



Rehabilitation of Deteriorated Steel Truss Members Using CFRP

By

Qaidar H. Tawfik

Supervised by:

Assoc. Prof. Karu Karunasena

Dr. Francisco Cardona

A dissertation submitted for the award of

Master of Engineering Research (MENR)

Centre of Excellence in Engineered Fibre Composites

Faculty of Engineering and Surveying

University of Southern Queensland

Toowoomba, Queensland, Australia

February 2012

Abstract

The main problem facing steel structures is corrosion which can lead to the failure in the design. Generally, retrofitting steel structures costs far less than replacement and it takes less time. With regards to this issue, there is a growing focus on the repair or rehabilitation of corroded steel structures. Repairing by welding, as a traditional method, is costly, time-consuming and has other problems related to quality control and safety instructions. As a consequence, many designers are seeking more economical solutions. This study aims to investigate the rehabilitation of corroded steel trusses using Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) as a new method. Angular steel samples were selected and tensile and compressive characteristics of the corroded rehabilitated steel were investigated.

The environmental temperature ($-20^{\circ}\text{C} - 60^{\circ}\text{C}$) and the effects of moisture (immersing the sample under tap water for 500 hrs – 2000 hrs) on corroded rehabilitated steel simulated by different sizes of notches (3 mm - 12mm) were considered in this investigation. To simulate the temperature difference during day and night or between seasons, the influences of the recycling temperature on the rehabilitation of the corroded steel were examined by subjecting the sample to fluctuated temperature.

The results revealed that CFRP is able to rehabilitate the corroded steel at different conditions, i.e. there is high gain in the tensile strength of the corroded steel which nearly reaches the original value of the raw steel in some conditions. However, the influence of the environmental temperature is very pronounced, i.e. recycling of low and high values of temperature compromise the rehabilitation

process; where the improvement on the tensile and compressive properties are not remarkable compared to the room temperature condition.

Moisture effect caused gradual reduction in ultimate tensile capacity when the immersion time increased. The reduction recorded a maximum value of about 6.9% less than the original sample at 2000 hours. For the compression test, moisture had a faster effect on ultimate compression capacity, especially in the first 1000 hours which recorded a reduction of about 9.4% compared with the original sample.

For field applications, it is recommended that the percentage of CFRP area to steel area at the corroded section, should be about 35% to 45%, to achieve the most economic use of the CFRP and maintain the corroded steel member within the allowable elastic strain. The finite element model, developed using the Strand 7 program, showed good agreement with the experimental work regarding the strain value of CFRP strips.

CERTIFICATION OF DISSERTATION

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analyses, software and conclusions in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

.....

Signature of Candidate

Date / /

ENDORSEMENT

.....

Signature of Principal Supervisor

Date / /

.....

Signature of Associate Supervisor

Date / /

Acknowledgements

I am truly grateful to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Karu Karunasena for the efforts and time that he spent supporting me through research tasks and finding solutions for problems related to my research. I appreciate his kind attention and continued encouragement throughout the semesters of my Master Programme. I would like to extend my great thanks to my associate supervisor Dr. Francisco Cardona for his advice and guidance that helped me in preparing and dealing with adhesive chemical materials.

I would like to thank the Iraqi government, especially the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research for nominating me to study aboard and supporting me and my family with a fully-funded scholarship.

I am also thankful to the technical team of the Faculty of Engineering and Surveying (FOES) and the Centre of Excellence in Engineered Fibre Composites (CEEFC), who helped me complete the experimental work and to all the staff and postgraduate students at CEEFC for sharing ideas, discussion and friendship.

For all the staff of University of Southern Queensland (USQ), I so greatly appreciate the opportunity you granted me to do a Master Programme at this university. Special thanks also go to Associate Professor Dr. Talal Yusaf for his support prior to and during my study. I especially acknowledge Ms. Sandra Cochrane for her proof-reading of my thesis.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my wife for her sacrifice and support throughout my learning journey at USQ.

To everybody who helped me but I forgot to mention, please forgive me and thank you very much.

Table of Contents

Chapter One	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Objectives of this work	4
1.3 Scope of the work	5
1.4 Contributions.....	6
1.5 Organization of the thesis.....	7
Chapter Two.....	9
Literature Review.....	9
2.1 Introduction.....	9
2.2 Carbon fibre reinforced polymers	9
2.3 CFRP for rehabilitating deteriorated steel trusses.....	14
2.3.1 CFRP with steel under tensile forces.....	15
2.3.2 CFRP with steel under compression forces	28
2.4 Numerical simulation of CFRP/steel technique.....	34
2.5 Conclusion and gap of current research.....	36
Chapter Three.....	37
Methodology	37
3.1 Introduction.....	37
3.2 Material selection.....	37
3.2.1 Steel plates	37
3.2.2 CFRP laminates	38
3.2.3 Steel angles	40
3.2.4 Primer and adhesive materials	40
3.3 Experimental apparatus and procedure	41

3.3.1	Universal tensile machines	41
3.3.2	Other equipment	45
3.3.3	Deterioration technique	45
3.3.3.1	Heating	45
3.3.3.2	Cooling	46
3.4	Preliminary tests and results for notched steel plates	47
3.5	Evaluation technique for corroded steel angle.....	53
3.6	Environmental effect on CFRP bonded to steel.....	60
3.6.1	Effect of environmental temperature	60
3.6.2	Effect of moisture	62
3.7	Summary.....	63
Chapter Four		66
Results and discussions.....		66
4.1	Introduction.....	66
4.2	Tensile characteristics of the rehabilitated steel	66
4.2.1	Influence of corrosion.....	66
4.2.1.1	Effect of notch sizes on steel alone without CFRP	66
4.2.1.2	Effect of notch sizes on steel attached with CFRP.....	68
4.2.2	Effect of environmental temperature	79
4.2.3	Effect of moisture	82
4.2.4	Finite Element Model for notched reinforced tensile member	83
4.3	Compressive characteristics of the rehabilitated steel	87
4.3.1	Influence of notch sizes	87
4.3.3	Effect of moisture	90
4.4	Failure modes.....	91

4.5	Summary	94
	Chapter Five	95
	Conclusions and recommendations	95
5.1	Introduction	95
5.2	Conclusions on tensile behaviour	95
5.3	Conclusions on compression behaviour	97
5.4	Recommendations and proposals for future works	98
	References	101
	Bibliography	107
	Appendix A	108
	Tables of maximum loads	108
A.1	Maximum loads in tensile test	108
A.2	Maximum loads in compression test	111

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: Corrosion effects on low level Bridge Northbound (Lima et al., 2008) ...	1
Figure 1.2: Layout of the thesis	8
Figure 2.1: Development of fibre matrix composite from the 1970s into the 21st century (Hollaway, 2010)	10
Figure 2.2: Amount of carbon fibre sheet alone used in Japan (Ueda, 2005).....	12
Figure 2.3: Number of applications for carbon fibre sheet by type of structures from 1987 to 2003 (Ueda, 2005)	12
Figure 2.4: Possible failure modes in a CFRP bonded to steel system(Zhao and Zhang, 2007)	14
Figure 2.5: Different retrofit schemes (Mertz and Gillespie, 1996)	16
Figure 2.6: Methods of CFRP strengthening and their load-deflection curves (Zhao et al., 2006)	17
Figure 2.7: Schematic drawing of CFRP/steel double strap joints (Nguyen et al., 2011)	26
Figure 2.8: Shear strength versus lasting time in man-made seawater (Yang et al., 2005)	27
Figure 2.9: Shear strength versus lasting time in hot/wet cycle (Yang et al., 2005) .	28
Figure 2.10: Experimental and numerical failure modes of the (a) short columns (b) long columns (Silvestre et al., 2008)	29
Figure 2.11: Tee sections and retrofit scheme (unit: mm) (a) aspect ratio of web; (b) CFRP-retrofit scheme; and (c) slenderness ratio of member with $h_w/t_w = 29$ (Kim and Harries, 2011b).....	31
Figure 2.12: Energy comparison between wrapped and unwrapped C12 x 20.7 specimens (C12 x 20.7 = unwrapped C12 x 20.7W = wrapped) (El-Tawil et al., 2011)	33
Figure 2.13: Definition of four material states and three transitions (Bai et al., 2008)	34
Figure 3.1: Picture of CFRP specimen after failure.....	39
Figure 3.2: Plates testing machine in the CEEFC.....	42
Figure 3.3: The tensile and compression testing machine used for angles.....	43

Figure 3.4: The original and converted jaws.....	44
Figure 3.5: Grooved steel disc used in compression test	44
Figure 3.6: The specimens inside the oven	46
Figure 3.7: The specimens inside the fridge	47
Figure 3.8: Geometrical dimensions of steel plates (not to scale)	48
Figure 3.9: Steel specimens after failure.....	50
Figure 3.10: Reinforcing steel specimens after failure	51
Figure 3.11: Load-extension diagrams for circular notched plate	52
Figure 3.12: Load-extension diagrams for edge notched plate	53
Figure 3.13: Method of reinforcement.....	55
Figure 3.14: Notched steel angle.....	55
Figure 3.15: Effective bond length for normal modulus CFRP joint (Fawzia et al., 2006)	57
Figure 3.16: Angle specimens after 1000 hours under water.....	63
Figure 4.1: Load-extension diagrams for control and notched specimens without CFRP.....	67
Figure 4.2: Effect of CFRP on load-extension diagram for 3mm notch specimen....	69
Figure 4.3: Effect of CFRP on load-extension diagram for 6 mm notch specimen... 70	
Figure 4.4: Effect of CFRP on load-extension diagram for 9 mm notch specimen... 70	
Figure 4.5: Load behavior of 12 mm notch specimen with and without CFRP and of the original steel specimen.....	71
Figure 4.6: Stress-strain diagram for the original sample	72
Figure 4.7: Stress-strain diagram for 12 mm notch with CFRP specimen.....	72
Figure 4.8: Attaching of strain gauge to CFRP strip.....	73
Figure 4.9: Increase in ultimate loads for rehabilitated notched samples	75
Figure 4.10: Increase in yield loads for rehabilitated notched samples	75

Figure 4.11: Relationship between percentage of CFRP to steel area for notched reinforced specimens and yield stress (from 3 to 12 mm notch, blue colour).....	77
Figure 4.12: Stress increments by increasing the ratio of carbon to corroded steel ..	78
Figure 4.13: Load-extension graph for the specimen at -20° C	80
Figure 4.14: Load-extension graph for the specimen at 60° C.....	80
Figure 4.15: Average ultimate stresses with different temperatures.....	81
Figure 4.16: Moisture effect on ultimate tensile stress	83
Figure 4.17: The mesh used for the FEA	84
Figure 4.18: Strain distribution (FE model).....	85
Figure 4.19: Enlarged view of the strain dialogue box	86
Figure 4.20: Compression load-extension diagrams for 9 mm notched samples with and without CFRP	87
Figure 4.21: Ultimate compression stresses for 9 mm notched samples with and without CFRP	88
Figure 4.22: Ultimate compression stresses under different temperature.....	90
Figure 4.23: Moisture effect on ultimate compression stress	91
Figure 4.24: Delaminating failure in tensile test.....	92
Figure 4.25: Delaminating failure in compression test.....	93
Figure 4.26: Debonding failure in tensile test.....	93
Figure 4.27: Debonding failure in compression test.....	94

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Properties of different types of CFRP.....	11
Table 2.2: Details of the test beams (Kim and Harries, 2011a).....	20
Table 2.3: Geometric and physical properties of materials (Kerboua et al., 2011) ...	21
Table 2.4: All of the tested configurations (Täljsten et al., 2009)	22
Table 3.1: Tensile test results of two steel specimens	38
Table 3.2: Tensile test results of 0.5 mm thick CFRP specimens.....	39
Table 3.3: Tensile test results of 0.8 mm thick CFRP specimens.....	39
Table 3.4: Characteristics of SWANCOR 984 (Primer).....	40
Table 3.5: Characteristics of Hysol EA 9330 (adhesive material).....	41
Table 3.6: Results of different bond length (Fawzia et al., 2006).....	56
Table 3.7: Dimension properties for angle sections from British Standard (BS-4848- part4, 1972)	60
Table 3.8 Numbers of specimens required for tensile test	64
Table 3.9 Numbers of specimens required for compression test	65
Table 4.1: Differences between ultimate and yield loads affected by edge notch.....	68
Table 4.2: Yield load, delaminating occurrence and ultimate loads for reinforced samples.....	74
Table 4.3: Load increments for rehabilitated notched specimens.....	74
Table 4.4: Equivalent area and yield stress for rehabilitated samples	77
Table 4.5: Effect of moisture on ultimate tensile stress	82
Table 4.6: Readings provided by strain gauge at 40 kN	86
Table 4.7: Ultimate stresses in compression test	89
Table 4.8: Effect of moisture on ultimate compression stress	90
Table A.1.1: Maximum tensile load of original samples (steel alone)	108
Table A.1.2: Maximum tensile load of 3 mm samples with and without CFRP	108

Table A.1.3: Maximum tensile load of 6 mm samples with and without CFRP	109
Table A.1.4: Maximum tensile load of 9 mm samples with and without CFRP	109
Table A.1.5: Maximum tensile load of 12 mm samples with and without CFRP ...	109
Table A.1.6: Maximum tensile load of samples affected by temperature	110
Table A.1.7: Maximum tensile load of samples affected by moisture	110
Table A.2.1: Maximum compression load of 9 mm samples with and without CFRP	111
Table A.2.2: Maximum compression load of samples affected by temperature	111
Table A.2.3: Maximum compression load of samples affected by moisture.....	111