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ABSTRACT
India is a developing country with a large landmass and a huge socio-culturally, economically and ethnically diverse population. The healthcare system for such a diverse and complex country could entail challenges and difficulties in execution and outreach. Here, the emerging area of Telehealth could afford a place for itself in providing healthcare and health education to a large section of people residing in areas where there is acute shortage of healthcare professionals. Almost, seventy per cent of the population in India are rural. The infrastructure in India, similar to other developing countries, is erratic and differs throughout the country. Similarly, the ICT infrastructure is developed in the urban areas whereas there are insufficient ICT facilities in the rural areas. As telehealth depends on the utilisation of ICT infrastructure it is essential to conduct a study to find out the determinants of ICT adoption in the Indian telehealth environment. Moreover, as evident from relevant literature, telehealth is in a nascent stage in India, with most of the projects currently in a pilot study level. As such, it would be practical to conduct the study from an organisational point of view because the organisational adoption of ICT will eventually foster the implementation of telehealth in the domain of Indian healthcare. The study focuses on developing a conceptual framework of ICT adoption in the Indian telehealth environment, as limited research has been conducted in this area. The study highlighted the drivers and barriers of telehealth around the world, reviewed the relevant models of ICT adoption and generated themes to develop the conceptual framework. Empirical testing of the conceptual framework may have the potential to establish and confirm the determinants of ICT adoption in the Indian telehealth environment. The conceptual framework may be utilised for governmental and non-governmental policy level decision making.
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INTRODUCTION
The foundation of telecommunication in healthcare domain at the global level began with the advent of telegraphy in the early twentieth century (Farnham, 2006). Telehealth in its modern form commenced its journey in the seventh decade of the mid-twentieth century when, two healthcare projects in the USA delivered healthcare services at a distance involving television communication between a psychiatric teaching centre and an isolated state hospital, and by linking patients at the Logan International Airport Medical Station to Massachusetts General Hospital utilising audio-visual mediums (Benscherter, Wittson, & Inngham, 1965; Murphy Jr. & Bird, 1974). Telehealth pools high fidelity electronics, information and communications technology (ICT), and other related technology and applications for delivering healthcare services and providing education to patients and healthcare professionals, at a distance (Wootton, 2009). Thus, telehealth is not a technology itself; neither is it a distinct branch of medicine, and not new in healthcare context (Craig & Patterson, 2005). The Arkansas Medical Board define a “proper physician-patient relationship” to include “a face-to-face examination using real time audio and visual telemedicine technology that provides information at least equal to such information as would have been obtained by an in-person examination”(Arkansas State Medical Board, 2016).

ICT (Information and Communication Technologies) can act as a medium for delivering information and knowledge through socio-economic classes and geographic territories (R. Chandwani & De, 2015; Dodel, 2015; Ganapathy, 2014). As such, it can be argued that utilising ICT for implementing telehealth can possibly permit the transferability of health services to distant territories, thereby enhancing accessibility, affordability and quality of healthcare services (R. K. Chandwani & Dwivedi, 2015). Currently several government and private telehealth programs are catering to some sections of Indian society such as Apollo Hospitals, in collaboration with ISRO (Indian Space research Organisation), All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS), Narayana Hrudayalaya etc. (Telemedicine Society of India, 2017).

Telehealth is anticipated to renovate healthcare through reforming and restructuring the policies and procedures prevailing in the healthcare organisations and systems. Current researches investigating the cost effectiveness of telehealth programmes are of the opinion that telehealth can lessen the cost of healthcare services, thereby effecting an affordable and, accessible healthcare services (Torre-Díez, López-Coronado, Vaca, Aguado, & Castro, 2015). Also, a large part of India has shortages in healthcare manpower (Bodavala, 2002). A contemporary Indian research
found that majority of the patients who were treated through the telehealth facility in the All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi (AIIMS) are of the opinion that telemedicine is time saving and cost-effective and particularly beneficial for rural patients (Meher & Kant, 2014). Nevertheless, inherent benefits of ICT have been unrealized globally (Lal, 2017).

Hence, telehealth has the potential to provide affordable healthcare services addressing the inaccessibility and shortage of healthcare professionals in India. Further, it is noted that, though there is a substantial knowledge base of telehealth adoption factors globally, there are a limited number of studies regarding telehealth adoption factors in India and, most of them focus on telemedicine adoption factors, neglecting the broad telehealth environment (R. Chandwani & De, 2015; R. K. Chandwani & Dwivedi, 2015; Dasgupta & Deb, 2008; Dattakumar, 2012; Dodel, 2015; Durrani & Khoja, 2009; Ganapathy, 2002, 2014; Ghia, Ved, & Jha, 2013; Iyer, 2014; Kumar & Ahmad, 2015; Pak et al., 2008; Pal, Pandey, Kesari, Choudhuri, & Mittal, 2002; Standing, Volpe, Standing, & Gururajan, 2011). The objective of this study is to develop a conceptual framework for telehealth adoption in India and identify future research directions to enrich the viability of telehealth in India.

**ICT Infrastructure in Telehealth**

Infrastructure is the foundation or framework that supports a system or organization. In computing, information and communications technology infrastructure (ICT infrastructure) is composed of physical and virtual resources that support the flow, storage, processing and analysis of data (Gichoya, 2005). Infrastructure may be centralized within a data centre, or it may be decentralized and spread across several data centres that are either controlled by the organization or by a third party, such as a colocation facility or cloud provider (Hanafizadeh, Saghaei, & Hanafizadeh, 2009).

ICT Infrastructure encompasses all the devices, networks, protocols and procedures that are employed in the telecommunications or information technology fields to foster interaction amongst different stakeholders including computer hardware (servers and related workstations), network connectivity with accessories, and all necessary equipment (Dodgson, Gann, & Salter, 2006).

Blount and Gloet (2015) have itemised the ICT Infrastructure, which enables a Telehealth service to deliver efficiently. The technology and equipment in use are internet access, high speed broadband, landline phone, desktop computer, docking station, laptop, tablet, double monitor screen, telephone headset, smartphone, examining camera, digital stethoscope, printer, portable printer, fax machine, phone headset, modem, computer camera, Bluetooth, specialised software, blood glucose machines, scales, pulse oximeters, sensors and alarms. Furthermore, the researchers also noted the significance of communication through the support of ICT infrastructure amongst client, telehealth workers, management and, organisation. A robust round the clock ICT infrastructure support plays an essential part to the delivery of telehealth service by the workers.

**ICT Infrastructure in India**

The Indian ICT infrastructure has grown substantially over the years with current tele density of eighty-nine per cent sustained by a tower network of more than half a million towers along with fibre network of more than one million kilometres (Confederation of Indian Industry, 2017). Notwithstanding these progresses, there is still scope of improvement in broadband speed and increasing rural connectivity which is still low (Confederation of Indian Industry & KPMG, 2017).

**Overview of Telehealth, telemedicine, telecare, ehealth and mhealth**

Various authors have defined Telehealth as data transmission, through voice, image and video, which might comprise mobile and web technologies to prevent, promote and deliver curative healthcare at a distance (Dyk, 2014). The author also distinguished between telehealth and telemedicine as to the latter providing only curative service. As such telemedicine is a part of telehealth. In this respect, it can be argued that Telecare is also part of telehealth though not a part of telemedicine because of its sole intention to deliver preventive care (Wade, Gray, & Carati, 2017). It was also noted that ehealth (not limited to delivering healthcare at a distance) is used interchangeably with telehealth. Further, mhealth (using mobile technology) cuts across categories of telehealth, telemedicine, telecare and ehealth (Dyk, 2014). Carati and Margelis (2013) while framing the national strategy for telehealth has defined telehealth as healthcare and related processes or medical education enabled using ICT over a distance to minimise the shortfall, gap or lack of skill in healthcare resources.

**Telehealth in India**

Telehealth infrastructure is already existing in India (R. K. Chandwani & Dwivedi, 2015; Meher & Kant, 2014). In India, online consultations regarding medical advice, nutrition, pre-surgical consultation and, patient education are the potential and emerging areas of telehealth with pan country outreach apart from the primary care
teledicine centres focussed on rural areas (Al-Mahdi, Gray, & Lederman, 2015; Brindha, 2013; Gupta, 2013; Prasad et al., 2010; Sivagurunathan, Umadevi, Rama, & Gopalakrishnan, 2015). There are Govt. and private initiatives to cater to the section of people who lives in remote areas or lives in such areas which has a deficiency in developing a proper healthcare system. The telehealth scenario though promising has not yet achieved growth and development in infrastructure to cater to large number of people or areas (Marcelo et al., 2015). P. J.-H. Hu, Chau, and Liu Sheng (2002) observed that telehealth technology is an important and exciting technological innovation that has potential for bringing about a paradigmatic shift in healthcare service delivery and collaboration. Furthermore, Mathur, Srivastava, Lalchandani, and Mehta (2017) concluded in their research that telehealth could be utilised at its farthest in the domain of public healthcare. The authors also observed that the role of telehealth could be extended to promotion of health including improvement of knowledge, beliefs and attitudes of the medical consumers.

METHODOLOGY
The study involved a searching of the relevant literature in the area of telehealth technology adoption around the globe and India. EBSCO, Google Scholar, Proquest, Science Direct and Scopus databases were explored in the search. The procedure involved formulating search keywords (Kitchenham, 2004) which were (telehealth OR "mobile health" OR m-health OR telemedicine OR telecare OR e-health) AND ("ICT infrastructure" OR "information systems" OR ICT) AND (India OR Subcontinent OR "South Asia"). Other search keywords excluding the keywords India, Subcontinent and South Asia were formulated to yield results from around the world such as (telehealth OR "mobile health" OR m-health OR telemedicine OR telecare OR e-health OR healthcare) AND ("ICT infrastructure" OR "information systems" OR ICT). The results were further refined to obtain only peer reviewed literature and a timeframe of seventeen years from 2000 to 2019 were imposed (Petticrew & Roberts, 2006). The timeframe was taken in consideration of the fact that early to mid-2000 were the prime period in which research on ICT Adoption in Telehealth environment has been conducted globally. Another exclusion was literature not written in English. A review of the obtained literature has been performed to assess the different approaches to ICT Adoption in the healthcare domain. The Technology Adoption models used in the studies were also noted. A synthesis of the literature has been performed whereby the determinants or the drivers and barriers of telehealth had been extracted. Afterwards, a conceptual framework was developed utilising the selected determinants and technology adoption models.

DRIVERS AND BARRIERS OF TELEHEALTH
The literature was synthesised to extract the determinants that had an impact on telehealth adoption. The initial determinants include awareness, cost factors, advantages and disadvantages, medical errors, information sharing, role of telehealth could be utilised at its farthest in the domain of public healthcare. The authors also observed that the role of telehealth could be extended to promotion of health including improvement of knowledge, beliefs and attitudes of the medical consumers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: The drivers and barriers of teleheath in general</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Telehealth Drivers in General</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 1: The drivers and barriers of teleheath in general</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further, a list has been prepared with focus on Indian telehealth, as shown in Table 2. This list of drivers and barriers are expected to provide a direction for the development of the conceptual framework for telehealth adoption in India.

**Table 2: The drivers and barriers of telehealth in India**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telehealth Drivers in India</th>
<th>Telehealth Barriers in India</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Laggards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved ICT Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortage of healthcare professionals</td>
<td>Organisation Readiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vast rural and remote areas</td>
<td>Lack of trained health workers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willingness to share knowledge</td>
<td>Broadband speed in rural areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usefulness</td>
<td>Technology safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective attitude</td>
<td>Lack of awareness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service needs</td>
<td>Lack of organization support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease Profile</td>
<td>Broadband</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competitive advantage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

The literature review has recognised the drivers and barriers of ICT adoption in the healthcare domain as well as in the telehealth environment around the world. The literature review suggests that there is a considerable gap regarding the absence of a theoretical framework regarding study of ICT Adoption in healthcare organisations (R. Chandwani & De, 2015; R. K. Chandwani & Dwivedi, 2015; Dodel, 2015; Ganapathy, 2002; Standing et al., 2011). Elder and Clarke (2007) stressed about the need for conducting research in ICT adoption in healthcare according to the local environment. Carati and Margelis (2013) suggested the identification of barriers and enablers of telehealth implementation in different environments. R. K. Chandwani and Dwivedi (2015) suggested further research in ICT adoption in Institutional and other perspectives. Further, Dodel (2015) suggested the need to construct cost-effective and quality research instruments and to test the ICT assets of access, usage, and appropriation as independent variables in research of ICT adoption of healthcare. Most of the studies were also inadequate in population or response (Gschwendtner, Netzer, Mairinger, & Mairinger, 1997; Mairinger, Gable, Derwan, Mikuz, & Ferrer-Roca, 1996). The framework might be different in developing countries as different cultures and geographic barriers could alter behaviour as pointed out by De Rosis and Seghieri (2015). Further, limited research on Indian telehealth technology adoption (R. K. Chandwani & Dwivedi, 2015; Dasgupta & Deb, 2008; Ghia et al., 2013; Iyer, 2014; Kumar & Ahmad, 2015; Marcelo et al., 2015; Mathur et al., 2017; Pal et al., 2002) and, a lack of theoretical framework (Acharya & Rai, 2017; R. Chandwani & De, 2015; Ganapathy, 2002), to guide telehealth adoption in India, compels the need to define the determinants of ICT adoption in Indian Telehealth environment.

As pointed out by the literature review, there is a lack of theoretical framework to guide the research on ICT adoption in telehealth environment (Acharya & Rai, 2017; R. Chandwani & De, 2015; R. K. Chandwani & Dwivedi, 2015; Dodel, 2015; Ganapathy, 2002; Standing et al., 2011). A conceptual framework may provide deeper understanding of the resultant determinants in future research on Indian telehealth adoption. Matching the resultant data to the conceptual framework can yield a new theoretical framework for use in the domain further. In future research, new determinants that are unknown prior to the research can come out. Therefore, apart from identifying the drivers and barriers it is necessary to have a working conceptual framework to make future research effective and easily understandable through a theoretical perspective. A theory could not only provide potential constructs in relation to ICT adoption but can also provide a pathway to establish relationship between the constructs (Denzin, 1973; Shoemaker, Tankard Jr, & Lasorsa, 2003).

The most prominent and influential theories in the ICT adoption field are Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA) (Fishbein & Ajzen, 1975, 1976), Theory of Planned Behaviour (TPB) (Ajzen, 1991), Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) (Davis, 1989), Diffusion of Innovations (DOI) (Rogers, 1995), Activity Theory (Kuutti, 1996; Nardi, 1996), Task-Fit-Technology (TFT) Model (Goodhue & Thompson, 1995), Technology-Organisation-Environment Model (TOE) (Depietro, Wiarda, & Fleischcr, 1990), Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) (Venkatesh, Morris, Davis, & Davis, 2003; Venkatesh, Thong, & Xu, 2012, 2016). Other notable theories include Normalisation Process Theory (C. May & Finch, 2009; C. R. May et al., 2009), Systems and Complexity Theories (Benbya & McKelvey, 2006), Selwyn’s Digital Divide Theoretical Model (Dodel, 2015) and, Socio-Technical theories (Geels, 2004; Trist, 1981). Among these theories TAM, TPB, TRA, DOI, and, UTAUT has been used primarily in individual level studies (Chang et al., 2015; Cimperman, Brenčič, & Trkman, 2016; Holden & Karsh, 2010; P. J. Hu, Chau, Sheng, & Tam, 1999; Lee, Helal, & Johnson, 2010; Sugarhood, Wherton, Procter, Hinder, & Greenhalgh, 2014). Ammenwerth, Iller, and Mahler (2006) noted the lacunae of the TFT model in its inability to include interaction between the user and the task. Davidson and Chismar (2007) noted that the activity theory is concerned with the interaction of individual level and social levels of ICT adoption. The
Selwyn’s Digital Divide Model is mostly user-centric and focuses on the independent variables of access, usage, appropriation, and outcome along with a dependent variable of wellbeing (Dodel, 2015). The Normalisation Process Theory is useful to study the adoption process of technologies which are difficult to embed into everyday use (Pope et al., 2013). Systems Complexity Theory has been used in studies relating to knowledge management systems and organisational learning (McElroy, 2000). Socio-Technical Theories are tested in organisational technology adoption process but much more suits a case study or, action research design of research (Dillon & Morris, 1996). Technology-Organisation-Environment Model (TOE) (Depietro et al., 1990) has been tested in organisational settings in various domains of ICT adoptions including healthcare. TOE is a comprehensive framework (P. J.-H. Hu et al., 2002) and yields a consistent conclusion or results, regarding ICT adoption in the healthcare and other domains. This is supported by the researches of (Ahmadi, Nilashi, & Ibrahim, 2015; Alaboudi et al., 2016; Brancheau & Wetherbe, 1990; Bretschneider, 1990; Cooper & Zmud, 1990; Fichman, 1992; Ghani & Jaber, 2015; Kimberly & Evanisko, 1981; Lian, Yen, & Wang, 2014; Zmud, 1982). The different knowledge and technological barriers found through literature review (Adamson, 2016; Faber, Van Geenhulzen, & de Reuver, 2017; Paul, Pearlson, & McDaniel, 1999; Tanriverdi & Iacono, 1998; Zailani, Gilani, Nikbin, & Iranmanesh, 2014) are well represented through the TOE framework. Since the study aims to identify the different drivers and barriers of telehealth technology adoption in an organisational setting, the TOE framework adapted from Depietro et al. (1990); P. J.-H. Hu et al. (2002) can lend a hand to modify and create a new theoretical framework for telehealth technology adoption in the Indian healthcare domain. The different constructs of the TOE framework are ease of use, technology safety, service benefits, service risks, collective attitude of healthcare staff, organisational policies and management, and service needs (P. J.-H. Hu et al., 2002).

A host of other determinants has been derived from the literature review as listed in Table 1 and Table 2. Considering the determinants specific themes have been formed to create a conceptual framework to study the adoption in Indian telehealth environment. The conceptual framework is represented in Figure 1 below.

![Figure 1: Conceptual framework for telehealth adoption in Indian healthcare](image)

The themes formulated for the conceptual framework to study Indian telehealth adoption are Technology, Organisation, Environment, Knowledge, Innovation and, Healthcare Specific.

**Technology**
The ease of use construct has been criticised by Chau and Hu (2002); Chismar and Wiley-Patton (2003); Keil, Beranek, and Konynski (1995). The researchers were of the view that the physicians rely on the usefulness of ICT instead of relying on the ease of using it. The physicians’ emphasis is more on the utility, functionality and effective patient outcomes. The results obtained by Lin, Lin, and Roan (2012) show that usefulness has a greater impact than ease of use.

Technology safety can be a hindrance in influencing an organisation’s ICT adoption. Physicians are concerned with the safety of the equipment and technology used in their patient’s care (P. J.-H. Hu et al., 2002). A technology which can affect patient’s care outcome can be considered as risky.

In India, although the urban ICT infrastructure has improved significantly, there is still scope of improvement in broadband speed and increasing rural connectivity which is still low (Confederation of Indian Industry & KPMG, 2017).
Organisation
Collective attitude of healthcare staff was found to be the most significant factor influencing ICT adoption in telehealth environment (P. J.-H. Hu et al., 2002). The same research concludes that organisation policies and management was non-significant. The collective attitude of the healthcare staff towards telehealth can regulate as well as willingness to learn from training.

A healthcare organisation’s main purpose is to provide service to the people who need medical services because of service access or quality. In India there prevails a tremendous health inequality as observed by Balarajan, Selvaraj, and Subramanian (2011). Exploring alternative arrangements for service delivery is one of the priority for a healthcare organisation.

Environment
The vast rural and remote areas of India are plagued by accessibility to healthcare and shortage of healthcare professionals (Rathi, 2017). A standardised government policy and framework regarding telehealth can foster telehealth adoption in India catering to a larger section of the society (Singh, 2005). Further, the demographics and disease profile in urban and rural areas can be a significant driver for adoption of telehealth (West & Milio, 2004).

Knowledge
Easy access to information for healthcare professionals, patients and healthcare workers is the need of the day for adoption of telehealth in India (Dwivedi, Bali, James, & Naguib, 2001). Further, the training needs of the healthcare workers and for non tech savvy healthcare professionals are also significant barriers to adoption of telehealth in India (R. K. Chandwani & Dwivedi, 2015; Dwivedi et al., 2001). Interestingly, majority of the healthcare professionals are willing to share their knowledge and participate in the telehealth adoption process (Ghia et al., 2013).

Innovation
The majority of the efforts in the telehealth environment in India are late adopters (Kumar & Ahmad, 2015). The competitive advantage of telehealth, as to, face-to-face consultations, need to be studied in details (Cho, Mathiassen, & Gallivan, 2009). The scope of innovation in providing telehealth services in various forms such as telemedicine for primary care, online consultations by physicians, dietitians etc. and the adoption rates varies according to the domain (Cho, Mathiassen, & Robey, 2007).

Healthcare Specific
In India there have been efforts to provide telemedicine services in the rural areas focussing on primary healthcare (Kumar & Ahmad, 2015). Various healthcare professionals including physicians, surgeons, dietitians, dentists, physiotherapists have started to provide online consultations in the urban areas, at least in the initial level of consultation. The online consultations has a broad outreach at a pan-India level (Brindha, 2013; Sivagurunathan et al., 2015). As such, future study of telehealth adoption in specific healthcare domains may extend the viability of such efforts.

CONCLUSION
The conceptual framework for telehealth adoption in Indian healthcare has been developed after reviewing a wide range of ICT adoption literature from global and Indian healthcare domains. The identified themes of technology, organisation, environment, knowledge, innovation and, healthcare specific, may provide a platform for future adoption studies in Indian telehealth environment, as well as, in ICT adoption studies in other healthcare domains. The conceptual framework could be used in framing policies and procedures at governmental and non-governmental organisations. This study, being limited to literature review, broadens the scope for future empirical research on telehealth adoption in India and in other developing countries.
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