The energy budget of stellar magnetic fields: comparing non-potential simulations and observations
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ABSTRACT

The magnetic geometry of the surface magnetic fields of more than 55 cool stars have now been mapped using spectropolarimetry. In order to better understand these observations, we compare the magnetic field topology at different surface scale sizes of observed and simulated cool stars. For ease of comparison between the high-resolution non-potential magnetofrictional simulations and the relatively low-resolution observations, we filter out the small-scale field in the simulations using a spherical harmonics decomposition. We show that the large-scale field topologies of the solar-based simulations produce values of poloidal/toroidal fields and fractions of energy in axisymmetric modes which are similar to the observations. These global non-potential evolution model simulations capture key magnetic features of the observed solar-like stars through the processes of surface flux transport and magnetic flux emergence. They do not, however, reproduce the magnetic field of M-dwarfs or stars with dominantly toroidal field. Furthermore, we analyse the magnetic field topologies of individual spherical harmonics for the simulations and discover that the dipole is predominately poloidal, while the quadrupole shows the highest fraction of toroidal fields. Magnetic field structures smaller than a quadrupole display a fixed ratio between the poloidal and toroidal magnetic energies.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The magnetic activity of solar-like stars underpins both their high-energy coronal emission and also their angular momentum evolution through the action of their hot, magnetically channelled winds. Our understanding of this activity is based on what we have learned about the Sun. Solar activity phenomena, e.g. sunspots, prominences, and coronal holes, are mainly driven by the Sun’s magnetic field and show a cyclic behaviour. During the activity cycle, the large-scale field of the Sun develops from an axisymmetric dipole (see e.g. Ossendrijver 2003) to a more chaotic small-scale structured field, covering mid- to low latitudes and then back to a reversed dipole. DeRosa, Brun & Hoeksema (2012) confirmed that the dipole component follows the activity cycle in antiphase, while the quadrupole component is in phase with the activity cycle in a similar way to the spot coverage. While the magnetic flux density in spots may be several thousand Gauss, however, the global solar magnetic flux density is only a few Gauss (Babcock & Babcock 1955; Mancuso & Garzelli 2007).

It was Larmor (1919) who first suggested that the solar magnetic field is induced by plasma motions. This led to the first dynamo model (Parker 1955): the weak poloidal field is wound around the star by differential rotation (DR, \( \Omega \)-effect) creating a strong toroidal band, while cyclonic turbulence restores the poloidal field from this toroidal field with opposite polarity (\( \alpha \)-effect). After that different dynamo models were developed, such as the flux transport model by Babcock (1961) and Leighton (1969), which can reproduce many observed solar behaviours (see also review by Mackay & Yeates 2012). This model predicts the surface magnetic flux which results from the injection of bipolar sunspot pairs which then undergo shearing by DR, poleward meridional flow, and
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diffusion (Wang, Nash & Sheeley 1989; Baumann et al. 2004; Mackay et al. 2004; Jiang et al. 2013). Recently, Gibb et al. (2016) studied the influence of two stellar parameters, namely DR and flux emergence rate (ER), on the non-potential coronal field by applying a flux transport model based on solar observations (Mackay & van Ballegooijen 2006; Yeates & Mackay 2012). They found that the flux ER strongly influences the magnetic field properties and that an increased DR opens the coronal magnetic field and makes it more non-potential.

To obtain a wider understanding of the solar dynamo, the analysis of other cool stars magnetic fields is essential. The Zeeman–Doppler-Imaging technique (ZDI; Donati & Brown 1997; Donati et al. 2006b) enables us to study the large-scale magnetic field topology (intensity and orientation of field distributions across the stellar surface) by analysing sequences of circularly polarized spectra (Stokes V). ZDI can determine the contribution of the different field components (poloidal/toroidal, axisymmetric/non-axisymmetric, dipole/quadrupole/higher multi-poles) but only for the large-scale field as the ZDI technique suffers from the cancellation of opposite magnetic polarities on smaller scales. In contrast, Zeeman broadening measurements use unpolarized light (Stokes I) and are sensitive to the total magnetic flux emerged at the surface of stars but have little to no ability to document the field topology at any scale (Robinson, Worden & Harvey 1980; Saar 1988; Reiners & Basri 2006; Lehmann et al. 2015).

Several ZDI surveys of different kinds of cool stars have exposed a wide range of magnetic field topologies (e.g. Donati et al. 2006a; Marsden et al. 2006; Petit et al. 2008; Morin et al. 2010; Fares et al. 2013). Vidotto et al. (2014) analysed how the large-scale magnetic field of cool stars evolves with age, rotation period, Rossby number, and X-ray luminosity. Recently, Folsom et al. (2016) added a new set of young solar-type stars. See et al. (2015) analysed the magnetic field topologies of 55 stars with masses in the range 0.1–1.5 M⊙ and discovered that the toroidal field scales with the inverse Rossby number more steeply than the poloidal field does. The toroidal field fraction shows two different power-law dependences on the poloidal field for stars above and below 0.5 M⊙. Additionally, they found that strong toroidal fields are typically axisymmetric.

To set highly resolved solar or simulated magnetic vector maps into the context of ZDI-observed cool stars, Vidotto (2016) presented a magnetic field decomposition method. The method is compatible to the description used in ZDI studies and decomposes the magnetic field into spherical harmonics of different ℓ-modes up to a maximum ℓmax. The small ℓ-modes describe the large-scale field (ℓ = 1 dipolar, ℓ = 2 quadrupolar mode, etc.) and the higher ℓ-modes the small-scale field. This small-scale field can be removed from the simulations or solar observations by selecting low ℓ-modes to compare their magnetic field topology with the magnetic field topology of observed stars.

In this Letter, we compare the global time-dependent non-potential simulations of Gibb et al. (2016) based on the surface flux transport model, with the sample of observed stars1 analysed by See et al. (2015). In particular, we compare their magnetic field topologies, focusing on the poloidal, toroidal, and their axisymmetric components at different spatial scales.

2 SIMULATIONS AND METHODS

The simulations of Gibb et al. (2016) used a magnetic flux transport model for the photospheric evolution, coupled with a non-potential coronal evolution model described by a magnetofrictional technique. The magnetic flux transport model solves the magnetohydrodynamic induction equation to evolve the surface magnetic flux, whilst a flux emergence pattern, e.g. bipolar starspot pairs, are advectively injected, and then sheared by surface DR, poleward meridional flow, and diffusion (Charbonneau 2014). The flux emergence pattern is based on Yeates (2014) who determined flux emergence properties using solar synoptic magnetograms observed by the US National Solar Observatory, Kitt Peak, between 2010 January and 2011 January. Gibb et al. (2016) then varied the flux ER using a statistical model, and the DR in the range of 1 ≤ ER/ER⊙ ≤ 5 and 1 ≤ DR/DR⊙ ≤ 5. The variation of the parameters leads us to 17 simulations ranging from solar-like stars up to stars with five times solar flux ER and DR.

The immense difference in resolution prevents a direct comparison between the highly resolved simulated and the relatively poorly resolved observed stellar magnetic field vector maps. Vidotto (2016) presented a method to filter out the small-scale field from highly resolved solar magnetic field vector maps to ease the comparison with stellar magnetic field vector maps. The method decomposes the radial, azimuthal, and meridional magnetic field components into their spherical harmonics of different ℓ-modes. This enables us to investigate the magnetic field topology on different surface scale sizes (θ ≈ 180°/ℓ), see Fig. 1. The usage of only the low-order ℓ-modes, e.g. ℓ ≤ 10, recovers the large-scale magnetic field topology and secures a fair order of magnitude comparison with most observed stellar magnetic field topologies.

The stellar magnetic field geometry is often described by its poloidal/toroidal and its axisymmetric component (e.g. Donati et al. 2006a; Petit et al. 2008; Donati & Landstreet 2009; See et al. 2015; Vidotto et al. 2016). We can determine these components by decomposing the magnetic field vectors (B⊥, B∥) into their spherical harmonics after Elsasser (1946) and Chandrasekhar (1961, Appendix III). As described in equations (2–8) of Donati et al. (2006b), the poloidal field is characterized by the coefficients aℓm and bℓm and the toroidal field by γℓ, see Appendix A. We define axisymmetric modes by ℓ = 0, thus including only exactly aligned modes. As the ZDI technique is also based on a spherical harmonic decomposition, we apply the same procedure to decompose both the simulated and observed magnetic field vector maps into their poloidal/toroidal and axisymmetric components.

3 COMPARING MAGNETIC FIELD TOPOLOGIES OF THE SIMULATED AND OBSERVED STARS

3.1 Magnetic energy stored in the toroidal/poloidal field

We compare the magnetic energy budgets of the vector magnetic field from the observed stars studied by See et al. (2015) with the photospheric vector magnetic field from the simulated stars modelled by Gibb et al. (2016). First, we focus on the toroidal and poloidal magnetic field component [see Fig. 2 (top panel)], See et al. 2015. In Fig. 2, we plot the mean squared magnetic flux density of the toroidal field ⟨B⊥2⟩ against the poloidal field...
$\langle B_{\text{pol}}^2 \rangle$, where $\langle B_{\text{pol}}^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \sum_k B_{\text{pol},k}^2(\theta, \phi) \sin(\theta) \, d\theta \, d\phi$, $k = r, \theta, \phi$. We note that while $\langle B^2 \rangle$ is a good proxy of the magnetic energy for the simulations, for the observations it is restricted to the net magnetic flux of the resolution elements. The grey symbols represent the observed star sample from See et al. (2015). Stars with masses $M \geq 0.5 M_\odot$ are illustrated by grey squares and stars with masses $M < 0.5 M_\odot$ by grey triangles. For a fair comparison between the simulations and observations, we present the simulations for two different resolutions of the large-scale field. The dark green circles contain all $\ell$-modes up to $\ell \leq 5$, which is comparable to ZDI-reconstructed maps of slowly rotating stars. The light green circles display the simulations for $\ell$-modes up to $\ell \leq 10$, which is comparable to ZDI-reconstructed maps of moderate rotators. Stellar magnetograms often include only $\ell \leq 5$ (e.g. Morin et al. 2010; Folsom et al. 2016; Vidotto 2016) or $\ell \leq 10$ modes (e.g. Johnstone et al. 2014; Yadav et al. 2015). In contrast, high-resolution solar synoptic maps reach, e.g. $\ell \leq 192$ (DeRosa et al. 2012). We add a dashed unity line in Fig. 2 for an easier identification of toroidal- and poloidal-dominated magnetic field topologies.

It is clear from Fig. 2 (top panel) that the simulations fit entirely within the sample of the observed stars. They lie in the regime of the stars with masses above 0.5 $M_\odot$, which is reasonable as the simulations are based on the solar case. The simulated magnetic field topologies fall in the same area as the observed magnetic field topologies of the young solar-like stars e.g. HN Peg (Boro Saikia et al. 2015) and ε Eri (Jeffers et al. 2014). The simulations aim to represent the solar-like stars not the observed stars with dominantly toroidal field or the M-dwarfs in the upper part of Fig. 2 (top panel). Both resolutions $\ell \leq 5$ and 10 are predominately poloidal, but the simulations restricted to $\ell \leq 5$ show on average a lower magnetic energy than the simulations with $\ell$-modes up to $\ell \leq 10$. This is expected as we are adding more energy by including more $\ell$-modes.

In contrast to the observed stellar magnetic field topologies, the simulated magnetic field topologies provide information about both the large- and small-scale field. We study the magnetic field topology using the spherical harmonics $\ell = 1 - 28$, corresponding to length-scales $\theta = 180^\circ$ to $\theta \approx 4.7$, where the solar simulation is fully resolved. In Fig. 2 (bottom panel), we overplot the observed stars by the cumulative $\ell$-modes $\ell = 1$ to $\ell \leq 28$ of the simulations. We do not compare the simulations for different $\ell$-modes directly with the observations, as they have different resolutions. For a direct comparison between the simulations and the observations see Fig. 2 (top panel). The different $\ell$-modes in Fig. 2 (bottom panel) are colour-coded and divided in three regimes: the dipolar modes ($\ell = 1$) are illustrated by blue circles, the quadrupolar modes ($\ell \leq 2$) by orange circles, and the higher $\ell$-modes ($\ell \leq 3, \ldots, 28$) by greenish circles, where the colour gets lighter with higher $\ell$-modes. By adding more and more $\ell$-modes, the magnetic energy increases in both the toroidal and poloidal fields and the spread in values decreases. The scatter of the simulations for a fixed resolution (equal coloured circles in Fig. 2) is not larger than the spread of the observed stars.

The dipolar modes $\ell = 1$ (blue circles) are highly poloidal and show the widest spread. However, the majority of the $\ell = 1$ simulations lie far from the unity line and appear as a classical dipole containing strong poloidal fields. Adding the $\ell \leq 2$ modes (orange circles) shifts all simulations by approximately one magnitude to higher toroidal magnetic energies. The quadrupolar modes $\ell = 2$ are the most toroidal modes so that the magnetic field topologies for $\ell \leq 2$ may become dominantly toroidal as indicated by a few orange circles lying above the unity line. The insets in Fig. 2 (bottom panel) show the poloidal (blue) and toroidal (red) field of an axisymmetric dipole and quadrupole mode. A strong toroidal $\ell = 2$, $m = 0$ quadrupole mode shows a polarity reversal across the equator similar to the emerged bipole pattern in Fig. 1 (bottom row). As more $\ell$-modes are added, the magnetic field topologies become poloidal again (greenish circles). The higher cumulative $\ell$-modes ($\ell \leq 3 - 28$) show a fixed ratio between the magnetic energy stored in the toroidal and poloidal fields which can be described by the power law $\langle B_{\text{tor}}^2 \rangle \propto \langle B_{\text{pol}}^2 \rangle^{0.75 \pm 0.02}$, which was determined by a least-squares best fit. The magnetic energy which is added by new modes $\ell \geq 25$ asymptotically decreases to negligibly small numbers. The solar simulation captures $\langle B_{\text{tor}}^2 \rangle / \langle B_{\text{pol}}^2 \rangle = 5.4$ per cent or $\langle B_{\ell=10}^2 \rangle / \langle B_{\ell=2}^2 \rangle = 21.6$ per cent of the total energy, i.e. mean-squared flux density.

### 3.2 Axisymmetric versus toroidal fields

Secondly, we analyse the axisymmetric component of the magnetic field topology. See et al. (2015) plotted the percentage of the total magnetic energy stored in the axisymmetric field against the percentage of the total magnetic energy stored in the toroidal field (See et al. 2015, fig. 5). Fig. 3 here includes the results from the simulations of Gibb et al. (2016) in the same format as in Fig. 2. The simulated sample is displayed by coloured circles for the different $\ell$-modes $\ell = 1, \ell \leq 2, \ldots, 5$ and $\ell \leq 10$, where the colour scheme is the same as in Fig. 2.
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Figure 2. Magnetic field energy stored in the toroidal $\langle B_{\text{tor}}^2 \rangle$ and poloidal fields $\langle B_{\text{pol}}^2 \rangle$. Results of simulations are shown as coloured circles, while those from observations are shown as grey symbols, where stars with masses equal or above $0.5 \, M_\odot$ are plotted as squares and stars with masses lower than $0.5 \, M_\odot$ as triangles. The simulation representing the Sun is marked by the solar symbol $\odot$. The dashed line indicates equal toroidal and poloidal energies. Top panel: the simulations are restricted to the large-scale field by spherical harmonics up to $\ell \leq 5$ (dark green circles) or $\ell \leq 10$ (light green circles) for a reasonable comparison with the observations. Bottom panel: the simulations for all surface scales sizes: the dipolar component $\ell = 1$ (blue circles), the quadrupolar component $\ell \leq 2$ (orange circles), and the higher $\ell$ modes $\ell \leq 3$–28 (greenish circles), where the colour gets lighter with increasing $\ell$ mode. The inserts show the poloidal (blue) and toroidal (red) field lines for the axisymmetric dipole and quadrupole mode.

The simulations cover the same parameter space as the observations in Fig. 3. For a fair comparison between the observed and the simulated stars, one has to focus on the green and light green circles representing the $\ell \leq 5$ and $\ell \leq 10$ modes. These modes show a small fraction of toroidal field and a comparably low fraction of axisymmetric field. In comparison, a value of $<10$ per cent toroidal field was determined for the Sun during CR2109 (Carrington rotation 2109 = 2011 April 12 to 2011 May 09) by Vidotto (2016). Furthermore, we discover a trend with $\ell$-modes for the simulations. The dipolar component ($\ell = 1$, blue circles) shows the biggest spread in the axisymmetric field and has in general low toroidal fields (similar to Fig. 2 bottom panel). The quadrupolar component ($\ell \leq 2$, orange circles) displays the highest fraction of toroidal field of all modes (as seen in Fig. 2 bottom panel). Additionally, strong toroidal fields are mainly axisymmetric fields. For the higher $\ell$-modes, both the toroidal and axisymmetric fields decrease along the unity line until they saturate with 5–20 per cent toroidal fields and 0–5 per cent axisymmetric fields.

4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have compared the magnetic field topologies of a sample of simulated stars modelled by Gibb et al. (2016) with the sample of observed stars analysed by See et al. (2015). For both samples, we focused on the magnetic energy stored in the poloidal and toroidal component and the fraction of axisymmetric fields. For a direct comparison between the simulations and observations, we filtered out the small-scale field in the simulations using the spherical harmonic decomposition described by Vidotto (2016) to account for the difference in resolution between these samples. Additionally, we analysed the magnetic field topologies of the simulations for a larger range of surface scale sizes. We discovered, the following.

(i) The large-scale magnetic field topologies of the simulations fit into the parameter space covered by the solar-like stars within the observed sample. They do not, however, fit the stars with dominantly toroidal field or the M-dwarfs.

(ii) We identify for the simulations three different types of behaviour for $\ell = 1, \ell \leq 2$, and all higher modes.

(iii) The dipolar component $\ell = 1$ of the simulations is mainly poloidal, whereas the quadrupolar component $\ell \leq 2$ displays the highest toroidal field fraction of all $\ell$-modes. Both components show a large spread in their axisymmetric fields but strong toroidal fields are strongly axisymmetric.

(iv) The magnetic energies for the higher $\ell$-modes follow the power law $\langle B_{\text{tor}}^2 \rangle \propto \langle B_{\text{pol}}^2 \rangle^{0.75\pm0.02}$. The highest $\ell$-modes add less and less energy to the total field until their magnetic energy become negligible. While increasing $\ell$-modes, the field becomes less axisymmetric and less toroidal.

(v) The polarity pattern of the toroidal field of the emerging bipoles is noticeable through all $\ell$-modes down to $\ell \leq 2$.

These results indicate that the global non-potential evolution model of Mackay & van Ballegooijen (2006) applied by Gibb et al.
(2016) captures key magnetic features of the solar-like stars in the observed sample through the process of DR, meridional field, surface diffusion, and magnetic flux emergence.

Moreover, the magnetic field topologies of the simulations themselves display trends with increasing DR and flux ER. We will investigate this in a separate letter, where we study the influence of certain stellar properties, e.g. DR, flux ER, and meridional flow, on the magnetic field topologies at different length-scales.
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APPENDIX A: THE POLOIDAL AND TOROIDAL FIELD

Following Donati et al. (2006b) and Vidotto (2016), we decompose the poloidal and toroidal field as

\[
B_{\text{pol}}(\theta, \phi) = B_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{\ell m} \alpha_{\ell m} P_{\ell m} e^{im\phi},
\]

\[
B_{\text{tor}}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{\ell m} \beta_{\ell m} \frac{1}{\ell + 1} \frac{dP_{\ell m}}{d\theta} e^{im\phi},
\]

\[
B_{\text{pol}}(\theta, \phi) = -\sum_{\ell m} \beta_{\ell m} \frac{imP_{\ell m} \omega_{\theta\phi}}{(\ell + 1) \sin \theta},
\]

\[
B_{\text{tor}}(\theta, \phi) = 0,
\]

\[
B_{\text{pol}}(\theta, \phi) = \sum_{\ell m} \gamma_{\ell m} \frac{imP_{\ell m} e^{im\phi}}{(\ell + 1) \sin \theta},
\]

so that \( B_{\text{pol}} + B_{\text{tor}} = B \). The coefficients \( \alpha_{\ell m}, \beta_{\ell m}, \gamma_{\ell m} \) characterize the magnetic field and \( P_{\ell m} \equiv c_{\ell m} P_{\ell m}(\cos \theta) \) is the associated Legendre polynomial of mode \( \ell \) and order \( m \), where \( c_{\ell m} \) is a normalization constant:

\[
c_{\ell m} = \sqrt{\frac{2\ell + 1}{4\pi} \frac{(\ell - m)!}{(\ell + m)!}}.
\]

The sums run from \( 1 \leq \ell \leq \ell_{\max} \) and \( |m| \leq \ell \), where \( \ell_{\max} \) is the maximum mode of the spherical harmonic decomposition. The axisymmetric modes are selected by \( m = 0 \). Otherwise, we sum over all \( m \) for the magnetic field of a given mode \( \ell \).

\(^2\) The radial field points outwards, the meridional (\( \theta \)) field increases from north to south with colatitude and the azimuthal field (\( \phi \)) increases in the direction of the rotation with longitude.
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