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## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>Ipswich City Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGA</td>
<td>Local government area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHF</td>
<td>Ipswich Hospital Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCRC</td>
<td>Healthy Communities Research Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPR</td>
<td>Health, Parks and Recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOI</td>
<td>Freedom of Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QH</td>
<td>Queensland Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABS</td>
<td>Australian Bureau of Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OESR</td>
<td>Office of Economic and Social Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPIN</td>
<td>Health Performance Information Needs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Localised Data Sources for Community Health Indicators

There is national and international interest in understanding, assessing and promoting community wellbeing. Traditionally, this interest has been pursued in a number of ways including the collection of population level health (epidemiological), poverty, and crime data as well as national data on economic activity. These data have been collated to produce indicators of wellbeing – often at a national level. Changes in indicator levels over time are used to show whether wellbeing is improving.

Communities are also defined in more local terms, as cities, or towns – or more generally, as local government areas (LGA). Statewide community indicator projects (with indicators developed at the LGA level) have been conducted in Tasmania (Tasmania Together) and Victoria (Community Indicators Victoria: CIV); and a similar project is under development for Queensland (Community Indicators Queensland).

Community indicators developed at the LGA level are valuable for inter-community comparisons. However, one of the findings of the CIV project is that communities want information on what is happening within the community, that is, indicators that will allow intra-community comparisons. At a more general level, this reflects the fact that local government, and community-based strategies do not operate at the level at which national and regional indicators provide information. Conversely, national and regional level indicators (e.g., burden of disease statistics) do not change in response to local health and wellbeing initiatives, at least in the short to medium term. Nor do they typically spur community-level change.

A related concern with current indicator practice is that it is often based on large-scale data collections (e.g., census data). While this data is typically highly reliable, in the case of census data, it is only collected every five years and it is often not accessible until well after it has been collected.

An alternative source for more regularly collected information is survey data. Cost considerations mean that such surveys are typically also conducted on a large-scale level (national or statewide). As a consequence, sampling at the community level is typically so sparse that error margins become too large for reliable measurement. This type of data is also often not available until well after it has been collected.

A potential alternative to using large-scale data collections (census or survey) to inform indicators is to use local data sources. Local data sources are an underexplored area for valid, low-cost information that is more responsive to local health and wellbeing initiatives, and which could also spur community-level change.

Rationale and purpose

The Healthy Communities Research Centre (HCRC) undertook a small-scale innovative project to locate and identify data collected within the Ipswich region that has the potential to contribute to health and wellbeing in the area. The data of interest were information gathered on a routine basis by organisations and groups outside the mainstream of public health. That is, grassroots data collection that currently “flies under the radar” either because the information is not public, the potential for data use is not recognised or for some other reason.

People and organisations collect a great deal of data in their day-to-day activities. This information is potentially underused because local organisations often do not have a research culture or a protocol for using these data.

The goal of the project was to find data sources that are valid, reoccurring and geographically bound, which can be used over time to help improve health in a local area. Thus, this project started at the bottom with what could be called a “field investigation” or exploration of data collection in the Ipswich area.
Information that is provided and consolidated at local level has a value that is very different from, and serves different functions from larger-scale, institutionally collected aggregated data. Such things as local physical assets, social structures and participation are not part of these larger broader-based datasets. Local datasets potentially exist in a space that relates to wellness and prevention activities because local space is more amenable to local action and change.

Two important questions driving this study are:

- What can locally collected datasets tell us about health?
- How can local organisations play a part in promoting health as part of their everyday activities?

This project is a first step in trying to find out what data are available and to examine whether these data can be used in a meaningful way.

**Framework**

Indicators are often incorporated into “baskets” or categories. While there is no definitive framework for grouping indicators, the following set of indicator categories is typical of many current frameworks, including CIV and CIQ.

- Personal wellbeing – health (physical, mental, social); are people happy and/or content?
- Community connectedness – how involved are people with their community e.g. social groups, volunteering, involvement with not-for-profit groups, social sports activities.
- Safety – how safe is the community in terms of, for example, crime, road safety, street lights, police patrols.
- Lifelong learning – are there educational opportunities at multiple levels including preschool, primary, secondary, tertiary, TAFE, adult education, senior learning?
- Economic activity – Are businesses being started? Are businesses flourishing? Is housing being built? Are people spending money on consumables? Are shops available?
- Transport – are there roads, footpaths, public transport, taxi services, bike paths?
- Environment – Are there recreational facilities? Good housing? What is the built environment like? What is the natural environment like?
- Cultural activities – are cultural activities available and made use of? Do people attend concerts, plays, organised events, festivals? Is there local, state or business support of cultural activities?

**A model for community indicators**

An assumption of this project is that the health of communities is determined by social determinants such as socio-economic status, the environment in which we live, and educational levels. Rather than replicate standard indicator frameworks, a model that incorporates social determinants and relates more closely to the kinds of information that are relevant at a local community level formed the basis for this project. This three-part model comprises the following elements:

1. Physical assets: what physical things exist that support wellbeing, e.g., a walking trail.
2. Participation: who uses the asset, usage frequency, and how it is used.
3. Social structure: the social structure that supports participation and the physical assets e.g. ‘Friends of ...’, or a local walking group.

Progress can be measured at one or more of the steps. For example, an increase in physical assets could mean the increased availability of walking trails. Progress could come with
increased usage of an asset or different usage of an asset e.g. an innovative use of already existing space. Or the social structure that supports participation may indicate progress e.g. a Mums and Bubs groups regularly meeting to walk, or a group organising itself to pick up rubbish along the route.

Measurement of all of these steps will be required to create a comprehensive set of progress indicators. This project will not, however, develop indicators. Instead it is about locating data sources that might usefully contribute to the development of indicators.

This project was structured as a two-step process. In the first step, a set of health topic areas on which to focus was chosen. In the second step, a template for collecting information about available data, including information about the data source and an evaluation of the value of the data was developed.

The selection of topic areas was guided by input from Queensland Health, who indicated what information they currently had available to them – and which need not therefore be sought in this project. This information is summarised in Appendix A. Queensland Health also provided documentation, which listed gaps in their current knowledge of health and wellbeing in the community. This information is summarised in Appendix B. It was determined that most of the localised data of interest fall into the categories of physical activity, eating and healthy ageing. In a way, it can be said that the main focus of interest is finding out what people do. That is, a focus on behaviour. Information about people's behaviour patterns is often difficult to gather in broader-scale data collections and it is here that localised data sources might prove to be of greatest use.

With input from Queensland Health’s West Moreton Public Health Unit a series of questions were developed surrounding the topics of interest: physical activity; healthy eating; and healthy ageing. These questions are organised below by topic area and also by possible source of information. For example, information potentially gathered or held by Ipswich City Council, is indicated. These questions guided the search for local data sources.

**The questions**

*Physical Activity: What physical activity do people in Ipswich do? What activities are available? Who participates? How often? What resources are there to encourage physical activity? How are these measured? Where are people active?*

**Ipswich City Council**

- How many footpaths/bicycle paths/kilometres? How connected are these?
- Number of parks, use of parks, locations, facilities, suitability for physical activity?
- Availability and use of walking trails?
- Availability and usage of public transport? (Mode and number)
- Bicycle parking spots/lockups in Ipswich?
- Maintenance schedule for major parks/records of maintenance/requests by public?
- Number and type of requests for maintenance/mowing/fixing.
- Land use mix
- Number of residents living within walking distance of city centre or transport hubs?
- CCTV data on use of public spaces (e.g. mall, parks)
- Pedometer loans from library.

**IHF**

- SNAP
- Sun safety trailer loan figures, QT, Bendigo Bank marquees.
Air force

- What data do they collect as to the physical activity of their members?

Clubs/sporting groups

- Sports groups (do they track by post code, gender etc?)
- Umbrella physical activity organisations
- Clubs (football, cycling, rec sport, gardening)
- Fitness clubs
- How many bicycles are sold each year in Ipswich?

Healthy Eating: How do people in Ipswich eat? What is available to eat?

Vendors and Support Organisations

- Fast food outlets
- Health food stores
- Sales of fruit and vegetables
- Cost of fruit and vegetables compared to other regions.
- Sales of fruit and vegetable seeds, seedlings, etc.
- Cooking schools
- Demand for organic products
- School lunch/breakfast programmes
- Organisations focusing on healthy eating/teaching about food
- Ipswich markets – produce vendors.

Australian Breastfeeding Association

- List of local organisations that provide childcare/breastfeeding rooms.
  (www.breastfeeding.asn.au/products/babycare)

Ipswich City Council

- Local food production/land use mix (zoning for rates)
- Inventory of food sold at Council-owned venues (canteens/vending machines)

Healthy Ageing: Where do seniors live in Ipswich? What kind of housing do they live in? Do they attend clubs or groups? How many participate in physical activity? How many are employed? How many volunteer?

Seniornet (http://www.seniornet.com.au)

- Membership info (gender/age/location)

Senior Card

- Ipswich membership.
- Business participation and usage.

Ipswich City Council

- Seniors Consultative Committee
- Participation in council sponsored seniors activities.
The task for the project was to identify and locate these data sources, arrange access, and to identify these data in a way that would make a meaningful contribution to public health. Conditions for access were also identified.

**Method**

Different organisations collect data differently and each organisation has their own procedures for dealing with data that they collect. The characteristics of data collections will therefore vary, both in terms of accessibility and quality.

A template was created to standardise information collection about local data sources. This provides a way to organise the information gathered about organisations and the data that they collect. This includes an evaluation of the potential usefulness of data sets. To evaluate usefulness, judgements were made as to the validity of the data, requirements for obtaining the data, and whether the data were fit for the purpose of contributing to the development of indicators. Finally, the template allows different data sets to be compared.

**Template Structure**

The template for each data source begins by listing the indicator topic area to which the data could potentially be applied (*Physical activity, Healthy eating, or Healthy ageing*). A heading for the name of the dataset follows. The third template heading shows to which of the three elements of the community indicator model the dataset applies (*Physical assets, Participation, or Social structure*). This is followed by a brief description of the dataset.

The central component of the template is a table, which describes key features of the data (The data Owner; Geographical level at which it is collected; How it is collected; How often it is collected; How access to the data is gained; Costs involved in obtaining the data; and the Size of the dataset), as well as providing two columns evaluating the validity of the data in the dataset and the utility of the data for indicator development.

Below the template table is a heading that lists the results for the four criteria on which the validity assessment in the table was based. These criteria include:

- Representativeness: did data represent all similar types of information?
- Comprehensiveness: did the database include all information/people in the field?
- Bias: was the database unrepresentative or not comprehensive in particular defined ways? Did data collection structurally affect the data?
- Collection check: is there any way of verifying the data collected?

Following the criteria for validity assessment is a heading for data collection requirements, which describes what would need to be done to extract and use the data.
The term “fit for purpose” was used to summarise the conjunction of data collection requirements, validity, and cost to obtain. That is, given the nature of the data set, is it going to be worthwhile to pursue as a resource for the construction of health and well-being indicators?

The final template heading provides an overall narrative assessment of the dataset including relevant supporting factors and caveats.

**Results**

50 organisations were identified as potentially gathering information about physical activity, healthy ageing and diet in the Ipswich region. Within this group, some organisations had many sub-groups that collect and use information about Ipswich. For example, the Ipswich City Council would be one of the largest data-gathering organisations within the area. Of the 50 groups contacted, 33 organisations or sub-groups within organisations shared information about data that they collect. These data are summarised in the table in Appendix C.

Access ranged from open “come and look” through willingness to allow database access to a researcher to extract and de-identify data; public access (e.g. web-based database); to no access.

The validity of the data was evaluated as high in 14 cases, medium in 6 cases, low in 7 cases and unknown in 6 cases. Validity was evaluated on the basis of representativeness, comprehensiveness, bias and whether there was a collection check.

16 databases were suitable for use in developing indicators (good fit for purpose). 11 databases were judged as medium fit for purpose, that is, they could be used but had some features that could make it difficult to use, such as intermittent data gathering or lack of representativeness. Some of those databases in the category of “medium” have potential to be developed to be used in indicator research, e.g. Ipswich City Council park maintenance schedules could be useful if the schedule could be linked to actual performance of maintenance figures. 6 databases had low or no fit for purpose, that is, they would not be useful at this point.

The primary opportunity cost for most of the databases would be salary costs for a researcher to access the databases to extract and de-identify data. In a few cases, costs would need to be paid for the organisation to extract the data themselves. For example, some sports clubs don’t allow outside access to their database. Most of the information gathered by the local council is accessible under FOI requests, but it would be expedient for interested parties to arrange direct access to the data (as allowed) as council is often overwhelmed by information requests. In many cases, council would facilitate researcher access to data.

The attached templates (Appendix C) provide the details of collection, validity, access, opportunity cost, size of dataset and fit for need of all the organisations that provided information for this exploratory study.
### Table 1: Summary of database value for indicator development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Databases suitable for use in developing indicators</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICC Parks (1)*; ICC Footpaths, recreation pathways, cycleways (4); Ipswich Civic Centre (8); Ipswich Walking Trails (9); Sun Safety Trailer Loans (10); Bus routes and usage (12); U3A (14); Public swimming pool usage (16); Heart Foundation Walking (18); Meals on Wheels (19); Ipswich Little Athletics (20); Ipswich Hockey Association (21); Ipswich Junior Rugby League (22); Qld Christian Soccer Assoc (23); SNAP (29); Average Produce Sales Coles Supermarkets in LGA (33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Databases with potential to be used in developing indicators</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICC Park Maintenance Schedules (2); Ipswich Community Online (3); Cycleway usage (5); Park usage (6); ICC Customer Service (7); Ipswich Healthy4Life (11); Active After Schools Community (17); Ipswich Basketball Assoc. (26); Queensland Kids Freshnet (30); Fast Food Outlets (31); Liquor Outlets (32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Databases that are not useful at this point</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Babycare Australia (13); Safe City (15); Ipswich-based gyms (24); Cycling – The Yellow jersey (25); Ipswich Cycling Club (27); Ipswich Central Markets (28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Number in parentheses indicates database number as shown in Appendix C.

### Some observational results

Gyms are happy to share information about their membership as long as you can reach the manager who starts work at 5.30am and finishes early. According to figures, plenty of people belong to gyms in Ipswich (7,500 across the three gyms that responded). Whether people actually exercise is another question. Most gyms will not allow access to their databases for reasons of contractual privacy. One gym (Healthworks) would allow a researcher to extract de-identified gender and postcode information from their database.

In general, sporting clubs and organisations are happy to share information about their membership. Many already break down membership numbers by gender and date of birth. Groups like Little Athletics, the Ipswich Junior Rugby League, the Ipswich Hockey Association, Basketball Ipswich, the Ipswich Cycling Club and the Queensland Christian Soccer Association have a combined membership of approximately 5,860 and are willing to share information with researchers.

Not for profit organisations are, in general, open and willing to share information. The Heart Foundation, Meals on Wheels, U3A, Ipswich Healthy4Life and the Ipswich Hospital Foundation were all forthcoming on membership and willingness to allow access to databases, provided data were de-identified.

Commercial entities varied in their willingness to share information. Aggregated or summarised information was not an issue but specific details of sales, for example, were not forthcoming. Indeed several business people were eager to share their perspectives on the health or otherwise of people in Ipswich.
Government organisations were willing to share what information they had, but had a formal procedure for requesting information. Information on SeniorsCard and bus routes/usage fell into this category.

Some organisations were impossible to contact. Others could be contacted but so far have not vouched any information. Two things come into play here. The first is the need to take the time to build contacts and repeatedly making contact. The other is waiting for organisations to make decisions about protocol. The more junior the initial contact, the less likely one is to obtain information. The more senior the contact, the more likely that they have authority to make a decision and/or a grasp of the protocols involved.

Discussion

The ethics of place-based research

Consideration needs to be made of the end uses of place-based research. Questions arise around issues of validity and reliability for small sample sizes. Conclusions from data need to be drawn in an intellectually valid way. One geographical area cannot be directly compared to another without consideration of context. This may seem self-evident, but recent media coverage of Ipswich as Queensland’s “fattest place” illustrates the inherent problems of drawing conclusions from unreliable data.

Thought must also be given to how information supplied by local organisations will be used. Will funding decisions be based on conclusions drawn from these data sources? If this is the case, will sources such as local governments or non-government organisations want to participate in localised data projects if it puts funding at risk? There needs to be a clear understanding of what benefits and potential negative impacts might arise from data-sharing with a state government organisation.

On the other hand, with the move towards partnerships between all kinds of organisations, it is vital that organisations establish policies and protocols for information sharing. If the common goal is improved health for the community, then all organisations need to find ways to work together towards these goals.

Processes to determine accessibility of data include whether admission can made of the types of data collected. Decisions need to be made as to levels of transparency (which can vary according to the partner organisation) and access.

Organisations vary widely in their transparency and levels of development as an organisation. A benchmark for policy would be an organisation like the Australian Bureau of Statistics. It has policies in place that ensure access to aggregated data that have been de-identified, release data without interpretation and have conditions for use.

If the ABS is at one end of the scale, the middle of the scale is occupied by the organisation that does not have policies and protocols in place regarding information sharing and may inadvertently release information that has privacy or other legal implications. They are willing to share information but have not thought through the implications of this.

At the opposite end of the scale to an organisation such as the ABS, is the organisation that defensively holds onto its data and does not even have policies in place regarding sharing information about what data they collect. This is not necessarily an indication of a need for secrecy, but rather a less mature organisation that has not yet thought through policies and protocols for appropriate sharing of information to benefit the local community.

Any researcher trying to examine localised data sources will encounter each of these categories of organisation. Category is not determined by type of organisation. In general however, federal level government organisations and higher-level non-government organisations have already established protocols for information gathering and reportage. A
high level of transparency is essential in these organisations and the implications of sharing information have been thought through and protocols established.
**Case Study on Using Local Data Sources: Brisbane City Council and HABITAT**

HABITAT (How Areas in Brisbane Influence healTh And acTivity) is a study of life and recreation amongst people aged 40 years or more and living in Brisbane (Australia). It looks at lifestyle, health and well being, and the facilities and services available in local areas.

Important aspects of HABITAT include the mailing of questionnaires to selected people, looking at the features and facilities in selected neighbourhoods, and producing maps of the features of selected local areas in Brisbane. HABITAT currently includes over 11,000 Brisbane residents from 200 neighbourhood areas.

HABITAT was launched in 2007, and will run for at least five years. The goal of HABITAT is to study changes in people’s lifestyle, health and wellbeing, and to determine the most important influences on these changes.

HABITAT will provide valuable information about living in Brisbane. This knowledge can be used by local and state governments to make Brisbane a better and healthier place to live. HABITAT will also contribute to international understanding about the health and well being of people aged 40 years or more.

HABITAT is conducted by Queensland University of Technology (QUT) and the University of Queensland (UQ). It is funded by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.

Brisbane City Council has provided a great deal of the environmental data used within the HABITAT Study. There is an agreement between Council and HABITAT that data will be provided on an ongoing basis (generally once every two years) for the duration of the project.

Data provided includes the following:

- Bike paths
- Cadastre – including creek and street polygons, house numbers, parcels, property boundaries, property holding, river and creek names, street names.
- Bus stops and route data
- Facilities database
- Cityplan and Land use data
- Parks
- Railway
- Traffic – including traffic ellipses, traffic lines, kerb channels, pavement marking etc.
- Tree coverage from aerial photography

In return for the provision of this data, council have invited representatives from HABITAT to come to council to present preliminary results and discuss applications for the findings from HABITAT. A preliminary report outlining baseline findings and the implications has also been supplied to council.

[http://www.habitat.qut.edu.au](http://www.habitat.qut.edu.au)
Conclusion
What have we learnt about:

- Organisations that gather data in Ipswich?
- Trying to obtain localised data?

### Key learnings about organisations that gather data in Ipswich

- State government organisations that collate data about Ipswich have protocols for sharing this information and are willing to do so. e.g. Translink.

- State offices of non-government organisations that collect information about Ipswich are usually willing to share this information as long as data are de-identified. e.g. Heart Foundation, Meals on Wheels.

- Area umbrella organisations that collect data about Ipswich are usually willing to share this information as long as data are de-identified. e.g. Ipswich Hockey, Ipswich Heart Foundation, Ipswich Basketball.

- Individual non-profit organisations vary in their approach to sharing data from complete openness to unwillingness to share information.

- Many not-for-profit organisations need to take requests for information to committee or membership meetings. This adds to the time required for data collection.

- Most commercial enterprises do not want to share data.

- Against expectations, some commercial enterprises are willing to share data that they collect about Ipswich. e.g. Coles Supermarket.

- The Ipswich City Council does not yet have established protocols in place for data sharing with outside organisations.

In addition to the practical considerations described above, the question of whether validated local data sources should be pursued for the purpose of developing community health and wellbeing indicators rests heavily on the evidence showing that indicators developed in this way are indeed reflective of a community’s health and wellbeing; that they can be developed in a cost-effective manner; and that they are more responsive to local health development strategies than other types of information. There is a burgeoning field of research demonstrating the relationship between local community factors and health. While this work supports the role of local data sources, little research has shown how well the resultant indicators operate in their function of demonstrating intra-community differences in health and wellbeing, and of responsiveness to local strategies to improve health and wellbeing.
Key learnings about obtaining and using localised data

- It is easier to gather information about physical activity than about how/what people eat.
- Contacts and knowledge of the local infrastructure and community is vital to building up a picture of what is happening in a community.
- Repeated contacts need to be made.
- Many organisations do not have protocols in place that govern release and sharing of information.
- The researcher needs to be able to give organisations enough information to help them in the process of developing these protocols (and sometimes to even realise that protocols are needed).
- Attitudes to information sharing by organisations depend on the maturity of that organisation.
- Organisations that are mature have structures in place to share information.
- Some organisations are willing to share information that they shouldn’t under privacy laws and similar considerations.
- Some organisations have not yet begun on the process of developing protocols to share information.
- Many organisations do not realise the value to health researchers of the information that they hold.
- Local governments collect a large proportion of the data relevant to a geographical area.
- If a local government has established protocols in place for data sharing, it can be a valuable source of information.
- If such protocols have not yet been established, locating and obtaining data can be very frustrating.
- Although much council data is available under FOI requests, it is better to build a relationship with a local council based on clear understanding of the uses of the data and what added-value research can provide to the council, rather than the “blunderbuss” approach.
- Many not-for-profit organisations need to take requests for information to committee or membership meetings. This adds to the time required for data collection.
• Most commercial enterprises do not want to share data.
• Some commercial enterprises are willing to share data when they realise the potential value of doing so.
• Access to data relies heavily on finding the right person within the organisation to approach.
• Localised data research needs to have an educative component by which organisations can learn about the value of information they collect and its use in health and community research.
• One important value of localised data sets is that data are collected year in and out as part of the routine activities of an organisation. A secondary result is a low-cost dataset.

Indicator Examples

Physical Activity

An indicator of physical activity could be constructed using ICCs database of footpaths, recreational pathways and cycleways (Template 4, Appendix C).

A basic indicator could be constructed using a simple count of the amount of pathways in Ipswich. The amount in the first year would serve as a benchmark. Based on the relationship between pathways and physical activity, changes in the amount of pathways over time could be used to indicate changes in physical activity.

This indicator could be improved by using geocoding to map the connectedness of the pathways and the proportion of the population with access to a well-maintained footpath or cycleway. This would use additional research evidence to produce an indicator with a stronger link to healthy behaviour.

Combining evidence from such an indicator with evidence from indicators constructed around park access, park use, and the activities of organised walking or cycling groups would produce a comprehensive set of indicators around physical activity in Ipswich.

Healthy Eating

An indicator of healthy eating could be constructed using data on produce sales in Coles supermarkets in Ipswich (Template 33, Appendix C). While the data are likely to be highly accurate from year to year, additional work would be required before they could be used in a valid indicator. In particular, it would be necessary to determine the relationship between produce sales at Coles and total produce sales in Ipswich. It would also be necessary to know the number of people responsible for the amount of produce sold in any given period. This information could be combined with information about the proportion of produce sold that is eaten. The resulting indicator would be supported by research evidence on the relationship between eating fruit and vegetables and health.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Hub (Demography, Society, Economy, Industry &amp; Development, Environment, Census)</th>
<th>SEIFA (urban centres &amp; regional profiles)</th>
<th>Population indicators, population by age (based on 2006 census)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Common Websites and Tools for Accessing Regional Statistics (OESR)</td>
<td>fMRI</td>
<td>Migration (14 years ago) (country of birth, educational attainment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queensland Health Data</td>
<td>Median rents (by postcode)</td>
<td>Criminal (police regions &amp; districts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancers, Heart Disease, Asthma, Avoidable Deaths, Back pain, Blood-borne diseases, Cancer, Breast cancer, Cardiac disease, Colon cancer, Communicable disease program evaluation, COPD, Demography, Disasters, Downhill, Expenditure, Fall, The Burns &amp; Scalds, Flu, Gastrointestinal diseases, Governance, Heart failure, HIV, Homophraphy, Ill, Infectious disease, Induced follow-up, Myocardial disease, Governmental, Prevention, Chronic disease, Colorectal cancer, Communicable disease, Program evaluation, Crime (police regions &amp; districts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption, Cancer, Injury, Assault, Asthma, Avoidable deaths, Back pain, Blood-borne diseases, Cancer, Breast cancer, Cardiac disease, Colon cancer, Communicable disease program evaluation, COPD, Demography, Disasters, Downhill, Expenditure, Fall, The Burns &amp; Scalds, Flu, Gastrointestinal diseases, Governance, Heart failure, HIV, Homophraphy, Ill, Infectious disease, Induced follow-up, Myocardial disease, Governmental, Prevention, Chronic disease, Colorectal cancer, Communicable disease, Program evaluation, Crime (police regions &amp; districts)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small area labour markets.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment by industry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business counts by</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>employment size/range.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental housing vacancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Health Risk Survey (2011)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building approvals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrol prices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of agricultural</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-economic index of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disadvantage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australian Institute for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health &amp; Welfare (AIHW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Reported Adult Health Status: Darling Downs-West Moreton Health Service District (2009)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual weekly income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet connection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need for assistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment size/mover</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business counts by</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment by industry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental housing vacancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B: Identified Knowledge Gaps

According to the Health Performance Information Needs 2009-2012 (HPIN 2009) report from the Division of the Chief Health Officer there are six known gaps in the HPIN. These are:

- Sun safety (lack of clarity on indicators to measure).
- Mental health promotion (lack of clarity on indicators to measure).
- Environmental health (indicators for health protection).
- Communicable disease epidemiology reporting.
- CALD populations population health indicators.
- Activity level or health system performance indicators.

There are 80 indicators required to meet state and federal endorsed reporting requirements that do not have a sustainable source. These include the following areas:

- Asthma, back pain, blood pressure, BMI, cholesterol, diabetes, falls, food behaviour (general), food safety, food security, fruit and vegetables, health and wellbeing, infant nutrition, micronutrients, physical activity, psychological distress, radiation, resources, sedentary, self rated health, sun burn, sun protective behaviours and vaccination.

Many of these categories are highly specific while others are extremely broad. It is not the purpose of this project to “solve” the knowledge and data collection gap problem, but to identify within the Ipswich City Council area some potential sustainable data sources based on some of the priorities identified within the HPIN.

One of the ways to do this is think about health-related questions, or groups of questions around a subject, that will drive a ground level investigation.

See Appendix A for the list of common datasets available to Queensland Health (supplied by QH). Other common datasets relevant to a demographic profile of an area are available through the ABS and the Office of Economic and Social Research (OESR).
## Appendix C: Localised Data Sources – Data Templates

### Potential Indicator Area
- Physical activity, healthy ageing, community connections

### Dataset: Ipswich City Council Parks Database

#### Category
- **Physical Asset**

#### Brief Description
- The ICC maintains a database of parks and reserves in the LGA. This can be searched by suburb or by facility. Searchable facilities include: sports field and courts, BBQ’s, buses, camping, car parking, playground, drinking water, gazebos, nature trails, nature trails (hiking), pools, public toilets, recreational facilities (badminton, basketball, tennis, volleyball, football), reserve/park, seats, playground equipment, swimming pools, skate park, street trees, tennis courts, lookout, memorial, picnic facilities, gazebos, hall/clubhouse, and many more.

#### Data Collection Requirements

- **Collection checks**: None but database expected to be accurate.
- **Bias**: Low
- **Comprehensiveness**: High because complete listing of parks in Ipswich LGA
- **Representativeness**: High because council owns all parks in Ipswich and this is a simple count of owned assets.

#### Validity

- **Representativeness**: High because council owns all parks in Ipswich and this is a simple count of owned assets.
- **Comprehensiveness**: High because complete listing of parks in Ipswich LGA
- **Bias**: Low
- **Collection check**: None but database expected to be accurate.

#### Data Collection

- **Fit for Purpose**: Good
- **Data Level**: LGA with neighbourhood level data.
- **Validity**: High
- **Cost to Collect**: Person to access database and count facilities.
- **Size of Dataset**: 540 parks: “5000 hectares of open space”.
- **Access**: Open through website.
- **Assessment**: Very useful dataset for information about physical assets that support physical activity and healthy ageing and can contribute to indicators of community connectness.
**Potential Indicator Area**

**Dataset:** Ipswich City Council Park Maintenance Schedules

**Category:** Social Structure

**Brief description:** ICC operational plan categorises parks by level. Each level has specifications for lawn mowing and maintenance. Parks are categorised as strategic, district or local, based on criteria such as proximity to educational institutions or community centres.

| Purpose | Fit for Purpose | Validity | Data level | Content of dataset | Access to Obtain | Data or Owner | Data collection requirements | Data extraction | Collection check | Completeness | Representativeness | Bias | Collection check | Value
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>Operational plan</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>100+ page</td>
<td>From ICC operational plan website.</td>
<td>ICC website.</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment:** Has potential especially if can be linked to actual maintenance performed (council in process of implementing this).
**Potential Indicator Area:**

Physical activity, healthy ageing, community linkage.

**Dataset:**

Ipswich Community Online (http://www.ipswich.qld.gov.au/community/directories/community/)

**Category:**

Social structure

**Brief description:**

An online directory of Ipswich community organisations, listed by the following categories: Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander; advocacy; carers; childcare; churches and religious groups; community centres and halls; competitions/awards; counselling; disability; education and training; emergency services; employment; families; festivals/events; fundraising/funding opportunities; health services; home care; housing and accommodation; information and referral; justice of the peace; mediation services; migrant services; multicultural services; recreation and leisure; respite services; seniors; service clubs; sports and fitness; support groups/services; transportation; veterans; youth.

**Brief description:**

An online directory of Ipswich community organisations, listed by the following categories: Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander; advocacy; carers; childcare; churches and religious groups; community centres and halls; competitions/awards; counselling; disability; education and training; emergency services; employment; families; festivals/events; fundraising/funding opportunities; health services; home care; housing and accommodation; information and referral; justice of the peace; mediation services; migrant services; multicultural services; recreation and leisure; respite services; seniors; service clubs; sports and fitness; support groups/services; transportation; veterans; youth.

**Data collection requirements:**

Routine monitoring: Request access to master list for ease of use, evidence of duplicate listings. Simple county and category.

**Assessment:**

A moderately useful listing of community organisations that is limited by self-selection.

**Data collection requirements:**

Routine monitoring: Request access to master list for ease of use, evidence of duplicate listings. Simple county and category.

**Assessment:**

A moderately useful listing of community organisations that is limited by self-selection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Partial</th>
<th>Area of interest</th>
<th>Interests: some overlaps</th>
<th>Need: community (6)</th>
<th>Person to access</th>
<th>Open</th>
<th>Adequate: can be modified</th>
<th>Online self-service database</th>
<th>Granularity: LGA with some neighbourhood level data.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Format</td>
<td>Data level</td>
<td>How collected</td>
<td>Data needs</td>
<td>Database</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>How often</td>
<td>How collected</td>
<td>How level</td>
<td>How</td>
<td>Overlap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Validity</td>
<td>Online self-service database; entries can be modified.</td>
<td>At will.</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Person to access</td>
<td>how often</td>
<td>Cost to obtain</td>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Level</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Validity</td>
<td>Ipswich City Council LGA with some neighbourhood level data.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data collection requirements:**

Routine monitoring: Request access to master list for ease of use, evidence of duplicate listings. Simple county and category.

**Assessment:**

A moderately useful listing of community organisations that is limited by self-selection.

**Data collection requirements:**

Routine monitoring: Request access to master list for ease of use, evidence of duplicate listings. Simple county and category.

**Assessment:**

A moderately useful listing of community organisations that is limited by self-selection.
Potential Indicator Area: Physical activity, healthy ageing

Dataset: Footpaths, recreational pathways, cycleways

Category: Physical Asset

Brief description: Council listing of these physical assets by length and facilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Cost to Obtain</th>
<th>Size of Dataset</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>By ICC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assessment: Good dataset that could be used to provide baseline measures for provision of physical assets that support physical activity.

Data collection requirements: Access to map and ability to count and categorise physical assets.

- Collection checks – yes, all records tagged with whether data digitised, field inspected, surveyed etc.
- Relevance - may not include state or federally funded pathways that are not maintained by council.
- Representativeness - expected to be high because simple count of physical asset.
- Repeatability - high because physical assets owned and managed by council.

Validity:

- Representativeness - high because simple count of physical asset.
- Comprehensiveness - expected to be high because simple count of physical asset.
- Bias - may not include state or federally funded pathways that are not maintained by council.
- Collection check – yes, all records tagged with whether data digitised, field inspected, surveyed etc.

Data collection requirements: Access to map and ability to count and categorise physical assets.

Assessment: Good dataset that could be used to provide baseline measures for provision of physical assets that support physical activity.
**Potential Indicator Area:** Physical activity

**Dataset:** Cycleway usage

**Category:** Participation

**Brief description:** Ipswich City Council monitoring of cycleways usage (traffic counting)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>Cost to access</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>ICC request</td>
<td>Request</td>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>Potential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplementary Question:** Does state government monitor Ipswich motorway cycle paths? If so, potential data for Ipswich may be available.

**Assessment:** Potentially a useful database especially if ICC provides usage data.

**Data collection requirements:** If ICC counts, then data need will be simply access to these counts. If not, footage will need to be watched and cycleway users counted.

**Cost to Obtain:**

- Unknown

**Size of Dataset:**

- Intermittent

**Validity:**

- Representativeness - Low because not all cycleways monitored.
- Bias - Unknown because it is not known what criteria ICC uses to choose which cycleways to monitor for what periods of time.
- Representativeness - Low because use of cycleways varies.
- Representativeness - Low because use of cycleways varies.

**Collection check - No.**
**Potential Indicator Area:** Physical activity, healthy ageing, community

**Dataset:** Park Usage

**Category:** Participation

**Brief description:** Ipswich City Council data collection on council-owned park usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>ICC</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How open</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>How to obtain</th>
<th>Size of dataset</th>
<th>Request data through</th>
<th>Health, Parks, Recreation Dept.</th>
<th>Unknow</th>
<th>Unknow</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>Data level</td>
<td>How collected</td>
<td>How open</td>
<td>Access</td>
<td>How to obtain</td>
<td>Size of dataset</td>
<td>Request data through</td>
<td>Health, Parks, Recreation Dept.</td>
<td>Unknow</td>
<td>Unknow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Validity:**

- **Fit for Purpose:** Potentially high
- **Validity:** Unknown
- **Cost to Obtain:** Unknow
- **Size of dataset:** Unknow

**Data collection requirements:** If ICC counts, then data need simply be access to these counts. If not, footage will need to be watched and park users counted.

**Assessment:** Potentially a useful database especially if ICC provides usage data.

- Collection check - no.
- Bias - unknown because it is not known what criteria ICC uses to choose which parks to monitor and for what periods of time.
- Representativeness - low because not all parks monitored.
- Comprehensiveness - low because individual park usage varies by location, time of year and facilities.

**Assessment:** Potentially a useful database especially if ICC provides usage data.
Potential Indicator Area: Infrastructure, Physical activity, Community

Dataset: Ipswich City Council Customer Service Database

Category: Physical asset, Social structure

Description: ICC customer service manages a database of calls to council and responses. All items have a matrix of information attached that includes the timeframe for response, e.g. if a park is mown every 21 days, then that is the max response time. They do not yet have actual dates that maintenance is performed but hope to do so in future. Reports can be produced ad hoc on requests, but all issues of concern to public.

Assessment: Good potential but need for negotiation over access.

Dataset collection requirements: Some arrangement with council as to data extraction. Potentially have to pay for time to extract reports. Annual reports have counts of some items of interest such as graffiti, so some data is already extracted and reported.

Validity:
- High
- Known towards people who call council to complain / activists, active citizens.
- Comprehensiveness - High
- Representativeness - High because all incoming requests logged and assessed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Cost to Obtain</th>
<th>Data extraction?</th>
<th>Data Quality</th>
<th>Fit for Purpose</th>
<th>Privacy</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>LGA</td>
<td>Through public calls to IC</td>
<td>Daily during working hours</td>
<td>By request through Operations Manager</td>
<td>Data collection and de-identification.</td>
<td>Data quality - high because all incoming requests logged and assessed.</td>
<td>Cost extraction - null</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Potential Indicators

- Infrastructure
- Physical activity
- Community

(7)
**Potential Indicator Area:** Community, ageing

**Dataset:** Ipswich Civic Centre

**Category:** Participation, Social Structure

**Brief description:** Auditorium managed by Ipswich City Council that hosts community and arts events.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Fit for</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Size of dataset</th>
<th>Cost to Obtain</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Database</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Valid</td>
<td>Approximately 60 community and commercial events per year</td>
<td>Routine phone call, ICC will pass on information sold on an annual basis</td>
<td>Year, Cumulative</td>
<td>Year, Cumulative</td>
<td>Year, Cumulative</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Ipswich City Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment:** Good source of information to construct measures of participation, community and social structure.

**Data collection requirements:** Annual phone call to record tallies.

- Collection checks: no.
- Quality – council sponsored or supported events: good because straight count of events and attendance.
- Representativeness: good because large-scale events requiring an auditorium in Ipswich.
- Validity:
  - Representativeness: of large-scale events requiring an auditorium in Ipswich.

**Data collection requirements:** Annual phone call to record tallies.
**Potential Indicator Area:** Physical activity

**Dataset:** Ipswich Walking Trails

**Category:** Physical Asset

**Brief description:** Online trail guides for five Ipswich walking trails including length and facilities. Denmark Hill (5 trails, 2.4km total), Flinders-Goolman (9 trails, 52.96km), Haig Street Quarry (2 trails, 1.8km), Purga Nature Reserve (2 trails, 0.6km), and Rock Spring Mountain (9 trails, 3.42km).

**Data collection requirements:** Counting and possible access to usage data.

**Validity:**
- Representativeness - representative of council-owned and maintained hiking trails in LGA.
- Bias - towards maintained walking trails.
- Collection check - no.

**Size of dataset:** 92 km of maintained trails.

**Value:**
- Good if can be linked to usage data.

**Assessment:** Useful database of physical assets that support physical activity.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Fit for Purpose</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data Level</th>
<th>Data Collection Requirements</th>
<th>How Often</th>
<th>How Collected</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Cost to Obtain</th>
<th>Size of Dataset</th>
<th>Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Ipswich Hospital Foundation LGA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Access to database and extraction and classification of data.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Potential Indicator Area:** Physical activity, healthy ageing

**Dataset:** Sun Safety Trailer Loans

**Category:** Physical Asset, Social Structure

**Brief description:** Database of loans of trailer sun safety equipment.

**Data collection requirements:** Access to database and extraction and classification of data.

**Assessment:** Moderately useful source of information about sun safe behaviour and participation in physical activity. Could be used to examine community connections and social infrastructure.

**Data collection requirements:** Access to database and extraction and classification of data.

**Validity:**
- **Representativeness:** There are several other organisations in Ipswich that loan sun safety trailers.
- **Comprehensiveness:** Comprehensive for two years to date, but back data missing.
- **Bias:** Represents organisations involved with IHF and may not be representative of the general population.
- **Collection:** No.

**Data collection requirements:** Access to database and extraction and classification of data.

**Assessment:** Moderately useful source of information about sun safe behaviour and participation in physical activity. Could be used to examine community connections and social infrastructure.

**Validity:**
- **Representativeness:** There are several other organisations in Ipswich that loan sun safety trailers.
- **Comprehensiveness:** Comprehensive for two years to date, but back data missing.
- **Bias:** Represents organisations involved with IHF and may not be representative of the general population.
- **Collection:** No.
Potential Indicator Area: Physical activity

Dataset: Ipswich Healthy4Life

Category: Social Structure

Brief description: Online campaign website to coordinate and encourage organised group physical activity in Ipswich.

Assessment: Potentially a good source for looking at umbrella social structures underpinning organised group physical activity in Ipswich.

Data collection requirements: Extraction/categorising/classification.

- Collection method: no.
- Bias: membership self-selected and those actively looking to work with other organisations in Ipswich.
- Comprehensiveness: fairly high of official groups involved in physical activity in Ipswich, uncertain if comprehensive collection of groups.
- Representativeness: uncertain because a wide range of groups access this service and because membership is self-selected.

Validity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Concerns</th>
<th>On sign-up</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity contributes to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mostly</td>
<td>Ipswich Hospital Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fit for purpose: Online campaign website to coordinate and encourage physical activity in Ipswich.

Data collection requirements: Extraction/categorising/classification.

- Collection method: no.
- Bias: membership self-selected and those actively looking to work with other organisations in Ipswich.
- Comprehensiveness: fairly high of official groups involved in physical activity in Ipswich, uncertain if comprehensive collection of groups.
- Representativeness: uncertain because a wide range of groups access this service and because membership is self-selected.

Validity:
### Potential Indicator Area

**Physical activity, community connectedness**

**Dataset:** Ipswich Bus Routes and Usage

**Category:** Physical Asset

**Brief description:** Ipswich bus routes, timetables and usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>How accessed</th>
<th>Size of dataset</th>
<th>Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Translink</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Translink requests to Ipswich Bus routes and usage monitoring. Westside buses collect data and make it available on behalf of Translink.</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Brisbane CBD 4001 GPO Box 650</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment:** Potentially a good measure of community health and activity levels as well as structures supporting these.

**Data collection requirements:** Data extraction, collection of routes and usage.

- **Collection check** - No.
- **Bias** - Low
- **Representativeness** - High because mandated reporting.
- **Representativeness** - High because formal bus services in Ipswich because only public bus service. Not representative of charter and other services.

**Validity:**

- **Representativeness** - Low because only public bus service.
- **Comprehensiveness** - High because mandated reporting.
- **Bias** - Low
- **Collection check** - No.

**Data collection requirements:** Data extraction, correlation of routes and usage.

**Assessment:** Potentially a good measure of community health and activity levels as well as structures supporting these.
### Potential Indicator Area

**Physical health**

**Datasets:**
- **Babycare Australia**

**Category:**
- Physical Asset, Social Structure.

**Brief description:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Qld LGA</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public access through online database</td>
<td>Annual updates</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Online listing</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>80 listings in Qld LGA</td>
<td>Australian Breastfeeding Association</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Validity:**

- **Representativeness:** - likely to be a comprehensive listing of breastfeeding friendly businesses because most would not apply for accreditation unless they are.
- **Comprehensiveness:** - unlikely to be a comprehensive listing of breastfeeding friendly businesses because most would not apply for accreditation unless they are.
- **Bias:** - self-selection of activist businesses that consider public support of breastfeeding important.
- **Collection check:** - yes because nominated facilities checked by ABA.

**Assessment:** Weak measure of physical health and facilities to support this.

**Data collection requirements:** Collection and comparison across areas.

- Collection check - yes because nominated facilities checked by ABA.
- Bias - self-selection of activist businesses that consider support of breastfeeding important. Most would not apply for accreditation unless they are.
- Representativeness - likely to be a comprehensive listing of breastfeeding friendly businesses because most would not apply for accreditation unless they are.
- Comprehensiveness - unlikely to be a comprehensive listing of breastfeeding friendly businesses because most would not apply for accreditation unless they are.
Potential Indicator Area: Healthy ageing

Dataset: University of the Third Age (U3A)

Category: Participation, Social Structure

Brief description: Membership database of organisation for continuing education for seniors.

Assessment: Useful dataset of social structure and participation by older people.

Data collection requirements: Extraction and de-identification:

- Collection check: no.
- Bias - self-selected group of people actively seeking further education.
- Representativeness - low.
- Validity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit for Purpose</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Use of dataset</th>
<th>Open</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Apprx. 250</td>
<td>Time to access</td>
<td>Gender de-identified information and date</td>
<td>An incorporated organisation meeting to make decision</td>
<td>As membership</td>
<td>Al membership</td>
<td>Mostly local</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

U3A

Data collection requirements: Extraction and de-identification:

- Collection check: no.
- Bias - self-selected group of people actively seeking further education.
- Representativeness - low.
- Validity:

Validity:

- Representativeness - of older people who are actively interested in adult education, not of older people in general.
**Potential Indicator Area:** Physical activity

**Dataset:** Safe City

**Category:** Participation

**Brief description:** CCTV coverage of Ipswich public areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Size of dataset</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICC LGA</td>
<td>Network of CCTV</td>
<td>24 hour surveillance</td>
<td>CCTV publicity says very transparent</td>
<td>High, if have access</td>
<td>High (need to pay Safe City staff to access data)</td>
<td>High if limited to shared information. Generally hostile to idea of access. Broadly willing to publicise and share information. Only issue would be cost of surveillance. Could consider access if IC publicised and not for public or privacy reasons. Concerned about privacy. Generally hostile to idea of access. Generally hostile to idea of access. Concerned about privacy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment:** Currently not a useful database for building indicators around participation.

**Data collection requirements:** High.

- Collection checks - no.
- Bias - towards areas deemed in need of monitoring by council e.g. Ipswich City Mall.
- Comprehensiveness - unknown.
- Representativeness - unknown.

**Validity:**

- Representativeness - unknown.
- Comprehensiveness - unknown.
- Bias - towards areas deemed in need of monitoring by council e.g. Ipswich City Mall.
- Collection checks - no.

**Data collection requirements:** High.

- Collection checks - no.
- Bias - towards areas deemed in need of monitoring by council e.g. Ipswich City Mall.
- Comprehensiveness - unknown.
- Representativeness - unknown.

**Validity:**

- Representativeness - unknown.
- Comprehensiveness - unknown.
- Bias - towards areas deemed in need of monitoring by council e.g. Ipswich City Mall.
- Collection checks - no.
### Potential Indicator Area: Physical activity

**Dataset:** Public Swimming Pool Usage

**Category:** Physical Asset, Participation

**Brief description:** Public usage of swimming pools in Leichardt, Rosewood, Bundamba, Goodna.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical activity</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Cost to Obtain</th>
<th>Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Assessment:**
- Good measure of physical activity in swimming season.

**Data collection requirements:** Access to council usage data.

- Collection method: None.
- Bias: Only represents usage of public pools. Council specifications are well as swimmers.
- Representativeness: Good because monitoring of usage for business practices.
- Representativeness: Not representative of all council owned pools. Not representative of all pools in LGA and Springfield has a pool that is not ICC owned.

**Validity:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit for Purpose</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>LGA</th>
<th>ICC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Validity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Potential Indicator Area:** Physical activity

**Dataset:** Active After Schools Community

**Category:** Participation, Social Structure

**Brief description:** Federal government run program to promote sports and activity by primary school aged children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Size of data</th>
<th>Cost to enrol</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fit for</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Potential: Potentially a useful measure of participation.**

**Data collection requirements:** Arranging access through Ausport.

- Collection check - no.
- Have to wait for a position to be open.
- Data quality - schools have to apply for and be accepted into the program as well as participate in the evaluation. Schools have to meet certain criteria to be accepted and have to wait for a position to be open.
- Representativeness - good.
- Participants 

**Validity**

- Representativeness of schools interested in promoting fitness and accessible in applying to be part of the program.

- **Good**
  - **Bias**
    - Schools have to apply for and be accepted into this program as well as participate in the evaluation. Schools have to meet certain criteria to be accepted and have to wait for a position to be open.
  - **Comprehensiveness**
    - Good.
  - **Representativeness**
    - Of schools interested in promoting fitness and accessible in applying to be part of the program.

- **Unknown**
  - **Bias**
    - Schools have to apply for and be accepted into this program as well as participate in the evaluation. Schools have to meet certain criteria to be accepted and have to wait for a position to be open.
  - **Comprehensiveness**
    - Good.
  - **Representativeness**
    - Of schools interested in promoting fitness and accessible in applying to be part of the program.

**Data collection requirements:**

- Arranging access through Ausport.

**Assessment:**

- Potential: Potentially a useful measure of participation.
### Potential Indicator Area: Physical Activity

**Dataset:** Heart Foundation Walking

**Category:** Participation, Social structure

**Brief description:** Locally organised, Heart Foundation sponsored walking groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Data Level</th>
<th>How Collected</th>
<th>How Often</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Size of Dataset</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fit for purpose</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>On registration</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Open to use of data</td>
<td>Heart Foundation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validity</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Dog ownership</td>
<td>Name, address, date of birth, contact details, gender, occupation, language, ATSI, admin details, dog ownership.</td>
<td>Heart Foundation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Collected by local groups and tabulated by Heart Foundation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fit for Purpose</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>HGAR LGA registration forms: Name, address, date of birth, contact details, gender, occupation, language, ATSI, admin details, dog ownership.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data collection requirements:** Extraction, de-identification.

**Validity:**
- Representativeness - good within limits of representation.
- Comprehensiveness - good with data linked to HFA affiliation.
- Bias - towards formal walking groups.
- Collection check - yes, collected by local groups and tabulated by Heart Foundation.

**Assessment:** Good contribution to measures of physical activity.

**Good contribution:**
- Locally organised, Heart Foundation sponsored walking groups.
- Good within limits of representation.
- Good with data linked to HFA affiliation.
- Yes, collected by local groups and tabulated by Heart Foundation.

**unknown numbers in LGA.**
Potential Indicator Area: Healthy ageing

Dataset: Meals on Wheels – Ipswich, Redbank Plains (Woogaroo), Rosewood.

Category: Physical Asset, Social Structure


Assessment: Potentially useful contribution to healthy ageing indicator.

Data collection requirements: Extraction of data from individual databases. Probably ethics clearance.

Collection check: Yes. Data from individual groups cross-checked with Queensland office.

Bias: Represents people who can’t provide their own meals but not other people in general.

Comprehensiveness: Probably covers most people who need meal help who do not live in care.

Representativeness: Probably of elderly people who need meals, not other people in general.

Validity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>Cost to Obtain</th>
<th>Size of dataset</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>How accessed</th>
<th>Fit for Purpose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LGA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Person to access and extract data. Will allow researcher to access database. HQ staff will supply postcode, location, date of birth information.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meals on Wheels</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On joining (people can sign up for as long as they need meal supports so the number of members fluctuates weekly).

Will allow researcher to access database and extract person to access data. HQ staff will supply postcode, location, date of birth information. Rosewood – not willing to speak to researcher.

Central office will supply figures regarding usage and meal costs.

Queensland office.

As of 10/2010

Ipswich 182/day

Redbank Plains 55/day

Rosewood 37/day

LGA 274/day

Qld 10,500 daily to 15,000 clients

High

Good measure of people in LGA who are not in care but need assistance as they age.

Fit for Purpose: Probably of elderly people who need meals, not of older people in general.

Validity:

• Representativeness - probably of elderly people who need meals, not of older people in general

• Comprehensiveness - probably covers most people who need meal help who do not live in care.

• Bias - represents people who can’t provide their own meals but not other people in general.

• Collection check - yes. Data from individual groups cross-checked with Queensland office.

Data collection requirements: Extraction of data from individual databases. Probably ethics clearance.

Assessment: Potentially useful contribution to healthy ageing indicator.
### Potential Indicator Area: Physical Activity

#### Datase

**Ipswich Little Athletics**

**Category:** Participation, Social Structure

**Brief description:** Organised track and field events and training for 5-16 year olds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Date Collected</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Assessment

- **Data collection requirements:**
  - Possible compensation for time to extract data because no public access to dataset.
  - **Representativeness:** covers formalised junior athletics in Ipswich.
  - **Comprehensiveness:** good because all participants required to sign up.
  - **Bias:** children and parents interested in athletics.
  - **Collection check:** no.

- **Validity:**
  - Good as of Sept. 2010, 302 in LGA.
  - High Good
Potential Indicator Area: Physical activity and participation in organised sports.

Ipswich Hockey Association - LGA

**Data collection requirements:**
- Extraction and de-identification.
- Collection check - no.
- Bias - towards people interested in organised and competitive hockey.
- Representativeness - high because registration required.
- Representativeness - of hockey players in Ipswich.

**Validity:**
- Good
- High
- Sept 2010
- 1,020 players
- First aid qualification
- Open: "come and count."
- Annual
- On registration:
  - Name
  - Address
  - Email
  - Telephone
  - Blue card
- If official: 1,040 players

**Brief description:** Ipswich Hockey Association for hockey in Ipswich area.

**Dataset:** Ipswich Hockey Association

**Category:** Participation, Social Structure

**Owner:** Ipswich Hockey Association

**Data level:** LGA

**Purpose:** Good measure of physical activity and participation in organised sports.
### Potential Indicator Area: Physical activity

**Dataset:** Ipswich Junior Rugby League

**Category:** Participation, Social Structure

**Brief description:** Ipswich Junior Rugby League is owned by the ARL Development Group and falls under the control of the Queensland Rugby League, Southeast.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Cost to Obtain</th>
<th>Size of dataset</th>
<th>Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARL Development Group LGA plus Brisbane Valley</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Willing to freely share gender and age information. Need to discuss other access based on specific research project.</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Previous playing experience (2010)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Name and address with consent of junior rugby league in Ipswich.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment:** Good measure of organised junior physical activity in Ipswich.

**Data collection requirements:** Willing to freely share gender and age information. Need to discuss other access based on specific research project.

- Collection check: Yes; data collected by clubs and centrally collated.
- Bias: Low, towards junior interested in competitive sport.
- Comprehensiveness: High because registration required.
- Representativeness: Of organised competitive junior league in Ipswich.

**Validity:**

- **Representativeness:**
  - Good
- **Comprehensiveness:**
  - High
- **Bias:**
  - Low
- **Collection check:**
  - Yes

**Data collection requirements:**

- Willing to freely share gender and age information. Need to discuss other access based on specific research project.

**Assessment:** Good measure of organised junior physical activity in Ipswich.
Ipswich Junior Rugby League Notes

13 clubs in Ipswich and Brisbane Valley area. 15% growth in 2009. Up to age 12, 90% male, players playing in mixed teams. After age 12, teams have to be single sex.

Currently no female teams in Ipswich but they expect to start one next year.

Breakdown of players 2010:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 6</td>
<td>209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 7</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 8</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 9</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 10</td>
<td>274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 11</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 12</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 13</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 14</td>
<td>276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-16 yo</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 2,616</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Between 100 and 150 girls playing in under 12 age group.
**Potential Indicator Area**

**Physical activity Dataset:** Queensland Christian Soccer Association

**Category:** Participation

**Brief description:** Runs seven soccer clubs in the Ipswich area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>Size of dataset</th>
<th>How to Obtain</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Cost to Obtain</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QCSA</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apprx. 800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data collection requirements:** Can provide some data based on specific research project.

- Collection of data: Yes because data collected at club level and collated centrally.
- Bias: Low towards juniors interested in competitive sport.
- Comprehensiveness: High because registration required.
- Representativeness: Of people playing competitive junior soccer in one of two associations in Ipswich area.

**Validity:**

- **Representativeness:** - of people playing competitive junior soccer in Ipswich area.

**Validation:**

- **QCSA**

  - **Local**

  - **Data**

    - **Owner:** QCSA
    - **Time to Obtain:** Willing because registration required.
    - **Access:** Through Registrar Shirley Thompson 0431 625 990
    - **Cost:** Approx. 800 players U7 – U15 majority.
    - **Validity:**
      - Representativeness - of people playing competitive junior soccer in one of two associations in Ipswich area.
      - Bias - towards juniors interested in competitive sport.
      - Comprehensiveness - high because registration required.

**Data collection requirements:** Can provide some data based on specific research project.

**Assessment:** Good measure of organised junior physical activity in Ipswich.
**Potential Indicator Area**

**Dataset:** Ipswich Based Gyms

**Category:** Participation

**Brief description:** Membership of private gyms in Ipswich.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthworks</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Willing to allow access to extract data</td>
<td>data about gender and postcode</td>
<td>postcode with 4350 postcode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodlife</td>
<td>Data level</td>
<td>Contact through manager</td>
<td>year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment:**
- Not a good source for measures of physical activity in Ipswich.
- Data collection requirements: Difficult to access data and difficult to use as a measure of activity.

**Validity:**
- Representativeness - no.
- Bias - gym members are people who are interested in exercising. Membership does not equate to exercise as reportedly many more people join gyms than use them.
- Collection check - no.
- Representativeness - no conclusions can be drawn as to representativeness of gym membership.

**Data collection requirements:**
- Difficult to access data and difficult to use as a measure of activity.

**Assessment:**
- Not a good source for measures of physical activity in Ipswich.
### Potential Indicator Area

**Activity:** Cycling – The Yellow Jersey

**Dataset:**
- **Category:** Participation
- **Brief description:** Not a database as such but some anecdotal information about Ipswich.
- **Owner:** Data level
- **How collected:** Local
- **Size of dataset:** Proprietary
- **Validity:** Low

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection requirements</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Cost to Obtain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No dataset</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Private</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment:** Useful anecdotal evidence but not valid to create a measure of participation in physical activity.

- **Validity:**
  - **Representativeness:** Low (69% of bicycles sold in Ipswich sold in major retailers like Kmart, Target, etc.)
  - **Bias:** Anecdotal evidence. Suggest that cyclists who purchase a bike in a bike shop would be more likely to use it compared to someone who bought a bike in a local independent bike shop.
  - **Comprehensiveness:** No.
  - **Representativeness:** Low (80% of bicycles in Ipswich sold in major retailers like Kmart, Target, etc.)
  - **Bias:** No.

**Data collection requirements:**
- No access.
### Potential Indicator Area: Physical Activity

#### Dataset Information:
- **Owner:** Ipswich Basketball Association
- **Category:** Participating, Social structure
- **Description:** Junior and senior competitive and social basketball fixtures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Uncertain</th>
<th>Size of dataset (2010)</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Valdity</th>
<th>Fit for Purpose</th>
<th>Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Open to access of database to extract de-identified data such as gender and postcode.</td>
<td>Annualy</td>
<td>How collected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Ipswich Basketball Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Assessment:
- Potentially good source for constructing measures of participation and social structures.

#### Data Collection Requirements:
- **How collected:** Ipswich Basketball Association membership.
- **How often:** Annually.
- **Access:** Open to access of database to extract de-identified data such as gender and postcode.

#### Fit for Purpose:
- Junior and senior competitive and social basketball fixtures.

#### Category:
- Participation, Social structure

#### Dataset:
- Ipswich Basketball Association

#### Potential Indicator Area: Physical Activity
Potential Indicator Area: Physical activity

Dataset: Ipswich Cycling Club

**Category:** Participation, Social Structure

**Brief description:**

"Riders of all ages and abilities from children to masters, and novices to champions. We hold road races, criteriums and time trials throughout the year, the highlight being the Ipswich Open in May... affiliated with Cycling Australia and Cycling Queensland which means our members are covered for personal accident and public liability insurance."

**Potential Indicators:**

- Category: Participation, Social Structure
- Dataset: Ipswich Cycling Club

**Assessment:** Small database of cyclists interested in road racing that doesn't represent all cyclists.

**Data collection requirements:**

- Collection checks: no.
- Bias - towards cyclists interested in road competitions:
  - Representativeness: unknown.
  - Representativeness - of cyclists interested in road racing but not recreational or trail cyclists:

**Validity:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Size of dataset</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Cost to Obtain</th>
<th>Fit for Purpose</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Data Collection Requirement</th>
<th>Data Collection Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Club</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Open to de-identified data being used once taken to club meeting for approval</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Approx. 100</td>
<td>Person to extract data</td>
<td>Open to de-identified data being used once taken to club meeting for approval</td>
<td>Approximately 100</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low, high for competitive road cyclists</td>
<td>Small database of cyclists interested in road racing that doesn't represent all cyclists.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data Extraction Requirements:**

- Data extraction and de-identification.

**Potential Indicators:**

- Physical activity
- Dataset: Ipswich Cycling Club
### Potential Indicator Area: Food Consumption

**Dataset:** Ipswich Central Markets  
**Category:** Participation, Physical Asset  
**Brief description:** Some anecdotal evidence about markets in Ipswich.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>0418 739 136</th>
<th>David Bostock &amp; Sons</th>
<th>David Bostock &amp; Sons</th>
<th>Social market, market attendance.</th>
<th>2 and 4th Sunday of the month.</th>
<th>Twice monthly</th>
<th>Stall registration, market attendance.</th>
<th>Ipswich CBD</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>How open</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Cost to Obtain</th>
<th>Phone call</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Size of dataset</th>
<th>Fit for Purpose</th>
<th>Assessment: Low value in creating measures of fruit and vegetable consumption in Ipswich.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment:** Low value in creating measures of fruit and vegetable consumption in Ipswich.

- Collection check: no
- Bias - anecdotal evidence
- Comprehensiveness - N/A
- Representativeness - not representative of markets in Ipswich or produce sales.
- Validity:

  - Low

**Data collection requirements:** Phone call.

- Started with 14 farmers, now down to 3 produce vendors.
- Spent $29,000 and considers it a failure because Ipswich people “won’t buy fresh produce.”

**Data description:**
- Some anecdotal evidence about markets in Ipswich.
**Assessment:** Potentially useful for measures of individual health and participation in physical activity.

**Data collection requirements:** Data extraction and negotiation with IHF over conditions of use.

- Collection frequency: Some with repeated measures.
- Purpose: To improve workplace health.
- Representativeness: High, because repeated measures.
- Relevance: Self-selected proportion of businesses in Ipswich area interested in promoting health of employees.

**Validity:**

| Purpose | Validity | Score | Owner | Data level | How collected | How often | Access | CoA/Bio | Size of dataset | Cost to collect | GH, some business, others.

| High |
| Group – self-selected proportion of businesses in Ipswich area interested in promoting health of employees. |
| Approx 6 months, new some yearly, some semi-annual. |
| Through data custodian (HCRC) – data could be de-identified for QH. |
| Negotiated through HCRC. |
| 7000 records for 4000 people. |
| High |
| • Representativeness – self-selected proportion of businesses in Ipswich area interested in promoting health of employees. |
| • Comprehensiveness – high, because repeated measures. |
| • Bias – voluntary workplace program. |
| • Collection check – some with repeated measures. |

**Data collection requirements:** Data extraction and negotiation with IHF over conditions of use.

**Potential indicator area:** Physical activity, health.

**Brief description:** The SNAP Program is a workplace based health promotion program. It is all about becoming your own private health ambassador, promoting your own health and well-being with a little help from the SNAP team. The SNAP team provide businesses with individual private health screen of participants. Participants set goals for lifestyle changes such as drinking less alcohol, smoking fewer cigarettes, eating more fruit or improving their cholesterol levels. Participants then work with a health improvement coach from the SNAP team to reach their health and well-being goals. The SNAP team provide businesses with individual private health screen of participants. Participants set goals for lifestyle changes such as drinking less alcohol, smoking fewer cigarettes, eating more fruit or improving their cholesterol levels.
Assessment: Has potential for information about social structures supporting healthy eating but would need work to make good use of information.

Dataset collection requirements: Simple to access database. Could contact schools in LGA if interested in what benefits they get from participation in program.

Validity:
- Representativeness - unknown.
- Comprehensiveness - 36 of all schools in Ipswich.
- Bias - self-selected schools interested in promoting good nutrition and food education.
- Collection check - no.

Data collection requirements: Regional - Brisbane Markets Ltd.
- Purpose - fit for purpose.
- Validity - high purpose.
- User - Brisbane Markets Ltd.
- Owner - Evan Barea.
- Data level - regional.
- Size of dataset - 824 schools, 36 in Ipswich LGA.
- How often - request for info.
- Size of sample - master list of schools provided.
- Cost - low - self-selected.
- How clean - potential.
- How collected - potential.

Potential Indicator Area: Behaviour, healthy eating
Dataset: Queensland Kids Freshnet
Category: Social Structure
Brief description: An initiative of the Brisbane Markets to help schools promote consumption of fruit and vegetables and healthy eating in general.
### Potential Indicator Area

**Behaviour, healthy eating**

**Dataset:** Fast Food Outlets in Ipswich LGA

**Category:** Social structure, Participation

**Brief description:** Yellow Pages search for "takeaway food" postal codes: 4300, 4301-4306, 4340, 4346

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Data</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>How often</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>Postal code</th>
<th>Size of dataset</th>
<th>Validity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Online search</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>Private through Yellow Pages</td>
<td>Low, online access</td>
<td>112 outlets in LGA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Assessment:** Has potential as some way of measuring eating patterns.

**Data collection requirements:** Annual postcode and area searches in Yellow Pages.

- Collection check - no.
- Bias - towards businesses able to advertise.
- Representativeness - only of businesses in Yellow Pages.
- Comprehensiveness - for businesses that advertise in Yellow Pages.
- Representativeness - only of businesses in Yellow Pages.

**Data collection requirements:** Annual postcode and area searches in Yellow Pages.

**Assessment:** Has potential as some way of measuring eating patterns.
## Dataset: Liquor Stores, Pubs/Hotels in Ipswich LGA

**Category:** Social structure, Participation

**Brief description:** Yellow Pages search for “liquor stores - retail,” “pubs,” “hotels-accommodation,” “restaurants-licensed,” “restaurants-bar,” “nightclub,” “RSL.” Postal codes: 4300, 4301-4306, 4340, 4346.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Fit for</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Size of dataset</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>How clean</th>
<th>Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Has potential</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Low for Yellow Pages</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>Local by postcode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77 with some overlap</td>
<td>Public through Yellow Pages business listings</td>
<td>Low, online</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 RSLs, 21 liquor stores, 11 pubs, 31 hotels, 5 licensed restaurants</td>
<td>Yellow Pages, business listings, website, mail</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Owner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data collection requirements:** Annual postcode and area searches in Yellow Pages.

**Validity:** Has potential. Has potential to contribute to measures of general health.

- Collection check - no.
- Bias - towards businesses able to advertise.
- Representativeness - only of businesses that advertise in Yellow Pages.
- Comprehensiveness - of businesses that advertise in Yellow Pages.
- Representativeness - of businesses in Yellow Pages.

**Assessment:**

- Has potential to contribute to measures of general health. Has potential to contribute to measures of general health.

---

**Category:** Social structure, Participation

**Dataset:** Liquor Stores, Pubs/Hotels in Ipswich LGA

**Potential Indicator Area:** Behaviour, health, eating patterns.
**Potential Indicator Area**: Healthy eating

**Dataset**: Average Produce Sales Coles Supermarkets in Ipswich LGA

**Category**: Participation, Physical Asset

**Brief description**: Coles has four stores in Ipswich LGA: Springfield, Riverview, Karalee, Redbank Plains and Springfield. In addition, proportion of LGA-wide produce sold in supermarkets is not known.

**Owner**: Coles

**Data level**: By store in LGA.

**How collected**: Coles collects weekly produce data. Can provide one week’s average amount/value for each store.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Store</th>
<th>One week's average</th>
<th>Connect details</th>
<th>Owner details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Springfield</td>
<td>15,757kgs/ $50,014</td>
<td>Debbie <a href="mailto:Clancy@coles.com.au">Clancy@coles.com.au</a></td>
<td>Debbie Clancy, Lead Buyer, Fruit/VA, QLD Fresh Produce Ph 07 3361 5586, Mob 0411 023 860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riverview</td>
<td>15,380kgs/ $50,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karalee</td>
<td>13,505kgs/ $42,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redbank</td>
<td>9,558kgs/ $30,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Validity**:
- Representativeness - Coles only represents part of the market for supermarket groceries. Woolworths has 9 stores in Ipswich LGA (Booval, Brassall, Collingwood, Springfield, Riverview, Karalee, Redbank Plains and Springfield). In addition, proportion of LGA-wide produce sold in supermarkets is not known.
- Comprehensiveness - Based on aggregated weekly data of a “normal week.”
- Bias - probable but can be used as an indication of area variance.
- Representativeness - Coles only represents part of the market for supermarket groceries. Woolworths has 9 stores in Ipswich LGA (Booval, Brassall, Collingwood, Springfield, Riverview, Karalee, Redbank Plains and Springfield). In addition, proportion of LGA-wide produce sold in supermarkets is not known.

**Assessment**: A good source of information that can potentially assist to develop indicators around healthy eating. Healthy eating is very difficult to gather information about and use must be made of whatever sources that can be accessed.

**Data collection requirements**: Regular contact with Coles to update figures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit for purpose</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Size of dataset</th>
<th>Cost to obtain</th>
<th>Access</th>
<th>How often collected</th>
<th>How collected</th>
<th>Data level</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy eating</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>Affordable</td>
<td>Coles</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cost**: Part of the market for supermarket groceries. Woolworths has 9 stores in Ipswich LGA (Booval, Brassall, Collingwood, Springfield, Riverview, Karalee, Redbank Plains and Springfield). In addition, proportion of LGA-wide produce sold in supermarkets is not known.