University of Southern Queensland

Measurements of evaporation during sprinkler irrigation

A dissertation submitted by

Md. Jasim Uddin

B. Sc. (Agril. Engg.)
M.S. (Irrigation and Water Management)

For the Award of

Doctor of Philosophy

2012

DEDICATED TO MY LATE PARENTS

MR. HAZIR ALI
MRS. ASTHORI ALI

MD. JASIM UDDIN
TOOWOOMBA, QLD 4350
AUSTRALIA

JANUARY 2012

ABSTRACT

The availability of water for irrigated agriculture is a concerning issue in the world especially in arid and semi-arid regions. Irrigators are facing a number of challenges, including reductions in the availability of water and increased competition with industrial and domestic uses. Irrigated agriculture is the largest water consumer all over the world as well as in Australia, and there is pressure to increase water use efficiency. Efficient utilization of water can improve the crop production per unit of water applied and can contribute to water conservation. Among the irrigation systems, sprinkler irrigation is one of the most popular methods for achieving high application efficiencies. However, the irrigators are still less interested to adopt this system due to the lack of accurate information regarding the losses in sprinkler irrigation often citing high evaporation losses along with high cost of operation.

Evaporation losses during sprinkler irrigation are still a vital issue to the irrigation community all over the world. Previous experimental results have shown that they may vary from 0 to 45% of the applied water and that a large proportion of the loss is droplet evaporation in the atmosphere. However, recent theoretical studies reported that the total losses should not be much more than a few percent. They also suggested a negligible (less than 1%) amount of droplet evaporation compared to the major canopy evaporation component. Due to the limitations of the existing methodology and technique these theoretical results could not be verified by field experiments in real crops. Accurate estimates of the losses are important to determine the strategies for the optimal design and management of sprinkler irrigation systems as well as irrigation scheduling considering the application efficiency of the system. It is also important to provide the accurate information regarding the evaporation losses which can significantly help farmers to choose a suitable irrigation system. The relatively recent development of the eddy covariance (ECV) technique has

provided the opportunity to overcome the limitations of the existing methods to measure the evaporation during sprinkler irrigation. Therefore, this work aimed to measure the additional evaporation that occurs during sprinkler irrigation adopting eddy covariance technique and to separate its components in conjunction with additional measurements. In this regard, three research objectives were identified including: (i) use the eddy covariance (ECV) technique to measure the total evapotranspiration (ET) during sprinkler irrigation; (iii) partition the total evaporation into its major components during irrigation and subsequent periods; and (iii) to demonstrate how the ECV-sap flow data can aid in management of sprinkler irrigation.

The ECV system is consists of a fast-response three dimensional sonic anemometer coupled with open path infrared gas analyser. Sap flow was measured using six dynagauge sap flow sensors each with a digital interface, a hub and a data logger. Additional measurements include net radiation using a four component net radiometer, soil heat flux (G) using heat flux plates temperature and relative humidity using two temperature and relative humidity probes and the crop canopy temperature using an infrared thermometer. A fixed sprinkler irrigation system was installed in a way to make a circle of 50 m with an area of 0.2 ha for all trials. Experimental measurements were conducted over a range of surfaces from bare soil to different stages of crop throughout the period 2010-11 at the agricultural experimental station at University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Australia.

Preliminary measurements were conducted over the grass and bare soil to evaluate the capability of the ECV technique to measure the total ET during sprinkler irrigation. The preliminary measurements showed that the ECV technique successfully measured the increased ET during irrigation and the decreasing rate of ET during post irrigation drying period. Using the nondimensional (ET_{ecadj}/ET_{ref}) values of ET, the average additional evaporation over the grass was estimated as 32%. The decreasing trend of ET over the time post irrigation was an indication of

evaporation of the intercepted water from the grass surface. However, in case of bare soil the actual ET pre irrigation was well below the reference ET and increased to equal to the reference ET during the irrigation. In terms of nondimensional ET about 27% additional evaporation occurred over the bare soil during irrigation due to the increased soil moisture. Similar values of nondimensional ET post and pre irrigation illustrates that there was no intercepted water to evaporate on the surface in the case of bare soil.

Accordingly, a series of experimental trials were conducted over cotton at various growth stages, introducing the eddy covariance-sap flow method to measure the total evapotranspiration and sap flow. Nondimensionalisation of these measurements using ET_{ref} gave idealized curves of the total evapotranspiration and sap flow for each stage, i.e pre-, during and post-irrigation, which permits calculation of the additional evaporation caused by irrigation and suppression of transpiration. These calculations showed that the greatest effect of overhead sprinkler on water losses was the significant increase of ET as mostly canopy evaporation and reduction in sap flow due to the wetness of the canopy. The amount of additional evaporation varied significantly with crop canopy condition and climatic factors especially advection.

The significant increase of total ET from partial canopy to full canopy indicates that canopy evaporation was higher in full canopy due to the greater area of canopy surface in full crop canopy condition and hence greater interception capacity. This result supported the conclusion that canopy evaporation was the dominant component in sprinkler irrigation. Higher rate of ET measured during irrigation in advective conditions, indicates that advective conditions can increase the additional evaporation in sprinkler irrigation substantially on the basis of climatic conditions especially wind speed. The additional evaporation due to irrigation using impact sprinklers varied from 37% at partial canopy condition to 80% at full canopy condition. Adevctive conditions increased this additional amount by 12% to 20% depending on the climatic conditions.

It was shown how the total canopy evaporation can be subdivided into its different components including additional evaporation during irrigation and canopy interception capacity using idealized nondimensional ET and sap flow. However, the droplet evaporation could not be separated due to the limitations of the instruments used under this study.

It was also shown how the ECV-sap flow data can be used to predict the magnitude of additional evaporation for different regions in various operating and climatic conditions. This can aid the irrigators to predict the additional evaporation in sprinkler irrigation at any region for different time, climatic and operating conditions.

The results showed that canopy evaporation including droplet evaporation during irrigation is the dominant component (about 80%) in additional evaporation followed by the canopy interception (about 20%). The average amount of additional evaporation would be about 6% of applied water under normal conditions and about 8% in advective conditions in major cotton growing areas in Australia. It means that irrigators in those places may need to apply 6-8% of additional water.

CERTIFICATE OF DISSERTATION

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analyses and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

Endorsement:

_____ _____

Md Jasim Uddin, Candidate **Date**

_____ _____

Professor Rod Smith, Principal Supervisor **Date**

_____ _____

Associate Prof. Nigel Hancock, Associate Supervisor **Date**

_____ _____

Dr. Joseph Foley, Associate Supervisor **Date**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I wish to acknowledge the expert guidance I received from my principal supervisor, Professor Rod Smith. I am very grateful to Rod for the time and effort he has invested in helping me to achieve this goal and for being an inspiration at every step of the way. His invaluable technical advice is always highly cherished. I equally thank my associate supervisor, Associate Professor Nigel Hancock, for his support and encouragement of excellence in all phases of my research particularly his expertise on micrometeorology.

I would like to thank Dr. Joseph Foley, for his help, support and encouragement during the period of my research particularly his help and support regarding the establishment of crop and irrigation system at the university's experimental research station. I wish to extend my sincere appreciation to Dr. Jochen Eberheard for his valuable help time to time regarding the instrumentation.

I would like to thanks those provided valuable assistance and inspiration throughout the study. I am deeply thankful to the University of Southern Queensland (USQ) for providing the major scholarship and to the Faculty of Engineering and Surveying (FoES) for additional financial support. I am also grateful to the National Centre for Engineering in Agriculture (NCEA) for providing research funds and support to accomplish this research work. I would like to thank all lovely staff of FoES and NCEA for their support and encouragement.

Words are lacking to express my humble obligation to my affectionate parents in law for their moral and spiritual inspiration who always wish my great success and pray for me. Thanks also to my brothers and sisters for their unrivalled love, moral support and sincere prayers.

I have a deep appreciation and regard for the kindness, affection and support of wife Ishrat Jahan whose encouragement, continuous support and inspiration made it possible to accomplish this research work. I would thank my loving friend for her patience while enduring significant absence of my time over the period. I thank my beloved daughter, Juwairiayah Ibtisam for her great sacrifice and patience and allow me to spend more time in research especially during my busy writing time.

I would like to dedicate this thesis to my late parents who taught me more in life than anyone else and who would be thrilled beyond words to see their son submit this dissertation for PhD degree.

ABBREVIATION

ABS	Australian Bureau of Statistics
AE	Available energy
Agplot	Agricultural Experimental Station
AI	Advection index
BR	Bowen Ratio
CNF	Cumulative normalized contribution
DOY	Day of year
EBR	Energy balance ratio
EC	Electrical conductivity
ECV	Eddy covariance
EF	Model efficiency
ET	Evapotranspiration
ET_{ec}	Unadjusted actual evapotranspiration measured by eddy covariance
ET_{ecadj}	Adjusted actual evapotranspiration measured by eddy covariance
ET_{ref}	Reference evapotranspiration
FoES	Faculty of Engineering and Surveying
F	Sap flow
GM	Genetically modified
HB	Heat balance
HPV	Heat pulse velocity
IRGA	Infrared Gas Analyzer
Irri	During irrigation period
MBE	Mean bias error
NCEA	National Centre for Engineering in Agriculture
NSW	New South Wales
Post	After irrigation
Pre	Pre/Before irrigation
RH	Relative humidity
R_{et}	Nondimensional number of evapotranspiration
R_f	Nondimensional number of sap flow
RMSE	Root mean square error
T	Transpiration
T_a	Air temperature
T_c	Canopy temperature
λE	Latent heat flux
λE_{adj}	Adjusted latent heat flux
USQ	University of Southern Queensland
VPD	Vapour pressure deficit
WDEL	Wind drift and evaporation losses
WS	Wind speed

PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS RESEARCH

Uddin, J., Smith, R., Nigel, H., & Foley, J. (2010). Droplet evaporation losses during sprinkler irrigation: an overview. *One Water Many Future, Australian Irrigation Conference and Exhibition*, Sydney, 8-10 June.

Uddin, J., Smith, R., Nigel, H., & Foley, J. (2010). Evaporation losses during sprinkler irrigation measured by eddy covariance technique. *One Water Many Future, Australian Irrigation Conference and Exhibition*, Sydney, 8-10 June.

Uddin, J., Smith, R., Nigel, H., & Foley, J. (2011). Evaluation of sap flow sensors to measure the transpiration rate of the plants during sprinkler irrigation. *Paper presented at the conference Society for Engineering in Agriculture (SEAg),* Gold Coast, Australia, 29-30 September.

Uddin, J., Smith, R., Nigel, H., & Foley, J. (2011). Eddy covariance measurements of the total evaporation during sprinkler irrigation-Preliminary results. *Paper presented at the conference Society for Engineering in Agriculture (SEAg),* Gold Coast, Australia, 29-30 September.\

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT ..... **i**

CERTIFICATE OF DISSERTATION ..... **v**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ..... **vii**

ABBREVIATION ..... **ix**

PUBLICATIONS ARISING FROM THIS RESEARCH ..... **xi**

TABLE OF CONTENTS ..... **xiii**

LIST OF FIGURES ..... **xxi**

LIST OF TABLES ..... **xxvii**

Chapter 1: Introduction **1**

1.1 Background 1

1.2 Research aim and hypothesis 5

1.3 Structure of dissertation 7

Chapter 2: Literature Review **9**

2.1 Introduction 9

2.2 Overview of evaporation losses during sprinkler irrigation 10

2.2.1 Evaporation losses and its components 10

2.2.2 Magnitude of the losses 12

2.2.3 Physical processes of the evaporation losses in sprinkler irrigation 15

2.2.4 Factors affecting evaporation losses 16

2.2.4.1 Equipment-related factors 16

2.2.4.2 Climatic factors 18

2.2.5 Impacts of sprinkler irrigation 20

2.2.5.1 Rate of evapotranspiration (ET) 20
2.2.5.2 Rate of transpiration 21
2.2.5.3 Modification of microclimate 21
2.3 Studies on evaporation losses during sprinkler irrigation – 1. Experimental 22
2.3.1 Irrigation measurement via 'catch-cans' 22
2.3.2 Irrigation measurement via electrical conductivity 24
2.3.3 Irrigation measurement using other techniques 26
2.3.4 Experimental studies – Summary and conclusion 26
2.4 Studies on evaporation losses during sprinkler irrigation – 2. Model-based 27
2.4.1 Modelling approaches 27
2.4.2 Statistical models 27
2.4.3 Overview of physical-mathematical models 29
2.4.4 Droplet trajectory models 29
2.4.5 Plant-environment energy balance models 33
2.4.6 Combination trajectory/environment models 34
2.4.7 Model-based studies – Summary and conclusion 36
2.5 Evaluation of the methods to estimate evapotranspiration (ET) for partitioning 37
2.5.1 Empirical and semi-empirical methods 38
2.5.2 Mass transfer methods 39
2.5.3 Hydrological/water balance methods 39
2.5.4. Micrometeorological methods – Bowen Ratio Energy Balance method 40
2.5.5. Micrometeorological methods – Eddy covariance (ECV) method 43
2.5.6. Micrometeorological methods – Penman-based methods 45
2.5.7 Conclusions 46
2.6 Eddy covariance system 47
2.6.1 Theory 47

2.6.2 Errors and corrections 49
2.6.2.1 Despiking and low pass filtering 50
2.6.2.2 Lag removal 51
2.6.2.3 Determining appropriate averaging period 51
2.6.2.4 Coordinate rotation 52
2.6.2.5 Conversion of fluctuations of sonic temperature into actual temperature 53
2.6.2.6 Frequency response corrections 53
2.6.3 Footprint analysis for ECV measurements 55
2.7 Atmospheric stability analysis 57
2.8 Energy balance over a crop/soil surface 58
2.8.1 Radiation at the earth surface 59
2.8.1.1 Extraterrestrial radiation 60
2.8.1.2 Solar ('shortwave') radiation 60
2.8.1.3 Terrestrial ('longwave') radiation 61
2.8.1.4 Net radiation 61
2.8.2 Latent heat flux (λE) 62
2.8.3 Sensible heat flux (H) 62
2.8.4 Soil heat flux (G) 62
2.8.5 Advected energy 64
2.8.6 Biomass storage heat flux 66
2.9 Canopy interception and evaporation 67
2.10 Transpiration 69
2.10.1 Introduction 69
2.10.2 Theory of heat balance method 72
2.11 Conclusions and summary 75
Chapter 3: Methodology 79

3.1 Introduction 79
3.2 Study site 80
3.3 Climate and weather 81
3.4 Crop establishment and agronomic practices 82
3.5 Irrigation system and instruments layout 83
3.6 Measurements 87
3.6.1 Energy flux measurement 87
3.6.2 Sap flow measurements 90
3.7 Data processing analysis and corrections 92
3.8 Data quality assessment 93
3.9 Calculation of sensible and latent heat flux 95
3.11 Nondimensionalisation of ET and sap flow 96
3.12 Calculation of reference evapotranspiration 97

Chapter 4: Preliminary measurements over bare soil and grass 99

4.1 Introduction 99
4.2 Methodology 99
4.3 Evaporation over grass 101
4.4 Evaporation over bare soil 106
4.5 Conclusions 110

Chapter 5: Measurements of total evaporation during sprinkler irrigation of cotton – Results and discussion 111

5.1 Introduction 111

5.2 Effect of sprinkler irrigation on evapotranspiration 112
5.2.1 General trend of evapotranspiration 112
5.2.2 Inspection of evapotranspiration flux magnitudes 114
5.2.3 Overview of nondimensionalised ET results 117
5.2.4 Additional evaporation during irrigation at 50% crop canopy 122
5.2.5 Additional evaporation during irrigation at 75% crop canopy 125
5.2.6 Additional evaporation during irrigation at full canopy 129
5.2.7 Idealized ET curves 135
5.3 Effect of irrigation on sap flow 137
5.3.1 General characteristics of sap flow 137
5.3.2 Reduction of sap flow during irrigation 144
5.3.3 Idealized curves for sap flow 147
5.4 Effect of sprinkler parameters on ET and sap flow 148
5.5 Uncertainty in measurement 152
5.6 Effect of irrigation on microclimate 153
5.7 Conclusions 157
Chapter 6: Irrigation implications of experimental results 161
6.1. Introduction 161
6.2 Total canopy evaporation and its components 162
6.3 Idealized model 166
6.4 Validation of model 167
6.5 Climate and irrigation case study data 170
6.6 Total canopy evaporation 174
6.7 Additional evaporation 175
6.8 Canopy interception capacity 176

6.9 Partition of total additional evaporation 177

6.10 Conclusions 178

Chapter 7: Conclusions and recommendations 181

7.1 Summary 181

7.2 Evaluation of eddy covariance technique to measure the total ET 183

7.3 Measurements of total evaporation during sprinkler irrigation 184

7.4 Implications of experimental results 185

7.5 Recommendations for future research 186

List of references 189

Appendix A: Eddy covariance footprint analysis 213

A.1 Introduction 213

A.2 Theory of footprint analysis 213

A.3 Methodology 215

A.4 Results and discussion 216

A.4.1 With assumed crop data 216

A.4.2 With measured crop data 218

A.5 Conclusions 220

Appendix B: Data processing and quality assessment 221

B.1 Introduction 221

B.2 Data quality assessment methodology 221

B. 3 Results and discussion 222

B.3.1 Data quality assessment 222

B. 3.2 Evaluation of evapotranspiration (ET) measurements 225

B.3.3 Effect of nondimensionalisation of ET 227

B.4 Conclusions 229

Appendix C: Evaluation of sap flow sensors 231

C.1 Introduction 231

C.2 Materials and methods 231

C.2.1 Theory of heat balance method 231

C.2.2 Sap flow and transpiration measurements 232

C.2.3 Calibration of the lysimeter 234

C.3 Results and discussion 235

C.3.1 Diurnal pattern of sap flow and transpiration 235

C.3.2 Effect of wetting on sap flow and transpiration 237

C.3.3 Effect of climatic variables on sap flow and transpiration 242

C.4 Conclusions 242

Appendix D: Regression analysis to model pre-, during- and post-irrigation phases 245

D.1 Introduction 245

D.2 Materials and methods 245

D.3 Results and discussion 247

D.4 Conclusions 253

Appendix E: Nondimensionalised ET curves on different days over grass 255

Appendix F: Average of reference evapotranspiration ET_{ref} at pre, during and post irrigation period during 19 February – 7 May 2011 ..... **256**

Appendix G: Average of actual ET (ET_{ecadj}) at pre, during and post irrigation period during 19 February –7 May 2011 ..... **257**

Appendix H: Average of ET_{ecadj}/ET_{ref} at pre, during and post irrigation period during 19 February – 7 May 2011 ..... **258**

Appendix I: Average of actual sap flow (F) at pre, during and post irrigation period during 25 March – 17 April 2011 ..... **259**

Appendix J: Average of F/ET_{ref} at pre, during and post irrigation period during 25 March – 7 May 2011 (Data were average considering pre for 1 hr irrigation, 3 hrs and 1 hr post irrigation period) **260**

Appendix K: Meteorological data recorded during the experiment: average air temperature (T_a), relative humidity (RH), wind speed (WS), vapour pressure deficit (VPD), adjusted latent heat flux (λE_{adj}), adjusted sensible heat flux (H_{adj}), actual net radiation (R_{nact}), soil heat flux, canopy temperature (T_c), Bowen ratio (BR) and advection index (AI)..... **261**

Appendix L: Nondimensionalised ET curves for individual trials **266**

Appendix M: Day time (8 AM-17 PM) average daily climatic data of different locations of cotton growing areas for January 2009..... **271**

Appendix N: Matlab Code for footprint analysis..... **272**

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Structure of dissertation 7

Figure 2.1: Diagram of the components of water flow through soil-plant-atmosphere system (source : Norman & Campbell 1983) 11

Figure 2.2: Measuring the volume of water in a catch-can using measuring cylinder (source: Smith, Cotton CRC Water Team) 23

Figure 2. 3: Measured and predicted value of evapotranspiration during sprinkler irrigation for (a) impact sprinkler (b) spray nozzle (source: Thompson et al. 1997) 35

Figure 2.4: Classical view of the structure of eddies in the atmospheric boundary layer over a uniform vegetated surface (source: Hancock 2008) 47

Figure 2.5: Eddies at one imaginary point imaginary eddies at measurements height of the ECV station (source: Burba & Anderson n.d.) 48

Figure 2.6: Stem gauge schematics with two differentially wired thermocouples where channel AH measures difference in temperature A-H_a and channel BH measures the difference in temperature B-H_b (source: Dynagage Sap Flow Sensor User Manual, 2005, Dynamax Inc.) 72

Figure 3.1: Map of the study site 80

Figure 3.2: Long term monthly average data of Toowoomba Airport near to study site (1998-2010) (source: Bureau of Meteorology, Australian Government) 81

Figure 3.3: Schematic layout of the experimental site (not to scale) 82

Figure 3.4: Crops at early and mature stage at the experimental field 83

Figure 3.5: Sprinkler layout to form a 50 m irrigated circle with the ECV system at the centre along and other instrument in different locations. TR1 and TR2 represent the position of the measurement of temperature and relative humidity at upwind and downwind position, respectively and AWS represents the position of automatic weather station. 84

Figure 3.6: The author measuring nozzle pressure during irrigation 85

Figure 3.7: Installed ECV and sap flow system at the centre of the field for continuous measurements 89

Figure 3.8: Sonic anemometer, infrared gas analyser (IRGA), four-component net radiometer, temperature, relative humidity probe and infrared thermometer installed at the experimental site 90

Figure 3.9: Sap flow system installed in the field 91

Figure 3.10: Effect of irrigation on surface temperature and albedo on 15 April 2011 98

Figure 4.1: Measurement of evapotranspiration over (a) grass and (b) bare soil 100

Figure 4.2: Reference evapotranspiration (ET_{ref}), actual evapotranspiration (ET_{ecadj}) and net radiation (R_n) on different days 102

Figure 4.3: Nondimensionalised ET for grass surface 104

Figure 4.4: Soil evaporation under the grass surface 104

Figure 4.5: Comparison of relative humidity (RH) for three trial days 106

Figure 4.6: Comparison of reference and actual ET before, during and post irrigation for the trials over bare soil 107

Figure 4.7: Nondimensionalised ET for bare soil 108

Figure 4.8: Comparison of soil evaporation on different days 109

Figure 5.1: Reference evapotranspiration ET_{ref}, actual evapotranspiration ET_{ecadj} and net radiation R_n on representative days for different combinations of irrigation trials. In each the periods of irrigation are shown shaded in pink. (a) unirrigated; (b) 30 mins irrigation and 30 mins interval; (c) 30 mins irrigation and 60 mins interval; (d) 60 mins irrigation and 60 mins interval; (e) 120 mins irrigation; and (f) 180 mins irrigation 113

Figure 5.2: Temperature and relative humidity 25 m upwind and 25 m downwind of the ECV measurement site at the irrigated plot measured on DOY 60 and 105 116

Figure 5.3: Nondimensionalised ET curves for the combination of 30 mins irrigation and 30 mins interval at the initial stage (50% cover) of crop 118

Figure 5.4: Nondimensionalised ET curves for the combination of 30 mins and 60 mins interval at 75% crop canopy coverage 119

Figure 5.5: Nondimensionalised ET curves for 60 mins irrigation trial at full crop canopy condition 120

Figure 5.6: Nondimensionalised ET curves for 120 mins irrigation trial at full crop canopy condition (with the pink bar indicating approximate alignment of Pre/Post ET results) 120

Figure 5.7: Nondimensional curves for 180 mins irrigation trial at full crop canopy condition (with the pink bar indicating approximate alignment of Pre/Post ET results) 121

Figure 5.8: Comparison of additional evaporation at 50% crop canopy condition..... 123

Figure 5.9: The comparison of additional evaporation and wind speed during irrigation 127

Figure 5.10: Additional evaporation for partial (75%) crop canopy condition on individual days 128

Figure 5.11: Comparison of reference and actual ET during the pre irrigation period..... 131

Figure 5.12: Components of energy fluxes under advective condition (DOY 104, 14 April 2011) 132

Figure 5.13: Additional evaporation at advective and nonadvective condition at full crop canopy coverage 134

Figure 5.14: Idealized curves of evapotranspiration (ET) for sprinkler irrigation during (a) nonadvective and (b) advective condition..... 135

Figure 5.15: Schematic representation of the different phases of irrigation in terms of dimensionless ET 136

Figure 5.16: Sap flow F, reference evapotranspiration ET_{ref} and actual evapotranspiration ET_{ecadj} on selected days for different trials. Periods of irrigation are shown shaded. (a) non-irrigated day; (b) 30 mins irrigation trails; (c) 120 mins irrigation trials; and (d) 180 mins irrigation trials 139

Figure 5.17: Nondimensionalised curves of sap flow F for (a) 120 mins and b) 180 mins irrigation trials 141

Figure 5.18: Nondimensionalised curves of sap flow *F* for 30 mins irrigation trials (with the pink bar indicating approximate alignment of Pre/Post *F* results) 142

Figure 5.19: Nondimensionalised curves of sap flow *F* for 60 mins irrigation trials 142

Figure 5.20: Nondimensionalised curves of sap flow (*F*) for long irrigation trials (a) 120 mins (b) 180 mins (with the pink bar indicating approximate alignment of Pre/Post *F* results) 143

Figure 5.21: Comparison of sap flow in an average of three irrigated 'F_{irri}' and three non-irrigated '$F_{nonirri}$' plants on a clear day (15 April 2011; DOY 105) 146

Figure 5.22: Idealized curves of sap flow for different phases of irrigation (with the pink bar indicating approximate alignment of Pre/Post *F* results) 147

Figure 5.23: Nondimensionalised ET for three types of nozzle (with the pink bar indicating approximate alignment of Pre/Post *F* results) (a) large (3.2 mm) impact (b) spray and (c) small (2.5 mm) impact 149

Figure 5.24: Additional evaporation for different types of sprinkler 150

Figure 5.25: Comparison of sap flow for three types of nozzle under experiments VII, VIII, IX and X (Table 3.1, section 3.5) 151

Figure 5.26: Air temperature, RH and VPD upwind and downwind of the plot on a clear day (DOY 105, 15 April 2011) 154

Figure 5.27: Air temperature, RH, VPD upwind and within the plot on a clear day (DOY 114, 24 April 2011) 154

Figure 5.28: Air and canopy temperature during the irrigation trials (DOY 105, 15 April 2011) 155

Figure 5.29: Comparison of evaporative demand (reference ET) upwind and within the crop (a) pre- (b) during (c) post-irrigation condition on a clear day 15 April 2011 (DOY 105) 156

Figure 6.1: Reference ET *(ET_{ref})*, actual ET (ET_{ecadj}) and sap flow (*F)* in different types of sprinklers on different days (a) DOY 103 and (b) DOY 114 163

Figure 6.2: Nondimensionalised evapotranspiration (ET) and sap flow (*F*) for different types of nozzles 164

Figure 6.3: Idealized model for ET and sap flow (F) in (a) nonadvective and (b) advetcive conditions 167

Figure 6.4: Comparison of measured and predicted evapotranspiration and sap flow 168

Figure 6.5: Comparison of measured and predicted actual evapotranspiration and sap flow in nonadvective condition (a) evapotranspiration (b) sap flow 169

Figure 6.6: Comparison of measured and predicted actual evapotranspiration and sap flow in advective condition (a) evapotranspiration (b) sap flow 170

Figure 6.7: Prediction of actual ET and sap flow at different locations of Queensland 172

Figure 6.8: Prediction of actual ET and sap flow at different locations of New South Wales 173

Figure A.1: Footprints of ECV daytime measurements with different wind speed at measurment height 2 m 217

Figure A.2: Representative footprints of ECV measurements for different stages of crop on different days at different heights and wind speeds 219

Figure B.1: Energy balance closure on an irrigated day (DOY 60, 1 Mar 2011) 223

Figure B.2: Energy balance closure on a nonirrigated day (DOY 57, 26 February 2011) 223

Figure B.3: Measured $\sigma U_z / U_*$ for all the days as a function of $(z-d)/L$ during the period 28 February – 1 March 2011 224

Figure B.4 : Comparison of measured evapotranspiration before adjustment (ET_{ec}) and after adjustment (ET_{ecadj}) with reference ET 226

Figure B.5: Comparison of EC-based ET and reference ET before and after adjustment 227

Figure B.6 : Measured net radiation R_n and evapotranspiration using ECV technique ET_{ecadj} on a cloudy day (DOY 50, 19 February 2011) 228

Figure B.7: Average value of evapotranspiration (ET_{ecadj}) for 3 irrigation trials on the same day (DOY 50, 19 February 2011) 228

Figure B.8: Nondimensionalised ET at different period on 19 February 2011 (DOY 50) 229

Figure C.1: Pot plants with installed sap flow gauge placed on mini lysimeter 234

Figure C.2: Diurnal pattern of (hourly values) of sap flow (F) and transpiration (T) 236

Figure C.3: Effect of irrigation on sap flow on 15 September 2010 (DOY 258) 238

Figure C.4: Effect of irrigation on sap flow on 17 September 2010 (DOY 260) for 30 mins irrigation 239

Figure C.5: The response of sap flow due to irrigation at different heights of plant on 27 September 2010 (DOY 270) 239

Figure C.6: Sap flow at two heights in the same plant 240

Figure C.7: The rate of sap flow and water loss following wetting of the plant 241

Figure C.8: Water loss during post wetting period 241

Figure C.9: Effect of climatic variables on sap flow and transpiration 242

Figure D.1: Schematic representation of the different phases of irrigation in terms of nondimensionalised values 247

Figure D.2: Regression analysis for pre-irrigation period 248

Figure D.3: Regression analysis for irrigation period 249

Figure D.4: Regression analysis for first phase of post irrigation period 250

Figure D.5: Regression analysis for second phase of post irrigation period 251

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Review of published evaporation studies regarding droplet evaporation losses 13

Table 2.2: Components of evaporation losses for different types sprinkler devices (Yonts et al. 2007). The values within the bracket are (% of total losses) 15

Table 2.3: Empirical equations used for wind drift and evaporation losses (WDEL) estimation. The independent variables are: Specific heat of water (C_p, J kg^{-1} $°C^{-1}$), Latent heat of vapourization (kj kg^{-1}) (nozzle diameter (D_{nozzle}, mm), vapour pressure deficit (e_s-e_a, kPa), operating pressure (P, kPa), wind speed (W & U, m s^{-1}), evapotranspiration (ET_0, mm day^{-1}), air temperature (T_a, ^{O}C), and water temperature (T_w, ^{O}C) 28

Table 2.4: (Thom 1975) sets out typical magnitudes of energy budget in W m^{-2} for each component for thriving plant community of moderate height (about 1m) in cloudless, summer conditions in middle latitudes at four times of day 59

Table 3.1: General characteristic of the experiments 86

Table 4.1: Additional evaporation during sprinkler irrigation over the grass 105

Table 4.2: Additional evaporation during sprinkler irrigation over the bare soil 109

Table 5.1: Averaged meteorological data recorded during five days in which irrigation trials were conducted in different combinations: average air temperature (T_a), relative humidity (RH), wind speed (WS), vapour pressure deficit (VPD), adjusted latent heat flux (λE_{adj}), adjusted sensible heat flux (H_{adj}), actual net radiation (R_n), and soil heat flux (G) 115

Table 5.2: Average values of ET_{ecadj}/ET_{ref} at pre-, during- and post-irrigation periods for partial (50%) crop canopy condition during 19 February – 01 March 2011 122

Table 5.3: Computation of the Todd et al. (2000) Advection Index *AI* from meteorological data recorded during the period 19 Feb – 1 March 2011 124

Table 5.4: Average values of actual evapotranspiration (ET_{ecadj}) in the pre, during and post irrigation periods for partial (50%) crop canopy condition during 19 February –1 March 2011..... 125

Table 5.5: Average values of ET_{ecadj}/ET_{ref} and the Todd et al. (2000) Advection Index *AI* pre-, during- and post-irrigation during 16 March - 6 April 2011..... 126

Table 5.6: Average values of actual ET (ET_{ecadj}) pre, during and post irrigation for partial (75%) crop canopy condition during 16 March - 6 April 2011..... 129

Table 5.7: Average values of ET_{ecadj}/ET_{ref} pre, during and post irrigation at full crop canopy condition during 7-17 April 2011 130

Table 5.8: Meteorological data recorded during the period 7 – 17 April 2011..... 131

Table 5.9: Best fitting regression equations of nondimensional ET for different phases of irrigation under advective and nonadvective conditions (where R_{et} is the nondimensional ET and t is the time)..... 137

Table 5.10: Average values of F/ET_{ref} pre-, during- and post-irrigation during 25 March – 13 April 2011 145

Table 5.11: Average values of actual sap flow F pre-, during- and post-irrigation during 25 March – 17 April 2011..... 145

Table 5.12: Best fitting regression equations of sap flow for different phases of irrigation (where R_f is the nondimensionalised value of sap flow and t is time) 148

Table 5.13: Additional evaporation (nondimensionalised) for different types of sprinkler at full crop canopy condition..... 150

Table 5.14: Uncertainty in evapotranspiration and sap flow measurements..... 152

Table 6.1: Details of the weather station of the selected areas..... 171

Table 6.2: Day time (8:00 AM – 17:00 PM) average value of weather variables on 15 January 2009..... 174

Table 6.3: Total canopy evaporation (sum of part C, D, E & F in figures) for different locations (the value within the bracket represents the percentage of applied water) 175

Table 6.4: Additional evaporation during irrigation (part C in Figures) in different locations (the value within the bracket represents the percentage of applied water) 176

Table 6.5: Canopy interception capacity of cotton (sum of part E & F in figures) calculated for different locations 177

Table 6.6: Components of total additional water evaporation during irrigation and subsequent (drying) period for low angle types impact sprinkler for 30 mm of applied water 178

Table B.1: Comparison of 5 min averaged EC-based ET and FAO Penman-Monteith based ET 226

Table D.1: The regression equations and statistical analysis for nondimensionalised ET at different irrigation phases 251

Table D.2: The regression equations and statistical analysis for nondimensionalised *F* at different phases 252

Table D.3: Best fitted regression equations of nondimensionalised evapotranspiration ET for different period of irrigation at nonadvective condition (where R_{et} is the nondimensional ET and *t* is the time) 253

Table D.4: Best fitted regression equations of nondimensionalised evapotranspiration ET for different period of irrigation at advective condition (where R_{et} is the nondimensional ET and *t* is the time) 253

Table D.5: Regression equations of nondimensionalised sapflow *F* for different period of irrigation (where R_f is the nondimensional *F* and *t* is the time) 254