



University of Southern Queensland  
Faculty of Engineering & Surveying

# **Temperature Variations in a Free Piston Compression Wind Tunnel**

A thesis submitted by

Agung Sugeng Widodo

B.Eng., M.Eng.

in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy**

Submitted: July, 2012

# Abstract

This dissertation presents an investigation of the free stream stagnation temperature variations in the University of Southern Queensland (USQ) hypersonic wind tunnel (designated TUSQ), a short duration wind tunnel operated as a Ludwig Tube with free piston compression heating. Because the facility is relatively new and because strong disturbances have previously been observed in similar facilities, a study to investigate the thermal characteristics of the hypersonic flow generated by TUSQ was needed.

This study investigates the temporal and spatial thermal characteristics of the hypersonic flow produced in the TUSQ facility and relates these characteristics to the compression and flow discharge processes within the barrel. Quantification of the flow conditions produced in wind tunnels is important. Without such information, it is difficult to relate wind tunnel results to flight conditions or to perform meaningful computational simulations on the tested configuration.

Three different versions of an aspirating thermocouple probe were developed for this work and a thin film heat flux gauge was also tested. Results with the Mach 6 nozzle show that the flow stagnation temperature decreases with time and thermodynamic simulations accurately reflect the majority of the observed temporal variations when flat plate boundary layer cooling is used to model the heat transfer in the barrel of the facility. Because the flat plate boundary layer cooling model provides a good match to the measured temperature on the nozzle centre line for the majority of the flow duration, it is concluded that significant

---

mixing must have occurred across the diameter of barrel prior to flow discharge through the nozzle. Measurements in other facilities have indicated the existence of discrete, large scale thermal disturbance which propagated ahead of the piston and potentially compromised the test flow quality, but no such disturbance were detected at the centre line of the nozzle exit in the present work.

The stagnation temperature measurements indicated a core flow region with a radius of almost 80 mm near the start of the test flow. The maximum average spatial gradient of stagnation temperature was registered at about 150 ms after the start of the test flow and had a value of approximately  $-0.45$  K/mm within the core flow region, indicating an average drop in stagnation temperature of about 20 K over the core flow region at this time. Complementary pitot pressure measurements indicate core flow uniformity to within 2% and a core flow radius of at least 80 mm for the majority of the test flow duration of around 200 ms. Mach number profiles deduced from the pitot pressure measurements are likewise uniform with a Mach number of  $5.81 \pm 0.05$  for the majority of the test flow duration.

A fully-developed turbulent pipe flow model was developed and stagnation temperature fluctuations in the TUSQ facility were estimated to be around 20 K. Although this value is large compared to results from previous experiments in a gun tunnel facility, the value obtained is consistent with the magnitude of the spatial variation in stagnation temperature within the core region of the nozzle exit flow at about 150 ms from the start of the flow. Relatively low frequency fluctuations in the stagnation point heat flux were observed and these appeared to correlate with the stagnation pressure fluctuations, but further effort in this area is required in order to resolve stagnation temperature fluctuations due to the turbulent mixing in the barrel.

Keywords : temperature fluctuations, hypersonic flow, stagnation temperature, free piston compression

# Associated Publications

The following publications were produced during the period of candidature:

Widodo, A. and Buttsworth, D., “Deduction of Temperature Fluctuation in Transient Compression Wind Tunnels Using Incompressible Turbulent Flow Data”, *Proceeding of ICEE 2009, 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Energy and Environment*, 7-8 December 2009. Malacca, Malaysia: pp 6-10.

Widodo, A. and Buttsworth, D., “Stagnation Temperature Measurements in the USQ Hypersonic Wind Tunnel”, *17<sup>th</sup> Australasian Fluid Mechanics Conference*, Auckland, New Zealand, 5-9 December 2009.

Widodo, A. and Buttsworth, D., “Stagnation Temperature in a Cold Hypersonic a Flow Produced in a Light Piston Compression Facility”, *submitted for publication*.

Widodo, A. and Buttsworth, D., “Radial Stagnation Temperature Distribution at the Nozzle Exit of the USQ Hypersonic Wind Tunnel”, *submitted for publication*.

# Certification of Dissertation

I certify that the ideas, designs and experimental work, results, analyses and conclusions set out in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise indicated and acknowledged.

I further certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for assessment in any other course or institution, except where specifically stated.

AGUNG SUGENG WIDODO

0050078029

---

Signature of Candidate

---

Date

ENDORSEMENT

---

Signature of Supervisor/s

---

Date

# Acknowledgments

This project, I believe would not have been possible without the following people. I wish to acknowledge their support in helping me complete this project.

I would like to thank my advisor, Professor David R. Buttsworth for all of his guidance, support, encouragement and willingness to help in any way possible throughout the duration of this work.

I also would like to express thanks to Dr. Sudantha Balage of helping in operational of the University of Southern Queensland Wind tunnel and assistance with the data acquisition and electrical problems.

All of my fellow students with whom I shared office space in P7 for any discussions relating to all sorts of matters which impacted on this work.

I wish to thank Office of Research and Higher Degrees – University of Southern Queensland, the Faculty of Engineering and Surveying – University of Southern Queensland, Indonesian Government and my institution the University of Brawijaya for financial support.

Finally, I would like to express my deep gratitude to my wife Fitri Witanovelasari and my daughters Irene and Tamara also my son Renjiro who were always there for me.

AGUNG SUGENG WIDODO

*University of Southern Queensland*

*July 2012*

# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>Associated Publications</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>List of Notation</b>	<b>xxiii</b>
<b>List of Acronyms</b>	<b>xxvi</b>
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Wind Tunnel Disturbances . . . . .	1
1.2 Temperature Variations . . . . .	2
1.3 Purpose of the Present Work . . . . .	3



---

1.4 Thesis Overview . . . . .	5
<b>Chapter 2 Literature Review</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Fluctuations in Wind Tunnels . . . . .	6
2.2 Short Duration Wind Tunnel Facilities . . . . .	12
2.2.1 Ludwig Tube . . . . .	12
2.2.2 Free Piston Ludwig Tube Variants . . . . .	12
2.2.3 Temperature Variations . . . . .	15
2.3 Thermocouple Probe for Wind Tunnel Measurements . . . . .	17
2.3.1 Introduction . . . . .	17
2.3.2 Probe Designs . . . . .	18
2.4 Thin Film Probes for Wind Tunnel Measurements . . . . .	21
2.4.1 Introduction . . . . .	21
2.4.2 Principles of Thin Film Gauge Operation . . . . .	22
2.4.3 Thin Film Gauges and Probes . . . . .	25
2.5 Conclusions . . . . .	26
<b>Chapter 3 Estimation of Stagnation Temperature Distribution and Fluctuations</b>	<b>28</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	28

---

3.2	Analysis Based on Incompressible Data . . . . .	29
3.2.1	Brief Review of Existing Data . . . . .	29
3.2.2	Approach . . . . .	30
3.3	Results and discussion . . . . .	33
3.3.1	Result for Gun Tunnel Case . . . . .	33
3.3.2	Results for Shock Tunnel Case . . . . .	36
3.4	Implementation in the TUSQ Case . . . . .	41
3.5	Conclusions . . . . .	42
<b>Chapter 4 Hypersonic Facility</b>		<b>43</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	43
4.2	Facility Description . . . . .	43
4.3	Facility Operation . . . . .	46
4.4	Mach 6 Nozzle . . . . .	49
4.5	Conclusion . . . . .	50
<b>Chapter 5 Preliminary Stagnation Temperature Measurements</b>		<b>51</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	51
5.2	Condition of Operation . . . . .	51
5.2.1	Thermocouple Probe . . . . .	52

---

5.3	Correction for Time Constant . . . . .	54
5.4	Result and discussion . . . . .	56
5.4.1	Pressure Measurements and Inferred Temperature History	56
5.4.2	Measured and Corrected Thermocouple Results . . . . .	57
5.5	Discussion . . . . .	58
5.6	Conclusions . . . . .	59
<b>Chapter 6 Time-resolved Stagnation Temperature</b>		<b>63</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	63
6.2	Operating Conditions and Probe . . . . .	64
6.2.1	Operating Conditions . . . . .	64
6.2.2	Thermocouple Probe . . . . .	66
6.3	Method for Deduction of Flow Stagnation Temperature . . . . .	68
6.3.1	Convective heat transfer coefficient of the wire . . . . .	68
6.3.2	Wire response thermal model . . . . .	70
6.3.3	Impulse Response Analysis . . . . .	73
6.4	Experimental Results . . . . .	78
6.5	Stagnation Temperature Simulation . . . . .	83
6.6	Conclusion . . . . .	87

---

<b>Chapter 7 Spatial Distribution of Stagnation Temperature</b>	<b>89</b>
7.1 Introduction . . . . .	89
7.2 Operating Conditions and Probes . . . . .	90
7.2.1 Conditions . . . . .	90
7.2.2 Pitot Pressure Probes . . . . .	92
7.2.3 Stagnation Temperature Probes . . . . .	93
7.3 Result and Discussion . . . . .	95
7.3.1 Pitot Pressure Results . . . . .	95
7.3.2 Stagnation Temperature Results . . . . .	97
7.3.3 Turbulent Pipe Flow Model . . . . .	99
7.3.4 Nozzle Boundary Layer Model . . . . .	104
7.3.5 Temperature Gradients and Core Flow Identification . . . . .	106
7.4 Conclusion . . . . .	109
<b>Chapter 8 Thin Film Heat Flux Probe</b>	<b>111</b>
8.1 Introduction . . . . .	111
8.2 Operating Conditions and Probes . . . . .	112
8.2.1 Operating Condition . . . . .	112
8.2.2 Thin Film Gauges . . . . .	112

8.2.3	Calibrations . . . . .	113
8.3	Heat Transfer Coefficient Correlation . . . . .	116
8.4	Temperature fluctuations analysis . . . . .	118
8.5	Results and discussion . . . . .	119
8.5.1	Heat Flux and Stagnation Temperature . . . . .	119
8.5.2	Heat flux fluctuations . . . . .	123
8.6	Conclusion . . . . .	125
<b>Chapter 9 Conclusions</b>		<b>126</b>
9.1	Motivation . . . . .	126
9.2	Temperature Probe Development . . . . .	126
9.3	Temperature Variations . . . . .	128
9.3.1	Temporal . . . . .	128
9.3.2	Spatial . . . . .	128
9.4	Temperature Fluctuations . . . . .	129
9.5	Future Directions . . . . .	130
<b>Appendix A Circuit Amplifier of INA105</b>		<b>145</b>
<b>Appendix B Thermocouple Position Matrix</b>		<b>147</b>

<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>Appendix C Photos of Experiments</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>Appendix D Physical Properties of Thermoelement Materials</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>Appendix E Reynolds number based on friction velocity</b>	<b>153</b>
<b>Appendix F Pitot Pressure and Normalised Pitot Pressure Outside the Core</b>	<b>155</b>
<b>Appendix G East's and Current Temperature Signals</b>	<b>157</b>

# List of Figures

2.1	Turbulent flow near a wall. Reproduced from [1]. . . . .	8
2.2	Free stream fluctuations in supersonic flow. Reproduced from [2].	11
2.3	Schematic diagram of the isentropic light piston tunnel and $x - t$ diagram, adapted from [3]. . . . .	13
2.4	Illustrative pressure histories for an isentropic light piston tunnel.	14
2.5	Illustration of probes used by Scadron and Warshawsky (dimension in mm). Reproduced from [4]. . . . .	19
2.6	Illustration of total temperature probe designed by Albertson and Bauserman. Reproduced from [4]. . . . .	20
2.7	Illustration of shield probe used by East. Reproduced from [5]. . .	20
2.8	Transient heat conduction model for semi-infinite body . . . . .	23
3.1	Distribution of normalized RMS temperature fluctuations for different friction velocity Reynolds numbers ( $Re_\tau$ ) from [6]. . . . .	31
3.2	Schematic illustration of gun tunnel facility . . . . .	34

---

3.3	Normalised temperature fluctuation data from [6] (symbols) and extrapolated profile relevant to the gun tunnel case (symbol $\circ$ with a broken line). . . . .	35
3.4	Variation of RMS stagnation temperature fluctuations with pipe radius in the gun tunnel case. . . . .	35
3.5	Schematic illustration of shock tunnel facility, circa 1994 [7]. . . .	36
3.6	Normalised temperature fluctuation data from [6] (symbols) and extrapolated profile relevant to the shock tunnel case (symbol $\circ$ with a broken line). . . . .	37
3.7	Variation of RMS stagnation temperature fluctuations with pipe radius in the shock tunnel case. . . . .	38
3.8	Igniton delay time characteristics for the shock tunnel case. . . .	40
3.9	Reaction time characteristics for the shock tunnel case. . . . .	40
4.1	Illustration of the TUSQ facility: (a) Schematic representation; (b,c,d) photographs of various components. . . . .	45
4.2	Schematic illustration of the TUSQ facility. . . . .	47
4.3	Pressure history of TUSQ for operation with a 100 $\mu\text{m}$ diaphragm and initial conditions listed in table 4.3. . . . .	48
4.4	Schematic illustration of the Mach 6 contoured nozzle. . . . .	49
5.1	Schematic illustration of the design and the principal dimension of t-type bead-welded thermocouple probe (in mm). . . . .	53
5.2	Result of calibration of the t-type thermocouple probe with amplifier. . . . .	54



---

5.3	Stagnation pressure measured by the pressure transducer for the three runs of table 5.2. . . . .	60
5.4	Thermocouple probe temperature measurement for the three runs of table 5.3. . . . .	61
5.5	Uncorrected thermocouple temperature ( $T$ ) and corrected temperature results ( $T_f$ ) for the three runs of table 5.3. . . . .	62
6.1	Barrel pressure measurements for Condition 1 (part a) and Condition 2 (part b). . . . .	65
6.2	Photograph of the probe inlet (left) and schematic illustration of the probe with dimensions in mm (right). . . . .	67
6.3	Calibration results for the k-type thermocouple and AD595 amplifier system. . . . .	68
6.4	Illustration of the flow model used to estimate local flow properties at the thermocouple wire. . . . .	70
6.5	Estimated response of the thermocouple wire from equation 6.12.	74
6.6	Relative error in the estimation of the flow stagnation temperature when errors are present in the parameter values of the model. A step change in the convective conditions of the wire is considered. Scaling factors $S_L$ and $S_h$ indicate the magnitude of the errors in effective wire length and heat transfer coefficient respectively. True model parameter values adopted: $\alpha\beta_0^2 = 1850\text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\nu = 18.3\text{ s}^{-1}$ .	79
6.7	Wire temperatures measured for Condition 1 (part a) and Condition 2 (part b). . . . .	80

---

6.8	Flow stagnation temperatures deduced for Condition 1 (part a) and Condition 2 (part b). The data point and error bars positioned at $t = 10$ ms represents the stagnation temperature deduced from the assumption of isentropic compression from initial conditions to the stagnation pressure measured during the period from 0 to 20 ms. . . . .	81
6.9	Arrangement used for thermodynamic simulation of the free piston compression process. . . . .	83
6.10	Comparison of stagnation temperature results: flat plate boundary layer model ( <i>dashed line</i> ), fully developed turbulent pipe flow model ( <i>grey line</i> ), and measurements ( <i>solid line</i> ) for Condition 1 (part a) and Condition 2 (part b). . . . .	86
7.1	Representative barrel pressure history for the current operating condition (table 7.1). . . . .	91
7.2	Illustration of the pitot probe arrangement with dimensions in mm. . . . .	93
7.3	Illustration of the pitot probe rake relative to the nozzle exit with dimensions in mm. . . . .	93
7.4	Illustration of the stagnation temperature probe arrangement with dimensions in mm. . . . .	94
7.5	Illustration of the stagnation temperature probe rake relative to the nozzle exit with dimensions in mm. . . . .	95
7.6	Pitot pressure history from the transducers within the nozzle core flow. . . . .	96
7.7	Normalized pitot pressure ( $p_{pit}/p_0$ ) histories. . . . .	96

7.8	Radial distribution of the normalized pitot pressure for $t = 50$ , 100, 150, and 175 ms. . . . .	98
7.9	Radial distribution of the Mach number for $t = 50$ , 100, 150, and 175 ms. . . . .	98
7.10	Comparison of the stagnation temperature deduced via response- time correction of a butt-welded thermocouple (solid line labelled 'reference $T_0$ ') from chapter 6 and a signal from a representative bead-welded junction thermocouple used in the present work (bro- ken line labelled 'thermocouple response'). . . . .	99
7.11	Variation of flow stagnation temperature measurements with ra- dius across the nozzle exit for $t = 50$ ms ( $\diamond$ ), 100 ms ( $*$ ), 150 ms ( $\triangleright$ ), and 175 ms ( $\circ$ ) relative to the flow start. Lines within the region $r < 80$ mm represent the stagnation temperature distribution asso- ciated with a supposed fully developed turbulent pipe flow within the barrel. Lines for the region $r > 80$ mm represent the stagnation temperature variations within the Mach 6 nozzle flow boundary layer based on an assumed 1/7th power-law velocity profile and a temperature variation according to the Crocco-Busemann relation. . . . .	100
7.12	Illustration of the linear regression analysis for the spatial distri- bution of stagnation temperatures for the case of $t = 100$ ms from the flow start. Two lines are used: one for $r \leq 80$ mm; the other for $r > 80$ mm. The point of intersection of these two lines ( $\square$ ) is taken to indicate the extent of the nozzle core flow. . . . .	106
7.13	Core flow radius deduced from stagnation temperature survey, from the Mach number history, and from the pitot pressure survey. . . . .	108

---

7.14	Spatial gradient of stagnation temperature across the core flow based on linear least-squares error for the stagnation temperature data (solid line). Results from the fully developed turbulent pipe flow model are also presented (broken line) for comparison. . . . .	109
8.1	Illustration of the thin film probe and its arrangement, dimensions in mm. . . . .	113
8.2	Voltage-resistance characteristics of the thin-film supply and amplifier used in the current experiment. . . . .	115
8.3	Temperature-resistance calibration for thin film. . . . .	115
8.4	Representative film temperature history from the thin film probe. Result actually from run 175. . . . .	120
8.5	Representative heat flux derived from the surface temperature of the thin film probe as presented in figure 8.4. . . . .	121
8.6	Heat transfer coefficient result calculated for the probe. . . . .	121
8.7	Stagnation temperature derived from the heat flux probe using results in figures 8.4, 8.5 and 8.6. A scaling factor of 0.85 was applied to the heat transfer coefficient of figure 8.6. The reference $T_0$ result was obtained from the thermocouple work of chapter 6. . . . .	122
8.8	Sample fluctuations observed in the barrel pressure history (part a); in the corresponding heat flux signal (part b); and in a pre-run portion of the heat flux result (part c). . . . .	124
A.1	Circuit amplifier based on the INA105 chip as used for the current experiments reported here in. . . . .	146

---

B.1	Matrix showing positions of the thermocouple probes during the program of spatial stagnation temperature measurements at the Mach 6 nozzle exit of the TUSQ. . . . .	148
C.1	Photographs showing: (a) sideview of the t-type thermocouple probe; and (b) the position of t-type thermocouple probe relative to the Mach 6 nozzle exit of the TUSQ. . . . .	149
C.2	Photograph of the k-type thermocouple probe showing different views in part (a) and (b). . . . .	150
C.3	Photographs of the spatial thermocouple probe rake used in the current experiments with different views in part (a) and (b). . . .	151
F.1	Pitot pressure and normalised pitot pressure outside the core. . . .	156
G.1	East's and current temperature signals. . . . .	157

# List of Tables

2.1	Type of fluctuations and their origin in the wind tunnels [8]. . . . .	7
2.2	Typical thermal properties of some substrates. Reproduced from [9].	22
3.1	Physical characteristics and conditions as used in [10]. . . . .	41
4.1	Principal dimensions of the TUSQ facility . . . . .	44
4.2	Diaphragm thicknesses and corresponding burst pressures with the Mach 6 nozzle. . . . .	46
4.3	Primary operating conditions of TUSQ . . . . .	48
5.1	Initial condition of TUSQ for measurements using the t-type ther- mocouple probe . . . . .	52
5.2	Test gas compression time and stagnation properties during the three run time based on pressure measurements. . . . .	56
5.3	Mean values of the thermocouple temperature ( $T$ ) and the cor- rected flow temperature results ( $T_f$ ) for the three runs. . . . .	58
6.1	Initial conditions for facility operation . . . . .	64

---

6.2	Hypersonic nozzle exit flow conditions . . . . .	66
6.3	Nominal values for the thermophysical properties of the thermo- couple wire. . . . .	75
7.1	Facility operating conditions. . . . .	91
7.2	Normalized pitot pressure measurements and calculated Mach num- bers results at 50, 100 and 150 ms after flow start, each result averaged over a period of 20 ms. . . . .	97
8.1	Thin film conditions over seven runs . . . . .	116
D.1	Physical Properties of Thermoelement Materials. (Source: Omega Corp.). . . . .	152

# List of Notation

## Symbols

$c_p$	constant pressure specific heat
$c_v$	constant volume specific heat
$d$	wire diameter
$D$	diameter
$T$	temperature
$f$	friction factor
$h$	heat transfer coefficient
$I$	element $i$
$k$	thermal conductivity
Nu	Nusselt number
Pr	Prandtl number
$Q_w$	heat flux at the wall
$q$	heat flux
$R$	resistance
Re	Reynolds number
$Re_\tau$	friction velocity Reynolds number
$S$	scaling factor
$T_{aw}$	adiabatic wall temperature
$T_\tau$	friction temperature
$T_f$	flow temperature
$T_0$	stagnation temperature
$T_w$	wall temperature



---

$t$	time
$t_0$	time constant
$u$	velocity
$u_\tau$	friction velocity
$V$	voltage; velocity
$x$	rectangular coordinate
$y$	rectangular coordinate

**Greek Symbols**

$\alpha$	thermal diffusivity; film temperature coefficient
$\delta$	boundary layer thickness
$\varepsilon$	eddy diffusivity
$\gamma$	specific heat ratio ( $c_p/c_v$ )
$\nu$	convection parameter
$\rho$	density
$\sigma$	standard deviation
$\tau_i$	ignition time
$\tau_r$	reaction time
$\phi$	diameter; $T_i - T_0$

**Subscript**

$ad$	adiabatic
$c$	centreline
$exit$	exit conditions
$i$	ignition; initial; element $i$
$isen$	isentropic
$L$	half-length of the wire
$M$	momentum
$pit$	pitot
$r$	reaction; reservoir
$rms$	root mean square

$s$	surface
$p$	piston
$w$	wall
0	stagnation; initial

**Superscript**

+	directed outward from a surface
'	fluctuating
*	at throat

**Overscore**

—	average
.	per unit time
*	at throat

# List of Acronyms

BW	Butt-welded
CHAL	Chromega-Alumega
EMF	Electro Motive Force
LICH	Ludwig tubes with Isentropic Compression Heating
OUGT	The Oxford University Gun Tunnel
RMS	Root Mean Square
RTD	Resistance Temperature Detector
TUSQ	The University of Southern Queensland Wind Tunnel
USQ	University of Southern Queensland