



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND

Modelling Dispersion in Turbulent Boundary Layers
Using Centre Manifold Technique

A dissertation submitted by

Fadhel Jasim Mohammed

B. Sc., University of Thi Qar, Iraq, 2001

M. Sc., Al-Mustansiriya University, Iraq, 2005

For the award of the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

2014

Dedication

To my family

Certification of Dissertation

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analysis and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award.

Fadhel Jasim Mohammed, Candidate	Date
----------------------------------	------

ENDORSEMENT

A/Prof. Dmitry V. Strunin, Principal supervisor	Date
---	------

Prof. Thanh Tran-Cong, Co-supervisor	Date
--------------------------------------	------

Acknowledgments

The research reported in this dissertation was carried out at the School of Agricultural, Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences, and the Computational Engineering and Science Research Centre (CESRC), USQ.

Firstly, I would like to thank my principal supervisor A/Prof. Dmitry Strunin for his invaluable guidance and useful suggestions. This dissertation would not have been completed without his continuous support.

I would like to thank my co-supervisor Prof. Thanh Tran-Cong for his instructive discussions during my PhD study.

I would like to thank Dr Duc Ngo-Cong for his cooperation with me especially regarding the experimental work.

Finally, I would like to thank the Iraqi government for giving me the opportunity to complete the PhD Study.

Abstract

The present research is concerned with modelling dispersion of contaminants and substances in turbulent boundary layers based on centre manifold approach. The results of this dissertation are as follows. (i) We derived accurate transport equations involving high-order spatial derivatives to describe the averaged turbulent transport of tracers and contaminants along turbulent channel flows. The central point of the model is the advection-diffusion equation supplemented by no-flux boundary conditions on the bottom and the surface. In all realisations of the flow analysed in this work, the layer has a universal velocity structure and well-defined turbulent diffusion coefficient. The turbulence is assumed well developed, which provides the mechanism of fast cross-flow mixing and thereby justifies the use of the centre manifold method (Mercer and Roberts, 1990). Using the originally two-dimensional transport equations, we derived the one-dimensional model in which the advection, diffusion, dispersion and higher-order coefficients are calculated in terms of the parameters controlling the flow. (ii) We formulated an analytical framework of the averaged transport of contaminants in turbulent boundary layers over smooth and rough substrates; (iii) An advection-diffusion equation is derived for the flow through urban canopies simulated by cubic arrays. (iv) We justified the centre manifold approach by the direct comparison of the numerical solutions of the averaged (1-D) and original (2-D) models using the one-dimensional integrated radial basis network (1D-IRBFN) method.

In the 1D-IRBFN method a Cartesian grid is used to discretise the spatial domain. The method uses the integration instead of conventional differentiation, which provides an effective way to implement derivative boundary conditions. The numerical solutions of the derived 1-D equations obtained by the centre manifolds are in a good agreement with those of the original 2-D advection-diffusion equation. In particular, the models yield practically the same value of the velocity of the point of maximum depth-averaged concentration along the channel.

We also compared the 1D-IRBFN solutions for the 1-D model with the solutions of the original 2-D model by successively adding higher-order derivatives into consideration such as the advection, diffusion and dispersion. A good convergence was observed. The numerical results confirm that the effect of longitudinal diffusion is negligible. We note that our work can be viewed not only as the confirmation of the centre manifold approach by the 1D-IRBFN method but also as a confirmation of the numerical method by the centre manifold theory.

In our analysis we considered two types of the velocity profile across the channel: the classical logarithmic profile and, according to an alternative and more recent

model, power profile. The power profile is based on a different similarity hypothesis (Barenblatt, 2000) compared to the classical logarithmic theory. Arguably the power law better fits experimental measurements of the velocity distribution over the self-similar intermediate region adjacent to the viscous sublayer for a wide variety of boundary layer flows. We separately investigated the dispersion for both the logarithmic and power profile.

Further, we derived even higher-order partial differential equations governing the longitudinal dispersion. From numerical viewpoint, including higher-order spatial derivatives increases the accuracy of the averaged model derived by the centre manifold approach, especially for very large Reynolds numbers.

We also constructed an averaged model of shear dispersion in the turbulent flow above a canopy. The model contains as independent parameters the friction velocity, total thickness of the flow, height of the canopy and frontal area density of the canopy. The model is reduced by the centre manifold procedure to a universal one-dimensional model written in terms of the depth-average concentration of the tracer. The advection and diffusion coefficients, governing the transfer in the averaged model, are found in terms of the independent parameters. The used approach required lengthy derivations and produced quite cumbersome expressions.

However, we emphasize the following important aspect of all the derived one-dimensional centre manifold models: they reveal a hidden property of the transport process, namely the asymptotic one-dimensional law for the averaged concentration. This is a remarkable feature of the originally two-dimensional formulation. Whether such a law exists and what form it might have is not obvious beforehand. At the same time, from practical viewpoint, our results can be used to calculate the distance travelled by the contaminant spill, and the size of the spill; of course those can only serve as a tool for rough estimates.

Papers Resulting from the Research

1. Strunin, D. V. and Mohammed, F. J. (2012). Numerical Analysis of an Averaged Model of Turbulent Transport near a Roughness Layer, *Journal of Australian and New Zealand Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, **53**: C142-C154.
2. Mohammed, F. J., Ngo-Cong, D., Strunin, D. V., Mai-Duy, N. and Tran-Cong, T. (2014). Modelling Dispersion in Laminar and Turbulent Flows in an Open Channel Based on Centre Manifolds Using 1D-IRBFN Method, *Journal of Applied Mathematical Modelling* **38**: 3672-3691.
3. Mohammed, F. J., Strunin, D. V., Ngo-Cong, D. and Tran-Cong, T. (2014). Asymptotics of Averaged Turbulent Transfer in Canopy Flows, *Journal of Engineering Mathematics*, accepted.
4. Ngo-Cong, D., Mohammed, F. J., Strunin, D. V., Tran-Cong, T. and Mai-Duy, N. (2013). Higher-Order Transport Equations for Turbulent Channel Flows, *Journal of Australian and New Zealand Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, to appear.

Contents

Dedication	i
Certification of Dissertation	ii
Acknowledgments	iii
Abstract	iv
Papers Resulting from the Research	vi
Acronyms & Abbreviations	ix
Chapter 1 Literature review and motivation	1
1.1 Literature review	1
1.1.1 General description of dispersion in laminar shear flow . . .	1
1.1.2 A brief review of the flow in the urban canopy layer	9
1.1.3 A brief review on the numerical method of radial basis function networks	12
1.2 Motivation	13
1.2.1 Objectives of the present research	16
1.2.2 Outline of the present dissertation	17
Chapter 2 Basic concepts: fluid mechanics and centre manifold theory	19
2.1 Concepts from fluid mechanics	19
2.1.1 Transport via diffusion	19
2.1.2 Transport via both advection and diffusion	21
2.1.3 Illustrative example on an advection-diffusion equation . . .	22
2.1.4 Analytical solution of Burger's equation	22
2.1.5 Initial value problem for Burger's equation	24
2.1.6 Logarithmic velocity profile	25
2.1.7 Power velocity profile	29
2.2 Centre manifold theory	30
2.2.1 An introduction to centre manifolds	30
2.2.2 Initial conditions for the averaged centre manifold model .	32
2.2.3 Dispersion in shear flows with longitudinal diffusion neglected	35
Chapter 3 Modeling dispersion in laminar and turbulent flows in an open channel based on centre manifolds using 1D-IRBFN method	39

3.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Modelling dispersion based on centre manifold theory	41
3.3	Numerical approach: one-dimensional integrated radial basis function networks (1D-IRBFN)	42
3.3.1	Second-order 1D-IRBFN (1D-IRBFN-2 scheme)	42
3.3.2	Fourth-order 1D-IRBFN (1D-IRBFN-4 scheme)	43
3.4	Application to turbulent dispersion in an open channel	44
3.5	Verification of the 1D-IRBFN method	49
3.6	Comparison of 1-D and 2-D dispersion models	50
3.6.1	Laminar shear flow in an open channel	50
3.6.2	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel	53
3.6.3	Effect of longitudinal diffusion	53
3.7	Concluding remarks	67
Chapter 4 Higher-order transport equations for turbulent open channel flows		68
4.1	Introduction	68
4.2	Higher-order coefficients for the logarithmic velocity profile	71
4.3	Higher-order coefficients for the power velocity profile	73
4.4	Numerical approach: one-dimensional radial basis function networks	76
4.5	Numerical results and discussion	78
4.5.1	Power velocity profile	78
4.5.2	Comparison between logarithmic and power 1-D models	79
4.6	Conclusion	92
Chapter 5 Asymptotics of averaged turbulent transfer in urban canopy flows		93
5.1	Introduction	93
5.2	Centre manifold approach	96
5.3	Layered structure of canopy turbulence	98
5.4	Analysis and numerical results	103
5.5	Comparison of 2-D and 1-D models using 1D-IRBFN method	115
5.6	Concluding remarks	127
Chapter 6 Conclusions		128
Appendix A		130
A.1	Calculating g_6 for the logarithmic velocity profile	130
A.2	Calculating g_5 and g_6 for the power velocity profile	133
Appendix B		140
B.1	One-dimensional radial basis function networks	140
B.1.1	Second-order 1D-IRBFN (1D-IRBFN-2 scheme)	140
B.1.2	Fourth-order 1D-IRBFN (1D-IRBFN-4 scheme)	142
References		143

Acronyms & Abbreviations

1D-IRBFN	One-dimensional Indirect/Integrated Radial Basis Function Network
FDM	Finite Different Method
1-D	One-Dimensional
2-D	Two-Dimensional
DRBFN	Direct Radial Basis Function Network
IRBFN	Indirect/Integrated Radial Basis Function Network
MQ	Multiquadric
PDE	Partial Differential Equation
RBF	Radial Basis Function
RBFN	Radial Basis Function Network
RHS	Right Hand Side

List of Tables

3.1	Two-dimensional advection-diffusion equation with source: Grid convergence study for 1D-IRBFN method at time $t = 2.0$, using a time step $\Delta t = 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$	50
4.1	Comparison of coefficients between the power velocity model ($Re = 10^5$) and the logarithmic velocity model ($R = 3850.04$) when $g_1^{log} = g_1^{power}$, and $\kappa = 0.4$	80

List of Figures

1.1	A sketch of the spreading of contaminant along the channel: under the velocity shear (left) and under the shear plus cross-flow diffusion (right). The two factors make the picture look as if there is the along-the-flow diffusion.	18
2.1	Attraction of the trajectories to parabola $b = a^2$ is system (2.63) (Strunin, 2011).	34
3.1	Cartesian grid.	42
3.2	The shear flow in an open channel: the problem geometry and boundary conditions.	46
3.3	Shear flow in an open channel: The computational domain is shifted along the x -axis from time $t = \tau^{(k)}$ to time $t = \tau^{(k)} + \Delta\tau$	55
3.4	Flowchart of the numerical analysis.	56
3.5	Laminar shear flow in an open channel: Problem geometry and boundary conditions.	57
3.6	2-D advection-diffusion equation with source: Convergence study for 1D-IRBFN method, using a time step $\Delta t = 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$. The convergence behaviour of 1D-IRBFN for Case 1 and Case 2 are $O(h^{3.54})$ and $O(h^{2.13})$, respectively.	57
3.7	2-D advection-diffusion equation with source (Case 2): Comparison of the y -average value of the variable c (C_2) along the x -axis between the analytical solution and 1D-IRBFN result at several times $t = 1, 2.0$ and 3.0 , using a time step $\Delta t = 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and a grid of 41×41	58

3.8	Laminar shear flow in an open channel: Concentration field in the channel at times $t = 0.00$ and 0.09 , using a time step $\Delta t = 0.005$.	58
3.9	Laminar shear flow in an open channel: Comparison between 1D-IRBFN and FDM Roberts and Strunin (2004) results of the original (2-D) model, the 1-D two-zone model and the 1-D one-zone model at time $t = 0.09$, using a time step $\Delta t = 0.005$. Note that the initial condition is taken at time $t = 0.0$ for both 1-D and 2-D analyses.	59
3.10	Laminar shear flow in an open channel: The grid convergence study for 2-D analysis of the position x of the maximum depth-averaged concentration with respect to time t , using the 1D-IRBFN method and a time step $\Delta t = 0.005$	60
3.11	Laminar shear flow in an open channel: The grid convergence study for 2-D analysis of the maximum depth-averaged concentration with respect to time t , using the 1D-IRBFN method and a time step $\Delta t = 0.005$	60
3.12	Laminar shear flow in an open channel: The grid convergence study for 1-D one-zone analysis of the position of the maximum depth-averaged concentration (x_{max}) with respect to time t in comparison with the original (2-D) model and the 1-D two-zone model, using the 1D-IRBFN method and a time step $\Delta t = 0.005$. Note that the initial condition is taken at time $t = 1.0$ for 1-D analyses.	61
3.13	Laminar shear flow in an open channel: The grid convergence study for 1-D one-zone analysis of the maximum depth-averaged concentration with respect to time t in comparison with the original (2-D) model and the 1-D two-zone model, using the 1D-IRBFN method and a time step $\Delta t = 0.005$. Note that the initial condition is taken at time $t = 1.0$ for 1-D analyses.	61
3.14	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel: Comparison of the depth-averaged concentration along the channel among the results of the 2-D and 1-D models for Case 1, Case 2, Case 3 and Case 4 at time $t = 10.00$, using a time step $\Delta t = 5.10^{-3}$ and grids of 101×201 and 201 for 2-D and 1-D analyses, respectively.	62
3.15	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel: Comparison of the depth-averaged concentration along the channel among the results of the 2-D and 1-D models for Case 1, Case 2 and Case 3 at time $t = 20.00$, using a time step $\Delta t = 5.10^{-3}$ and grids of 101×201 and 201 for 2-D and 1-D analyses, respectively.	62
3.16	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel: Comparison of the depth-averaged concentration along the channel among the results of the 2-D and 1-D models for Case 1, Case 2 and Case 3 at time $t = 30.00$, using a time step $\Delta t = 5.10^{-3}$ and grids of 101×201 and 201 for 2-D and 1-D analyses, respectively.	63
3.17	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel: The grid convergence study for 2-D analysis of the position x of the maximum depth-averaged concentration with respect to time t	63

3.18	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel: The grid convergence study for 2-D analysis of the maximum depth-averaged concentration with respect to time t	64
3.19	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel: The grid convergence study for 1-D (Case 4) analysis of the position of the maximum depth-averaged concentration with respect to time t in comparison with the 2-D result. Note that the initial condition is taken at the time $t = 1.0$	64
3.20	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel: The grid convergence study for 1-D (Case 4) analysis of the maximum depth-averaged concentration with respect to time t in comparison with the 2-D result. Note that the initial condition is taken at the time $t = 1.0$ for 1-D analysis.	65
3.21	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel: The influence of longitudinal diffusion on the position x of the maximum depth-averaged concentration with respect to time t , using a time step $\Delta t = 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and grids of 101×201 and 201 for 2-D and 1-D analyses, respectively.	65
3.22	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel: The influence of longitudinal diffusion on the maximum depth-averaged concentration with respect to time t , using a time step $\Delta t = 5 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and grids of 101×201 and 201 for 2-D and 1-D analyses, respectively.	66
4.1	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel with power velocity profile: grid convergence study for 2-D analysis of C_{max} and x_{max} with respect to time t , for $Re = 10^5$ and $\beta = 1.0$	81
4.2	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel with power velocity profile: grid convergence study for 1-D analysis of C_{max} and x_{max} with respect to time t , for $Re = 10^5$ and $\beta = 1.0$	82
4.3	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel with power velocity profile: comparison of C_{max} and x_{max} among the 2-D and 1-D models with different orders, using grids of 151×201 for 2-D analysis and 301 for 1-D analysis, for $Re = 10^5$ and $\beta = 1.0$	83
4.4	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel with power velocity profile: comparison of depth-averaged concentration along the channel among the 2-D and 1-D models with different orders, using grids of 151×201 for 2-D analysis and 301 for 1-D analysis, $Re = 10^5$, $\beta = 1.0$ and $R = 3742.64$	84
4.5	Variation of g_1 w.r.t. R for the logarithmic velocity profile. Note that at $R = 3850.04$, $g_1^{logarithmic} = g_1^{power} = -23.6392$ (corresponding to the power velocity profile with $Re = 10^5$ and $\beta = 1.0$).	85
4.6	Comparison between the logarithmic velocity profile (for $R = 3850.04$) and power velocity profile (for $Re = 10^5$, $\beta = 1.0$). Note that both profiles yield the same averaged velocity ($g_1^{logarithmic} = g_1^{power}$).	86
4.7	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel with logarithmic velocity profile: grid convergence study for 2-D analysis of C_{max} and x_{max} with respect to time t , for $R = 3850.04$	87

4.8	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel with logarithmic velocity profile: grid convergence study for 1–D analysis of C_{max} and x_{max} with respect to time t , for $R = 3850.04$	88
4.9	Turbulent shear flow in an open channel with logarithmic velocity profile: comparison of C_{max} and x_{max} among the 2–D and 1–D models with different orders, using grids of 151×201 for 2–D analysis and 301 for 1–D analysis, for $R = 3850.04$	89
4.10	Comparison among the 1–D and 2–D results (C_{max} and x_{max} with respect to t) of the power velocity model ($Re = 10^5$) and the logarithmic velocity model ($R = 3850.04$) when $g_1^{log} = g_1^{power}$	90
4.11	Comparison of δ/Δ between the power velocity model ($Re = 10^5$) and the logarithmic velocity model ($R = 3850.04$) when $g_1^{log} = g_1^{power}$	91
5.1	The square (left) and staggered (right) cube arrays (the packing density is 0.16 ($s/h=1.5$)), these patterns have been tested in the hydraulic flume by Macdonald et al. (2002).	101
5.2	A wind profile within and above a canopy. The profile is plotted for square cubes of 0.16 packing density or using $LAI = 3.072$	102
5.3	Mean velocity profiles for different λ for the square cube arrays (top) and the staggered cube arrays (bottom). The parameters $A_1 = 3.59$, $\beta = 0.55$, $u_h/u_* = 4.2$ are used for the square cubes and the values $A_1 = 4.43$, $\beta = 1$, $u_h/u_* = 3.05$ are used for the staggered cubes.	117
5.4	The diffusion coefficient versus total thickness for different densities of square (top) and staggered (bottom) obstacles. For the square cubes, we used the parameters $A_1 = 3.59$, $\beta = 0.55$, $u_h/u_* = 4.2$. The values $A_1 = 4.43$, $\beta = 1$, $u_h/u_* = 3.05$ are used with the staggered cubes.	118
5.5	The advection coefficient for square obstacles.	119
5.6	The advection coefficient for staggered obstacles.	119
5.7	The diffusion coefficient for square obstacles.	120
5.8	The diffusion coefficient for staggered obstacles.	120
5.9	The advection coefficient for square and staggered obstacles against density for the case $H/h = 1$	121
5.10	The diffusion coefficient for square and staggered obstacles against density for the case $H/h = 1$	121
5.11	The advection coefficient for square and staggered obstacles against density for the case $H/h = 10$	122
5.12	The diffusion coefficient for square and staggered obstacles against density for the case $H/h = 10$	122
5.13	Canopy flow: the grid convergence study for 2–D analysis of the x_{max} with respect to time for $H = 4$, $\lambda = 0.16$, and using $\Delta t = 10^{-3}$	123
5.14	Canopy flow: the grid convergence study for 2–D analysis of the C_{max} with respect to time for $H = 4$, $\lambda = 0.16$, and using $\Delta t = 10^{-3}$	123
5.15	Canopy flow: the grid convergence study for 1–D analysis of the x_{max} with respect to time for $H = 4$, $\lambda = 0.16$, and using $\Delta t = 10^{-3}$	124
5.16	Canopy flow: the grid convergence study for 1–D analysis of the C_{max} with respect to time for $H = 4$, $\lambda = 0.16$, and using $\Delta t = 10^{-3}$	124

5.17 Canopy flow: averaged concentration at different times for $H =$ 4, $\lambda = 0.16$, using a grid of 201×101 and $\Delta t = 10^{-3}$	125
5.18 Canopy flow: concentration field at different times for $H = 4$, $\lambda =$ 0.16, using a grid of 201×101 and $\Delta t = 10^{-3}$	126