

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND



**USING TOPOLOGY OPTIMISATION IN TUNNEL
REINFORCEMENT DESIGN**

A dissertation submitted by

TIN NGUYEN

B.Eng., Danang University of Technology, Vietnam, 2005

M.Eng, Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand, 2009

For the award of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

2015

Dedication

To my family

Abstract

In tunnel reinforcement design, having a suitable tool which is able to capture complex ground material and various tunnelling conditions is definitely significant. Since early stages of tunnelling engineering, empirical approaches using rock mass classification and accumulated experiences have been commonly used. Nevertheless, as developed from long-term accumulated knowledge in older projects, it is not always applicable to new ground conditions and also hardly guarantees a best design to be obtained. Analytical method is another tool to provide explicit calculations, however, its applications are limited to only some simple scenarios such as circular tunnel. It is also noted that these two approaches are only applicable to free-field conditions. Owing to the ability in modelling complex ground conditions with consideration of discontinuities or adjacent structures, numerical simulations has been constantly developing and applying in tunnel excavation design in the last decades. An appropriate incorporation of numerical analysis and optimisation techniques, if applicable, would provide a powerful tool for obtaining an optimal tunnel design.

In spite of effectiveness of topology optimisation theory, which is proved to work effectively in a broad range of engineering disciplines, its applications in geotechnical engineering and specifically in tunnelling design is fairly humble. Some research works have already attempted to incorporate topology optimisation techniques in tunnel reinforcement design and proposed some initial achievements in the area. However, simple assumptions on the material models and modelling techniques of geomaterials and reinforcement materials have essentially limited its applications and practicality in a complicated structure like underground excavations.

This thesis explores the incorporation of topology optimisation methods in tunnel reinforcement design. The main focus of the study is to improve some critical shortcomings of the previous works on reinforcement optimisation and propose new optimisation algorithms in searching for the best distribution of reinforcement material.

As the first step in this study, material nonlinearities are accounted for in optimisation techniques to improve the linear elastic material model assumption of previous studies. Practical behaviours of material, hence, can be captured. The Bidirectional Evolutionary Structural Optimisation (BESO) method is extended to consider nonlinear material behaviour. An elastic perfectly-plastic Mohr-Coulomb model is utilised for both host ground and reinforced material. External work along the tunnel wall is considered as the objective function. Various *in situ* stress conditions with different horizontal stress ratios and different geostatic stress magnitudes are investigated through several examples. The outcomes show that the proposed approach is capable of improving tunnel reinforcement design. Also, significant difference in optimal reinforcement distribution for the cases of linear and nonlinear analysis results proves the importance of the influence of realistic nonlinear material properties on the final outcome.

Another serious shortcoming of the previous studies is that reinforced areas were modelled as homogenised isotropic elements. Optimisation results, therefore, do not clearly show reinforcement distributions, leading to difficulties in explaining the final outcomes. In order to overcome this deficiency, a more advanced modelling technique in which reinforcements are explicitly modelled as truss elements embedded in rock mass media is employed. Corresponding optimisation algorithm are proposed to seek for an optimised bolt layout. Also, a topology optimisation technique is employed to simultaneously optimise all bolt parameters including pattern for bolts, spacing between the bolts and size of the bolts. The external work along the opening is selected as the objective function with a constraint on volume of bolt. To demonstrate the capabilities of the methods, numerical examples of nonlinear material models are presented. Various tunnelling characters and geological conditions with presence of discontinuities in the host rock have been successfully investigated in numerous examples, showing

the broad applicability and usefulness of the proposed approaches.

In reality, minimisation of certain displacements such as heave issues or ground displacements in shallow tunnel is sometimes of concern. Extending optimisation methods to capture these objective functions is crucial. A general displacement-based objective function is introduced with a constraint on a bolt volume. Sensitivity analysis is conducted and details on identification of necessary parameters are provided. Using the presented optimisation algorithm, an example on optimising bolt layout to minimise a heave function is performed. It is shown that the displacement-based objective function can be effectively captured by the proposed optimisation technique.

This study focuses on applying topology optimisation in tunnel reinforcement design to take advantage of both numerical analysis and optimisation methods. The presented techniques are applicable to any material models of host ground and reinforcements and provides clear and practical final outcomes. Using the proposed methods, all significant factors including geological conditions, construction sequences and tunnel characters can be taken into account to obtain an optimised reinforcement distribution. It is also demonstrated that various objective functions can be employed and usefully optimised by the methods. The obtained results proves that the optimisation techniques presented in this thesis are promising tools to reinforcement design of underground excavations.

Certification of Dissertation

I certify that the idea, experimental work, results and analyses, software and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award.

Tin NGUYEN

Date

ENDORSEMENT

Dr. Kazem GHABRAIE, Principal supervisor

Date

Prof. Thanh TRAN-CONG, Co-supervisor

Date

Acknowledgements

Firstly, my sincere thanks are extended to Prof. Thanh Tran-Cong for giving me an opportunity to pursue my PhD at the University of Southern Queensland (USQ), opening up a new and bright chapter of my academic career. His financial and academic support are also appreciated. I would like to express my profound gratitude to my principle supervisor, Dr. Kazem Ghabraie, for his invaluable supervision, fruitful discussions, useful writing corrections and inspirations during the period of my PhD research.

I owe this work to my dear parents. Their endless love, unconditional lifetime sacrifices and continued encouragements are invaluable sources that constantly keeps me move forward.

My sincere appreciations are due to my beloved wife for being beside me through the ups and downs, sharing many tough times in our personal life and especially giving a birth of our little daughter, Emma. Without her supportive encouragement, I have never made this to the end. Special thanks are also due to my lovely daughter for all much happiness she has brought to me during my period of intensive research.

I would like to extend my gratitude to all members of my parents-in-law's family, my brother, Thien, my sister-in-law, Nhung and my nephew, Duc Trong, for their warm love and selfless support.

The project was jointly funded by the University of Southern Queensland (USQ), Faculty of Health, Engineering and Surveying (FHES), and the Computational Engineering and Science Research Centre (CESRC). This financial support is gratefully acknowledged.

Papers resulting from the Research

Journal papers

1. **Nguyen, T.**, Ghabraie, K., and Tran-Cong, T. (2014). Applying Bi-directional Evolutionary Structural Optimisation method for tunnel reinforcement design considering nonlinear material behaviour. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 55, 57-66.
2. **Nguyen, T.**, Ghabraie, K., Tran-Cong, T., and Fatahi, B. (2015). Improving Rock Bolt Design in Tunnels Using Topology Optimisation. *International Journal of Geomechanics (accepted 9 Jan 2015)*.
3. **Nguyen, T.**, Ghabraie, K., and Tran-Cong, T. (2015). Simultaneous pattern and size optimisation of rock bolts for underground excavations. *Computers and Geotechnics (accepted 10 Feb 2015)*.

Conference papers

1. **Nguyen, T.**, Ghabraie, K., and Tran-Cong, T. (2012). Tunnel Reinforcement Optimization for Nonlinear Material. *The 4th International Conference on Computational Methods (ICCM2012)*, Gold Coast, Australia, November 2012.

Contents

Dedication	
Abstract	ii
Certification of Dissertation	vi
Acknowledgments	viii
Papers resulting from the research	x
List of Figures	xvi
List of Tables	xix
Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Levels of optimisation	2
1.3 Applications of Topology Optimisation in Tunnelling Design	3
1.4 Objectives and Scope of Study	5
1.5 Outline of the Thesis	6
Chapter 2 Literature Review	7
2.1 Overview of rock mechanics	7
2.1.1 Special character of rock mass	7
2.1.2 Strength of rock mass	8
2.1.3 Rock mass classification systems	9
2.1.4 Numerical analysis methods in rock mechanics	12
2.2 Tunnel Support and Reinforcement	13
2.2.1 Terminology	13

2.2.2	Ground and support interaction	14
2.2.3	Rock bolt	15
2.2.4	Modelling of rock bolts	16
2.3	Rock engineering design tools	17
2.3.1	Procedures of rock engineering design	17
2.3.2	Empirical systems	18
2.3.3	Analytical calculations	21
2.3.4	Numerical simulations	21
2.3.5	Observational methods	22
2.3.6	Summary	22
2.4	Simulation of excavation sequence and reinforcement installation .	23
2.4.1	2D/3D modelling	23
2.4.2	Simulating tunnel excavation	23
2.5	General form of optimisation problems	24
2.6	Methods of topology optimisation	25
2.6.1	Overview	26
2.7	The SIMP method	27
2.7.1	Overview	27
2.7.2	Details of the method	28
2.7.3	Numerical instabilities and solutions	30
2.7.4	Example	32
2.8	The BESO method	34
2.8.1	Overview	34
2.8.2	Details of the method	36
2.8.3	Numerical instabilities and solutions	38
2.8.4	Examples	40
2.9	Applying topology optimisation in underground excavation design	40
2.9.1	Shape optimisation of underground excavations	42
2.9.2	Reinforcement optimisation of underground excavations . .	43
2.9.3	Limitations of the previous works and potential improvement	44
Chapter 3 Nonlinear behaviour of geomaterial in optimisation		47
3.1	Motivations	47

3.2	Tunnel modelling	48
3.3	Statement of objective function	51
3.4	Nonlinear sensitivity analysis	51
3.4.1	Numerical calculation of sensitivity numbers	54
3.5	BESO procedures	56
3.6	Examples and discussion	57
3.6.1	Examples of various horizontal stress ratios in plastic cases	60
3.6.2	Examples of stress dependency in plastic cases	60
3.6.3	Effects of tunnel shape on the reinforcement distributions	63
3.6.4	Demonstrations of effectiveness of the proposed approach	65
3.7	Summary	67
Chapter 4 Optimisation of Rock Bolt Size		69
4.1	Modelling issues of reinforcement material	69
4.2	Modelling of reinforcement system and excavation sequence	70
4.3	Problem statement and optimisation method	71
4.4	Sensitivity analysis	73
4.5	Improving the uniform rock bolt distribution	76
4.6	Effects of <i>in situ</i> stress conditions on rock bolt design	81
4.7	Effects of penalisation on optimisation outcomes	83
4.8	Effects of ground structure density on optimisation outcomes	85
4.9	Effects of rock material on optimised bolt layout design	87
4.10	Effects of bedding plane on optimised bolt layout	88
4.11	Summary	91
Chapter 5 Simultaneous Optimisation of Rock Bolt Size and Pattern		93
5.1	Overview	93
5.2	Design variables	94
5.3	Objective function and problem statement	96
5.4	Sensitivity analysis	96
5.4.1	Sensitivity analysis for lengths and orientations of the bolts	97
5.5	Variable updating schemes	98

5.5.1	Updating lengths and orientations	98
5.6	A basic example	101
5.7	Studying the effects of tunnel shape on optimal bolt configurations	104
5.8	Consideration of discontinuities	106
5.8.1	Bedding Plane example	107
5.8.2	Fractured rock mass	109
5.9	Summary	112
 Chapter 6 Looking at Displacement-based Objective Functions		115
6.1	Introduction	115
6.2	A general linear displacement-based objective function	116
6.3	Sensitivity analysis	117
6.3.1	Calculation of λ	119
6.4	Minimising tunnel heave	120
6.4.1	Verification of sensitivity analysis	121
6.4.2	Optimisation of bolt sizes	122
6.5	Summary	124
 Chapter 7 Conclusion		125
 References		128

List of Figures

- 1.1 Three levels of optimisation: a)Topology optimisation; b)Shape optimisation; c)Sizing optimisation (Ghabraie, 2009) 3
- 2.1 Ground reaction curve and support interaction (Ghabraie, 2009) 15
- 2.2 An algorithm of the SIMP method 30
- 2.3 Checker board problem 31
- 2.4 Design domain of a simply supported beam 32
- 2.5 Topologies obtained by the SIMP method for a simply supported beam (K is the iteration number). Owing to symmetry, only half of the beam is shown. 33
- 2.6 An algorithm for the BESO method 37
- 2.7 Design domain of a cantilever beam 41
- 2.8 Topologies obtained by the BESO method for the cantilever beam problem (K is the iteration number and VF is the volume fraction) 41
- 3.1 Initial guess design 49
- 3.2 Flowchart of tunnel reinforcement optimisation using the BESO method 58
- 3.3 Optimal tunnel reinforcement with an elastic material model . . . 59
- 3.4 Nonlinear reinforcement optimisation under various horizontal stress ratios 61
- 3.5 Stress dependency of nonlinear optimal tunnel reinforcement . . . 62
- 3.6 Initial guess design 63

3.7	Reinforcement distribution for circular tunnel with $\sigma_1 = 0.8$ MPa. An even distribution of reinforcement, which is similar to the proposed initial design, is expected for the condition of the hydrostatic stress state ($k=1$) and the circular deep tunnel, where material weight is not considered.	64
3.8	Conventional and optimal rock bolt distribution	66
4.1	The three steps in modelling the excavation process.	71
4.2	Flowchart of the bolt size optimisation approach	74
4.3	Full model of the tunnel	76
4.4	Initial bolt ditribution and the ground structure with bolt spacing of 1 m (GS10). As a symmetric model is shown in this figure, the half bolt areas of “157” are used for the bolts on the symmetry line.	77
4.5	Bolt layouts and objective function variation for the case of $\sigma_1 = 5$ MPa and $k = 0.4$. Numbers at the end of bolts represent their cross section area per unit length of the tunnel in mm^2/m	79
4.6	Tunnel displacements under uniform and optimised bolt layouts (tunnel deformation is multiplied by a factor of 25)	80
4.7	Initial design for circular tunnel	81
4.8	Effects of <i>in-situ</i> stress conditions on optimised reinforcement layouts	82
4.9	Effects of penalisation on optimised reinforcement outcomes ($\sigma_1 = 4$ MPa, $k = 0.4$)	84
4.10	Ground structures with bolt spacings of 0.5 m (GS05) and 1.5 m (GS15)	85
4.11	Effects of ground structure density on optimised reinforcement outcomes ($\sigma_1 = 3$ MPa, $k = 0.4$)	86
4.12	Effects of rock material on optimised reinforcement outcomes ($\sigma_1 = 5$ MPa, $k = 0.4$)	89
4.13	Effects of bedding planes on optimised reinforcement outcomes ($\sigma_1 = 5$ MPa, $k = 1$)	90
5.1	An example of finding a suitable set of allowable end points for a bolt emerging from point <i>A</i>	95

5.2	Ground structure and sub-ground structure for the bolt having the first node at A.	95
5.3	Flowchart of the proposed approach.	100
5.4	Optimised bolt layout and objective function variations for the case of $\sigma_1 = 3$ MPa and $k = 1$. Numbers at the end of bolts represent their cross section areas per unit length of the tunnel in mm^2/m . (“A” stands for area optimisation and “P” stands for patern optimisation)	102
5.5	Tunnel displacements under uniform and optimised bolt layouts (the tunnel deformation is multiplied by a factor of 10).	104
5.6	Initial and optimised bolt configurations for different tunnel shapes and corresponding objective function variations ($\sigma_1 = 3$ MPa and $k = 1$).	105
5.7	Effects of bedding plane on the optimisation outcomes ($\sigma_1 = 5$ MPa and $k = 1$).	108
5.8	Model of the fracture rock mass	110
5.9	Effects of fractures on the optimisation outcomes ($\sigma_1 = 4$ MPa and $k = 1$)	111
6.1	Load set to evaluate floor heave	120
6.2	Initial bolt layout	121
6.3	Approximation of virtual load for elastic case	122
6.4	Approximation of virtual load for elastic-plastic case	123
6.5	Bolt size optimisation for the tunnel heave objective function ($\sigma_1 = 3$ MPa, $k = 1$)	123

List of Tables

2.1	Some empirical methods for the underground support design (revised from Palmstrom and Stille (2007))	19
3.1	Engineering properties of host ground and reinforced material . .	50
3.2	Physical and mechanical properties of shotcrete lining and rock bolts	66
4.1	Properties of homogeneous rock and reinforcement materials . . .	78
4.2	Summary of the optimisation outcomes under various <i>in situ</i> stress conditions	83
4.3	Summary of the optimisation outcomes for different ground structure densities ($\sigma_1 = 3$ MPa, $k = 0.4$)	85
4.4	Properties of jointed rock	88
4.5	Summary of the optimisation outcomes for different rock joint sets ($\sigma_1 = 5$ MPa, $k = 0.4$)	88
4.6	Summary of the optimisation outcomes considering bedding planes ($\sigma_1 = 5$ MPa, $k = 1$)	91
5.1	Properties of rock and reinforcement materials	101
5.2	Summary of the optimisation outcomes for different tunnel shapes ($\sigma_1 = 3$ MPa and $k = 1$)	106
5.3	Summary of the optimisation outcomes in consideration of effects of a bedding plane ($\sigma_1 = 5$ MPa and $k = 1$).	107
5.4	Summary of the optimisation outcomes in consideration of effects of fractures ($\sigma_1 = 4$ MPa and $k = 1$)	110

