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Editors, Special issue - Virtual worlds in tertiary education: An Australasian perspective

Virtual worlds (referred to by some as multi-user virtual environments or MUVEs) have generated much attention and interest among tertiary education practitioners and researchers in recent years, with many universities and colleges making use of commercial platforms like Second Life or building customised platforms using open tools and resources to suit the particular needs of their staff and students. While a number of other journals have published special issues centred around the topic of virtual worlds in education (e.g. Bell, Savin-Baden & Ward, 2008; Chandler, Collinson, Crellin & Duke-Williams, 2009; de Freitas & Veletsianos, 2010; Hunsinger & Krotoski, 2010; Rea, 2009; Salmon & Hawkridge, 2009; Steinkuehler & Squire, 2009; Twining, 2010), a noticeable majority of the articles published in those issues have emanated from the United Kingdom and United States. In recognition of the considerable innovation, experimentation and dialogue that has been taking place in the virtual worlds arena across the tertiary education sector in Australasia (see Dalgarno, Lee, Carlson, Gregory & Tynan, 2011 for a snapshot), this special issue called for contributions from within the Australasian region, as well as from those farther afield able to position their work against the Australasian context. Articles were requested that addressed theory, research and practical issues related to the use of the technology in both higher and vocational education.

The following definition of a 'virtual world' was adopted for the purposes of this special issue:

A computer-based, simulated environment in which users are able to immerse themselves, and within which they are able to, through their avatars (computer-based representations of themselves or alternative selves), experience, manipulate, interact with and/or create virtual objects and places that are graphically depicted in three dimensions. The objects and places within a virtual world may be modelled according to those in the real world or may be fantasy based. Most current virtual world applications allow for multiple users and include facilities that enable users to communicate and interact with one another within the virtual environment. (Lee, 2010, cited in Dalgarno et al., 2011, p. 2)

The articles that have been selected for publication in this issue include reports of empirical studies as well as conceptual articles that engage deeply and thoughtfully with pertinent questions and issues from a pedagogical, socio-cultural or philosophical standpoint. Some of the articles describe evaluations of innovative applications of virtual worlds designed to solve pedagogical problems within particular discipline contexts, some focus on more widely applicable pedagogical
issues, while still others highlight even broader issues that need to be considered when evaluating, adopting, implementing and using virtual worlds for tertiary education purposes.

The two opening articles focus on the ways in which virtual worlds have been able to be used to achieve pedagogical goals within specific discipline contexts. The first of these, by Des Butler of the Queensland University of Technology (Australia), describes the way in which case studies incorporating narrated machinima generated from Second Life environments were used to supply authentic contexts and anchors upon which to base student learning of legal ethics and professional responsibility. Results of evaluations presented within the article attest to the effectiveness of such approaches as an alternative to traditional instructional approaches commonly seen in the discipline of law.

The second article, by Michael Henderson, Hui Huang and Scott Grant of Monash University (Australia) and Lyn Henderson of James Cook University (also in Australia), reports on the results of research exploring the degree to which lessons in Second Life were able to improve Chinese language learners’ beliefs about their efficacy in the language. The studied lessons involved students undertaking collaborative tasks in a simulated Chinese restaurant setting. The results are indicative of a strong positive impact on student self-efficacy beliefs, and moreover suggest that virtual world experiences can be particularly valuable when they address gaps in students’ real-world experiences.

The next three articles deal with pedagogical and design issues applicable in a range of discipline contexts. Sue Gregory and Yvonne Masters from the University of New England (Australia) present results from trials of the use of face-to-face and virtual world-based role-plays with on campus and distance education cohorts of teacher education students. Comparisons of results across the two versions of the activity suggest that the virtual world-based role-plays were comparable to the face-to-face role-plays on a number of engagement measures, and that the virtual world-based role-plays were particularly well received by distance students for whom they provided learning opportunities not normally afforded.

The article by Swee-Kin Loke, Phil Blyth and Judith Swan of the University of Otago (New Zealand) tells of how Otago School of Medicine students collaboratively resolved open-ended clinical cases within the context of scenarios explored through an OpenSim-based virtual hospital environment. Analysis of data captured in-world demonstrates the degree to which certain dispositions - specifically, compassion and open-mindedness - are evident in the students’ discourse and behaviour. The conceptual framework and methodological approach proposed by Loke et al. are likely to be usable in many practice-based education contexts where there is a need to assess student performance in realistic scenarios situated in a virtual world.

Next, Denise Wood of the University of South Australia and Julie Willems of Monash University (again, from Australia) report on a study that aimed to identify the accessibility-related shortcomings in existing virtual world client programs
(viewers), and then to design and develop a new viewer addressing those shortcomings. In their article, they detail the process used to arrive at a set of 15 design guidelines for accessible virtual world viewers, and provide descriptions and illustrations of the features of Phoenix, the prototype viewer developed, along with the results of initial formative evaluations. They conclude with guidelines for educators in terms of strategies for increasing participation of learners with disabilities in virtual world activities. This work is especially relevant against the backdrop of the current policy landscape, in which widening participation is high on the agendas of many governments, including those of Australia and New Zealand.

The remaining three articles 'zoom out' to bigger-picture issues related to the use of virtual worlds in tertiary education, namely the development process, sustainability of developed worlds, and 'frames of reference' to take into account when attempting to understand virtual world adoption and use by students and staff. The first of these is by Sarah Stewart of Otago Polytechnic (New Zealand) and Deborah Davis of the University of Canberra (Australia), who, in their article, provide coverage of the issues involved in ensuring that virtual world educational initiatives are sustainable. In the article, the authors reflect on their involvement in the Second Life Education in New Zealand (SLENZ) project, in particular their experience with the SLENZ midwifery education initiative that gave rise to the widely publicised and well-known Virtual Birth Centre (VBC) environment in Second Life. The VBC is used as a case study of a project and environment that, despite being backed by positive evaluation data supporting its value, has now ceased operation at its two host institutions. The reasons for this are examined in terms of a particular sustainability framework.

The article by Margaret Wegener, Timothy McIntyre and Dominic McGrath of The University of Queensland (Australia) and Craig Savage and Michael Williamson of The Australian National University describes the process used to design, develop and evaluate a 3D virtual physics environment to aid student understanding of special relativity. Perhaps the biggest take-home message from this article is the importance of allowing for multiple iterations of evaluation and refinement of the environment and associated learning activities within the project plan, with evidence presented of noticeable improvements in evaluation results across each iteration.

The final article, by Katherine Wimpenney, Maggi Savin-Baden, Matt Mawer, Nicole Steils and Gemma Tombs from Coventry University (UK), draws on data from three British studies exploring the adoption of virtual worlds in higher education - encompassing projects spanning eight disciplines - to derive, through a process termed 'grounded synthesis', three distinct frames of reference through which to understand the adoption process. The three frames of reference, 'understandings of games and gaming media', 'disciplinary learning' and 'institutional space and ownership', along with the issues emerging within each, are likely to be helpful in better understanding higher educators' virtual world learning design decisions. Throughout their article, the authors present their findings in relation to UK and European perspectives at large, comparing and contrasting as they go with those originating from Australasia and the wider Asia Pacific.
It is hoped that the eight articles that comprise this special issue collectively make a worthwhile contribution to research knowledge about the ways in which virtual worlds can be used effectively for tertiary teaching and learning, and that they will add to the scholarly discourse as well as serve as a driver for further studies on the topic in the Australasian region and beyond. In addition, it is hoped that tertiary educators will find the collection of articles useful in their quest to successfully navigate the virtual world terrain, and as they strive to find new and creative ways to use the technology to target needs emerging within their own institutions and disciplines.
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