

**University of Southern Queensland**



**DEVELOPMENT OF NEW MEASUREMENT  
METHODS TO DETERMINE SUGARCANE  
QUALITY FROM STALK SAMPLES**

*A Dissertation submitted by*

***Nazmi Mat Nawi***

*B.Eng (UPM, Malaysia)*

*M.Eng (USQ, Australia)*

*For the award of*

***Doctor of Philosophy***

***2014***

## Abstract

Recently, there has been a growing interest within the Australian sugarcane industry to measure sugarcane quality in the field to further improve product quality and value. However, conventional technologies for measuring sugarcane quality in a laboratory have limitations for uses in the field because they require sugarcane to be prepared as either juice or fibrated samples. In-field samples processing is very difficult and time-consuming, especially during harvest. Thus, the development of a rapid and efficient measurement technique which can be performed directly on stalk samples is highly desirable.

In this thesis, a new quality measurement method for fresh sugarcane stalk samples was developed using a visible and shortwave near infrared spectroradiometer (VNIRS) with the wavelength ranging from 350 to 1075 nm. A light-proof measurement box was developed and used as an instrument platform to evaluate the capability of the VNIRS to measure quality parameters of sugarcane samples. The box was used to determine quality parameters using two newly proposed scanning methods: the skin scanning method (SSM) and the cross sectional scanning method (CSSM). These methods were applied on both whole stalk and internode samples. No preparation mechanism was required prior to the quality measurement on stalk samples.

The selection of chemometrics methods used to optimise the regression models between spectral data and sugar content were also investigated. Partial least square (PLS) regression analysis with full cross validation (leave-one-out) technique was chosen to establish regression models between the spectral data and quality parameters. To improve the accuracy of the regression models, the spectral data was first pre-processed using the multiplicative scatter correction (MSC) method. Principal component analysis (PCA) was then used to extract useful information from the spectral data, decrease the noise and determine the optimum number of latent variables (LVs). The pre-processing methods, PLS and PCA exercises were run using Unscrambler V 9.6 software. The RPD (ratio of prediction to deviation) value was also used to evaluate the performance of the models.

For whole stalk samples, it was found that the  $R^2$  for SSM and CSSM were 0.82 and 0.68, respectively. The calibration models for the fibrated, juice and whole stalk samples were developed using quality values obtained by standard industry procedures. For internode samples, the  $R^2$  for SSM and CSSM were 0.91 and 0.87, respectively. The calibration models for internode samples were developed using °Brix values obtained from a handheld refractometer. The RPD values of the prediction models for

internode samples by both SSM and CSSM were 2, indicating that these newly proposed methods can be used for coarse quantitative prediction purposes.

The variation of sugar content (°Brix) along the length of the stalks and internode samples were also assessed. The understanding of these variations can provide a foundation toward the design and development of the quality measurement system in the field. In this study, sugar content was found to vary significantly between the first and last internodes, with their average °Brix values being 22.2 and 7.6, respectively. The variation of sugar content between node and internode areas was 7.6% (SSM method) and 8.7% (CSSM method), respectively.

To demonstrate the possible applications of the proposed methods on a harvester, a basic calculation and conceptual design for a proposed in-field quality measurement system was outlined using the VNIRS mounted on top of the elevator conveyor. The proposed system had the potential to sense billet samples based on SSM either by directly scanning the moving billets on the elevator or by scanning the billets supplied by a sampling mechanism using a vacuum system. This theoretical design has shown that it is technically possible to develop a quality measurement system on a sugarcane harvester. However, more work needs to be done before this proposed method can be successfully mounted on a harvester.

Overall, it is concluded that the accuracy of the new measurement methods based on stalk samples using portable and low-cost VNIRS developed in this thesis is adequate. The proposed methods have significant potential uses as a tool for measuring sugarcane quality parameters from stalk samples in the field.

## **Certification of Dissertation**

I certify that the ideas, experimental works, results, analyses, software and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that this work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

Candidate

\_\_\_\_\_  
Nazmi Mat Nawi

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

### **ENDORSEMENT**

Principal supervisor

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dr Guangnan Chen

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Associate supervisor

\_\_\_\_\_  
Dr Troy Jensen

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

## **Acknowledgements**

First of all, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to the Ministry of Higher Education, Malaysia and Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) for providing me the scholarships to carry out this study. The financial supports from these organisations are very much appreciated.

Many thanks are also extended to both of my supervisors, Dr Guangnan Chen and Dr Troy Jensen for their continuous guidance, advices, comments and encouragement. Their efforts ensured that this study was completed in a reasonable time and is highly appreciated.

Special thanks are also due to staff members of National Centre of Engineering in Agriculture (NCEA), Associate Professor Craig Baillie, Associate Professor Nigel Hancock, Dr Cheryl McCarthy and Dr Saman Abdanan Mehdizadeh for their kindness, help and technical support throughout the journey of this thesis. I also appreciate the support of the staff of Bureau of Sugar Experimental Station (BSES) Bundaberg, especially Mr John Panitz for assistance in collecting sugarcane stalk samples in the field.

I also express my gratitude to the Sugar Research and Development Corporation (SRDC) for providing a funding to the project of CSE022 ‘A coordinated approach to Precision Agriculture RDE for the Australian Sugar Industry’. Without this financial assistance, my work would not have been possible.

Finally, my sincere gratitude is due to my wife Suhaila and children (Muaz, Marissa and Munif) for their patience and support. Without their continuous encouragement, this study might not be as it is today. Thanks are also due to all of my friends and those who are directly or indirectly related to this study.

## List of Publications

The following articles have been published or submitted for publication from the research contained within this dissertation.

### Refereed international journal articles

Nawi, N. M., Jensen, T. & Chen, G. (2012), 'The application of spectroscopic methods to predict sugarcane quality based on stalk cross-sectional scanning', *Journal of American Society of Sugar Cane Technologists* **32**, 16-27.

Nawi, N. M., Chen, G., Jensen, T. & Mehdizadeh, S. A. (2013), 'Prediction and classification of sugar content of sugarcane based on skin scanning using visible and shortwave near infrared', *Biosystems Engineering* **115**, 154-161.

Nawi, N. M., Chen, G. & Jensen, T. (2013), 'Visible and shortwave near infrared spectroscopy for predicting sugar content of sugarcane based on a cross sectional scanning method', *Journal of Near Infrared Spectroscopy* **21**(4), 289-297.

Nawi, N. M., Chen, G. & Jensen, T. (2013), 'Application of spectroscopic method to predict sugar content of sugarcane from internode samples', *Journal of Tropical Agriculture and Food Sciences* **41**(2), *in press*.

Nawi, N. M., Chen, G. & Jensen, T. (2013), 'Quality measurement technologies and sampling strategies for precision agriculture in sugarcane industry: A review', accepted for publication in the journal of '*Precision Agriculture*' on 15 July 2013, subject to revisions.

Nawi, N. M., Chen, G. & Jensen, T. (2013), 'Determination of sugarcane quality from juice samples using visible and shortwave near infrared spectroscopy: Comparison between transmittance and transflectance measurement modes', under preparation and will be submitted to *Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Sciences*.

### Refereed full-length conference articles

Nawi, N. M., Jensen, T., Chen, G. & Baillie, C. (2011), 'The application of spectroscopic methods to predict sugarcane quality based on stalk cross-sectional scanning', *SEAg 2011: Diverse Challenges, Innovative Solutions*, 29-30 Sep 2011, Gold Coast, Australia.

Nawi, N. M., Chen, G., Jensen, T. & Baillie, C. (2011), 'Transferring technology of measuring sugarcane quality from the laboratory to the field: what is possible?', *SEAg 2011: Diverse Challenges, Innovative Solutions*, 29-30 Sep 2011, Gold Coast, Australia.

Nawi, N. M., Jensen, T., Chen, G. & Baillie, C. (2012), 'Prediction of sugar content along the height of sugarcane internodes using Vis/NIR', *CIGR-AgEng 2012: Agriculture and Engineering for a healthier Life*, 8-12 July 2012, Valencia, Spain.

Nawi, N. M., Chen, G., Jensen, T. & Baillie, C. (2012), 'Potential technologies to measure sugarcane quality in the field', *CIGR-AgEng 2012: Agriculture and Engineering for a healthier Life*, 8-12 July 2012, Valencia, Spain.

Nawi, N. M., Chen, G. & Jensen, T. (2013), 'Application of visible and shortwave near infrared spectrometer to predict sugarcane quality from different sample forms', *Proceedings of SPIE 8881, Sensing Technologies for Biomaterial, Food, and Agriculture 2013*, 23-25 April 2013, Yokohama, Japan.

Nawi, N. M., Chen G. & Jensen, T. (2013), 'Visible and shortwave near infrared spectroscopy to predict sugarcane quality from clear and raw juice samples', *SEAg 2013: Innovative Agricultural Technologies for a Sustainable Future*, 22-25 Sep 2013, Perth, Australia.

## Table of contents

<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>ii</b>
<b>Certification of Dissertation</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Publications</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>xvi</b>
<b>Abbreviations</b> .....	<b>xviii</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Research objectives .....	2
1.3 Organization of the thesis .....	3
<b>Chapter 2 The Australian sugarcane industry and the adoption of precision agriculture (PA)</b> .....	<b>5</b>
2.1 The economy of the Australian sugarcane industry .....	5
2.2 Sugarcane botany .....	5
2.3 Sugarcane harvesting .....	8
2.4 Mill measurement systems for the sugarcane industry .....	10
2.4.1 °Brix .....	11
2.4.2 Pol .....	11
2.4.3 Fibre .....	11
2.5 Crop production information for growers .....	12
2.6 Variation of sugarcane production across the field .....	13
2.7 PA for the Australian sugarcane industry .....	15
2.7.1 Technologies and methods in PA .....	15
2.7.2 Yield monitoring system for sugarcane .....	17
2.8 In-field quality measurement – The missing element in current PA technologies .....	19
2.9 Current in-field measurement methods for research programs .....	21
2.10 Common technologies for quality measurement in a laboratory .....	22
2.10.1 Refractometer .....	23
2.10.2 Polarimeter .....	23
2.10.3 Chromatography .....	23
2.10.4 Biosensor .....	23
2.10.5 NIR Spectrometer .....	24
2.11 Alternative technologies to measure sugarcane quality in the field .....	25

2.11.1	Electronic refractometer .....	25
2.11.2	Microwave .....	26
2.11.3	Portable spectrometer .....	27
2.12	Conclusions .....	27

**Chapter 3 Introduction to NIR spectroscopy and its application in the sugarcane industry ..... 29**

3.1	Introduction .....	29
3.2	Theory of NIR spectroscopy .....	29
3.3	Wavelength selection .....	32
3.4	Instrumentation .....	34
3.4.1	Filter instrument .....	34
3.4.2	Scanning monochromator instrument .....	34
3.4.3	Fourier-transform spectrophotometers .....	34
3.4.4	Photodiode array (PDA) spectrophotometers .....	35
3.4.5	Acoustic optic tunable filter (AOTF) instruments .....	35
3.5	Instrument for field applications .....	35
3.6	Common quality measurement systems in the field .....	37
3.7	Sample presentation .....	38
3.8	Spectroscopic application in the sugarcane industry .....	40
3.8.1	Selection of the wavelength region .....	41
3.8.2	Selection of the suitable sample forms .....	42
3.9	Introduction to chemometrics .....	43
3.9.1	Pre-processing of the spectra .....	43
3.9.1.1	Smoothing .....	43
3.9.1.2	Standardisation .....	44
3.9.1.3	Normalisation .....	44
3.9.1.4	Differentiation .....	45
3.9.2	Variable-reduction: Principal component analysis .....	45
3.9.3	Multivariate analysis methods .....	46
3.9.3.1	Multiple linear regressions (MLR) .....	48
3.9.3.2	Principal component regression (PCR) .....	48
3.9.3.3	Partial least squares regression (PLS) .....	48
3.10	Conclusions .....	49

**Chapter 4 Materials and methodologies ..... 50**

4.1	Instrumentation .....	50
4.1.1	Visible and shortwave near infrared spectroradiometer (VNIRS) .....	50
4.1.2	Full range spectroradiometer (FRS) .....	50
4.1.3	Sensitivity and repeatability of the spectroradiometers .....	51
4.2	Light-proof measurement box .....	52
4.2.1	Box construction .....	53
4.2.2	Illumination system .....	53
4.2.3	Sensor to sample distance .....	54
4.2.4	White panel .....	55
4.3	Plant material and measurement methods .....	55

4.3.1	Whole stalk samples .....	56
4.3.1.1	Cross sectional scanning method (CSSM) .....	56
4.3.1.2	Skin scanning method (SSM) .....	57
4.3.1.3	Quality measurement for whole stalk samples .....	58
4.3.2	Internode samples .....	58
4.3.2.1	Reflectance measurement for internode samples .....	59
4.3.2.2	°Brix measurement for individual internode samples .....	60
4.3.2.3	Moisture measurement for internode samples .....	61
4.3.2.4	Fibre measurement for internode samples .....	62
4.3.3	Juice and fibrated sugarcane samples .....	62
4.3.3.1	Reflectance and transreflectance measurement modes .....	63
4.3.3.2	Transmittance measurement mode .....	65
4.4	Data analysis methodology .....	65
4.4.1	Spectral data transformation .....	65
4.4.2	Noise removal .....	66
4.4.3	Pre-processing of the spectral data .....	66
4.4.4	Application of principal component analysis (PCA) .....	66
4.4.5	Application of partial least square (PLS) .....	67
4.5	Calibration and validation methods .....	68
4.6	Statistical assessment for model accuracy .....	69
4.7	Qualitative measurement for spectral data .....	70
4.7.1	Description of the artificial neural networks (ANN) .....	71
4.8	Conclusions .....	73
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Application of VNIRS to predict sugarcane quality from juice and fibrated sugarcane samples .....</b>	<b>75</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	75
5.2	Statistical characteristics of the conventional samples .....	76
5.3	Overview of spectral curves for reflectance and transreflectance measurements .....	76
5.4	Prediction of sugarcane quality parameters from conventional samples .....	77
5.5	Overview of spectral curves for juice samples using transmittance mode .....	78
5.6	Prediction of quality components from juice samples using transmittance measurement mode .....	80
5.7	Potential applications of the VNIRS on conventional samples in the field ...	99
5.7.1	Quality measurement for breeding programs .....	81
5.7.2	Quality measurement for an on-the-go system on a harvester .....	81
5.8	Conclusions .....	81
<b>Chapter 6</b>	<b>The cross sectional scanning method .....</b>	<b>82</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	82
6.2	Whole stalk samples .....	83
6.2.1	Statistical characteristic of whole stalk samples .....	83
6.2.2	Determination of sugarcane quality components .....	83
6.2.3	Variations of prediction accuracy for different sugarcane sections .....	85

6.2.4	Relative prediction performance using individual spectrum data .....	87
6.3	Sugar content prediction using internode samples .....	88
6.3.1	Sample properties and their spectral characteristics .....	89
6.3.2	Effects of different pre-processing methods on prediction accuracy of CSSM .....	90
6.3.3	Spectral overview of the internode samples .....	91
6.3.4	°Brix prediction by CSSM for the average spectral data .....	94
6.3.5	°Brix prediction by the CSSM for an individual internode sample .....	97
6.3.6	°Brix prediction by the CSSM along the length of the internode .....	98
6.3.7	Spectroscopic performance of different varieties .....	100
6.3.8	The application of the CSSM to predict ternary growth quality components .....	102
6.3.8.1	Statistical characteristics of the internode samples .....	103
6.3.8.2	Spectra overview of the CSSM for predicting ternary growth quality components .....	104
6.3.8.3	Selection of the best parameter for spectroscopic prediction .....	106
6.4	Discussion and conclusions .....	107
<b>Chapter 7 The skin scanning method .....</b>		<b>108</b>
7.1	Introduction .....	108
7.2	Quality prediction from whole stalk samples by the SSM .....	109
7.2.1	Statistical characteristic of whole stalk samples for the SSM .....	109
7.2.2	Determination of sugarcane quality components the SSM .....	110
7.2.3	Variations of prediction accuracies for different stalk sections .....	110
7.3	Effect of different pre-processing methods on the SSM .....	112
7.4	The SSM for the internode samples .....	113
7.4.1	°Brix prediction by the SSM from average spectral data .....	116
7.4.2	°Brix prediction by the SSM for each individual spectrum data .....	119
7.4.3	°Brix prediction by the SSM along internode samples .....	121
7.5	The application of the SSM to predict sugarcane ternary quality parameters .....	122
7.5.1	Application of the FRS to predict ternary quality parameters by the SSM .....	122
7.5.2	Application of VNIRS to predict ternary quality parameters by the SSM .....	124
7.5.3	Comparison between CSSM and SSM for FRS .....	125
7.6	Classification of sugarcane quality based on spectral data from the SSM ....	126
7.6.1	Training and testing data sets for ANN classification .....	126
7.6.2	ANN classification analysis for five quality classes .....	127
7.6.3	ANN classification analysis for three quality classes .....	128
7.7	Discussion and conclusions .....	128
<b>Chapter 8 Basic calculation and conceptual design of the in-field quality measurement system .....</b>		<b>130</b>

8.1	Introduction .....	130
8.2	Development of the conceptual design for the QMSS .....	130
	8.2.1 Key components of the QMSS .....	131
	8.2.2 Technical specifications of the spectrometer .....	131
	8.2.3 Measurement chamber .....	132
8.3	Possible sample forms and their measurement locations on a harvester .....	132
	8.3.1 Juice sample .....	134
	8.3.2 Stalk and billet samples .....	134
8.4	Sampling strategies for field uses .....	134
8.5	Application of the SSM on a harvester .....	138
	8.5.1 Selection of a sampling location for billet samples .....	138
	8.5.2 Measurement procedure for the direct scanning method (DSM) .....	140
	8.5.2.1 Available time for the DSM .....	141
	8.5.2.2 Sampling frequency for the DSM .....	142
	8.5.3 Assisted (Pneumatic) scanning method (ASM) .....	142
	8.5.3.1 Aerodynamic properties of billets .....	142
	8.5.3.2 Power requirement for vacuum system .....	143
	8.5.3.3 Measurement procedure for the ASM .....	144
	8.5.3.4 Sampling frequency with vacuum sampling technique .....	145
8.6	Quality mapping procedures and data processing .....	145
	8.6.1 Spectral data processing .....	146
	8.6.2 Outliers removal .....	146
8.7	Conclusions .....	147
<b>Chapter 9 Conclusions and recommendations .....</b>		<b>148</b>
9.1	Summary of findings .....	148
9.2	Conclusions .....	150
9.3	Recommendations for future work .....	151
<b>References .....</b>		<b>154</b>
<b>Appendix A MATLAB source codes for the classification algorithm based on artificial neural network (ANN) .....</b>		<b>173</b>

## Lists of Figures

2.1	Typical sugarcane internode (Kroes 1997) .....	6
2.2	Representation of a sugarcane cross-section and the fibrovascular bundles (kroes 1997) .....	7
2.3	Sugarcane being harvested by a conventional sugarcane harvester .....	8
2.4	Typical sugarcane harvester (Caryn et al. 2002) .....	9
2.5	Haul-out truck used for carrying harvested sugarcane from a harvester to rail sidings .....	10
2.6	A typical desktop refractometer for measuring sugarcane °Brix .....	11
2.7	A typical desktop polarimeter for measuring sugarcane pol .....	12
2.8	CCS variation in a 6.8 ha sugarcane block in the Bundaberg region (Bramley et al. 2012) .....	14
2.9	Sugarcane yield map in an area of 43 ha in Brazil (Magalhães & Cerri 2007) .....	14
2.10	The cyclical process of PA (Bramley 2009) .....	16
2.11	A sugarcane harvester equipped with components of yield monitoring system (Magalhães & Cerri 2007) .....	18
2.12	Position of a scale setup on the elevator of the sugarcane harvester from bottom view (Magalhães & Cerri 2007) .....	18
2.13	Method to detect billets from the elevator floor using optical sensors (Price et al. 2011) .....	19
2.14	Flow injection manifold of biosensor for the determination of sucrose: (1) buffer reservoir; (2) peristaltic pump; (3) injector; (4) electrochemical cell; (5) potentiostat; (6) record; (7) waste reservoir (Kennedy et al. 2007) ...	24
2.15	Location where the electronic refractometer was installed to measure sugarcane juice samples .....	26
3.1	The schematic diagram of the electromagnetic spectrum .....	32
3.2	Incident light on a fruit results in specular reflectance (gloss), diffuse reflectance, diffuse transmittance, or absorbance (Abbott 1999) .....	38
3.3	Setup for the acquisition of (a) reflectance; (b) transmittance; (c) interactance spectra; (i) light source; (ii) fruit; (iii) monochromators/detector; (iv) light barrier; (v) support (Nicolai et al. 2007) .....	39
3.4	Classification of the qualitative and quantitative multivariate analysis techniques used in NIR spectroscopy (Blanco & Villarroya 2002) .....	47
4.1	Sensitivity figure of VNIRS as indicated by NeDL (ASD 1999) .....	51
4.2	Sensitivity figure of FRS as indicated by NeDL (ASD 1999) .....	52
4.3	Repeatability figure for FRS unit (ASD 1999) .....	52
4.4	Light-proof measurement box in use .....	53
4.5	Simplified diagram of the reflectance measurement inside the measurement box .....	54
4.6	Simplified diagram of the transmittance measurement inside the measurement box .....	54
4.7	Assisted diagram for calculating field-of-view (ASD 2005) .....	55

4.8	The division of fresh sugarcane stalks into three main sections .....	57
4.9	The whole stalk sample being scanned using spectroscopic method inside the measurement box .....	58
4.10	Scanning positions along individual internodes .....	59
4.11	Intact internode versus cut internode with scanning positions .....	60
4.12	Cut section of internode samples which have been oven dried .....	61
4.13	Sugarcane disintegrator to fibrate stalk samples .....	63
4.14	Fibrated sugarcane samples for reflectance measurement .....	64
4.15	Colour difference between raw and clarified sugarcane juice .....	64
4.16	Three layers of feed-forward ANN .....	71
4.17	Nueron/node computation .....	72
5.1	Typical absorbance spectra for different sample forms .....	77
5.2	Typical absorbance spectra of CJ and RJ as measured by transmittance Mode .....	79
6.1	Typical absorbance spectra for bottom, middle and top sections as measured by the FRS .....	86
6.2	Typical absorbance spectra for bottom, middle and top sections as measured by the FRS (without water bands) .....	86
6.3	Typical absorbance spectra for bottom, middle and top sections as measured by the VNIRS .....	87
6.4	Typical average °Brix values of internodes for different sugarcane varieties .....	90
6.5	Typical absorbance spectra of CSSM at different °Brix values: (a) raw absorbance spectra; (b) absorbance spectra pre-processed with MSC .....	92
6.6	Typical reflectance spectra of CSSM at different °Brix values: (a) raw reflectance spectra; (b) reflectance spectra pre-processed with MSC .....	93
6.7	Scatter plots of reference versus predicted °Brix for absorbance spectral data by the CSSM: (a) calibration model; (b) prediction model .....	95
6.8	Scatter plots of reference versus predicted °Brix for reflectance spectral data by the CSSM: (a) calibration model; (b) prediction model .....	96
6.9	Scatter plots of reference versus predicted °Brix for individual spectrum data by the CSSM: (a) calibration model; (b) prediction model .....	99
6.10	Typical absorbance spectral curves of CSSM for each cut section .....	100
6.11	Typical absorbance spectra of CSSM for different varieties .....	102
6.12	Ternary Growth Model (Staunton et al. 2011) .....	103
6.13	Typical raw absorbance spectra for CSSM collected by the VNIRS .....	104
6.14	Typical absorbance spectra collected by the VNIR after being treated with MSC method .....	105
6.15	Typical raw absorbance spectra collected by the FRS .....	105
6.16	Typical absorbance spectra collected by the FRS after being treated with MSC method .....	106
7.1	Typical absorbance spectra of SSM for different stalk sections as measured by the VNIRS .....	112

7.2	Typical absorbance spectra of SSM for different stalk sections as measured by the FRS .....	122
7.3	Typical sugarcane spectra for sugarcane internodes at different °Brix values: (a) raw reflectance spectra; (b) raw reflectance spectra pre-processed with MSC .....	114
7.4	Typical absorbance spectra for sugarcane internodes at different °Brix values: (a) raw absorbance spectra; (b) raw absorbance spectra pre-processed with MSC .....	115
7.5	Scatter plots of reference versus predicted °Brix for reflectance spectral data by the SSM: (a) calibration model; (b) prediction model .....	117
7.6	Scatter plots of reference versus predicted °Brix for absorbance spectral data by the SSM: (a) calibration model; (b) prediction model .....	118
7.7	Scatter plots of reference versus predicted °Brix for individual spectrum data by the SSM: (a) calibration model; (b) prediction model .....	120
7.8	Typical absorbance curves of SSM for each internode scanning section ....	121
7.9	Scatter plots of reference versus predicted °Brix by the SSM in the wavelength range of 400 to 1800 nm: (a) calibration model; (b) prediction model .....	123
7.10	Comparison of typical absorbance spectrum between SSM and CSSM .....	125
8.1	Location from which possible samples could be obtained .....	133
8.2	Operational configurations for biller sampler (Robotham 2000) .....	136
8.3	Sampling door and Pitman arm system (Robotham 2000) .....	136
8.4	Location of a mounted spectrometer to measure crop moisture in forage (Digman & Shinnars 2008) .....	137
8.5	Typical slat arrangement on the elevator of a sugarcane harvester .....	139
8.6	Proposed locations of two measurement chambers .....	139
8.7	Condition of billets on the elevator at the proposed measurement Point .....	140
8.8	Schematic diagram of the QMSS with DSM .....	141
8.9	Terminal velocities of billet fragments relative to the extractor air velocity (Kroes 1997) .....	144
8.10	Schematic diagram of the QMSS with ASM .....	145

## Lists of Tables

2.1	Constituents of sugarcane .....	7
2.2	Comparison of common methods for sugarcane quality determination .....	22
3.1	Commercially available spectrometers used for quality measurement in the field .....	37
3.2	Typical application of spectroscopic methods in the sugarcane industry .....	41
3.3	Steps in multivariate analysis techniques .....	46
5.1	Statistical characteristics of sugarcane quality components for juice and fibrated samples .....	76
5.2	PLS models performance for each quality component of different sample forms .....	78
5.3	PLS model performances of CJ for transmittance measurement .....	79
5.4	PLS model performances of RJ for transmittance measurement .....	80
6.1	Values of quality components for each variety .....	83
6.2	Statistical characteristics of the whole stalk samples for CSSM .....	83
6.3	Performance of PLS models in predicting sugarcane quality components using spectroradiometers .....	84
6.4	PLS models performance in predicting °Brix values from different cutting sections .....	85
6.5	Quality prediction from stalk samples .....	88
6.6	Characteristic of chemical and physical properties for internode samples .....	89
6.7	Statistical characteristics of °Brix values for internode samples .....	89
6.8	The effect of different pre-processing methods on the PLS models performance for the CSSM .....	90
6.9	Predicted °Brix for each cut section using calibration model from average spectral data ( $R^2 = 0.87$ / RMSEC = 1.49 °Brix) .....	100
6.10	PLS models performance for selected sugarcane varieties .....	101
6.11	Summary of statistical characteristics of internode samples for predicting ternary growth quality components .....	104
6.12	Performance of PLS models in predicting TGM parameters using the CSSM .....	106
7.1	Statistical analysis of the whole stalk samples used for the SSM .....	109
7.2	Performance of PLS models in predicting sugarcane quality components based on the SSM .....	110
7.3	PLS models performance in predicting °Brix values from different stalk sections using the SSM .....	111
7.4	The effect of different pre-processing methods on the PLS models performance for the SSM .....	113
7.5	Predicted °Brix for each cut section using calibration model from average spectral data ( $R^2 = 0.83$ / RMSEC = 1.54 °Brix) .....	122
7.6	PLS model performances for different wavelength ranges for the SSM .....	122
7.7	Performance of PLS models in predicting TGM parameters based on the SSM .....	124
7.8	Classification table of °Brix values for five classes .....	126

7.9	Classification table of °Brix values for three classes .....	126
7.10	ANN classification results for five quality classes .....	127
7.11	ANN classification results for three quality classes .....	128
8.1	Basic components of the spectroscopic system for the QMSS .....	131
8.3	Technical specifications of Zeiss Corona Plus 45 NIR (1.7) .....	132
8.4	Primary mechanism needed for in-field quality measurement system .....	133
8.5	Comparison of technical requirements between whole stalk and billet scanning .....	135
8.6	Fixed values of parameters used for the QMSS .....	141

## Abbreviations

ACFA	Australian Cane Farmers' Association
ANN	Artificial neural network
AOTF	Acoustic optic tunable filter
ASM	Assisted (Pneumatic) scanning method
ASD	Analytical spectral device
BCH	Burnt cane harvesting
BSES	Bureau of Sugar Experimental Station
CA	Cluster analysis
CAS	Cane analysis system
CCD	Charge-coupled device
CCS	Commercial cane sugar
CJ	Clarified juice
CSSM	Cross sectional scanning method
CV	Coefficient of variation
DPLS	Discriminant partial least squares
DSM	Direct scanning method
EWs	Effective wavelengths
FOV	Field-of-view
FR	Full range
FRS	Full range spectroradiometer
FS	Fibrated sample
FWHM	Full width at half maximum
GCH	Green cane harvesting
GIS	Geographic information system
GLC	Gas-liquid chromatographic
GPS	Global positioning system
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
InGaAs	Indium Galium Arsenide
KNN	K-nearest neighbours
LDA	Linear discriminant analysis
LVs	Latent variables
MA3	Moving average with three segments
MA9	Moving average with nine segments
MIR	Mid infrared
MLR	Multiple linear regressions
NIR	Near infrared
MN	Mean normalization
MSC	Multiplicative scatter correction
PA	Precision agriculture
PbS	Lead sulfide
PCs	Principal components
PCA	Principal component analysis
PCR	Principal component regression

PDA	Photodiode array
PLS	Partial least square
QMSS	Quality measurement system for sugarcane
$R^2$	Coefficient of determination
RJ	Raw juice
RMSEC	Root mean square error of calibration
RMSEP	Root mean square error of prediction
RPD	Ratio of prediction to deviation/residual predictive deviation
SD	Standard deviation
SEC	Standard error of calibration
SEP	Standard error of prediction
SG1	Savitzky-Golay first derivative
SG2	Savitzky-Golay second derivative
Si	Silicon
SIMCA	Soft independent modelling of class analogy
SNV	Standard normal variate correction
SSM	Skin scanning method
SVM	Support vector machine
SWNIR	Shortwave near infrared
SW-NIR	Shortwave near infrared
TGM	Ternary growth model
UV	Ultraviolet
Vis	Visible
Vis-NIR	Visible and near infrared
VNIR	Visible and shortwave near infrared
VNIRS	Visible and shortwave near infrared spectroradiometer