



Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences
School of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering

**Experimental and Numerical Study of MILD
Combustion in an Open-End Furnace with
Exhaust Gas Recirculation using Methane and
Biogas**

A Dissertation Submitted by

Muhamad Mat Noor, B.Eng.(Hons), M.Sc.(Mech. Eng.)

For the Award of

Doctor of Philosophy

2015

Abstract

The world's energy demand by 2042 is estimated at about 18 billion tons of oil equivalent with 80 % fulfilled by the combustion of fossil fuel. Combustion is predicted to be the most important way of generating energy to cater for these energy needs. The need to address energy sustainability (fuel depletion) and environmental pollution (emission) has led to an increased interest in energy efficiency improvement. Combustion technologies with higher thermal efficiency and bio-gas (renewable) fuels are possible long-term solutions. The Moderate or Intense Low oxygen Dilution (MILD) combustion technology can play a significant role to produce higher thermal efficiency and reduce emissions. MILD combustion has achieved great success, however it needs further fundamental study due to the current limited research on open-end furnaces.

In this study, an open-end furnace with an enclosure wall was used to capture the exhaust gas and utilised it as Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR). The EGR recirculates a portion of exhaust gas back to the combustion chamber to dilute the oxygen before the oxidant is mixed with the fuel and increases the reactant temperature. This setup is an open-end furnace because it allows a portion of the exhaust gas to flow out and be utilised as external EGR. In the case of a closed furnace, the exhaust gas is recirculated internally to dilute the reactants and increase their temperature. The wall thickness for the open-end furnace is very thin compared to the closed furnace which normally has a thick wall. The development and operating cost for the open-end furnace is cheaper than the closed furnace but the external EGR structure is an additional installation for the open-end furnace. The main objectives of this thesis are to conduct numerical modelling using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) to design and develop the furnace, to develop and fabricate the new open-end furnace combustion chamber and to optimise the furnace performance to achieve MILD combustion.

The numerical modelling for the MILD combustion using CFD has been extensively conducted on different burners and different scale furnaces. In this study, the three-dimensional CFD model was utilised to develop and optimise the furnace. The Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations were solved using realisable $k-\varepsilon$ turbulent models which has been shown by others to be a reasonably accurate model to predict the combustion temperatures and combustion products. In this research, the results of the simulation slightly over-predict

the flame temperatures by about 2% compared to the experimental results. The non-premixed, partially premixed and premixed combustion with chemical equilibrium and non-adiabatic energy treatment for the thermo-chemical database were used. The Discrete Ordinates (DO) model was used to solve the radiative transfer equation for a finite number of discrete solid angles in the Cartesian coordinate system. The Weighted Sum of Gray Gas Model (WSGGM) was used for the absorption coefficient. The method of meshing was tetrahedrons patch conforming with advanced sizing function of proximity and curvature. The active assembly, fine span angle centre and fine relevance centre setting were used for all grids. All the governing equations were solved using the second order upwind discretisation scheme for higher accuracy of the calculation. The pressure-velocity coupling scheme with a least-squares cell-based gradient was used and presto was used as the pressure discretisation scheme. The early stage of the combustion chamber development started with a CFD simulation of a basic enclosed wall and top open combustion chamber. After analysing the results, two external EGR pipes were added. Then two more EGR pipes were added to make a total of four EGR pipes. The final model was improved with regard to the pipe size and the top part of the chamber design to ensure it collected an appropriate amount of the exhaust gas. This model was later used for the experimental and numerical modelling.

The laboratory scale combustion chamber (total volume of 0.33 m^3 and thermal intensity of $18.8\text{ kW/m}^3\text{ atm}$) was developed and fabricated. In this study, the air and fuel supply system was also developed especially for this furnace operation. The parameters for the experimental study were the fuel compositions and the equivalence ratio. The experimental data was collected with 42 thermocouples (R-type and K-type), a gas analyser, an oxygen sensor and pressure transducers. The EGR flow rates were determined based on the pressures and temperatures in the EGR pipes. A National Instruments compact data acquisition system was used with analogue to digital converter modules and controlled by Labview software. Experimental tests were conducted where the secondary air supply was heated and non-heated while the reactants were varied to produce non-premixed, partially premixed and premixed flames. Methane fuel ignited more quickly than biogas due to its higher calorific value and lower self-ignition temperatures. The combination of heated secondary air with partially premixed fuel was the quickest to ignite. The combustion chamber, EGR and exhaust temperatures and the exhaust gas species were experimentally studied for the various equivalence ratios. The flame temperature for the biogas is lower than methane due to the lower calorific value of the fuel. Both methane and biogas flames produced very low NO_x (2 ppm) for all flow rates whereas for carbon monoxide, biogas produced almost zero once the flame became steady.

The numerical modelling for the partially-premixed methane and biogas using the same geometry and conditions as the experimental work is conducted and the

flame temperatures are 1,499 K and 1,368 K respectively. These can be compared to the experimental flame temperatures for methane and biogas which are 1,483 K and 1,358 K respectively. The numerical modelling over-predicts by 1.13 % and 0.73 % respectively, which is good agreement. The exhaust gas species (CO_2 , H_2O , O_2 and NO_x) were analysed at the exhaust pipe and the downstream of the EGR pipes. Both methane and biogas flames are lean. The combustion is completed with zero unburned hydrocarbons detected and excess oxygen was recorded for both the exhaust pipe and the downstream of the EGR pipes. At the downstream end of the EGR pipes, the excess oxygen is much higher due to the fresh supply of secondary air diluting the exhaust gas as expected. The NO_x emissions for methane and biogas are very low (< 3 ppm) for both locations. The numerical sensitivity test was conducted to study the effect of the chamber wall temperature boundary condition on the flame temperature. The results show that the flame temperature is very sensitive to the combustion chamber wall boundary conditions. It concluded that biogas has advantages over methane due to lower peak temperature making the combustion chamber and burner last longer and be more economical to operate. More numerical modelling was conducted for the experimental furnace geometry; when appropriate oxygen dilution (3–13 %) and the preheated oxidant were applied, the model could achieve the MILD regime. The limitation of the developed experimental furnace was discussed where it required a minimum of 0.1 MW/m^3 atm thermal density to achieve and sustain MILD combustion.

Further simulations were conducted using the same furnace geometry except a bluff-body air-fuel nozzle to successfully achieve MILD combustion. The oxygen mole fraction is diluted between 3 % and 13 % and the oxidant supply is preheated to achieve the overall reactant temperature above the fuel self-ignition temperature. The average and maximum chamber temperatures were almost identical at 3 % inlet oxygen mole fraction. The chamber temperature uniformity ratio was ≤ 20 % when the oxygen mole fractions were ≤ 13 %. The conventional flame was produced (the uniformity ratio of the chamber's temperature was > 20 %) when the oxygen mole fraction reached > 14 %.

A new open-end furnace was designed, fabricated and tested experimentally as well as numerically. The open-end furnace with the enclosed chamber numerically operated in the MILD combustion regime for both the industrial burner and the bluff-body burner. These results can be utilised by the heating industry and also for further studies by combustion researchers. It is recommended that future work to extend this study be carried out experimentally and numerically. The further studies can be undertaken using different fuel compositions, a new gas burner, higher secondary air preheating temperatures and a modified combustion chamber.

Certification of Dissertation

I certify that the ideas, designs and experimental work, results, analyses and conclusions set out in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise indicated and acknowledged.

I further certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for assessment in any other course or institution, except where specifically stated.
[3ex]

MUHAMAD MAT NOOR, B.ENG.(HONS), M.SC.(MECH. ENG.)
U1013680

Signature of Candidate

Date

ENDORSEMENT

Supervisory Team

Dr. Andrew P. Wandel
Signature of Principal Supervisor

Date

Professor Dr. Talal Yusaf
Signature of Associated Supervisor

Date

Dedication

Thee my Lord to be satisfied

To my father: Haji Mat Noor Adam

To my mother: Hajjah Che Thom Haji Yaacob

To my wife: Puan Daswati Haji Ghazali

To my kids: Muhammad Nur Amiruddin, Nurul Ain Nafisah,

Nurin Aisyah, Nurina Amni and Nurin Aqila

To my brothers : Sanusi, Azmi, Shaifol, Zamani,

Abdul Rahman and Abdul Rahim

To my sisters : Noriah and Suzarmaini

Acknowledgements

In the name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful

I would like to express my gratitude and sincere thanks to my supervisors Dr. Andrew P. Wandel and Professor Dr. Talal Yusaf for their guidance, support and encouragement throughout my study. I also have grateful appreciation to them for giving me the opportunity to do my work on this research project. I would like to thank The Ministry of Education (Malaysia) for the provision of my financial assistance (scholarship) and Universiti Malaysia Pahang for the approval of my study leave and family financial support.

I would like also to acknowledge the great contributions by Dr. Paul Baker, Dr. Richard Landers, Dr. A. Aziz Hairuddin, Dr. A. Saddam Hussein, Dr. K. Saleh, Terry Byrne, Dean Beliveau and Juanita Ryan. They supported me in many ways and provided helpful discussion during this project. I am grateful to the USQ Mechanical Workshop, Chris Galligan and Brian Aston for the discussion and development to complete the combustion chamber. Not forgetting thanks for the gas supply installation by Air Liquide and the gas fitters, P. Alwyard and H.M. Harry, for testing and commissioning the gas supply and combustion ignition and safety systems. Also enormous thanks to Mrs. Weide Wandel for proof-reading of this thesis.

A special thank you goes to my colleagues and friends in the USQ Combustion Group, USQ Computational Engineering and Science Research Centre and UMP Combustion Group (Professor Dr. M.M. Rahman and Dr. K. Kadirgama) for their fruitful discussions, friendship and help. My sincere thanks to my lovely wife, G. Daswati, for the help to accomplish this thesis. I acknowledge my sincere indebtedness and gratitude to my parents and families for their prayers, sacrifices and moral support given to me. Special thanks to my thesis examination's committee members for their comments and suggestions which were crucial for the successful completion of this thesis.

MUHAMAD MAT NOOR, B.ENG.(HONS), M.SC.(MECH. ENG.)

*University of Southern Queensland
March 2015*

List of Publications and Presentations

Journal Articles

Noor MM, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2014, Air Fuel Ratio for the Mixture of Biogas and Hydrogen on Non-Premixed MILD Combustion, *Int. J. Automot. Mech. Eng.*, 10, 2144-2154, (Scopus SNIP: 2.10).

Noor MM, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2014, The Simulation of Biogas Combustion in MILD Burner, *J. Mech. Eng. Sci.*, 6(1), 995-1013.

Noor MM, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2014, Effect of Air-Fuel Ratio on Temperature Distribution and Pollutants for Biogas MILD Combustion, *Int. J. Automot. Mech. Eng.*, 10, 1980-1992, (Scopus SNIP: 2.10).

Noor MM, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2013, MILD Combustion: The Future for Lean and Clean Combustion Technology, *Int. Rev. Mech. Eng.* 8(1), 251-257, (SNIP: 0.64).

Noor MM, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2013, Design and Development of MILD Combustion Burner, *J. Mech. Eng. Sci.*, 5(1), 668-681.

Noor MM, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2012, A Review of MILD Combustion and Open Furnace Design Consideration, *Int. J. Automot. Mech. Eng.*, 6(1), 730-754, (Scopus SNIP: 2.10).

Noor MM, Hairuddin AA, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2012, Modelling of Non-Premixed Turbulent Combustion of Hydrogen using Conditional Moment Closure Method, *IOP: Mat. Sci. Eng.*, 36, 012036, 1-17, (Scopus SNIP: 0.15).

Conference Papers

Noor MM, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2013, Numerical Study of Oxygen Dilution and Temperature Distribution of Biogas Combustion in Bluff-Body MILD Burner, *Australian Combust. Symp.*, 6-8 Nov, UWA, Perth, Australia, ACS13-57, 299-303.

Noor MM, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2013, The Analysis of Recirculation Zone and Ignition Position of Non-Premixed Bluff-Body for Biogas MILD Combustion, Int. Conf. Mech. Eng. Research, 1-3 Jul, Malaysia, P245, 1-10.

Noor MM, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2013, Discretization of Three Dimensional Non-uniform Grid Conditional Moment Closure Elliptic Equation using Finite Different Method, Malaysian PG Conf., 4-5 July, Sydney, Australia, MPC13-07, 48-60.

Yusaf T, Noor MM, Wandel AP, 2013, MILD Combustion: The Future for Lean and Clean Combustion, 2nd Int. Conf. of Mech. Eng. Research, 1-3 Jul, Malaysia, ICMER13-K03, 1-15.(Keynote Paper)

Noor MM, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2012, A Preliminary Study of Control Parameters for Open Furnace MILD Combustion using CFD, 2nd Malaysian PG Conf., 7-9 Jul, Bond University, Gold Coast, Australia, MPC12-16, 46-60.

Noor MM, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2012, The Modelling of the Effect of Air Fuel Ratio on Unburned Hydrocarbons for MILD Combustion, 2nd Malaysian PG Conf., 7-9 Jul, Bond University, Gold Coast, Australia, MPC12-27, 159-163.

Noor MM, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2012, Numerical Investigation of Influence of Air and Fuel Dilution for Open Furnace MILD Combustion Burner, Southern Reg. Eng. Conf., Engineers Australia, 1-2 Sept, USQ, Australia, SREC12-02.

Noor MM, Yusaf T, Wandel AP, 2011, Study of Random Particle Interactions for Analysis of Diffusion Lengths in Turbulent Combustion Modelling, Australian Combust. Symp., 29 Nov - 1 Dec, Uni. of Newcastle, Australia, ACS11-36, 66-69.

Noor MM, Hairuddin AA, Wandel AP, Yusaf T, 2011, Implementation of Conditional Moment Closure using Taylor Expansion and Finite Different Method, 1st Int. Conf. of Mech. Eng. Res., 5-7 Dec, Malaysia, ICMER11-151, 1-12.

Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	vi
List of Publications and Presentations	vii
List of Figures	xvii
List of Tables	xxxii
Acronyms & Abbreviations	xxxv
Nomenclature	xxxvii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Alternative Fuels for Furnace Combustion	3
1.3 Rationale of the Study	4
1.4 Research Objectives	5
1.5 Scope of the Study	6
1.6 Organisation of the Thesis	6
Chapter 2 Literature Review	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.1.1 MILD Combustion	11
2.1.2 Comparison of MILD and Conventional Combustion	14
2.1.3 Furnaces	15

2.2	Biogas Composition and Properties	16
2.3	MILD Combustion Modelling	21
2.3.1	Turbulent Flows	21
2.3.2	Reynolds Number	22
2.4	MILD Combustion Design	22
2.4.1	Oxidant Dilution	23
2.4.2	Reactants Preheating	25
2.4.2.1	Heat Exchanger	25
2.4.2.2	Exhaust Gas Recirculation	26
2.5	MILD Combustion Experiment	28
2.5.1	Lambda	31
2.5.2	Exhaust Emission	31
2.5.2.1	Unburned Hydrocarbons	32
2.5.2.2	Oxides of Nitrogen	33
2.5.2.3	Carbon Monoxide	35
2.5.2.4	Carbon Dioxide	36
2.6	Summary	36
Chapter 3 Computational Fluid Dynamics Modelling		39
3.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Governing Equations	40
3.2.1	Mass Conservation Equation	40
3.2.2	Momentum Conservation Equation	40
3.2.3	Combustion Species Equation	41
3.2.4	Energy Conservation Equation	42
3.2.5	Turbulence Model	42
3.3	Modelling Approach	43
3.3.1	Combustion	44
3.3.2	Radiation Model	45

Contents	xi
3.3.3 Boundary Conditions	45
3.3.4 Modelling Solutions	45
3.4 Simulation for Geometry Design	46
3.4.1 Preliminary Model	46
3.4.2 Four-EGR Model	47
3.4.3 Final Model	50
3.5 Mesh Generation	53
3.5.1 Meshing Quality	54
3.5.2 Meshing Independence Test	57
3.6 Location of Spark Ignition	58
3.7 Combustion Temperature	61
3.8 Summary	62
Chapter 4 Experimental Methodology	63
4.1 Introduction	63
4.2 Experimental Setup	63
4.2.1 Combustion Chamber	66
4.2.2 Gas Burner	67
4.2.3 Air/Fuel Nozzle	68
4.2.4 Exhaust Gas Recirculation System	72
4.2.5 Ignition System	72
4.2.6 Gas Supply System	73
4.2.7 Air Heating Element	76
4.2.8 Exhaust Gas Extraction System	77
4.3 Experimental Instrumentation	78
4.3.1 Thermocouples	78
4.3.2 Gas Analyser	81
4.3.3 Lambda Sensor	82

4.3.4	Pressure Transducers	82
4.3.5	Data Acquisition System	84
4.3.6	Images Processing Device	86
4.3.7	Digital Anemometer	88
4.4	Experimental Methodology	88
4.4.1	Test Procedure	89
4.4.2	Test Conditions	90
4.5	Biogas Fuel	91
4.5.1	Biogas Production	92
4.5.2	Biogas Composition	94
4.5.3	Biogas Properties	94
4.6	Experimental Parameters	95
4.6.1	Flow Rate	96
4.6.2	Secondary Air Pre-Heating and EGR	96
4.6.3	Air and Fuel Supply	97
4.7	Experimental Accuracy and Error	98
4.8	Test Flame Experiments	99
4.9	Summary	100
Chapter 5 Experimental Results and Discussion		103
5.1	Introduction	103
5.1.1	Threshold Temperature	104
5.1.2	Secondary Air Temperature	104
5.1.2.1	Chamber Temperature With Exhaust Extraction	104
5.1.2.2	Chamber Temperature Without Exhaust Extraction	106
5.1.3	Flame Conditions	108
5.2	Flame Ignition	108
5.2.1	Ignition of Methane Non-Premixed Flame	110

5.2.2	Ignition of Methane Partially-Premixed Flame	112
5.2.3	Ignition of Methane Premixed Flame	114
5.2.4	Ignition of Biogas Non-Premixed Flame	115
5.3	Excess Air Combustion: Open and Closed Window	116
5.3.1	Ignition Testing	117
5.3.2	Combustion Product	118
5.4	Comparison of Methane and Biogas Flames	121
5.4.1	Flame Temperature	122
5.4.2	Lambda	122
5.5	Non-Premixed, Partially-Premixed and Premixed Flames	124
5.6	Chamber Temperature and Combustion Products	125
5.6.1	Chamber Temperature	125
5.6.2	EGR Temperature	126
5.6.3	Air Dilution Temperature	127
5.6.4	Chamber Wall Temperature	128
5.6.5	Temperature and Pressure at P1 and P2	128
5.6.6	Combustion Product	129
5.7	Oxygen Dilution	133
5.8	Effect of Secondary Air on Flame Geometry	134
5.9	Effect of Secondary Air on Exhaust Gas	135
5.9.1	Preheating Secondary Air for Biogas	135
5.9.2	Non-Preheating Secondary Air for Methane	137
5.10	Effect of EGR and Heated Secondary Air	140
5.10.1	Chamber Temperature	140
5.10.2	Combustion Product	141
5.11	Comparison of Experimental and Numerical Results	144
5.11.1	Methane Flame	146
5.11.2	Numerical Sensitivity Test	152

5.11.3	Biogas Flame	154
5.12	Numerical Modelling of MILD Combustion	161
5.12.1	Methane Flame	161
5.12.2	Biogas Flame	165
5.13	Experimental Limitation for MILD Combustion	169
5.14	Summary	170
Chapter 6 Numerical Results and Discussion		173
6.1	Introduction	173
6.2	Air/Fuel Bluff-body Burner	174
6.3	Combustion Characteristics	175
6.3.1	Chamber Temperature Profile	175
6.3.2	Chamber Average and Maximum Temperature	178
6.4	Oxygen Dilution	181
6.5	Combustion Product	183
6.5.1	Unburned Hydrocarbon	185
6.5.2	Oxygen	187
6.5.3	Oxides of Nitrogen	188
6.5.4	Carbon Dioxide	189
6.5.5	Carbon Monoxide	190
6.5.6	Water Vapour	191
6.6	Summary	192
Chapter 7 Conclusions		197
7.1	Introduction	197
7.2	Summary of Findings	198
7.2.1	Experimental Work	198
7.2.2	Numerical Modelling Work	199
7.3	Contribution of the Study	200

Contents	xv
7.4 Recommendations For Future Work	201
References	203
Appendix A Chemical Reaction and Gas Properties	227
A.1 Chemical Reactions	227
A.2 Gas Properties	228
A.3 Fuel and Oxidant Supply Rate	228
A.3.1 Gas Supply Flow Rate	229
A.3.2 Primary Air Supply Flow Rate	229
A.3.3 Secondary Air Supply Flow Rate	230
A.4 Furnace Power Calculation	230
Appendix B Thermocouples and Other Instrumentations	233
B.1 Data Acquisition System	233
B.2 Gas Analysers Specification	233
B.2.1 CODA Gas Analyser	233
B.2.2 MOTEK Lambda Sensor	233
B.3 Thermocouples	234
B.3.1 Thermocouples Installation	234
B.3.2 Standard Thermocouple	236
B.4 High Temperature Glass Window	236
B.5 Secondary Oxidant Heating Element	236
B.6 Gas Supply Flow Meter	238
B.7 EGR Flow Rate Calculation	238
B.8 Ignition System with Gas Safety Interlock	242
B.9 Sample Weather Report	242
Appendix C Experimental Setup and Ignition Testing	245
C.1 Overall Experimental Setup	245

C.2	Ignition Trial	245
C.2.1	Ignition of Non-Premixed Flame	246
C.2.2	Ignition of Partially Premixed Flame	248
C.2.3	Ignition of Premixed Flame	250
C.2.4	Ignition of Biogas Flame	251
C.2.5	Ignition Without Exhaust Extraction Fan	251
C.2.6	Unsuccessful Ignition	252
Appendix D	Additional Numerical Results	255
D.1	Methane Flame	255
D.2	Biogas Flame	257
Appendix E	Detailed Experimental Work Procedure and Safety	261
E.1	Commissioning of Test Equipment	261
E.2	Safety Measures	261
E.2.1	Gas Detection System	262
E.2.2	Automatic Burner Safety Shut-off System	262
E.3	Standard Operating Procedure	262
E.3.1	Pre-Start-up Procedure	262
E.3.2	Combustion Chamber Air Purging Procedure	263
E.3.3	Normal Start-up and Operating Procedure	264
E.3.4	Normal Shutdown Procedure	264
E.3.5	Emergency Shutdown Procedure	265
Appendix F	Gas and Air Supply System	267
F.1	Detailed MILD Burner Drawing	267
F.2	Gas Control Panel Supply System	267
F.3	Secondary Air Supply System	269

List of Figures

1.1	The history and prediction of world energy consumption (USEIA, 2013).	2
1.2	The history and prediction of unwanted increase of earth's temperature (Maczulak, 2010).	2
2.1	Combustion modes for a methane/oxygen/nitrogen mixture (Cavaliere and de Joannon, 2004).	10
2.2	Combustion temperature distribution (a) conventional combustion: with room temperature oxidant containing 21 % oxygen mole fraction (b) MILD combustion: with preheated oxidant containing 4 % oxygen mole fraction (Tsuji et al., 2003).	11
2.3	MILD and Conventional combustion with 10 kW of heat input for (a) conventional flame of natural gas (b) MILD combustion of natural gas (c) conventional combustion of sawdust (d) MILD combustion of sawdust (Dally et al., 2010).	14
2.4	The temperature and mass fraction for flame front (Tsuji et al., 2003).	15
2.5	Closed furnace reacting zone for conventional (left) and MILD combustion (right) (Fei and Mi, 2011).	16
2.6	Industrial furnaces with opening on the top (Mullinger and Jenkins, 2008).	17
2.7	One of the Swedish biogas tanks and vehicle refuelling (Clementson, 2007).	18
2.8	Life cycle of carbon dioxide and biofuels (Cheng and Wang, 2013).	18
2.9	Photograph of the flame with the exposure time and the relative luminosity of each flame (Colorado et al., 2009).	23

2.10	Experimental setup for MILD combustion fully closed burner a) University of Adelaide, Australia (Szegö et al., 2008), b) Politecnico di Milano, Italy (Derudi and Rota, 2011).	24
2.11	The relationship between furnace temperature and dilution ratio (Wünning and Wünning, 1997).	24
2.12	Schematic regime diagram for methane-air JHC flames (Rao, 2010).	25
2.13	The comparison between a recuperator and a regenerator (Tsuji et al., 2003).	26
2.14	Efficiency of the heating system (a) without EGR (b) with EGR (Kraus and Barraclough, 2012).	27
2.15	MILD combustion furnace and parallel jet burner system (Szegö et al., 2009).	28
2.16	High intensity CDC combustor (Arghode and Gupta, 2013).	29
2.17	Schematic of two-flame and one-flame type regenerative burning systems (Choi and Katsuki, 2001).	30
2.18	Combustion air temperature of 1,100°C (Gupta et al., 1999).	30
2.19	Formation of NO _x (Scheele et al., 2008).	33
2.20	Preheated air temperature on NO _x emission (Tsuji et al., 2003).	35
3.1	Modelling and simulation flow chart.	44
3.2	First model of combustion chamber geometry (a) no EGR pipe (b) with 2 EGR pipes (c) with 2 EGR pipes and EGR curved inlet.	47
3.3	Second model with 4 EGRs, (a) air supply internal diameter is 22 mm, (b) air supply internal diameter is 5 mm.	48
3.4	Combustion temperature in the chamber for Figure 3.3(b).	49
3.5	Velocity magnitude between -5.0 and 5.0 m/s for Figure 3.3(b) with gas supply at 20 m/s and air supply at 80 m/s.	50
3.6	Velocity in Y direction between 0.1 and 5.0 m/s for Figure 3.3 (b) with gas supply at 40 m/s and air supply at 120 m/s producing reversed flow in EGR.	51

3.7	Velocity in Y direction between -0.1 and -5.0 m/s for Figure 3.3 (b) with gas supply at 20 m/s and air supply at 80 m/s producing correct flow in EGR.	51
3.8	The geometry and boundary conditions.	52
3.9	Fuel and air nozzle geometry.	52
3.10	Design modeller for the MILD furnace (a) full model, (b) half model axisymmetric at XY-plane model.	53
3.11	Three-dimensional meshing of geometry.	55
3.12	Meshing for air and fuel supply pipes.	55
3.13	Fine meshing for critical areas.	55
3.14	Body sizing meshing for body of influence (a) geometry (b) meshing.	56
3.15	Four grids for meshing independence study (cross section X-X is 355 mm above the fuel nozzle).	57
3.16	Temperature distribution for X-X axis for grids A, B, C and D.	58
3.17	Contours of chamber temperature.	59
3.18	Contour of velocity magnitude (a) total velocity magnitude from 0 to 5.0 m/s (b) contour of Y velocity for 0 to 3.0 m/s, (c) contour of Y velocity for -3.0 to 0 m/s.	59
3.19	Schematic diagrams for bluff-body burner, a) flame flow field with central fuel jet and annulus air co-flow, b) flow re-circulation zones.	60
3.20	Temperature of normal combustion for 3 m/s of methane and 10 m/s of atmospheric air.	61
3.21	The flow inside the combustion chamber for the condition of Figure 3.20.	62
4.1	Combustion chamber with installed thermocouples, pressure transducers, oxidant and gas supply system, ignition system, and air heating element.	64
4.2	Secondary oxidant supply pipe.	65
4.3	Exhaust and EGR vent damper to control exhaust gas flow rate.	66

4.4	Burner observation windows with high temperature quartz glass.	66
4.5	Gas control panel with flow meter.	67
4.6	Industrial premixed burner includes flame retention with air-fuel mixture provided upstream (NAMC, 1952; Turns, 2006).	68
4.7	The fuel nozzle upstream of air fuel mixing.	69
4.8	Schematic diagram for an air and fuel nozzle for the experimental work (all dimensions in mm).	69
4.9	Burner before and after installation in the system.	70
4.10	Fuel nozzle and gas supply pressure regulator.	71
4.11	Flame safety interlock and ignition system.	74
4.12	Burner head ignition grounding wire.	75
4.13	The displacement method to measure the carbon dioxide flow rate.	76
4.14	Air heating element installation.	77
4.15	The duration of heating element to reach steady maximum temperature.	78
4.16	The location of measuring sensors: thermocouples, pressure transducers and gas analyser sampling probe. H are observation windows, sensor 1 is R-type thermocouple, sensors 2 to 12, T, W, R, E, M and K are K-type thermocouples, G are gas analysers, L is lambda sensor and P are pressure transducers.	80
4.17	Gas analyser used to measure exhaust gas compositions. (a) CODA gas analyser. (b) sampling probe.	81
4.18	Gas analyser sampling location. (a) exhaust gas ducting with thermocouple (left) and exhaust gas sampling (right). (b) EGR pipe with exhaust gas sampling (left) and lambda sensor (right).	82
4.19	MoTec Profesional Lambda Meter (PLM) sensor and data display (MoTeC, 2013).	83
4.20	The location of two pressure transducers separated by 1.1 m.	83
4.21	Pressure transducer calibration using Budenberg 380 dead weight tester.	84

4.22	The relation of current (ampere) and pressure (bar) for pressure transducer. The pressure transducer range is from 0 to 1.0 bar . . .	85
4.23	Thermocouples and pressure transducers connected to NI cDAQ card.	85
4.24	LabVIEW graphical user interface.	86
4.25	Illustration of the camera position and flame image capturing. . .	87
4.26	Testo 417 used to measure the exhaust gas flow rate (Testo, 2012).	88
4.27	Abattoir pond for the biogas study (McCabe et al., 2014).	93
4.28	Sludge at abattoir wastewater pond (McCabe and Baillie, 2012). .	93
4.29	The location of K-type thermocouples for early stage experimental work.	99
4.30	R-type thermocouple installation for the flame temperature measurement (image size is 270 mm \times 315 mm).	100
4.31	Recorded chamber temperature for the combustion of 24.2 L/min of methane supply for the duration of 30 seconds.	101
4.32	Recorded chamber temperature for the combustion of 24.2 L/min of methane supply at the duration of 420 seconds.	101
5.1	Effect of heated secondary air temperature with exhaust extraction fan and without combustion.	105
5.2	Chamber axial location for temperature measurement. Downstream distance (in mm) from injection location, x/D_i : station A-A = 5.2, B-B = 16.9, C-C = 28.5, D-D = 33.8.	106
5.3	Effect of heated secondary air temperature with exhaust extraction fan and without combustion for the chamber.	106
5.4	Effect of heated secondary air temperature without combustion and exhaust extraction fan.	107
5.5	Effect of heated secondary air temperature without combustion and exhaust extraction fan for the chamber.	108
5.6	Biogas flame observed through high-temperature glass window. . .	109

5.7	Flame primary and secondary combustion zone for methane at $\lambda = 2.0$ (image size is 225 mm \times 275 mm).	109
5.8	Flame temperature for the ignition of the non-premixed, partially-premixed with heated and non-heated secondary air and premixed flame without secondary air.	110
5.9	Time (seconds) from beginning of the test for 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane non-premixed flame with 23.6 L/min non-heated secondary air with 30 fps recording rate (image size is 100 mm \times 300 mm).	111
5.10	As per Figure 5.9 with heated secondary air.	112
5.11	Time (seconds) from beginning of the test for 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane partially-premixed flame with 23.6 L/min non-heated secondary air with 30 fps recording rate (image size is 100 mm \times 300 mm).	113
5.12	As per Figure 5.11 with heated secondary air.	114
5.13	Time (seconds) from beginning of the test for 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane premixed flame without secondary air with 30 fps recording rate (image size is 100 mm \times 300 mm).	115
5.14	Time (seconds) from beginning of the test for 15.30 L/min (20 kPa) biogas non-premixed flame without secondary air with 30 fps recording rate (image size is 100 mm \times 300 mm).	116
5.15	Time between 3.3 seconds and 3.67 seconds for Figure 5.14 (image size is 100 mm \times 300 mm).	117
5.16	Time (seconds) from the beginning of the test for partially-premixed flame methane of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) with 11.8 L/min of heated secondary air with 30 fps recording rate where (a) to (f) is window number 1 open and (g) to (l) is window number 1 closed (image size is 100 mm \times 300 mm).	119
5.17	Comparison of open and closed observation window number 1 for exhaust gas λ measurement of exhaust pipe.	120
5.18	Comparison of open and closed observation window number 1 on exhaust gas measurement at exhaust pipe and EGR pipe.	120
5.19	Photograph of the flame for the same volume flow rate of combustible fuel (image size is 245 mm \times 310 mm).	121
5.20	Methane and biogas flame in-furnace temperature.	123

5.21	Lambda value comparison for methane and biogas combustion.	124
5.22	Recorded chamber temperature profile.	126
5.23	EGR temperature profile measured at all four EGR pipe.	127
5.24	Downstream of EGR and secondary oxidant mixing temperature measured at mixing cone.	128
5.25	Burner wall temperature profile.	129
5.26	Temperature and pressure for P1 and P2.	129
5.27	EGR velocity for exhaust extraction (suction) ON and OFF.	130
5.28	Comparison of exhaust gas compositions at exhaust pipe and downstream of the EGR pipe.	131
5.29	Exhaust and EGR gas dilution on methane combustion for carbon dioxide.	131
5.30	Unburned hydrocarbon release with exhaust gas	132
5.31	Water vapour deposited at the glass window after a period of the combustion. The water vapour later evaporated.	133
5.32	Water vapour in exhaust gas for methane combustion.	133
5.33	Pressure and temperature for pressure transducers 1 and 2.	134
5.34	Exhaust gas and EGR gas dilution on methane combustion for oxygen.	134
5.35	Comparison of non-premixed flame temperature for methane and biogas fuel at x/D_i of 5.2 (A-A).	135
5.36	Heated secondary air flow rate in L/min for 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) of non-premixed methane flame ((a) to (f)) and non-premixed biogas flame ((g) to (l)) (image size is 100 mm \times 300 mm).	136
5.37	Partially-premixed flame temperature for biogas fuel at x/D_i of 5.2 (A-A).	137
5.38	Comparison of partially-premixed methane and biogas flame on combustion product.	138

5.39	Comparison of secondary air supply on λ measurement for exhaust gas in exhaust pipe and EGR pipe.	138
5.40	Exhaust gas measurement of methane with secondary air supply for exhaust pipe and EGR pipe.	139
5.41	Effect of heated secondary air and EGR on chamber temperature at different flow rates.	141
5.42	Effect of heated secondary air to chamber temperature (without EGR) at different flow rates.	142
5.43	Effect of secondary air (without preheating) and EGR to chamber temperature at different flow rates.	143
5.44	Effect of secondary air (without preheating) to chamber temperature (without EGR) at different flow rates.	144
5.45	Effect of heated and non-heated secondary air with and without EGR.	144
5.46	Effect of heated and non-heated secondary air with and without EGR.	145
5.47	Effect of heated and non-heated secondary air with and without EGR on exhaust gas compositions.	145
5.48	Effect of heated and non-heated secondary air with and without EGR on exhaust gas compositions.	145
5.49	Comparison of experimental and numerical results for the partially-premixed methane at 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) with the $\lambda = 3.42$. . .	146
5.50	Comparison of experimental and numerical results for Figure 5.49.	147
5.51	Comparison of experimental and numerical results for the partially-premixed methane flame temperature at x/D_i of 5.2 (A-A).	148
5.52	Various species at the exhaust and the EGR for the combustion of methane.	149
5.53	Temperature contour for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane at $\lambda = 3.42$ with oxygen 21 % in oxidant supply.	150
5.54	Species mole fraction for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane at $\lambda = 3.42$ with oxygen 21 % in oxidant supply.	151

5.55	CO profile along the centreline of the combustion chamber for 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane with $\lambda = 3.42$. The nozzle diameter, $D_b=7.5$ mm.	152
5.56	Chamber density, velocity magnitude and gauge pressure distribution for combustion of biogas at $\lambda = 3.42$ with oxygen 21 % in oxidant supply.	152
5.57	Comparison of temperature and combustion products at exhaust and downstream of EGR of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane at $\lambda = 3.42$ with oxygen 21 % in oxidant supply.	153
5.58	Comparison of experimental and numerical results for biogas at 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) with the $\lambda = 3.87$	155
5.59	Comparison of experimental and numerical results for Figure 5.58.	156
5.60	Comparison of the numerical and the experimental partially-premixed biogas flame temperature at x/D_i of 5.2 (A-A).	156
5.61	Various species at the exhaust and the EGR for the combustion of biogas.	158
5.62	Temperature contour for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) biogas at $\lambda = 3.87$ with oxygen 3 % in oxidant supply.	159
5.63	Combustion products for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) biogas at $\lambda = 3.87$ with oxygen 3 % in oxidant supply.	159
5.64	CO profile along the centreline of the combustion chamber for 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) biogas with $\lambda = 3.87$. The nozzle diameter, $D_b=7.5$ mm.	160
5.65	Chamber density, velocity magnitude and gauge pressure distribution for the combustion of biogas at $\lambda = 3.87$ with oxygen 21 % in oxidant supply.	161
5.66	Temperature contour for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane at $\lambda = 1.0$ with oxygen 3 % in oxidant supply.	162
5.67	Combustion products for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane at $\lambda = 1.0$ with oxygen 3 % in oxidant supply.	163
5.68	Temperature contour for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane at $\lambda = 3.30$ with oxygen 3 % in oxidant supply.	164

5.69	Combustion products for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane at $\lambda = 3.30$ with oxygen 3% in oxidant supply.	165
5.70	Temperature contour for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) biogas at $\lambda = 1.0$ with oxygen 3% in oxidant supply.	166
5.71	Species mole fraction for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) biogas at $\lambda = 1.0$ with oxygen 3% in oxidant supply.	166
5.72	Temperature contour for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) biogas at $\lambda = 3.30$ with oxygen 3% in oxidant supply.	167
5.73	Combustion products for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) biogas at $\lambda = 3.30$ with oxygen 3% in oxidant supply.	168
6.1	Schematic diagram for air/fuel bluff-body burner (all dimensions in mm).	174
6.2	Combustion temperature (K) for the exhaust opening of 95% for (a) and (b) and 5% for (c) and (d).	176
6.3	As per Figure 6.2 showing limited temperature ranges.	177
6.4	Temperature profile axial location for bluff-body diameter, $D_b=40$ mm. Downstream distance from injection locations, x/D_b : Station A-A = 2.8, B-B = 8.9, C-C = 15.0, D-D = 17.8, E-E = 20.3, F-F = 22.8.	178
6.5	Temperature profile of the axial location for 8 m/s air inlet velocity with different oxygen mole fractions (y-axis shows a change of 170 K from bottom to top).	179
6.6	As per Figure 6.5 for air inlet velocity of 10 m/s.	180
6.7	As per Figure 6.5 for air inlet velocity of 15 m/s.	181
6.8	Maximum and average chamber and mixing temperatures for biogas ($\lambda = 1.0$ and air inlet velocity of 10 m/s.) Exhaust opening 5%, -; Exhaust opening 95%, - -.	182
6.9	Effect of air inlet velocity on chamber and mixing temperatures for biogas (with $\lambda = 1.0$ and 21% oxygen mole fraction).	182
6.10	Diluted oxygen mole fraction entering chamber compared to inlet mole fraction for biogas combustion ($\lambda = 1.0$).	183

6.11	The reduction of oxygen mole fraction due to dilution of the inlet oxidant.	184
6.12	The oxygen dilution for the air inlet of 3% and 12% oxygen mole fraction ($\lambda = 1.0$).	184
6.13	Chamber temperature for 7% oxygen mole fraction and 8 m/s air supply.	185
6.14	As per Figure 6.13 showing limited temperature ranges.	186
6.15	Chamber temperature for the air inlet of 7% and 21% oxygen mole fractions.	186
6.16	Temperature contours for (a) unwanted burning at downstream of EGR pipes for 21% oxygen mole fraction with 10.0 m/s air inlet and λ of 0.78. (b) proper burning for 7% oxygen mole fraction with 10.0 m/s air inlet and λ of 1.06.	187
6.17	Unburned hydrocarbon in exhaust for the air inlet of 7% and 21% oxygen mole fractions.	187
6.18	Excess oxygen in exhaust for the air inlet of 7% and 21% oxygen mole fractions.	188
6.19	(a) to (c) for mole fraction of NO_x with 8 m/s air supply with 7% oxygen mole fraction and (d) to (f) for the rate of NO_x	189
6.20	Pollutant NO_x in exhaust for the air inlet of 7% and 21% oxygen mole fractions.	189
6.21	Rate of thermal nitrogen oxide production for 8 m/s air supply with 7% oxygen mole fraction.	190
6.22	Carbon dioxide mole fraction for 10 m/s air supply with 7% ((a) to (c)) and 21% ((d) to (f)) oxygen mole fractions.	191
6.23	Carbon dioxide mole fraction in exhaust for the air inlet of 7% and 21% oxygen mole fractions.	191
6.24	Carbon dioxide mole fraction in the exhaust normalised by the oxygen mole fraction in the secondary air stream.	192
6.25	Carbon monoxide mole fraction for 10 m/s air supply with 7% ((a) to (c)) and 21% ((d) to (f)) oxygen mole fractions.	192

6.26	Pollutant carbon monoxide in exhaust for the air inlet of 7% and 21% oxygen mole fractions.	193
6.27	Carbon monoxide mole fraction in the exhaust normalised by the oxygen mole fraction in the secondary air stream.	193
6.28	Water vapour mole fraction for 10 m/s air supply with 7% ((a) to (c)) and 21% ((d) to (f)) oxygen mole fractions.	194
6.29	Water vapour in exhaust for the air inlet of 7% and 21% oxygen mole fractions.	194
B.1	LabVIEW data acquisition system diagram.	234
B.2	CODA gas analyser specification (CODA, 2012).	235
B.3	MoTec Profesional Lambda Meter (PLM) technical data and specification (MoTeC, 2013).	235
B.4	Air heater specifications (Farnam, 2012).	238
B.5	Wiring diagram for air heating element and control cube (Farnam, 2012).	239
B.6	Dwyer flow meter technical data (Dwyer, 2013).	239
B.7	Testo 417 technical data (Testo, 2012).	240
B.8	Detail of ignition control system.	242
B.9	Techrite AGA6953 ignition system technical specifications (Techrite, 2014).	243
B.10	flame detection controller Siemens Landis Gyr model LGB21 350(A27) technical specifications (Siemens, 2014).	243
B.11	Sample USQ weather report (USQ, 2013).	244
C.1	Overall experimental setup with gas control panel, combustion chamber, data acquisition and measurement system.	246
C.2	Time (seconds) from the beginning of the test for 15.30 L/min (20 kPa) methane non-premixed flame with 23.6 L/min non-heated secondary air without primary air supply with 30 fps recording rate (image size is 100 mm × 300 mm).	247

C.3	Time (seconds) from the beginning of the test for 15.30 L/min (20 kPa) methane non-premixed flame with 23.6 L/min heated secondary air without primary air supply with 30 fps recording rate (image size is 100 mm × 300 mm).	248
C.4	Time (seconds) from the beginning of the test for 15.30 L/min (20 kPa) methane partially premixed flame with 23.6 L/min heated secondary air with 30 fps recording rate (image size is 100 mm × 300 mm).	249
C.5	Time (seconds) from beginning of the test for 15.30 L/min (20 kPa) methane partially premixed flame with 23.6 L/min heated secondary air with 30 fps recording rate (image size is 100 mm × 300 mm).	250
C.6	Time (seconds) from beginning of the test for 15.30 L/min (20 kPa) methane premixed flame without secondary air with 30 fps recording rate (image size is 100 mm × 300 mm).	251
C.7	Time (seconds) from beginning of the test for 15.30 L/min (20 kPa) Biogas non-premixed flame with 23.6 L/min secondary air with 30 fps recording rate (image size is 100 mm × 300 mm).	252
C.8	Time (seconds) from beginning of the test for 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane non-premixed flame with 11.8 L/min non-heated secondary air without primary air supply and exhaust fan off with 30 fps recording rate (image size is 100 mm × 300 mm).	253
C.9	Ignition testing for non-premixed flame for 24.2 L/min of methane flow rate.	254
D.1	Temperature contour for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane at λ 3.81.	256
D.2	Combustion products for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane at $\lambda = 3.81$	256
D.3	Chamber density, velocity magnitude and gauge pressure for combustion of methane at $\lambda = 3.81$	257
D.4	Temperature contour for combustion of biogas at $\lambda = 3.81$	258
D.5	Combustion products for combustion of 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) biogas at $\lambda = 3.81$	258

D.6	Chamber density, velocity magnitude and gauge pressure for combustion of biogas at $\lambda = 3.81$	259
E.1	Gas detection system (a) wall gas detection sensor (b)water drain gas detector (c) gas detection system control panel.	262
E.2	Compressed air supply valves and flow-meter.	264
F.1	Detailed MILD burner schematic diagram.	268
F.2	Dwyer flow meter gas correction factor.	269

List of Tables

2.1	Summary of different combustion modes (Cavaliere and de Joannon, 2004).	10
2.2	Combustion properties of natural gas and biogas (Gonzalez et al., 2009).	18
2.3	Biogas composition (Clementson, 2007; Seadi et al., 2008; Nielsen, 2009; Balat and Balat, 2009).	19
2.4	The difference in natural gas composition for Australia, Greece, Sweden, United States and Malaysia (Kong and Reitz, 2002; Olsson et al., 2002; Papagiannakis and Hountalas, 2004; Jonathan, 2006; Ramli, 2009; GM, 2011; Hairuddin et al., 2014).	20
2.5	Biogas and natural gas energy balance (Colorado et al., 2010). . .	20
2.6	Comparison for air-fuel combustion with and without recuperator (Joachim, 2012).	26
2.7	Exhaust pollutant from flameless combustion (Colorado et al., 2010).	32
2.8	Pollutant from fossil fuel combustion (kg of pollutant per 10^9 kJ of energy input) (USEIA, 1999).	32
3.1	Typical data for furnace and burner in Figure 3.2(c).	46
3.2	Typical data for furnace and burner in Figure 3.3(b).	48
3.3	Typical data for furnace and burner in Figure 3.8.	53
3.4	Details of mesh settings with elements and nodes count.	54
4.1	Summary of the changes for burner nozzle section.	67
4.2	The purity of the supplied gas (Airliquide, 2012).	74

4.3	Gas density, specific gravity and correction factor to determine the gas flow rate (Dwyer, 2013).	75
4.4	Time taken (second) to displace the volume of 1,400 mL of water for 15.32 L/min (20 kPa) of methane and carbon dioxide and 23.6 L/min of air.	76
4.5	Exhaust extraction flow rate measurement using Testo 417 digital anemometer.	78
4.6	Location of thermocouples installation.	79
4.7	Mean, standard deviation and standard error for sample of K-type thermocouple used.	79
4.8	Mean, standard deviation and standard error for sample of R-type thermocouple used.	81
4.9	CODA gas analyser measurement accuracy (CODA, 2012).	81
4.10	Pressure transducers calibration.	84
4.11	Testo 417 large vane anemometer specifications (Testo, 2012).	88
4.12	Measured variables unit measurement.	90
4.13	Sample of weather conditions recorded before experimental work started.	91
4.14	Average on-site biogas composition from covered pond (McCabe, 2012).	93
4.15	Biogas composition (Biogas, 2012).	94
4.16	Biogas resources (Biogas, 2012).	95
4.17	Properties of biogas with 55 % to 70 % methane and 30 % to 45 % carbon dioxide (Zicari, 2003; Seadi et al., 2008; Nathan et al., 2010).	95
4.18	Biogas physical characteristics compared to natural gas (Ramli, 2009; Biogas, 2012; Hairuddin et al., 2014).	96
4.19	Fuel composition for the experiment.	96
4.20	The conversion table of fuel supply flow rate for the 12.7 mm fuel supply inlet.	96

4.21	The conversion table of air supply flow rate for the 10.0 mm secondary oxidant supply inlet.	97
4.22	Experimental parameters for pre-heating of the secondary air supply and EGR (secondary air flow rate in L/min).	97
4.23	Experimental parameters for methane and biogas for premixed and non-premixed combustion.	98
5.1	Heated secondary oxidant temperature recorded 20 minutes after the heater started.	105
5.2	Summary of ignition started for 10.82 L/min (10 kPa) methane with non-premixed (NPF), partially-premixed (PPF) and premixed (PF) flame (HY is preheating, HN is without preheating and SAN is without secondary air).	110
5.3	The ignition timing for the experiment of excess air flow through (window number 1 open) and no excess air flow through (closed window number 1).	117
5.4	Operating conditions for methane and biogas premixed flames. . .	122
5.5	Operating conditions for methane and biogas premixed flames. . .	124
5.6	Summary of NPF, PPF and PF combustion experimental setup (HY is with preheating, HN is without preheating and SAN is without secondary air).	125
5.7	NPF, PPF and PF combustion with and without secondary air preheating (HY is with preheating, HN is without preheating and SAN is without secondary air).	126
5.8	Effect of wall temperature boundary conditions and oxygen mole fractions on flame temperature.	154
6.1	Typical data for bluff-body burner and reactant supply inlets in Figure 6.1.	174
6.2	The oxygen mole fraction in air supply and MILD condition for Figure 6.7 at the λ of 0.35 to 2.47. The uniformity ratio is the difference between the maximum and the minimum divided by the maximum temperature.	178

A.1	Properties of carbon dioxide, nitrogen, oxygen, water vapour and methane at 273 K and 1 atm (Turns, 2006; NIST, 2009).	228
A.2	Heat values of various fuels (McAllister et al., 2011; Demirel, 2012).	229
A.3	The conversion table of fuel supply flow rate for the 12.7 mm fuel supply inlet.	229
A.4	The conversion table of air supply flow rate for the 10.0 mm oxidant supply inlet. The compressed air pressure was set at 500 kPa and the flow rate was controlled by the flow meter.	230
B.1	The summary of 42 thermocouples installation with Standardised Letter Designation (SLD).	236
B.2	Thermocouple types (Burley et al., 1978; ISA, 1982; Burn et al., 1993; Goldstein et al., 1998; HMC, 2012; Noor et al., 2013)	237
B.3	High temperature glass window made of fused silica (Edmund, 2012).	238
C.1	Summary of ignition started for 15.30 L/min (20 kPa) methane with non-premixed, partially premixed and premixed flames (HY is pre-heating, HN is without preheating and SAN is without secondary air).	246

Acronyms & Abbreviations

AD	:	Anaerobic digestion
ADC	:	Analogue to digital conversion
ALS	:	Australian laboratory service
ANOVA	:	Analysis of variance
AVC	:	Atmospheric Vent Combustion
BOD	:	Biological oxygen demand
BR	:	Blockage ratio
CCS	:	Carbon capture and storage
CDC	:	Colourless distributed combustion
CFD	:	Computational fluid dynamics
CMC	:	Conditional moment closure
CO	:	Carbon monoxide
CO ₂	:	Carbon dioxide
COD	:	Chemical oxygen demand
EC	:	Electrical conductivity
EGR	:	Exhaust gas recirculation
FIR	:	Fuel induced recirculation
FOG	:	Fat, oil and grease
GHG	:	Greenhouse gas
GUI	:	Graphic user interface
HC	:	Hydrocarbon
HCCI	:	Homogeneous charge compression ignition
HHV	:	Higher heating value
HiTAC	:	High temperature air combustion
HPC	:	High performance computing
HTOC	:	High temperature combustion
IEA	:	International Energy Agency
IRZ	:	Inner recirculation zone
ISA	:	Instrument Society of America
JHC	:	Jet in hot co-flow
LDA	:	Laser Doppler Anemometry
LRS	:	Laser Rayleigh Scattering
LCV	:	Low calorific value
LES	:	Large eddy simulation
LHV	:	Lower heating value

LSD	:	Least significant difference
MFB	:	Mass fraction burn
MILD	:	Moderate or intense low oxygen dilution
MMC	:	Multiple mapping conditioning
MWTPs	:	Municipal waste water treatment plants
NI	:	National Instrument
NH ₃ -N	:	Ammonia as nitrogen
NO	:	Nitric oxide
NO ₂	:	Nitrogen dioxide
NO _x	:	Nitrogen oxides
N ₂ O	:	Nitrous oxide
NPF	:	Non-premixed flame
OH	:	Hydroxyl
ORZ	:	Outer recirculation zone
ORP	:	Oxidation-reduction potential
PAH	:	Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
PCA	:	Principal component analysis
PDE	:	Partial differential equation
PDF	:	Probability density function
PF	:	Premixed flame
PPF	:	Partially premixed flame
PM	:	Particulate matter
RH	:	Relative humidity
RME	:	Rapeseed ethyl ester
RoHR	:	Rate of heat release
RANS	:	Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes
SDE	:	Stochastic differential equation
SI	:	Spark ignition
SO _x	:	Sulphur oxides
SOC	:	Start of combustion
SPDL	:	Stochastic particle diffusion length
TKN	:	Total Kjeldahl nitrogen
TSS	:	Total concentration of suspended (non-soluble) solids
T _c	:	Chamber temperature
T _{in}	:	Inlet temperature
T _{si}	:	Self-ignition temperature
UCL	:	Upper calorific value
UHC	:	Unburned hydrocarbons
UV	:	Ultra violet
VFA	:	Volatile fatty acids
fps	:	frame per second

Nomenclature

R	: gas constant
T	: temperature
U	: internal energy
V	: volume
W	: chemical source term
Y	: mass fraction
Z	: mixture fraction (a conserved scalar)
A_n	: air nozzle outer diameter
C_p	: specific heat at constant pressure
D_a	: air nozzle outer diameter
D_b	: bluff-body diameter
D_i	: air/fuel nozzle inner diameter
F_n	: fuel nozzle outer diameter
R_d	: internal dilution ratio
R_{tu}	: Temperature uniformity ratio
K_v	: dilution ratio
T_r	: temperature of the reactants
T_c	: chamber temperature
T_{in}	: inlet temperature
T_{si}	: self-ignition temperature
d	: fuel nozzle inner diameter
k	: turbulent kinetic energy
\dot{q}	: heat release rate
\dot{m}	: mass flow rate
n	: total number of species
σ	: standard deviation
p_c	: chamber pressure
t	: time
	: timescale
u	: velocity
v	: specific volume
	: physical velocity
\dot{v}	: volume flow rate
x, y	: physical location
α	: chemical species
δt	: time interval

μ	:	mean
ν	:	kinematic viscosity
ρ	:	density or mean fluid density
$\dot{\omega}$:	chemical reaction rate
ε	:	turbulent kinetic energy dissipation rate