



**CHARACTERISTICS AND BEHAVIOUR OF GOMUTI
FIBRE COMPOSITES WITH THERMOSET RESIN**

A dissertation submitted by
Adriana Nanow Everce Ticoalu
BEng (Civil), MEng (Civil)

For the award of
Doctor of Philosophy

Principal supervisor
Prof Thiru Aravinthan

Associate supervisor
Dr Francisco Cardona

School of Civil Engineering and Surveying
Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences
University of Southern Queensland
Australia

2013

ABSTRACT

Gomuti fibre, as a natural fibre obtained from the *Arenga pinnata* tree, is a versatile fibre that has been used conventionally for various purposes such as thatch roofing, water filters, brooms, rope and insulation. Its usage has diminished over time due to the introduction of some more durable, installation-easy and aesthetically pleasing roofing materials such as metal, plastic and concrete. With the current re-emerging interest in natural fibres and their composites as potential building and construction materials, there exists the opportunity to investigate the potential of gomuti fibre.

The main objective of this study is to evaluate the characteristics and behaviour of gomuti fibre composites with polymer thermoset resins, and investigate its feasibility to be developed as a building and construction material. The experimental study covers firstly, the investigation on the strength, stiffness and thermal stability of gomuti fibre itself. Secondly, the strength and stiffness of gomuti fibre composites and thirdly, the impact strength and behaviour of gomuti fibre composites. The experimental results demonstrated the effects of fibre treatment and the use of different thermoset resin matrices on the properties of gomuti fibre. Lastly, a parametric study on the mechanical properties of a flat roof tile was performed to evaluate the feasibility of developing gomuti fibre composites into a building and construction material.

Investigation on the characteristics of gomuti fibre has revealed that gomuti fibre has an average single fibre tensile strength of 173.9 MPa, Young's modulus of 3.85 GPa, and elongation of 12.8 %. The results are particularly comparable with coir fibre. Fibre treatment with sodium hydroxide resulted in increased fibre density, strength, modulus and thermal stability, whilst reducing the diameter. Furthermore, 5

% treatment was found to give the optimal properties although there were no significant variations between the results of 5 % and 10 % treatment amount. Improvement of the properties was observed by treating the fibre with sodium hydroxide however, the results indicated that a higher concentration of sodium hydroxide may damage the fibre causing a reduction in properties.

With variations of fibre treatment and different thermoset resin (polyester, vinylester and epoxy), overall, the tensile strength of gomuti fibre composites was found to be between 28.4 MPa and 48.5 MPa, and Young's modulus between 4.32 GPa and 6.25 GPa. Compressive strengths of the composites were found to be approximately 2–4 times the tensile strengths; the flexural strengths approximately 2 times the tensile strengths, while shear strengths were approximately 0.6–1 times the tensile strengths. The use of different resins resulted in significant variations in the mechanical properties while fibre treatment caused minor variations. The composites with treated fibre show various responses but, overall, improvement was seen on composites with 5 % alkali treated fibre. Results of strength and stiffness evaluation confirm that while fibre treatment has obvious effects to the composite properties, the difference between 5 % and 10 % of NaOH was not significant. This testing result relates to the strength of single fibre. Furthermore, results from mechanical testing indicated that gomuti fibre composites may offer more significant benefits when used for products with low to moderate strength requirements. A similar inference was found on the results of impact testing.

A study of impact strength characteristics of gomuti fibre composites found that, overall, the average impact strength varies from 15 kJ/m² to 71.7 kJ/m². Samples with untreated fibre exhibited higher impact strength compared to samples with treated fibres, except for 10% NaOH treated fibre with vinylester. Samples with epoxy resin

exhibit lower impact strength compared to samples with polyester and vinylester, except for untreated fibre with epoxy which exhibited higher value compared to samples with vinylester. The higher impact strength relates to inferior fibre-matrix bonding, while lower impact strength can be attributed to better fibre-matrix bonding.

It was found that although strength and stiffness of gomuti fibre composites are below than that of glass fibre, they are comparable to similar natural fibre composites such as coir fibre composites. The functionality of gomuti fibre is considered enhanced when processed into natural fibre polymer composites.

After evaluating the mechanical properties of gomuti fibre composite samples which has provided important assessments of the strength, stiffness and impact strength of the composites, the feasibility of developing gomuti fibre composites into a flat roof tile was studied by parametric design. The results indicated that, with a tile dimension of 330 mm x 420 mm and under the transverse bending loading conditions, the stiffness of tile is the major criteria in the design of a roof tile. A graph was constructed where a design thickness of tile can be obtained based on the value of modulus, or to obtain the values of modulus and design strength based on thickness. By using the graph, it was found that for gomuti fibre composites with a range of modulus from 4.3 to 6.3 GPa, the required minimum thickness is approximately from 13.5 to 15.4 mm and strength of 6.5 to 8.4 MPa.

For the design of gomuti fibre composite roof tile, the stiffness was found to be the governing criteria. While strength criteria is not a governing factors in the design, when the design strength incorporate a safety factor, strength of the composites will become an important criteria. In special cases when a material exhibits high modulus and low strength, design based on the strength is likely to become major criteria.

CERTIFICATION OF DISSERTATION

I certify that the ideas, experimental works, results, analyses, software and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

Signature of Candidate

Date

ENDORSEMENT

Signature of Principal Supervisor

Date

Signature of Associate Supervisor

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to convey sincere gratitude to my principal supervisor, Professor Thiru Aravinthan, for the guidance, critical suggestions and consistent support during this PhD study. The ideas, help and discussion with my associate supervisor, Dr. Francisco Cardona are sincerely acknowledged.

I am thankful for the financial support of the Indonesian Government for my PhD study through Directorate General of Higher Degree (DGHE) Scholarship.

For the generous advice and help from Dr Allan Manalo, I am very grateful. I thank Mazed Kabir for advice and help in some experimental works, and Ernesto Guades for the helpful advice on impact test data analysis. The kind assistance in experimental works and administrative support from Wayne Crowell, Mohan Trada, Adrian Blokland, Martin Geach and Juanita Ryan are greatly appreciated.

The assistance in proof-reading of the thesis manuscript provided by Ms. Sandra Cochrane is hereby acknowledged.

For the sincere friendship and beneficial discussions with the staff and fellow postgraduate students in the CEEFC, I feel really blessed.

I would like to thank my mum, dad, my brother Arthur and Dr Julius Pontoh from Sam Ratulangi University Manado, for the help in making sure a sufficient amount of gomuti fibre was prepared for my research.

For the love, prayers and support in numerous ways from my church family, Rangeville Community Church (RCC) Toowoomba and from the Thursday night home-group where I share my ups and downs, I am forever grateful.

My vast gratitude is for the love and cheering from my husband, Mario and our daughter, Kearney, that have made this study a challenge worthy to prevail.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to all the people I have failed to mention here but who have been part of this study in various ways.

*Lest I boast in myself, my utmost praise is to the chief Cornerstone, the everlasting Foundation;
the Way, the Truth and the Life;
the living and wonderful Redeemer;
who has made the impossible possible.*

ASSOCIATED PUBLICATIONS

Journal papers

1. Ticoalu, A, Aravinthan, T & Cardona, F 2013, 'A review on the characteristics of gomuti fibre and its composites with thermoset resins', *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 124-36.
2. Ticoalu, A, Aravinthan, T & Cardona, F 2013, 'A study into the characteristics of gomuti (*Arenga pinnata*) fibre for usage as natural fibre composites', *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, published online 8 October 2013, DOI: 10.1177/0731684413503191.
3. Ticoalu, A, Aravinthan, T & Cardona, F 2013, 'Mechanical properties of gomuti fibre composites: the influence of fibre treatment and different thermoset resins', (*Under review for publication in journal Composite Structures*).
4. Ticoalu, A, Aravinthan, T & Cardona, F 2013, 'An experimental study on the impact characteristics of gomuti fibre composites' (*Under review for publication in journal Composite Structures*).

Peer-reviewed conference papers

1. Ticoalu, A, Aravinthan, T & Cardona, F 2013, 'A review of current development in natural fibre composites for structural and infrastructure applications', *Proceedings of the 2010 Southern Region Engineering Conference (SREC 2010)*, 11-12 Nov 2010, Toowoomba, Queensland, Australia.
2. Ticoalu, A, Aravinthan, T & Cardona, F 2013, 'Experimental investigation into gomuti fibres/polyester composites', *Proceedings of the 21st Australasian Conference on the Mechanics of Structures and Materials (ACMSM 21)*, 7-10 December 2010, Melbourne, Australia.
3. Ticoalu, A, Aravinthan, T & Cardona, F 2013, 'Properties and behaviour of gomuti fibre composites under tensile and compressive load', *Proceedings of the 22nd Australasian Conference on the Mechanics of Structures and Materials (ACMSM 22)*, 11 – 14 December 2012, Sydney, Australia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Abstract</i>	<i>i</i>
<i>Certification of dissertation</i>	<i>iv</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>v</i>
<i>Associated Publications</i>	<i>vi</i>
<i>Table of contents</i>	<i>vii</i>
<i>List of figures</i>	<i>xii</i>
<i>List of tables</i>	<i>xvi</i>

CHAPTER 1

Introduction	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Background	4
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Scope	6
1.5 Structure of dissertation	7
1.6 Summary	9

CHAPTER 2

Literature review on the characteristics of gomuti fibre, its composites and potential applications	10
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Traditional and existing usage of gomuti fibre	12
2.3 Characteristics of gomuti fibre	14
2.3.1 Physical characteristics	14
2.3.1.1 Morphology of gomuti fibre	14
2.3.1.2 Diameter of gomuti fibre	15
2.3.1.3 Density of gomuti fibre	16
2.3.2 Mechanical properties of single gomuti fibre	16
2.3.3 Chemical composition and thermal stability of gomuti fibre	18

2.4	Characteristics of gomuti fibre composites with polymer thermoset resins	20
2.4.1	Characteristics of thermoset resins	22
2.4.2	Fibre treatment and composite fabrication	23
2.4.3	Tensile strength and stiffness characteristics	25
2.4.4	Prediction of tensile properties of gomuti fibre composites	29
2.4.5	Flexural properties	31
2.4.6	Impact properties	33
2.5	Potential applications of gomuti fibre composites	34
2.6	Summary	35

CHAPTER 3

	Investigation into the characteristics of gomuti fibre	38
3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	Experimental methods	41
3.2.1	Fibre material	42
3.2.2	Fibre treatment	42
3.2.3	Observation of fibre morphology and diameter measurement	42
3.2.4	Measurement of fibre density	44
3.2.5	Single fibre tensile test	44
3.2.6	Measurement of fibre thermal stability	47
3.3	Experimental results	47
3.3.1	Morphology of gomuti fibre	47
3.3.2	Diameter of gomuti fibre	49
3.3.3	Density of gomuti fibre	50
3.3.4	Single fibre tensile properties	51
3.3.5	Thermal stability of gomuti fibre	52
3.4	Discussions	56
3.4.1	Effects of treatment to the morphology of gomuti fibre	56
3.4.2	Effects of treatment to the diameter of gomuti fibre	56
3.4.3	Effects of treatment to the density of gomuti fibre	58
3.4.4	Effects of treatment to the tensile properties of single gomuti fibre	58
3.4.5	Effects of treatment to the thermal stability of gomuti fibre	67

3.5	Conclusions	68
-----	-------------	----

CHAPTER 4

Investigation on the strength and stiffness of gomuti fibre composites with thermoset resins		70
4.1	Introduction	70
4.2	Experimental methods	73
4.2.1	Materials	73
4.2.2	Fibre treatment	74
4.2.3	Preparation and fabrication of composite panels	75
4.2.4	Testing methods	76
4.2.4.1	Tensile test	76
4.2.4.2	Compressive test	77
4.2.4.3	Flexural test	78
4.2.4.4	Shear test	79
4.3	Experimental results	80
4.3.1	Tensile properties and load-extension behaviour	80
4.3.2	Compressive properties and load-extension behaviour	85
4.3.3	Flexural properties and load-displacement behaviour	90
4.3.4	Shear properties and load-displacement behaviour	95
4.4	Discussions	99
4.4.1	Effects of fibre treatment and resin variation	99
4.4.2	Comparison of theoretical prediction of mechanical properties based on Rule of Mixture (ROM) methods and experimental results	101
4.4.3	Failure of gomuti fibre composites	105
4.5	Conclusions	108

CHAPTER 5

Investigation on the impact strength and behaviour of gomuti fibre composites		112
5.1	Introduction	112
5.2	Materials and methods	116

5.2.1	Material	116
5.2.2	Specimen preparation	117
5.2.3	Drop-weight impact test	118
5.3	Experimental results	120
5.3.1	Damage characteristics	120
5.3.2	Impact force-time characteristics	124
5.3.3	Absorbed energy-time characteristics	128
5.3.4	Impact force-deflection behaviour	130
5.4	Discussions	132
5.4.1	Impact strength	132
5.4.2	Effects of fibre treatment	133
5.4.3	Effects of different thermoset resins	135
5.5	Conclusions	136
CHAPTER 6		
Strength and stiffness design of gomuti fibre composites roof tile		138
6.1	Introduction	138
6.2	Overview of roofing materials and roof tiles	139
6.3	Performance requirement of roof tile	144
6.4	Design of gomuti fibre composites roof tiles	146
6.5	Behaviour of gomuti fibre composites roof tile	151
6.6	Gomuti fibre composites roof tile design guidelines	158
6.7	Conclusions	161
CHAPTER 7		
Conclusions		163
7.1	General	163
7.2	Characteristics of gomuti fibre and the effects of alkali treatment	163
7.3	Strength and stiffness characteristics of gomuti fibre composites with thermoset polymer resins	164
7.4	Impact strength and behaviour characteristics of gomuti fibre composites with thermoset polymer resins	165

7.5	Gomuti fibre composite roof tile	166
7.6	Recommendation for future research	167
	LIST OF REFERENCES	170

APPENDICES

	Appendix A: Overview of natural fibres and their composites	A1
	Appendix B: Fibre characterisation details	B1
	Appendix C: Mechanical properties testing details	C1
	Appendix D: Impact test data	D1
	Appendix E: Simulation of gomuti fibre composites roof tiles	E1

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1 Simplified illustration of the research flow
- Figure 2.1 *Arenga pinnata* tree with gomuti covering the tree trunk
- Figure 2.2 Gomuti fibre: (a) Layers of trimmed intermingled long fibre, and (b) chopped fibre.
- Figure 2.3 Gomuti thatch roof in Bali, Indonesia (Original photo caption: ‘Shrines in the inner sanctuary of Tirta Empul’, courtesy of Timothy Tye, used with permission)
- Figure 2.4. Surface morphology of gomuti fibre under optical microscope.
- Figure 2.5. Illustration of stress-strain of gomuti fibre and thermoset resin.
- Figure 3.1 Single fibre observation: (a) schematic of specimen arrangement for microscope observation; and (b) schematic of specimen mounting tab for single fibre tensile test (SFTT) using dynamic mechanical analyser (DMA) machine.
- Figure 3.2 Microscope observation of fibre cross-section.
- Figure 3.3 Surface morphology of untreated (UN) and treated (5N and 10N) gomuti fibre.
- Figure 3.4 Cross-section of gomuti fibre.
- Figure 3.5 Fibre diameter measurement.
- Figure 3.6 Example of stress-strain curves of single-fibre-tensile tested specimens.
- Figure 3.7 TGA plots of untreated gomuti fibre.
- Figure 3.8 TGA plots of 5% NaOH treated gomuti fibre.
- Figure 3.9 TGA plots of 10% NaOH treated gomuti fibre.
- Figure 3.10 DSC plot of untreated and treated gomuti specimens.
- Figure 3.11 Boxplot of gomuti fibre diameter data.
- Figure 3.12 Boxplot of the tensile strength of gomuti fibre.
- Figure 3.13 Boxplot of the Young’s modulus of gomuti fibre.
- Figure 3.14 Boxplot of the strain of gomuti fibre.

- Figure 3.15 Weibull probability plot of the tensile strength of untreated and alkali-treated gomuti fibre.
- Figure 3.16 Weibull probability plot of the tensile modulus of untreated and alkali-treated gomuti fibre.
- Figure 3.17 Weibull probability density function (pdf) of the tensile strength of untreated and alkali-treated gomuti fibre.
- Figure 3.18 Weibull probability density function (pdf) of the tensile modulus of untreated and alkali-treated gomuti fibre.
- Figure 4.1 Unidirectional aligned gomuti fibre.
- Figure 4.2 Test set-up: (a) tensile; (b) compressive; (c) flexural and (d) shear.
- Figure 4.3 Schematic of tensile specimen and idealised loading condition.
- Figure 4.4 Schematic of compressive specimen and idealised loading condition.
- Figure 4.5 Schematic of flexural specimen and idealised loading condition.
- Figure 4.6 Schematic of shear specimen and idealised loading condition.
- Figure 4.7 Comparison of tensile strength
- Figure 4.8 Comparison of tensile modulus.
- Figure 4.9 Boxplot of tensile strength.
- Figure 4.10 Load-extension plots of typical tensile-tested specimen: (a) specimens with polyester resin, (b) specimens with epoxy resin, and (c) specimens with vinylester resin.
- Figure 4.11 Comparison of compressive strength
- Figure 4.12 Comparison of compressive modulus
- Figure 4.13 Boxplot of compressive stress
- Figure 4.14 Load-extension plots of typical compressive-tested specimen: (a) specimens with polyester resin; (b) specimens with epoxy resin; and (c) specimens with vinylester resin.
- Figure 4.15 Comparison of flexural strength
- Figure 4.16 Comparison of flexural modulus
- Figure 4.17 Boxplot of flexural stress.
- Figure 4.18. Load-displacement plots of typical flexure-tested specimen: (a) specimens with polyester resin; (b) specimens with epoxy resin; and (c) specimens with vinylester resin.

- Figure 4.19 Comparison of shear strength.
- Figure 4.20 Comparison of shear modulus.
- Figure 4.21 Boxplot of shear stress
- Figure 4.22 Load-displacement plot of typical shear-tested specimen: (a) specimens with polyester resin; (b) specimens with epoxy resin; (c) specimens with vinylester resin.
- Figure 4.23 Comparison of predicted and test result of tensile strength
- Figure 4.24 Comparison of predicted and test result of tensile modulus.
- Figure 4.25 Failure of tensile specimen.
- Figure 4.26 Failure of compressive specimen.
- Figure 4.27 Failure of flexural specimen.
- Figure 4.28 Failure of shear specimen.
-
- Figure 5.1 Dimension of specimen for impact test.
- Figure 5.2 Drop-weight impact test set-up: (a) securing specimen to the test machine; (b) mounted specimen before impact; and (c) specimen after impact.
- Figure 5.3 Example pictures of the impacted specimens.
- Figure 5.4 Damage mode of a typically impact-tested specimen taken from the impacted point (mid-span).
- Figure 5.5 Example of force vs time and energy vs time plots of impacted gomuti fibre composites specimen.
- Figure 5.6 Force/area vs time plots of typical impact-tested specimen: (a) polyester specimen; (b) epoxy specimen; and (c) vinylester specimen.
- Figure 5.7 Energy/area-time plots of typical impact-tested specimen: (a) polyester specimen; (b) epoxy specimen; and (c) vinylester specimen.
- Figure 5.8 Force-deflection curve of typical impact-tested gomuti fibre composites.
- Figure 5.9 Force/area-deflection plots of typical impact-tested specimen: (a) polyester specimen; (b) epoxy specimen; and (c) vinylester specimen.

- Figure 5.10 Percentage comparison of average impact strength.
- Figure 5.11 Comparison of the impact strength of gomuti fibre composite samples: effects of fibre treatment.
- Figure 5.12 Comparison of the impact strength of gomuti fibre composite samples: effects of different thermoset resin.
-
- Figure 6.1 Various types of roof tiles
- Figure 6.2 Schematic of roof tile arrangement (front view)
- Figure 6.3 Schematic of roof tile arrangement (top view)
- Figure 6.4. Transverse bending strength test configuration
- Figure 6.5. Flat roof tile profile
- Figure 6.6 Deflection along the length of tile for different modulus and different thicknesses.
- Figure 6.7 E-tile vs deflection plot for the thickness variations
- Figure 6.8 E-tile vs deflection plot for the thickness variations
- Figure 6.9 Behaviour of a roof tile with variations of elastic moduli
- Figure 6.10 P-max calculated based on strength of the tile and thickness variations.
- Figure 6.11 Plate thickness vs plate stress
- Figure 6.12 Roof tile design curves

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 2.1 Density and diameter of natural fibres compared to glass fibre.
- Table 2.2 Single fibre properties of natural fibres compared to glass fibre.
- Table 2.3 Chemical compositions of various natural fibres compared to gomuti fibre.
- Table 2.4 Typical tensile and flexural properties of some common thermoset polymers.
- Table 2.5 Tensile properties of gomuti fibre composites with thermoset resins.
- Table 2.6 Flexural properties of gomuti fibre composites with thermoset resins.
-
- Table 3.1 Descriptive statistics of diameter of untreated and alkali treated gomuti fibre (n = 25).
- Table 3.2 Density of untreated and treated gomuti fibre
- Table 3.3 Average tensile strength, Weibull parameters and goodness-of-fit parameter of gomuti fibre samples.
- Table 3.4 Average Young's modulus, Weibull parameters and goodness-of-fit parameter of gomuti fibre samples.
- Table 3.5. Weibull modulus (*b*) for tensile strength of different fibres.
- Table 3.6 Properties of gomuti fibre.
-
- Table 4.1 Designated sample names.
- Table 4.2 Average tensile strength of gomuti fibre composites.
- Table 4.3 Average Young's modulus of gomuti fibre composites.
- Table 4.4 Average compressive strength of gomuti fibre composites.
- Table 4.5 Average compressive modulus of gomuti fibre composites.
- Table 4.6 Average flexural strength of gomuti fibre composites.
- Table 4.7 Average flexural modulus of gomuti fibre composites.
- Table 4.8 Average shear strength of gomuti fibre composites.
- Table 4.9 Calculated shear modulus.

Table 4.10 Theoretical prediction of tensile properties of gomuti fibre composites

Table 4.11 Percentage tensile strength of the composite based on fibre tensile strength

Table 5.1 Studies on impact strength of natural fibre composites.

Table 5.2 Designated names of the composite samples.

Table 5.3 Average dimension of the specimens.

Table 5.4 Average maximum impact force and energy absorbed by gomuti fibre composites.

Table 5.5 Average impact strength of gomuti fibre composites.

Table 6.1 Roofing materials and their respective attributes.

Table 6.2 Typical dimensions and weight of roof tiles.

Table 6.3 Roof tile profile under consideration.

Table 6.4 Range of mechanical properties of gomuti fibre composites for roof tile design.

Table 6.5 Determination of minimum required thickness based on the properties of material and loading condition.

Table 6.6 Design variables for gomuti fibre composites roof tile.

Table 6.7 Examples of roof tile design