



University of Southern Queensland
Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences

**Depth of Anaesthesia Assessment and Higher
Brain Function Modelling for Consciousness**

A thesis submitted by

Richard George Landers

in fulfilment of the requirements of

Doctor of Philosophy

Submitted: December, 2013

Copyright

by

Richard George Landers

2013

Abstract

Anaesthesia is the corner stone of modern surgical medicine. Despite a long period of enquire beginning with Snow (1847) anaesthesia remains a field in which there are more questions than answers. This thesis reports findings on three different aspects of anaesthesia.

1. Initially, a method for calculating a population pharmacokinetic model for propofol infusion is described. This method greatly reduced the time required to calculate the model (0.1 seconds per iteration) compared to the NONMEM method (hours per iteration (Minto, Schnider, Egan, Youngs, Lemmens, Gambus, Billard, Hoke, Moore, Hermann, Muir, Mandema & Shafer 1997)). The resultant model achieved improved fit to the data than the model of Schüttler & Ihmsen (2000*b*) achieving a mean squared error of 0.2835 compared to 0.6413 respectively.

2. Second, a neural network (NN) method is presented to assess Depth of Anaesthesia from long segments of raw EEG. The proposed method was able to approximate the output from a BIS XP monitor for the training data. The linear regression, between the NN and the BIS monitor, resulted in an R value of 0.99963. The network was able to approximate the BIS monitor output for new (unseen) data.

3. Finally, a lumped parameter neural mass, anaesthesia, model is presented. This model is capable of generating changes in EEG associated with increasing doses of γ -aminobutyric acid type A (GABA_A) hypnotic agent (propofol). This model was not a fitting exercise rather it was constructed based on known brain physiology, and the changes to α_1 GABA_A receptors conductance caused by propofol. Encompassing the regional interactions, that are thought to be, altered by GABA hypnotic agents.

The model is capable of producing five distinct EEG patterns (β , α , θ , δ and iso-electric) in response to different levels of hypnotic agent. The model is reactive capable of switching from α to β band EEG when the eyes open. Anaesthetic supresses the models transition to a higher state EEG.

The model suggest that the *effect site* for propofol as α_1 GABA_A receptors of slow interneurons of the cortex.

Certification of Dissertation

I certify that the ideas, designs and experimental work, results, analyses and conclusions set out in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise indicated and acknowledged.

I further certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for assessment in any other course or institution, except where specifically stated.

RICHARD GEORGE LANDERS

D1113507

Signature of Candidate

Date

ENDORSEMENT

Signature of Supervisor/s

Date

Acknowledgments

During the progress of this program there have been a number of people whose assistance I would like to acknowledge.

My supervisor, Dr Peng Wen, for giving me the opportunity to undertake this journey and pushing this research to places I thought impossible. Dr Andrew Wandel, Dr Selvan Panther and, Dean Beliveau, for giving me the opportunity to work for them. Dr Andrew Maxwell, for his effort in making this dissertation readable. My colleagues Dr Shahaba Abdulla, Dr Syed Salman Shahid, and Mario Palendeng for the countless discussions. Finally my wife, Barbara Spadaro, for tolerating this adventure.

RICHARD GEORGE LANDERS

*University of Southern Queensland
December 2013*

Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgments	iii
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xviii
Notation	xx
Acronyms & Abbreviations	xxii
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 Overview of the Dissertation	3
Chapter 2 Understanding anaesthesia; system wide effects	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Defining anaesthesia	6
2.2.1 Immobility, muscle relation	7
2.2.2 Awareness, memory and unconsciousness	7

CONTENTS	v
2.3 Clinical practice	9
2.4 Assessing anaesthesia	11
2.4.1 Stimulate and Observation.	12
2.4.2 Measurement and correlation	13
2.5 Drugs	18
2.6 Pharmacodynamic	20
2.7 Measuring drug concentration	20
2.8 Pharmacokinetics	22
Chapter 3 Population pharmacokinetic modelling	23
3.1 Introduction	23
3.1.1 Compartment models	23
3.1.2 Physiologically based models	25
3.1.3 Modeling methods	25
3.1.4 Data	30
3.1.5 Modelling	30
3.2 Population model	30
3.2.1 Covariates	32
3.2.2 Mapping	33
3.3 Results	34
3.3.1 Three compartment ANN_PK model	34
3.3.2 Evaluation of ARMAX ANN_PK model	34
3.4 Discussion	40

3.5	Summary	43
Chapter 4 Depth of anaesthesia from raw EEG		45
4.1	Introduction	45
4.1.1	Depth of anaesthesia (DoA) monitoring.	45
4.1.2	Artificial neural networks	49
4.1.3	Data	49
4.1.4	Features	55
4.2	Method	58
4.3	Results	59
4.4	Discussion	62
4.5	Summary	69
Chapter 5 Subsystem effects and analysis of anaesthesia		71
5.1	Introduction	71
5.2	Mechanisms of anaesthesia action	72
5.2.1	Neurons and synapses	72
5.2.2	Brain circuits and altered functionality	75
5.2.3	Regional effects of anaesthesia	77
5.2.4	Anaesthesia effect site	79
5.3	Anaesthetic drug effect	83
5.3.1	Hypnotic effect	84
5.4	Summary	85

Chapter 6 Brain and neuron modelling	87
6.1 Introduction	87
6.2 Neuron models	87
6.3 Mean field neural mass models	89
6.4 Lumped parameter neural mass models	90
6.5 Summary	92
Chapter 7 Anaesthesia brain model and implementation for EEG	93
7.1 Introduction	93
7.2 Base model	93
7.2.1 Synaptic response	98
7.2.2 Action hillock response	98
7.3 Implementation	100
7.4 Modifying base model for Anaesthesia	101
7.4.1 Unconsciousness	102
7.4.2 Stimuli	103
7.5 Summary	104
Chapter 8 Brain model assessment	105
8.1 Introduction	105
8.2 Methodology for assessment	105
8.2.1 Hypnotic effect	106
8.2.2 Reactive model	107

8.2.3	Model searching	109
8.3	Discussion	113
8.4	Summary	120
Chapter 9	Conclusion	121
9.1	Population pharmacokinetics model	121
9.2	DoA	122
9.3	Brain model	123
9.4	Future work	124
9.5	Summary	125
References		127
Appendix A	PK modeling results	143
A.1	Three compartment model results	143
Appendix B	Brain Model	153
B.1	Brain regions	153
B.2	Cortex	155
B.3	Thalamus	158

List of Figures

2.1	Movement response curves for Alfentanil to different stimuli (adapted from Gelb, Leslie, Stanski & Shafer 2009).	8
2.2	Hierarchical model of the interaction between pain and anaesthetic agents to achieve unconsciousness (adapted from Gelb et al. 2009). Anaesthesia is the blancing of the drug effects aganst the stimuli of the operation. Anaesthetic agents act across the entire neverous system.	10
2.3	Probability of movement as a function of BIS at different sites. Site 5 had no movements, (adapted from Bowdle 2006).	15
2.4	Population distributions for two anaesthetic regiments. Both regiments require a blood plasma concentration of propofol of $4\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$. The second regiment a co-administration of remifentanil of $4\text{ng}/\text{ml}$. Part a shows the estimate of BIS value while b shows the estimate of the probability of conciseness returing after incision.	16
2.5	Time line showing the devlopment of anaesthetic agents (adapted from Bowdle 2006).	19
2.6	Probability of response vs alfentanil conc. (adapted from Gelb et al. 2009). Logistic regression of the data upper part of fig A population curves. Fig B individual curves for incision	21
3.1	Single compartment PK model.	24

-
- 3.2 Three compartment PK model. The flow of drug into the model is represented as the function $I(t)$ representing the time course of the infusion. The flow of the agent between the compartments by the four rate constants. The agent is cleared from the central compartment according to k_{10} 25
- 3.3 Upton drug distribution model (adapted from Upton & Ludbrook 2005). 26
- 3.4 Comparison of three current population PK models to the measured blood concentration, during and following, infusion of propofol. The difference between a estimate of propofol concentration and the measured concentration can be large. 28
- 3.5 Histogram of blood concentration for 1000 male patients (43 yrs., 1.84 m, and 72 Kg.). Infused with 5mg/Kg/hr of propofol. Each patient model is randomly drawn from the parameter populations of the Schüttler & Ihmsen (2000*b*) model. 29
- 3.6 Gepts et al. (1987) data. Measured blood concentration (propofol) for each patient as a function of time. The time scale of the x axis is in minutes while the drug concentration is in mg/ml . . . 31
- 3.7 Scatter plots population **three compartment** model estimates and the measured propofol blood concentration of each patient (circles). The dotted line is $y = x$ and the solid line is the line of best fit, linear regression, between the model and the patient the r value along with the patient No. can be found in the title of each plot. 35
- 3.8 Liner regression between the measures blood concentrations and the population three compartment model estimates. 36
- 3.9 Scatter plots of **population five** compartment model estimates and the measured blood propofol concentration of each patient (circles). The dotted line is $y = x$ and the solid line is the line of best fit, linear regression, between the model and the patient the r value along with the patient No. can be found in the title of each plot. 38
- 3.10 Liner regression between the measures blood concentrations and the population five compartment model estimates. 39

3.11	Liner regression between the measures blood concentrations and Schubert, Simanski, Janda, Hofmockel & Lampe model estimates.	41
3.12	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 201.	42
4.1	Data for patient one. The upper figure show the BIS index. The lower figure contain the raw EEG.	50
4.2	Data for patient two. The upper figure show the BIS index. The lower figure contain the raw EEG.	51
4.3	Data for patient three. The upper figure show the BIS index. The lower figure contain the raw EEG.	52
4.4	Data for patient four. The upper figure show the BIS index. The lower figure contain the raw EEG.	53
4.5	Data for patient five. The upper figure show the BIS index. The lower figure contain the raw EEG.	54
4.6	Akaike information criterion calculated for AR model orders from 4 to 24. The figure shows comparisons for epochs across the range BIS index.	57
4.7	Effect of segment length on the fit of the network to the BIS monitor. Increasing the segment length improves the ability of the method to reproduce the DoA estimate from the BIS monitor.	60
4.8	ANN trained with 23 features using de-trended EEG segments, of 64 seconds length, was able to learn the relationship between the first 23 features and the BIS XP TM monitor.	61
4.9	DoA estimate from ANN, trained with all 28 features using raw data segments of 64 seconds length, and the output of the BIS XP TM monitor. The upper part shows the output of the ANN for the new patient. The network is able to re-generalise the BIS index.	63

-
- 4.10 Output of ANN 1 for patient one. AAN1 was trained with all 28 exemplars extracted from 64 second segments of raw EEG from the other four patients. The network estimates the patients state changed at 40 seconds followed by a brief spike at 90. A similar spike occurs at the two minute mark. The BIS monitor is known to lag the state of the patient. The network index drops rapidly after the propofol bolus. It is common for the anaesthetic agent to be stopped prior to the end of the procedure, so that the patient regains consciousness quickly at the end of the procedure. The ANN estimated Index may reflect this occurrence at around 13 minutes. 66
- 4.11 Output of ANN 2 for patient one. AAN2 was trained with the first 21 exemplars extracted from 64 second segments of raw data from the other four patients. This index classifies the EEG similarly to the BIS XPTM the index drops quickly following the induction unlike the BIS monitor. There is a peak at the insertion of the LMA. This index shows the patient as awake at the end of the procedure unlike the BIS monitor. 67
- 4.12 Output of ANN 3 for patient one. AAN 3 was trained with the first 23 exemplars extracted from 64 second segments of raw EEG from the other four patients. This index falls after the administration of each agent. The DoA produced increases after each agent. This index appears to respond to stimuli. This index like the first trends down at the end of the procedure this does not demonstrate a return to consciousness. 68
- 5.1 Representation of a neuron. The soma, main cell body, has several dendrites with profuse branching which act as the information receiving network. An action potential will be generated when the integration of this information increases the cells membrane potential above a threshold. The action potential propagates along the axon. The axon branch to form synapses between terminal buttons and target neurons (sourced from public domain 2013). 73

5.2	Structure of the cortex. The blue shading represents the six layers of the cortex. The central orange axon is a projection from a thalamic relay neuron, bringing sensory information into the cortex. Both the spiny stellate and pyramidal cell have excitatory synapses. The other neurons of the cortex produce inhibition. The axons of the pyramidal cell project down into the mid brain (sourced from public domain 2013).	76
5.3	Changes in brain conductance during induction with propofol (adapted from Pollard, Pomfrett, Bryan, Quraisha, Davidson & McCann 2011).	78
5.4	Brain regions and connections thought to mediate consciousness during propofol anaesthesia. Propofol is thought to potentate the GABA _A receptors of interneurons in the cortex (adapted from Brown, Lydic & Schiff 2010).	79
5.5	The effects of a number of anaesthetic agents across a range of anaesthetic targets. All eight agents result in unconsciousness (sourced from Alkire, Hudetz & Tononi 2008).	81
5.6	Propofol potienates GABA induced currents (adapted from Krasowski, O'Shea, Rick, Whiting, Hadingham, Czajkowski & Harrison 1997).	82
5.7	Changes in action potential rate. Upper pane is the control result for awake un-restrained rat. The lower section shows the results for anaesthetized rats. The bars above each figure indicate the iontophoretic current (sourced from Windels & Kiyatkin 2004). Anaesthesia causes a change in the distribution of the firing rate, with both a reduction in the mean and standard deviation. The anaesthetic produces a marked increase in the inhibition of the neural activity. The * represents $p < 0.05$ students t test.	84
7.1	Block diagram showing the top level of the base model. The EEG is the only output of the base model.	95
7.2	Block diagram showing the second level of base model showing the source of the EEG as the cortex. At the regional level the brain model consist of four blocks, Retina, afferent cortex, thalamus and cortex.	95

7.3	Block diagram showing the connections of the neuronal masses in the thalamus.	96
7.4	Block diagram showing the connections of the neuronal masses in the cortex.	97
7.5	Block diagram showing the interneuron population	98
7.6	Average response of each synapse type to an action potential. . .	99
7.7	The response of the action hillock to a range of membrane potentials for three different membrane resting potentials.	100
7.8	Effect of transmitter concentration on the decay of PSC.	103
8.1	Examples of the EEG of each band.	106
8.2	EEG from base model with hypnotic agent potentiating the GABA synapses of slow interneurons in the cortex. The drug effect increases after each ten seconds. The amplitude of the EEG clearly increased as the drug effect increases from left to right. In the last 10 seconds ($t = 70$ to 80) however the model produces very low amplitude EEG.	108
8.3	A typical power spectral density estimate for the EEG from a <i>good model</i> . The model shows a clear transition between α and β generation with the change in the stimuli. In the upper pain the PSD is clearly in the α range. Opening the eyes causes the model to produce EEG in the β range.	110
8.4	Changes in power spectral density due to increasing drug effect. .	111
8.5	Change in the PSD of the EEG generated by <i>good model 55</i> , with a drug effect of 0.9 across a range of stimuli. The anaesthesia brain produces EEG with an average frequency in the theta range. Increasing the stimuli to 14 caused the brain to switch from an unconscious state to an awake state.	112

8.6	Temporal changes in neuronal activity across model 55 without anaesthesia. The main figure shows the time course of the average neuronal activity in each population of the model. The lower left figure is five seconds from the EEG generated by the model to the right is the power spectral density estimate for the generated EEG.	114
8.7	Temporal changes in neuronal activity across model 55 with a low drug effect.	115
8.8	Temporal changes in neuronal activity across model 55 with a moderate drug effect.	116
8.9	Temporal changes in neuronal activity across model 55 with a high drug effect.	117
8.10	Changes in neuronal activity across the model populations due to a high level of drug. The high potentiation of the sIN neurons cause all the neuron populations of the cortex to hyperpolarize. The resultant EEG has a very low amplitude less than 1 mV the neurons of the thalamus show some activity due to the afferent stimuli.	118
8.11	Temporal changes in neuronal activity across model 55 populations in an un-anaesthetized brain with the eyes open.	119
A.1	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 201.	143
A.2	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 202.	144
A.3	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 203.	144
A.4	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 204.	145
A.5	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 206.	145
A.6	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 207.	146

A.7	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 208.	146
A.8	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 209.	147
A.9	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 210.	147
A.10	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 211.	148
A.11	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 212.	148
A.12	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 213.	149
A.13	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 214.	149
A.14	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 215.	150
A.15	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 217.	150
A.16	Comparasion between NN population PK model, individual AR model and data for patient 219.	151
B.1	Block digram of the brain model. At this level the model consits of four functional regions: afferent pain; thalamus; cortex and; afferent cortex.	153
B.2	Block digram of the afferent pain to the thalamus.	154
B.3	Block digram of the afferent cortex. This block represent afferents from negibouring cortex regions.	154
B.4	Block digram of the brain model, cortex. The cortex consits of four neuron populations; fast internurons; slow internurons; excitatory internurons and; pyramidal cells.	155

B.5	Block digram of the pyramidal cells, cortex.	156
B.6	Block digram of the slow interneurons, cortex.	156
B.7	Block digram of the fast interneurons, cortex.	157
B.8	Block digram of the excitatory interneurons, cortex.	157
B.9	Block digram of the brain model, thalamus. The thalamus consists of three neuron populations; interneurons; thalamic relay cells and; thalamic reticular formation cells.	158
B.10	Block digram of the interneurons, thalamus.	159
B.11	Block digram of the thalamic relay cells, thalamus.	159
B.12	Block digram of the thalamic reticular formation cells, thalamus.	160

List of Tables

2.1	Standard anaesthetic monitors	11
2.2	Standard anaesthetic stimuli and the responses used to assess anaesthesia (adapted from Gelb et al. 2009).	12
2.3	OAAS scale (adapted from Jensen, Litvan, Struys & Vazquez 2004).	13
2.4	Curentaly avaiable DoA monitoring methods (adapted from Gover & Bharti 2008).	14
2.5	BIS Guided Hypnosis and Anaesthetic Management (adapted from Kelley 2007)	17
2.6	Median effective dose of thiopental, diazepam, isoflurane, and morphine for different endpoints of anaesthesia in rats.	19
3.1	Four methods that produce high levels of fit (%) for the blood concentration data of each patient.	32
3.2	Performance of the individual ARX models.	34
3.3	Performance of the individual ARMAX models.	37
3.4	MSE compaired	40
4.1	Patient Details	49
4.2	Process EEG variables extracted from data.	55

4.3	Performance of ANN trained with different feature sets extracted from raw EEG estimation of the BIX monitor Index for the EEG. The correlation was calculated with linear regression.	62
5.1	Brain receptors of the two main superfamilys (adapted from Campagna, Miller & Forman 2003).	74
5.2	Brain regions with altered functional connectivity during mild propofol sedation (apapted from Gili, Saxena, Diukova, Murphy, Hall & Wise 2013).	77
5.3	Distribution of major GABA _A receptors subtypes in the rat brain (adapted from McKernan & Whiting 1996).	83
7.1	Brain model prameters	101
7.2	Afferent stimuli leves for the base model.	104
8.1	Synapse combinations that produce EEG similar to that presented in Figure 8.2	107
8.2	Values across which the model was simulated to find sub set of models that switch output due to a change in stimuli.	109
8.3	Gain parameters found to produce anaesthetic effect in the brain model.	110

Notation

Pharmacokinetic modelling

A	Initial concentration compartment A
B	Initial concentration compartment B
C	Initial concentration compartment C
$C_1(t)$	Concentration compartment one over time t
$C_2(t)$	Concentration compartment two over time t
$C_3(t)$	Concentration compartment three over time t
$C_p(t)$	Plasma concentration over time t
$C(s)$	Concentration function Laplace domain
$I(t)$	Infusion over time t
$I(s)$	Infusion function Laplace domain
V_1	Volume of the central compartment
k_{10}	Clearance rate constant compartment one
k_{12}	Rate constant compartment one to two
k_{13}	Rate constant compartment one to three
k_{21}	Rate constant compartment two to one
k_{31}	Rate constant compartment three to one
α	Decay constant compartment A
β	Decay constant compartment B
γ	Decay constant compartment C

DoA estimation

a_k	Coefficient of k^{th} output
p	Model order
P_i	Power of i^{th} frequency
\bar{P}	Total power of signal
SE	Spectral entropy
x_n	Zero mean white noise input
y_n	Current output
y_{n-k}	k^{th} past output

Continued on next page

Brain modelling

I_i	Current i^{th} ion channel
V_i	Reversal potential i^{th} ion channel
V_m	Membrane potential
$V_m(t)$	Membrane potential as a function of time t
g_i	Conductance per unit area i^{th} ion channel
I_m	Membrane current per unit area
C_m	Membrane capacitance per unit area
m	Activation gating variable
h	Inactivation gating variable
\bar{g}_i	Maximal value i^{th} conductance
α	Activation constant
β	Inactivation constant
$u(x, t)$	Neural field representing the local activity of a population of neurons at position x and time t
$w(y)$	Strength of connections between neurons separated by a distance y
Φ	Temporal decay rate of synapse
$u(x - y, t - \frac{ y }{v})$	Axonal conduction delay arising from the finite speed of signals travelling over a distance y
$P(t)$	Average pulse density of action potentials
A	Maximum amplitude of the PSP
a	Reciprocal, lumped representation, passive membrane and all other spatially distributed delays in the dendritic network
t	Time
$V_n(t)$	Single neuron membrane potential
C_i	Synaptic connectivity constant
$p_i(t)$	Unit impulse function
$h_i(t)$	Synaptic response
$V_m(t)$	Membrane potential neural mass
$P(t)$	Average pulse density of action potentials
$2e_0$	Maximum firing rate neuronal population
S_0	Resting membrane potential
v	Steepness, sigmoid function

Acronyms & Abbreviations

AAI	A-Line autoregressive index
AEP	Auditory Evoked potentials
AIC	Akaike information criteria
ANN	Artificial neural network
ANS	Autonomic nervous system
AP	Action potential
AR	Autoregressive
ARMA	Autoregressive moving average
Ac	Afferent cortex
Ap	Afferent pain
BIS	Bispectral Index
CNS	Central nervous system
CS	Cerebral State index
C _e	Concentration effect Site
DoA	Depth of Anaesthesia
ECG	Electrocardiogram
EEG	Electroencephalograph
EMG	Electromyogram
EOG	Electrooculogram
eIN	Excitatory interneurons
ePSP	Excitatory post synaptic potential
FFT	Fast fourier transform
fEITER	Functional electrical impedance tomography by evoked response
fIN	Fast interneurons
fMRI	Functional magnetic resonance imaging
GABA	γ -aminobutyric acid
GABA _A	γ -aminobutyric acid type A
HR	Heart rate
HRV	Heart rate variability
IN	Interneurons, thalamus
IoC	Index of Consciousness

Continued on next page

iPSP	Inhibitory post synaptic potential
LGIC	Ligand gated ion channels
LMA	Laryngeal mask airway
MAC	Minimum alveolar concentration
MAP	Mean arterial pressure
MSE	Mean squared error
NONMEM	Non-linear mix effect models
NMB	Neuromuscular block
NMDA	N-Methyl-D-aspartic acid
NLTEO	Nonlinear total energy operator
NN	Neural network
NO ₂	Nitrogen dioxide
NT	Neural transmitter
nACHr	Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor
OAAS	Observers Assessment of Alertness and Sedation
PD	Pharmacodynamics
PK	Pharmacokinetics
PNS	Peripheral nervous systems
PPG	Photoplethysmography
PSA	Patient State Analyser
PSC	Post synaptic current
PSD	Power spectral density
PSP	Post synaptic potential
PY	Pyramidal cells
qEEG	Qualitative EEG
RTN	Reticular nucleus
SE	Spectral entropy
SnS	Shaking and shouting
SWT	Stationary wavelet transform
sIN	Slow interneurons
TEO	Total energy operator
TRC	Thalamic relay cells
TRF	Thalamic reticular formation
VB	Ventrobasal nucleus
VGIC	Voltage gated ion channels
5HT3	5-hydroxytryptamine