi-Pultrusion Truss Systems (DI-MPTS)

| | |
|--|-----|
| 5.1 General | 127 |
| 5.2 Development of the Diaphragm, Multi-Pultrusion Truss System (Panel: P509) | 128 |
| 5.2.1 P509 - Materials Used | 129 |
| 5.2.2 P509 – Panel Manufacturing | 129 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 5.2.3 P509 - Test Results and Evaluation | 130 |
| 5.3 Development of an Updated Diaphragm, Multi-Pultrusion Truss System (Panel: P609) | 132 |
| 5.3.1 P609 - Test Set-Up | 132 |
| 5.3.2 P609 - FE Modelling | 133 |
| 5.3.3 P609 - Test and FE Results | 135 |
| 5.3.4 P609 - Experimental Observations and FE Model Verifications | 137 |
| 5.3.4.1 Test Observations | |
| 5.3.4.2 Performance of the FE Models | |
| 5.3.5 P609 - Behaviour | 141 |
| 5.3.5.1 P609 – Behaviour of the Diaphragms | |
| 5.3.5.2 P609 – Behaviour of the Pultrusions | |
| 5.3.5.3 P609 – Behaviour of the Adhesive Layers | |
| 5.3.5.4 P609 – General Behaviour | |
| 5.4 Sandwich-Diaphragm, Multi-Pultrusion Truss Systems (Panel: P709 and P809) | 148 |
| 5.4.1 P709 and P809 – Panel Manufacturing | 149 |
| 5.4.2 Modelling Options | 152 |
| 5.4.3 P709 - Test and FE Results | 152 |
| 5.4.4 P709 - Experimental Observations and FE Model Verifications | 153 |
| 5.4.4.1 Test Observations | |
| 5.4.4.2 Performance of the FE Model | |
| 5.4.5 P809 - Test and FE Results | 155 |
| 5.4.6 P809 - Experimental Observations and FE Model Verifications | 156 |
| 5.4.6.1 Test Observations | |
| 5.4.6.2 Performance of the FE Model | |
| 5.4.7 P709 and P809 - Behaviour | 156 |
| 5.4.7.1 P709 and P809 – Behaviour of the Diaphragms | |
| 5.4.7.2 P709 and P809 – Behaviour of the Pultrusions | |
| 5.4.7.3 P709 and P809 – Behaviour of the Adhesive Layers | |
| 5.4.7.4 P709 and P809 – General Behaviour | |
| 5.5 Multi-Pultrusion Truss Systems - Comparing the Discrete-Diagonal and Diaphragm Systems | 166 |
| 5.5.1 Structural Performance | 167 |
| 5.5.2 Panel Costs | 167 |
| 5.5.3 Panel Weights | 168 |
| 5.6 Conclusions | 169 |
| 5.7 References | 169 |

| | | |
|---------------------|--|------------|
| CHAPTER 6 | Simplified Analysis Models for the Multi-Pultrusion Truss Systems (MPTS) | |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 171 |
| 6.2 | Important Simplified Model Components | 172 |
| 6.3 | P409 - Macro Model Concepts, Analysis Results and Discussions | 173 |
| 6.3.1 | P409 - Macro Model | 173 |
| 6.3.2 | P409 - Macro Model Results | 175 |
| 6.3.3 | P409 - Macro Model Discussions | 177 |
| 6.4 | P809 - Macro Model Concepts, Analysis Results and Discussions | 179 |
| 6.4.1 | P809 - Macro Model | 179 |
| 6.4.2 | P809 - Macro Model Results | 180 |
| 6.4.3 | P809 - Macro Model Discussions | 181 |
| 6.5 | Conclusions | 181 |
| 6.6 | References | 181 |
| | | |
| CHAPTER 7 | Conclusions and Suggestions for Further Research Work | |
| 7.1 | Introduction | 183 |
| 7.2 | Structural Systems for Composite Trusses | 184 |
| 7.3 | Modelling Considerations of the MPTS | 186 |
| 7.3.1 | FE Micro Model | 186 |
| 7.3.2 | FE Macro Model | 187 |
| 7.4 | General Conclusions | 188 |
| 7.5 | Suggestions for Further Research Work | 189 |
| | | |
| Bibliography | | 193 |
| | | |
| Appendix A | Assessing Loads on Deployable Shelters | |
| A.1 | Introduction | A-1 |
| A.2 | Wind Data in Loading Codes | A-2 |
| A.3 | Wind Loading on Deployable Shelters | A-4 |
| A.4 | Wind Pressures on M ² S ² Using AS/NZS 1170.2 (2002) & ASCE 7-95 (1996) | A-6 |
| A.4.1 | Using AS/NZS 1170.2 (2002) | A-6 |
| A.4.2 | Using ASCE 7-95 (1996) | A-7 |
| A.4.3 | General Comments | A-7 |

List of Figures

CHAPTER 1 Introduction

| <u>Figure</u> | <u>Figure Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------|--|-------------|-------------|
| 1.1 | Starch conventional shelters during erection | 1.1 | 1 |
| 1.2 | Tent in Northern Africa, a deployable shelter system | 1.2 | 2 |
| 1.3 | Fixing roof sheeting during assembly stage | 1.3 | 5 |
| 1.4 | Stressing the frames during erection stage | 1.3 | 5 |
| 1.5 | Deployed shelter system | 1.3 | 5 |
| 1.6 | M ² S ² main components | 1.4 | 6 |

CHAPTER 2 Deployable Shelters & Fibre Composite Trusses - State of the Art

| <u>Figure</u> | <u>Figure Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------|--|-------------|-------------|
| 2.1 | Principal of pantograph | 2.3.1 | 16 |
| 2.2 | M-51 - First deployable shelter system | 2.3.2 | 16 |
| 2.3 | Braided air beam by Vertigo Inc | 2.3.2 | 17 |
| 2.4 | Frame supported BAS | 2.3.3 | 18 |
| 2.5 | Expeditionary Aircraft Maintenance Hangar | 2.3.3 | 19 |
| 2.6 | Weatherhaven WideSpan shelter system | 2.3.3 | 19 |
| 2.7 | XLDAHS Shelter during erection | 2.3.3 | 20 |
| 2.8 | Base X Shelter System by Bea Maurer | 2.3.3 | 20 |
| 2.9 | Pontresina bridge, Switzerland | 2.4.1 | 21 |
| 2.10 | Composite trusses for storage reservoir roof at Darvel | 2.4.1 | 22 |
| 2.11 | EZSpan system | 2.4.2 | 22 |
| 2.12 | Monocoque Fibre Composite truss concept | 2.4.3 | 23 |
| 2.13 | MFC concept of strength and fill layers | 2.4.3 | 23 |
| 2.14 | Assembly of snap-joint | 2.4.4 | 24 |
| 2.15 | Overhead transmission tower using snap-joint | 2.4.4 | 24 |
| 2.16 | Interlocking panel concept | 2.4.5 | 24 |

CHAPTER 3 Behaviour of Discrete-Diagonal, Multi-Pultrusion Truss Systems

| <u>Figure</u> | <u>Figure Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------|--|-------------|-------------|
| 3.1 | P109 - Panel a) Components and b) Layout | 3.2.1 | 31 |
| 3.2 | P109 - Casting PFR on the chord members | 3.2.2 | 31 |
| 3.3 | DD-MPTS - Initial concept | 3.3.1 | 34 |
| 3.4 | Developing the concept of DD-MPTS from (a) traditional truss to (b) MPTS | 3.3.1 | 35 |
| 3.5 | P209 - Bracket (a) dimensions, and (b) test layout | 3.3.2 | 35 |
| 3.6 | P209 - Failure modes | 3.3.3 | 39 |
| 3.7 | P209 - Load-displacement curves | 3.3.3 | 39 |
| 3.8 | DD-MPTS (a) Original and (b) Updated concepts | 3.3.4 | 40 |
| 3.9 | P309 - Dimensions and test layout | 3.4 | 41 |
| 3.10 | P309 - Diagonal skins and packers | 3.4.1 | 42 |
| 3.11 | P309 - Assembling of the first two frames | 3.4.2 | 43 |

| | | | |
|-------------|--|-------|----|
| 3.12 | P309 - Assembling of diagonals | 3.4.2 | 44 |
| 3.13 | P309 - Assembling the last frame | 3.4.2 | 44 |
| 3.14 | P309 - Modelling concept and interactions | 3.5 | 45 |
| 3.15 | Expected errors in representing pultrusions using shell elements | 3.5.1 | 46 |
| 3.16 | Shell elements definition for the diagonal skins | 3.5.2 | 48 |
| 3.17 | Assigning solid continuum elements to the diagonal core | 3.5.2 | 49 |
| 3.18 | P309 – FE model layout | 3.5.4 | 50 |
| 3.19 | P309 - Strain gauge locations | 3.6 | 51 |
| 3.20 | P309 - Load-displacement curves | 3.6 | 51 |
| 3.21 | P309 - SG15 Strain- displacement curves | 3.6 | 51 |
| 3.22 | P309 - SG18 Strain-displacement curves | 3.6 | 52 |
| 3.23 | P309 - SG32 Strain-displacement curves | 3.6 | 52 |
| 3.24 | P309 - SG34 Strain- displacement curves | 3.6 | 52 |
| 3.25 | P309 - SG37 Strain- displacement curves | 3.6 | 53 |
| 3.26 | P309 - Failure at ultimate load | 3.6.1 | 54 |
| 3.27 | P309 - Sway after reaching ultimate capacity | 3.6.1 | 54 |
| 3.28 | P309 - Final failure | 3.6.1 | 54 |
| 3.29 | Section definitions for the diagonal member | 3.6.2 | 58 |
| 3.30 | 21-08 - Section forces along section P2 | 3.6.2 | 59 |
| 3.31 | 21-08 - Section forces along section P1 | 3.6.2 | 59 |
| 3.32 | 21-08 - Section forces along section P4 | 3.6.2 | 59 |
| 3.33 | 21-08 - Deformed shape at corners | 3.6.2 | 60 |
| 3.34 | 21-08 - Lateral stresses (S22) | 3.6.2 | 60 |
| 3.35 | 11-01 - Lateral stresses (S22) | 3.6.2 | 61 |
| 3.36 | Section paths along the pultrusions | 3.6.2 | 62 |
| 3.37 | 21-08 - Section forces along top chord – P5 | 3.6.2 | 62 |
| 3.38 | 21-08 - Section forces along top chord – P7 | 3.6.2 | 63 |
| 3.39 | 21-08 - Section forces along top chord – P8 | 3.6.2 | 63 |
| 3.40 | 21-08 - Section forces along bottom chord – P8 | 3.6.2 | 63 |
| 3.41 | 21-08 - Section forces along edge vertical – P5 | 3.6.2 | 64 |
| 3.42 | 21-08 - Section forces along edge vertical – P6 | 3.6.2 | 64 |
| 3.43 | 21-08 - Section forces along edge vertical – P7 | 3.6.2 | 64 |
| 3.44 | 21-08 - Section forces along edge vertical – P8 | 3.6.2 | 65 |
| 3.45 | Principal stress vectors in the top chord | 3.6.2 | 67 |
| 3.46 | 21-08 - Glue section paths layout | 3.6.2 | 67 |
| 3.47 | 21-08 - Glue stresses along path P4 | 3.6.2 | 68 |
| 3.48 | 21-08 - Glue stresses along path P5 | 3.6.2 | 68 |
| 3.49 | 21-08 - Glue stresses along path P6 | 3.6.2 | 68 |
| 3.50 | 21-08 - Glue stresses along path P7 | 3.6.2 | 69 |
| 3.51 | 21-08 - Glue stresses along path P8 | 3.6.2 | 69 |
| 3.52 | P309 - Glue fillet | 3.6.2 | 70 |
| 3.53 | 21-08 - Gusset section forces SF1 (vertical) | 3.6.2 | 72 |
| 3.54 | 21-08 - Gusset axial section forces SF2 (horizontal) | 3.6.2 | 72 |
| 3.55 | 21-08 - Gusset shear section forces SF3 | 3.6.2 | 72 |
| 3.56 | 21-08 - Gusset (a) Principal stresses and (b) Vectors | 3.6.2 | 73 |

CHAPTER 4 Behaviour of Sandwich Members under Axial Loads – Application for Discrete-Diagonal, Multi-Pultrusion Truss System

| Figure | Figure Title | Sec. | Page |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 4.1 | Patterned pink foam for T01-03 column | 4.2.1 | 83 |
| 4.2 | Prototype test layout of T01 columns | 4.2.1 | 83 |
| 4.3 | T01-02 - Failure mode | 4.2.2 | 83 |

| | | | |
|------|--|-------|-----|
| 4.4 | T01-04 - Failure mode | 4.2.2 | 84 |
| 4.5 | T01-01 - Failure mode | 4.2.2 | 84 |
| 4.6 | Beech Starship, the first all-composite sandwich aircraft | 4.3 | 85 |
| 4.7 | Modes of failure in sandwich panels under edge load - MIL-HDBK-23 | 4.4.1 | 88 |
| 4.8 | Progressive end-crushing failure mode for sandwich columns | 4.4.1 | 88 |
| 4.9 | Sandwich column cross-section | 4.4.2 | 90 |
| 4.10 | Plastic micro-buckling of composites under compression | 4.4.2 | 92 |
| 4.11 | Measured compressive strength of glass and Kevlar fibre composites | 4.4.2 | 93 |
| 4.12 | Gluing skins to the core for single core columns | 4.5.1 | 98 |
| 4.13 | Characterising core materials a) ASTM C393-00 3-point test, b) Rocket test | 4.5.2 | 99 |
| 4.14 | T02 - Columns test setup | 4.5.3 | 100 |
| 4.15 | T02-01 - Failure mode | 4.5.3 | 101 |
| 4.16 | T02-06 - Failure modes (a) face micro-buckling, (b) core shear | 4.5.3 | 101 |
| 4.17 | T02-01 - Solid-shell model layout and EV mode shape | 4.5.4 | 103 |
| 4.18 | T02-01 - Load-Axial displacement | 4.5.5 | 105 |
| 4.19 | T02-01 - Horizontal displacement-Load | 4.5.5 | 105 |
| 4.20 | T02-01 - Maximum strain-Load (on concave face) | 4.5.5 | 106 |
| 4.21 | T02-01 - Minimum strain-Load (on convex face) | 4.5.5 | 106 |
| 4.22 | T02-06 - Core patterns for the two failure modes (a) at skins, and (b) at core | 4.5.6 | 107 |
| 4.23 | T02-06 - Load-Axial displacement | 4.5.6 | 107 |
| 4.24 | T02-06 - Horizontal displacement-Load | 4.5.6 | 108 |
| 4.25 | T02-06 - Maximum strain-Load | 4.5.6 | 108 |
| 4.26 | T02-06 - Minimum strain-Load | 4.5.6 | 108 |
| 4.27 | T02-06 - SF1 at bottom skin | 4.5.6 | 109 |
| 4.28 | T02-06 - SF1 at top skin | 4.5.6 | 110 |
| 4.29 | T02-06 - SF2 at bottom skin | 4.5.6 | 110 |
| 4.30 | T02-06 - SF3 at bottom skin | 4.5.6 | 110 |
| 4.31 | T02-06 - Typical section forces - CSO-R1 | 4.5.6 | 113 |
| 4.32 | P409 - Test layout | 4.6.1 | 115 |
| 4.33 | P409 - Manufacturing defects | 4.6.1 | 115 |
| 4.34 | P409 - Eigen-Vector as initial imperfection | 4.6.2 | 116 |
| 4.35 | P409 - Failure at the lower corner | 4.6.3 | 117 |
| 4.36 | P409 - Failure at the upper corner | 4.6.3 | 117 |
| 4.37 | P409 - Load-deflection curves | 4.6.3 | 117 |
| 4.38 | P409 - Load-strain curves | 4.6.3 | 118 |
| 4.39 | Predicting the buckling load of sandwich columns | 4.6.3 | 118 |
| 4.40 | Section forces (SF1, SF2 & SF3) at the diagonal bottom corner | 4.6.3 | 119 |
| 4.41 | P409 - Load-time curve | 4.6.3 | 119 |
| 4.42 | Tsai-Wu criterion - Failure index factor at lower corner | 4.6.3 | 121 |

CHAPTER 5 Behaviour of Diaphragm, Multi-Pultrusion Truss Systems (DI-MPTS)

| Figure | Figure Title | Sec. | Page |
|---------------|---|-------------|-------------|
| 5.1 | P509 - Panel (a) General concept and (b) dimensions | 5.2 | 129 |
| 5.2 | P509 - Panel during assembly | 5.2.2 | 130 |
| 5.3 | P509 - Test layout | 5.2.3 | 130 |
| 5.4 | P509 - Load-displacement curves | 5.2.3 | 131 |
| 5.5 | P509 - Web buckling during test | 5.2.3 | 132 |
| 5.6 | P509 - Failure modes | 5.2.3 | 132 |

| | | | |
|-------------|--|-------|-----|
| 5.7 | P609 - dimensions and test layout | 5.3.1 | 133 |
| 5.8 | P609 - FE Model layout | 5.3.2 | 134 |
| 5.9 | First mode shape using EV analysis for 13-03 run | 5.3.2 | 135 |
| 5.10 | Imperfection displacement for 13-04 run | 5.3.2 | 135 |
| 5.11 | P609 - Strain gauge locations | 5.3.3 | 136 |
| 5.12 | P609 - Load-displacement curves | 5.3.3 | 136 |
| 5.13 | P609 - SG15 Strain-displacement curves | 5.3.3 | 136 |
| 5.14 | P609 - SG20 Strain-displacement curves | 5.3.3 | 137 |
| 5.15 | P609 - SG37 Strain-displacement curves | 5.3.3 | 137 |
| 5.16 | P609 – Web buckling mode | 5.3.4 | 138 |
| 5.17 | P609 - Failure mode | 5.3.4 | 139 |
| 5.18 | P609 - Analysis 13-01 lateral displacement | 5.3.4 | 140 |
| 5.19 | P609 - Slope of load-displacement curves for FE Analyses | 5.3.4 | 140 |
| 5.20 | P609 - Initial imperfection effect on load-displacement curves | 5.3.4 | 141 |
| 5.21 | P609 - Skin paths and local axes | 5.3.5 | 142 |
| 5.22 | P609 - L_Dia out-of-plane displacement | 5.3.5 | 143 |
| 5.23 | P609 - X_Dia out-of-plane displacement | 5.3.5 | 143 |
| 5.24 | P609 - L_Dia longitudinal section forces (SF1) | 5.3.5 | 143 |
| 5.25 | P609 - L_Dia transverse section forces (SF2) | 5.3.5 | 144 |
| 5.26 | P609 - X_Dia longitudinal section forces (SF1) | 5.3.5 | 144 |
| 5.27 | P609 - X_Dia transverse section forces (SF2) | 5.3.5 | 144 |
| 5.28 | P609 - X_Dia integrated section forces (Nt1) | 5.3.5 | 145 |
| 5.29 | P609 - Developed shear forces (SF3) at corners | 5.3.5 | 145 |
| 5.30 | P609 - Section forces along top chord – P5 | 5.3.5 | 146 |
| 5.31 | P609 - Glue stresses along path P6 | 5.3.5 | 146 |
| 5.32 | P609 - Development of the cracks and failure at the diaphragm | 5.3.5 | 147 |
| 5.33 | Shell forces and moments at node: 1156 | 5.3.5 | 148 |
| 5.34 | Shell forces and moments at node: 1166 | 5.3.5 | 148 |
| 5.35 | P709 - Dimensions and test layout | 5.4.1 | 150 |
| 5.36 | P809 - Dimensions and test layout | 5.4.1 | 150 |
| 5.37 | P709 - Panel during manufacturing | 5.4.1 | 151 |
| 5.38 | P809 - Panel during manufacturing | 5.4.1 | 151 |
| 5.39 | P709 - Panel during repair | 5.4.1 | 151 |
| 5.40 | P709 - Load-deflection curves | 5.4.3 | 152 |
| 5.41 | P709 - Left side strain-load curves | 5.4.3 | 153 |
| 5.42 | P709 - Right side strain-load curves | 5.4.3 | 153 |
| 5.43 | P709 with skins buckled and debonded | 5.4.4 | 154 |
| 5.44 | P709 - Failure at ultimate load | 5.4.4 | 154 |
| 5.45 | P709 - Extensive damage | 5.4.4 | 155 |
| 5.46 | P809 - Load-deflection curves | 5.4.5 | 155 |
| 5.47 | P809 - Strain-load curves | 5.4.5 | 156 |
| 5.48 | P709 and P809 - L_Dia SF1 and SF2 | 5.4.7 | 159 |
| 5.49 | P709 and P809 - X_Dia SF1 and SF2 | 5.4.7 | 160 |
| 5.50 | P709 and P809 - L_Dia SM1 and SM2 | 5.4.7 | 160 |
| 5.51 | P709 and P809 - L_Dia Nt | 5.4.7 | 160 |
| 5.52 | P709 and P809 - X_Dia Nt | 5.4.7 | 161 |
| 5.53 | P709, P809 and P609 - Total diaphragm forces | 5.4.7 | 161 |
| 5.54 | P709 - Strain-load curves | 5.4.7 | 161 |
| 5.55 | P709 - Potential locations for debonding | 5.4.7 | 162 |
| 5.56 | P709 and P809 – Section forces in top pultrusion 2-P5 path | 5.4.7 | 163 |
| 5.57 | P709 and P809 – Section forces in top pultrusion 2-P7 path | 5.4.7 | 163 |
| 5.58 | P709 and P809 – Section forces in top pultrusion 2-P8 path | 5.4.7 | 164 |
| 5.59 | P709 and P809 – Section forces in bottom pultrusion 2-P7 path | 5.4.7 | 164 |
| 5.60 | P709 and P809 – Section forces in edge vertical pultrusion 2-P7 path | 5.4.7 | 164 |

| | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-----|
| 5.61 | P709 and P809 - Inner glue stresses | 5.4.7 | 165 |
| 5.62 | P709 and P809 - Outer glue stresses | 5.4.7 | 165 |

CHAPTER 6 Simplified Analysis Models for the Multi-Pultrusion Truss Systems (MPTS)

| <u>Figure</u> | <u>Figure Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| 6.1 | Pultrusion cross section | 6.2 | 172 |
| 6.2 | P409 - Simplified model layout | 6.3.1 | 174 |
| 6.3 | P409 - Micro and macro models – load-displacement | 6.3.2 | 175 |
| 6.4 | P409 - Micro and macro models – Dia_M11 stresses | 6.3.2 | 175 |
| 6.5 | P409 - Micro and macro models – Dia_E22 stresses | 6.3.2 | 176 |
| 6.6 | P409 - Micro and macro models – Gst_M11 stresses | 6.3.2 | 176 |
| 6.7 | P409 - Micro and macro models – Gst_M22 stresses | 6.3.2 | 176 |
| 6.8 | P409 - Micro and macro models – Pul2_T stresses | 6.3.2 | 177 |
| 6.9 | P409 - Micro and macro models – Pul2_B stresses | 6.3.2 | 177 |
| 6.10 | P809 - Simplified model layout | 6.4.1 | 179 |
| 6.11 | P809 - Micro and macro models – load-displacement | 6.4.2 | 180 |
| 6.12 | P809 - Micro and macro models – Dia_E stresses | 6.4.2 | 180 |
| 6.13 | P809 - Micro and macro models – Pul2_T stresses | 6.4.2 | 180 |
| 6.14 | P809 - Micro and macro models – Pul2_B stresses | 6.4.2 | 181 |

Appendix B M²S² Analysis Procedures

| <u>Figure</u> | <u>Figure Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| B.1 | 35m frame layout | B.2.1 | B-2 |
| B.2 | Linear FE models - cable connectivity | B.2.1 | B-3 |
| B.3 | Nonlinear FE model components at the bottom chord | B.2.1 | B-5 |
| B.4 | Deflected shape of the frame predicted by LinA 1 | B.3 | B-7 |
| B.5 | Deflected shape of the frame predicted by LinA 2 | B.3 | B-8 |
| B.6 | Deflected shape of the frame predicted by NLinA | B.3 | B-8 |

Appendix C Sandwich Columns with Mixed-Cores - Test Results

| <u>Figure</u> | <u>Figure Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| C.1 | Mixed-core column by using two core types | C.1 | C-1 |
| C.2 | Mixed-core column by using single core with laminated end-caps | C.1 | C-2 |
| C.3 | Manufacturing of the end caps for T02-02 | C.2 | C-3 |
| C.4 | Mixed-core columns load-displacement | C.3 | C-5 |
| C.5 | Mixed-core columns horizontal displacement-load | C.3 | C-5 |
| C.6 | Mixed-core columns maximum strain-load | C.3 | C-6 |
| C.7 | Mixed-core columns minimum strain-load | C.3 | C-6 |
| C.8 | Effect of using Balsa on column capacity | C.3 | C-6 |
| C.9 | Column T02-02 failure | C.3 | C-7 |
| C.10 | Failure type-1 for two-type mixed-core columns | C.3 | C-7 |
| C.11 | Failure type-2 for two-type mixed-core columns | C.3 | C-7 |

Appendix D Double-Bay DD-MPTS - Test Results

| <u>Figure</u> | <u>Figure Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| D.1 | P819 - Layout | D.1 | D-2 |

| | | | |
|-------------|---|-------|------|
| D.2 | P819 - Strain gauge locations | D.1 | D-3 |
| D.3 | Prestressed panel with end grips | D.2.1 | D-4 |
| D.4 | Prestressing load-displacement | D.2.1 | D-4 |
| D.5 | Effect of PST on different strain levels | D.2.1 | D-5 |
| D.6 | Dynamic loading patterns | D.2.2 | D-5 |
| D.7 | Temperature change during the last day | D.2.2 | D-5 |
| D.8 | Effect of temperature change on the PST force | D.2.2 | D-6 |
| D.9 | Load-displacement for the beginning and end records | D.2.2 | D-6 |
| D.10 | Prestressing and load-displacement curves | D.2.3 | D-6 |
| D.11 | Load-Hz displacement at middle of the left diagonal | D.2.3 | D-7 |
| D.12 | Left diagonal strain-load curves | D.2.3 | D-7 |
| D.13 | Middle-left diagonal strain-load curves | D.2.3 | D-7 |
| D.14 | Chord strain-load curves | D.2.3 | D-8 |
| D.15 | Verticals strain-load curves | D.2.3 | D-8 |
| D.16 | Out-of-plane displacement due to prestressing | D.3 | D-10 |
| D.17 | P819 - Failure due to shear buckling | D.3 | D-10 |

List of Tables

CHAPTER 1 Introduction

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Table Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|---|-------------|-------------|
| 1.1 | Effect of packer size on the frame geometry | 1.4 | 7 |

CHAPTER 3 Behaviour of Discrete-Diagonal, Multi -Pultrusion Truss Systems

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Table Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|--|-------------|-------------|
| 3.1 | Description of the P209 joint brackets | 3.3.2 | 36 |
| 3.2 | Characteristics of pultrusions | 3.3.2 | 37 |
| 3.3 | Characteristics of uni-glass laminates | 3.3.2 | 37 |
| 3.4 | Characteristics of double-bias laminates | 3.3.2 | 37 |
| 3.5 | HPR26 adhesive properties | 3.3.2 | 38 |
| 3.6 | Characteristics of Barakoda foam | 3.4.1 | 42 |
| 3.7 | Material properties of pultrusions | 3.5.1 | 46 |
| 3.8 | P309 - FE analyses performance | 3.6 | 50 |
| 3.9 | Tsai-Wu failure index factors | 3.6.2 | 60 |
| 3.10 | 21-08 - Shear force distribution between pultrusion webs | 3.6.2 | 66 |

CHAPTER 4 Behaviour of Sandwich Members under Axial Loads – Application for Discrete-Diagonal, Multi-Pultrusion Truss System

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Table Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|--|-------------|-------------|
| 4.1 | Slenderness of prototype columns | 4.2.1 | 81 |
| 4.2 | T01 - Column capacities | 4.2.2 | 84 |
| 4.3 | Characteristics of core materials | 4.5.2 | 99 |
| 4.4 | T02 - Single-core columns strength & stiffness | 4.5.3 | 101 |
| 4.5 | T01-01 - Summary of predicted failure capacities | 4.5.5 | 104 |
| 4.6 | T02-06 - FE analysis parameters | 4.5.6 | 109 |
| 4.7 | T02-06 - Summary of predicted failure capacities | 4.5.6 | 109 |

CHAPTER 5 Behaviour of Diaphragm, Multi-Pultrusion Truss Systems (DI-MPTS)

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Table Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 5.1 | P609 - FE analyses parameters | 5.3.3 | 137 |
| 5.2 | Comparison of panel weights | 5.5.3 | 168 |

CHAPTER 6 Simplified Analysis Models for the Multi-Pultrusion Truss Systems (MPTS)

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Table Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|--------------|---|-------------|-------------|
| 6.1 | P409 - Micro and macro models analysis time (s) | 6.3.3 | 178 |

Appendix A Assessing Loads on Deployable Shelters

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Table Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| A.1 | Wind Pressures Calculations – AS/NZS 1170.2 (2002) | A.4.1 | A-6 |
| A.2 | Wind Pressures Calculations – ASCE 7-95 (1996) | A.4.2 | A-7 |

Appendix B M²S² Analysis Procedures

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Table Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| B.1 | Material properties used in frame analysis | B.2.1 | B-2 |
| B.2 | Analysis Results | B.2.2 | B-6 |

Appendix C Sandwich Columns with Mixed-Cores - Test Results

| <u>Table</u> | <u>Table Title</u> | <u>Sec.</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|
| C.1 | Mixed-core column geometries | C.1 | C-2 |
| C.2 | Mixed-core columns capacities and specific strength | C.3 | C-5 |
| C.3 | Mixed-core columns stiffness | C.3 | C-5 |