

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND

The effects of patch and landscape factors on the
resilience of poplar box (*Eucalyptus populnea* F.
Muell.) woodlands, southern Queensland.

Lisa Renee Fritz (B. Sc.)

2012

A Thesis submitted for the award of Master of Science

ABSTRACT

Eucalypt woodlands in agricultural landscapes in Queensland have significantly declined in extent and condition in recent years. One particular ecosystem, poplar box (*Eucalyptus populnea* F.Muell.) woodland, has experienced declining tree condition, function and recruitment, which threaten the ecosystem's on-going persistence. To aid the persistence of these systems, knowledge of how agricultural land uses affect their condition, biodiversity and provision of ecological function is required. Most studies of biodiversity and ecological function in agricultural landscapes focus on either the role of characteristics within a patch of vegetation, or occasionally the characteristics of the surrounding landscape, but rarely on these factors in combination. However, studies have shown that both patch and landscape factors can be important and may explain significantly more of the variation in biodiversity, condition and function than patch factors (or landscape factors) alone. This study examines trends in community (floristic composition and stand structure) and population (dominant tree condition and population structure) level processes in relation to both patch and landscape factors, and asks the question: Do both patch and landscape factors contribute to patterns in health of remnant vegetation in agricultural landscapes?

This study uses two complementary approaches; an *a priori* (natural) experiment and a correlative study. To examine the effects of agricultural land uses at the patch scale (patch grazing) and in the surrounding landscape (land use context), an *a priori* design was implemented. Patch grazing (3 levels) was defined by the current (at time of sampling) intensity of grazing at the patch, while land use context (3 levels) was defined by the proportion of cropping and grazing within 5 km of a site. The correlative study utilised various explanatory factors at both patch and landscape scales to explain patterns. These two approaches were used to examine patterns in both community and population factors.

Thirty-three sites were sampled in and around the Condamine Catchment, southern Queensland, according to the *a priori* design. Sites were sampled for floristic composition (including overall vascular plant composition, species richness,

functional group richness and richness transition ratios), stand structure (cover of strata) and disturbance factors. The diameter at breast height (DBH) of all trees, and the condition of adult trees were measured. Bulked soil samples were collected and a range of soil physical and chemical properties determined. ArcGIS was used to determine a range of spatial variables, including the proportions of cropping, grazing and remnant vegetation in the landscape surrounding the site, the distance to a river and groundwater depth.

Two-way analyses of variance were used to compare functional group richness, richness transition ratios, stand structure, tree condition and tree densities within size classes among patch grazing and land use context categories. Differences in overall floristic composition and stand structure among levels of patch grazing and land use context were examined using non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) ordinations and analyses of similarity (ANOSIM) and explained using canonical correspondence analyses (CCA). Overall tree population structure was examined using the frequency distribution of multiple size (surrogate for age) classes. Potential environmental drivers of tree condition and densities within age classes were examined using generalised linear models (GLMs) in a model averaging framework.

Native species richness, C4 species richness, and the C4:C3 richness transition ratio differed among patch grazing categories, while overall floristic composition, exotic species richness and graminoid cover differed among levels of land use context. The interaction between patch grazing and land use context was important for the C4:C3 richness transition ratio and the total cover of trees. Patterns in overall floristic composition and stand structure were best explained by a mixture of environmental variables at both patch and landscape scales such as soil fertility and the proportions of cropping and grazing in the surrounding landscape.

The population age structure of *E. populnea* across the catchment suggests continuous rather than episodic recruitment of young trees. This pattern of recruitment differs to those found in other studies, suggesting changes in recruitment patterns. Mature tree density was the only measure to differ among levels of patch grazing intensity, while tree condition and tree densities within size classes did not differ among levels of land use context. The densities within size classes and tree

condition were mostly driven by both patch and landscape factors, such as soil organic carbon, patch size, the proportion of remnant vegetation in the surrounding landscape, and groundwater depth.

Overall, the study demonstrated that trends in floristic composition, stand structure within poplar box woodlands, and tree condition and population age structure of *E. populnea* may be driven by both patch and landscape factors. As the management of remnant vegetation in agricultural landscape mostly focuses on patch factors, this research highlights the need to take multi-scale factors into consideration.

CERTIFICATION OF DISSERTATION

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analyses, software and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

.....
Signature of Candidate

.....
Date

ENDORSEMENT

Supervisor:

Dr Andrew Le Brocque

Senior Lecturer in Ecology &
Sustainability, Faculty of Sciences,
University of Southern Queensland

Co-Supervisor:

Dr Martine Maron

Senior Lecturer in Environmental
Management, School of Geography
Planning and Environmental
Management, The University of
Queensland

.....
Signature

.....
Signature

.....
Date

.....
Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincere thanks go to the many people who have been part of this journey with me. First and foremost I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr Andy Le Brocque, for your guidance, support, advice and patience. Without your help and encouragement, I probably would have given up long ago. Thanks also to my associate supervisor, Dr Martine Maron, for your time and advice on statistics and chapter drafts.

I am grateful to all of the staff at the ACSC, for the help, support and making me feel welcome. I would also like to thank all the postgraduates of the ACSC, particularly Rachel Mapperson, Kate Reardon-Smith, Precila Salcedo, Justine Baillie, Peter Wagner, and Jarrod Kath for your friendship, distracting conversations and advice on statistics, mapping and more. Thank-you also to the various Faculty of Sciences administrative and technical staff who were always willing to help me with my studies.

I would like to thank all the landholders, Kim Bremner, Roy Inwood, Malcolm and Dianne Luck, John and Narelle Hoefler, Ross von Pein, Steve and Andrea Skewes, Ben Muirhead and the Wise and Wood families, who kindly gave me access to their properties. I am also thankful to Peter Wagner, Kellie Goodhew, Jarrod Kath, Michael Walker, Rachel Mapperson, Heike Eberhard, and Spencer Rigby for their company and assistance in the field. In addition, I am grateful to Kate Reardon-Smith for your assistance with plant identification and advice on chapter drafts.

This research was supported by a Land and Water Australia Postgraduate Scholarship; the financial support provided has been greatly appreciated.

Finally I would like to thank my extended family, for your support, and for encouraging me to take part in the adventure. In particular my heartfelt thanks go to Michael, for your patience and willingness to keep me company in the field, and for making life outside of university the best it could be.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
CERTIFICATION OF DISSERTATION	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES.....	xii
Chapter 1: General Introduction.	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.1.1 Poplar box woodlands in the Condamine Catchment	3
1.2 Agriculture, patch and landscape change.....	4
1.2.1 Agricultural impacts at the patch scale.....	5
1.2.2 Agricultural impacts from the broader landscape	8
1.3 The importance of both patch and landscape variables in agricultural landscapes.....	9
1.3.1 Patch and landscape hypothesis.....	11
1.4 Study questions and hypotheses	13
1.5 Thesis overview.....	14
Chapter 2: History of development, native vegetation in the Condamine Catchment, and experimental design.....	15
2.1 Study region:	15
2.1.1 The (Southern) Brigalow Belt Bioregion	15
2.1.2 The Condamine Catchment	16
2.2 Background to the Condamine Catchment.....	18
2.2.1 Vegetation.....	18
2.2.2 History of development	24
2.3 Experimental design.....	28
2.4 Site selection	31
2.5 Approach.....	34
Chapter 3: Composition and structure in remnant poplar box woodlands of the Condamine Catchment	35
3.1 Introduction	35
3.1.1 Floristic composition and richness in agricultural landscapes.....	36
3.1.2 Stand structure in agricultural landscapes.....	40
3.1.3 Study questions.....	42
3.2 Methods.....	43

3.2.1 Field techniques	43
3.2.2 Spatial data	46
3.2.3 Statistical Analyses.....	49
3.2.3.1 Effects of patch grazing and land use context	49
3.2.3.2. Multivariate analyses.....	50
3.3 Results.....	53
3.3.1 Patterns in floristic composition and species richness	53
3.3.1.1 General results.....	53
3.3.1.2 Effects of patch grazing and land use context	53
3.3.1.3 Multivariate relationships between floristic composition and environmental variables.....	62
3.3.2 Stand structure	65
3.3.2.1 General results.....	65
3.3.2.2 Effects of patch grazing and land use context	65
3.3.2.3 Multivariate relationships between stand structure and environmental variables.....	71
3.4 Discussion.....	73
3.4.1 Effects of patch grazing and land use context (<i>a priori</i> design).....	73
3.4.2 Relationships with the environment.....	77
3.5 Limitations.....	79
3.6 Significance	80
3.7 Conclusion.....	80
Chapter 4: Condition and structure of <i>Eucalyptus populnea</i> populations in the Condamine Catchment	82
4.1 Introduction	82
4.1.1 Tree population structure.....	83
4.1.2 Decline of Tree Condition	87
4.1.3 Study Questions	90
4.2 Methods.....	90
4.2.1 Field techniques	90
4.2.2 Statistical analyses	93
4.2.2.1 Overall population structure.....	93
4.2.2.2 Effects of patch grazing and land use context	94
4.2.2.3 Modelling of <i>Eucalyptus populnea</i> condition and population age structure	94
4.3 Results.....	96
4.3.1 General trends	96
4.3.2 Effects of patch grazing and land use context	96
4.3.3 Modelling of tree condition and densities within size classes.....	99

4.4 Discussion.....	101
4.4.1 General population structure of <i>Eucalyptus populnea</i> across the Condamine Catchment.....	101
4.4.2 Effects of patch grazing and land use context	103
4.4.3 Modelling of patch and landscape factors.....	105
4.5 Limitations.....	108
4.6 Significance	110
4.7 Conclusions	110
Chapter 5: Synthesis and Conclusions	112
5.1 Overview	112
5.1.1 Summary of results.	112
5.2 Ecological implications of patch and landscape drivers of community and population dynamics	114
5.3 Recruitment and condition of <i>Eucalyptus populnea</i> populations	115
5.4 Management implications	117
5.5 Limitations and future directions.....	118
5.5.1 Limitations of experimental approach.....	118
5.5.2 Broadening the study.....	120
5.5.3 Influence of climate	120
5.5.4 Examination of population dynamics	121
5.6 Conclusions	122
REFERENCES.....	124
APPENDICES.....	147

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 (a) Conceptual diagram of independent drivers of community and population processes from literature; (b) alternative ‘patch and landscape’ hypothesis.....	12
Figure 2.1 Map of the Condamine Catchment, showing the locations of major centres.	17
Figure 2.2 Remnant vegetation remaining in the Condamine Catchment.....	20
Figure 2.3 Pre-clearing extent and current extent of poplar box woodlands in the Condamine Catchment	22
Figure 2.4 Map of land uses in the Condamine Catchment.	26
Figure 2.5 Experimental design showing main factors -	30
Figure 2.6 Diagrammatic examples of the matrix composition in a) cropping landscapes, b) mixed landscapes and c) grazing landscapes.....	30
Figure 2.7 Site locations categorised by treatments.	34
Figure 3.1 Diagrammatic representation of the 500 m ² nested quadrat used in this study.	44
Figure 3.2 Map of bores sites used to interpolate groundwater depth.....	48
Figure 3.3 Mean richness of (a) native, (b) C4 plants; and (c) the mean ratio of C4:C3 by patch grazing intensity.....	57
Figure 3.4 Mean richness of exotic plants by land use context.....	58
Figure 3.5 Interaction plots of the ratio between C4 and C3 plants: a) land use context by patch grazing and b) patch grazing by land use context.....	59
Figure 3.6 nMDS ordination of floristic composition.....	61
Figure 3.7 a) CA ordination of floristic composition (minus rare species) and b) CCA of floristic composition (minus rare species) environmental variables represented as vectors.....	64
Figure 3.8 Mean cover of graminoids by land use context.	68
Figure 3.9 Interaction plot of total tree foliage projected cover (%) by: a) land use context by patch grazing and b) patch grazing by land use context.....	69
Figure 3.10 nMDS ordination of stand structure.....	70
Figure 3.11 a) CA ordination of stand structure and b) CCA of stand structure with environmental variables represented as vectors	72
Figure 4.1 Varied trends in tree densities within age classes.....	84
Figure 4.2 Histogram of tree diameter in 5 cm size classes.	96
Figure 4.3 Mean levels of mature tree density (individuals/ha) by patch grazing categories	99

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Summary of major land uses in the Condamine Catchment as of 2006.....	21
Table 2.2 <i>A priori</i> treatments and their levels.....	29
Table 2.3 Categorisation of sites based on a priori treatments.	33
Table 3.1 Functional group transitions and related environmental gradients.....	40
Table 3.2 Summary of variables and excluded data in two-way analysis of variance.....	50
Table 3.3 Environmental variables used in CCAs of floristic composition and stand structure.	52
Table 3.4 Summary of two-way analyses of variance of functional group species richness (SR) and richness transition ratios	55
Table 3.5 Two-way crossed ANOSIM of floristic composition by patch grazing and land use context	61
Table 3.6 Average dissimilarity between cropping and grazing landscapes with average abundance of plant species	62
Table 3.7 Summary of two-way analyses of variance of stand structural variables	66
Table 3.8 Two-way crossed ANOSIM of stand structure by patch grazing and land use context.	71
Table 4.1 Definition of health classes according to Foliage Index.....	92
Table 4.2 Summary of explanatory variables examined in relation to tree condition and population stand structure.	93
Table 4.3 Subset of environmental variables used in model averaging.....	95
Table 4.4 Summary of two-way analyses of variance of tree densities within size classes and foliage index.....	98
Table 4.5 Summary of results from generalised linear models of densities within size classes and tree condition (foliage index).....	100
Table 4.6 Averaged coefficients from model averaging of tree densities within size classes and tree condition (foliage index).....	101

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A Table of variables collected at each site	147
Appendix B Testing of quadrat size for floristic composition.....	149
Appendix C Summary table of general site data including date visited, co-ordinates, altitude, soil type, and management notes for each site.	150
Appendix D Pearson's r correlations between explanatory factors.....	152
Appendix E Summary of floristic data	153
Appendix F Summary of stand structural variables (cover %).....	178
Appendix G Summary of densities within size (age) classes and foliage index of <i>Eucalyptus populnea</i>	179
Appendix H Summary of soil data	180
Appendix I Summary of environmental and spatial data for each site	181
Appendix J Summary of the diameter at breast height (DBH) of all trees and their foliage index.....	183