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This thesis investigated how guanxi was related to exchange relationships between supervisors and subordinates in China. Since guanxi is a relationship-based phenomenon and extends into all levels and domains of Chinese society, it was argued that it would be associated with the relationships that were established between supervisors and subordinates.

This thesis used a model of both supervisors’ and subordinates’ perspectives of leader member exchange (LMX) and the impact of guanxi on those relationships. The thesis replicated some established relationships, and then added to the literature by providing an elaboration of how guanxi is related to LMX through its different dimensions. A multi-dimensional approach to LMX and a differential behaviours approach to guanxi were used to test hypotheses.

In order to establish guanxi’s influence on leadership relations in China, this thesis used LMX as the dependent variable, which was measured using the multi-dimensional LMX-MDM scale. The thesis first tested the variables of perceived similarity, perceived liking and perceived subordinate performance as antecedents of LMX, since previous research in western countries had found them to be predictors of LMX (Engle & Lord 1997; Kacmar et al. 2009; Liden et al. 1993). Guanxi was introduced as a moderating variable, since it was hypothesized that it would influence the relationships between the three predictor variables and LMX-MDM.

Data were collected from five manufacturing organizations in the Jiangmen region of southern China. Both supervisors and subordinates responded to the questionnaire. The sample comprised 284 supervisor-subordinate dyads.

Correlation and hierarchical regression analyses of the data were conducted in order to test hypotheses concerning the moderating role of guanxi in the relationships between supervisors and subordinates.

The results showed that all predictor variables had positive relationships with LMX-MDM, but that these results differed according to the different dimensions of LMX-MDM that were used as dependent variables. Guanxi as a moderator had the greatest impact on the loyalty and contribution dimensions of LMX-MDM.

The results differed between supervisors’ and subordinates’ perspectives. While guanxi had a direct positive effect on all dimensions of LMX-MDM from the supervisor’s perspective, it had a direct effect on only two dimensions from the subordinates’ perspective.

The implications are that the more social features of relationships are crucial aspects of overall relationships at work, and that theory development of LMX needs to distinguish between these and other aspects of exchange relationships by adopting a multi-dimensional approach. The study also indicates that guanxi between
supervisors and subordinates has an impact on exchange relationship, and that further work on which particular LMX dimensions are affected by guanxi needs to be undertaken.

The thesis was the first study that combined multi-dimensional LMX theory with the impact of guanxi on LMX in China. The thesis showed how LMX manifests itself in China and how the indigenous practice of guanxi influences the supervisor-subordinate exchange relationship. It showed that guanxi was a positive force in relationships between supervisors and subordinates, but that the positive impact was reflected through different dimensions of LMX, and to varying degrees between dimensions of LMX. It therefore revealed the importance of using a multi-dimensional, rather than uni-dimensional, measure of LMX. It demonstrated that supervisors and subordinates had different views of LMX, and different attitudes towards the impact of guanxi in their dyadic relationships. It therefore highlighted the importance of taking both supervisor and subordinate perspectives when leadership relations are the focus of research. This implies that theory development requires a dual perspective approach towards LMX and a multi-dimensional operationalization of LMX. It also implies that in practice, higher quality exchange relationships can be developed within organizations, and that this development can be directed through one or more dimensions of LMX, and can be directed at either or both supervisors and subordinates. It indicates that companies may take positive steps to enhance guanxi, and thus gain benefits through guanxi-augmented exchange relationships. Further implications are that the organizational benefits of guanxi are not only available to domestic Chinese companies, they can be developed by foreign companies wishing to set up operations in China.
CERTIFICATION OF DISSERTATION

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analyses, software and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

______________________________  __________________
Signature of Candidate         Date

ENDORSEMENT

______________________________  __________________
Signature of Supervisor/s      Date
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Like all others who have gone down this path, I owe thanks to many people. Dr Raymond Gordon, my principal supervisor, provided a combination of professionalism and friendliness that was just right. He was responsive to my questions, and always took the approach of planting suggestions for me to mull over rather than insisting that I do this or do that. I appreciated his thorough approach and his manner of prodding at those times when my work was lacking depth. And to my associate supervisor, Dr Dennis Rose, I also owe thanks. His support, friendliness and incisive comments made for a productive and pleasant relationship.

To Dr Eva Khong, who helped me in immeasurable ways, I offer special thanks. She used her own guanxi in order to help me make contact with companies in China. She took a great deal of time in helping to set up my data collection, she introduced me to her mainland Chinese contacts who were essential to the success of the data collection, she assisted with translation, and generously offered help and support. To that I have to add warmth and friendliness from one to whom I will always be grateful. To Director Li, I also give my thanks for making arrangements with his business contacts for me to visit their organizations in China and to collect data. This help, the dinners that he hosted, the exchange of gifts and social interaction truly epitomized guanxi in action.

To my husband Denis, more thanks. He was always supportive, even when it meant lonely nights for him. Practical and helpful as always, he even double checked the thousands of entries of my data!

To my friends and colleagues, I offer my thanks. Being amongst those who took an interest in my work, and some who themselves were undertaking the same enterprise provided a supportive environment that helped me go on when the task seemed daunting. The help and support from so many people made this project more rewarding that it otherwise would have been.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KEY WORDS</td>
<td>ii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABSTRACT</td>
<td>iii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERTIFICATION OF DISSERTATION</td>
<td>v</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</td>
<td>vi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TABLE OF CONTENTS</td>
<td>vii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF FIGURES</td>
<td>xii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIST OF TABLES</td>
<td>xiii</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Background to the research</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Research problem</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.1 Major bodies of theory</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.2 Research sub-questions</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Justification for the research</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.1 Theoretical contribution</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3.2 Practical contribution</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Methodology</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 Outline of the thesis</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 Definitions</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7 Delimitations of scope and key assumptions</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8 Publication from thesis</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9 Conclusion</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER 2  LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS
DEVELOPMENT ................................................................. 14

2.1 Introduction ........................................................................................................ 14

2.2 Traditional leadership theories ........................................................................ 14

2.3 Social exchange theory as foundation of LMX ............................................... 15

2.4 Role theory as foundation of LMX .................................................................... 16

2.5 Development of LMX theory ............................................................................. 16
   2.5.1 VDL theory – the forerunner to LMX ........................................................... 16
   2.5.2 LMX as a relationship quality continuum ................................................ 17
   2.5.3 Development of process oriented models of LMX .................................... 17

2.6 LMX and organizational outcomes .................................................................... 19

2.7 Measurement of LMX ......................................................................................... 19

2.8 Dimensions of LMX ......................................................................................... 20
   2.8.1 Theoretical justification for a multi-dimensional approach towards LMX ................................................................. 20
   2.8.2 Empirical justification for a multi-dimensional approach towards LMX ................................................................. 22

2.9 Theoretical background and development of LMX-MDM ............................. 22

2.10 LMX-MDM as an overall measure of LMX ....................................................... 24

2.11 LMX-MDM as a multi-dimensional measure of LMX ..................................... 25

2.12 Perspectives of LMX ......................................................................................... 29

2.13 LMX in China .................................................................................................... 29

2.14 Antecedents of LMX ......................................................................................... 31
   2.14.1 Perceived similarity as antecedent of LMX .............................................. 31
   2.14.2 Perceived liking as antecedent of LMX ................................................... 36
   2.14.3 Perceived subordinate performance as antecedent of LMX .................. 39

2.15 Guanxi ................................................................................................................. 42
   2.15.1 Origins of guanxi ....................................................................................... 43
   2.15.2 Confucianism and guanxi ........................................................................ 43
   2.15.3 The complexity of guanxi ....................................................................... 44
4.3.3 Outliers – bivariate ................................................................. 74
4.3.4 Outliers – multivariate ............................................................. 74
4.3.5 Multicollinearity ................................................................. 75
4.3.6 Normality, linearity and homoscedasticity ............................... 75

4.4 Descriptive statistics ........................................................................ 76

4.5 Correlations .................................................................................... 77

4.6 Hypothesis testing ............................................................................. 85

4.6.1 Perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance, guanxi and overall LMX-MDM ....................... 85

4.6.2 Perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance, guanxi and the LMX-MDM dimension of affect .......... 90

4.6.3 Perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance, guanxi and the LMX-MDM dimension of loyalty .... 95

4.6.4 Perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance, guanxi and the LMX-MDM dimension of contribution ................................................................. 100

4.6.5 Perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance, guanxi and the LMX-MDM dimension of professional respect ................................................................. 105

4.7 Conclusion ......................................................................................... 110

CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION ........................................................................ 111

5.1 Introduction ....................................................................................... 111

5.2 The research question re-visited .......................................................... 113

5.3 Perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance, guanxi and overall LMX (Hypotheses H1a, H2a, H3a, H4a, H5a, H6a) ............................................................................. 114

5.4 Perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance, guanxi and the LMX-MDM dimension of affect (Hypotheses H1b, H2b, H3b, H4b, H5b, H6b) ......................................................... 117

5.5 Perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance, guanxi and the LMX-MDM dimension of loyalty (Hypotheses H1c, H2c, H3c, H4c, H5c, H6c) ............................................. 120

5.6 Perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance, guanxi and the LMX-MDM dimension of contribution (Hypotheses H1d, H2d, H3d, H4d, H5d, H6d) ....................................... 122
5.7 Perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance, guanxi and the LMX-MDM dimension of professional respect (Hypotheses H1e, H2e, H3e, H4e, H5e, H6e) ........................................ 125

5.8 Overall conclusions .................................................................................................................. 127

5.9 Implications for theory .............................................................................................................. 127

5.10 Implications for practice ......................................................................................................... 128

5.11 Limitations .............................................................................................................................. 129

5.12 Further research ..................................................................................................................... 130

5.13 Conclusion .............................................................................................................................. 131

REFERENCES ................................................................................................................................... 132

APPENDIX A – SUBORDINATES’ QUESTIONNAIRE .......................................................... 162

APPENDIX B - ADJUSTED PERCEIVED SIMILARITY SCALE (SUBORDINATE) .................. 168

APPENDIX C - ADJUSTED LMX-MDM SCALE (SUBORDINATE) ....................... 169

APPENDIX D – SUPERVISORS’ QUESTIONNAIRE ................................................................. 170

APPENDIX E - ADJUSTED PERCEIVED SIMILARITY SCALE (SUPERVISOR) .................. 176

APPENDIX F - ADJUSTED LMX-MDM SCALE (SUPERVISOR) ................................. 177

APPENDIX G - CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE IN SURVEY ........................................... 178
LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3. 1 Model of predictors and moderator of LMX ................................. 58
LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1  Number of supervisors and subordinates from five manufacturing enterprises........................................................................................................61

Table 4.1  Descriptive statistics of measured variables from subordinates' perspective...........................................................................................................76

Table 4.2  Descriptive statistics of measured variables from supervisors' perspective......................................................................................................77

Table 4.3  Means, standard deviations and correlations for study variables (subordinates’ perspective) ..............................................................................78

Table 4.4  Means, standard deviations and correlations for study variables (supervisors’ perspective) ............................................................................81

Table 4.5  Correlations between supervisors’ and subordinates’ ratings of the same variables ........................................................................................84

Table 4.6  Hypotheses tested, with summary of support (S)/non-support (NS) for hypotheses from subordinates’ perspective (Sub) and from supervisors’ perspective (Sub), when overall LMX-MDM was the dependent variable........................................................................86

Table 4.7  Hierarchical regression analysis of LMX-MDMS-overall on perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance and the moderator of guanxi (subordinates’ perspective) ..........................................................................................87

Table 4.8  Hierarchical regression analysis of LMX-MDML-overall on perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance and the moderator of guanxi (supervisors’ perspective) ..........................................................................................89

Table 4.9  Hypotheses tested, with summary of support (S)/non-support (NS) for hypotheses from subordinates’ perspective (Sub) and from supervisors’ perspective (Sub), when LMX-MDM-affect was the dependent variable.........................................................................................91

Table 4.10  Hierarchical regression analysis of LMX-MDMS-affect on perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance and the moderator of guanxi (subordinates’ perspective) .........................................................................................92

Table 4.11  Hierarchical regression analysis of LMX-MDML-affect on perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance and the moderator of guanxi (supervisors’ perspective) .........................................................................................94
Table 4.12 Hypotheses tested, with summary of support (S)/non-support (NS) for hypotheses from subordinates’ perspective (Sub) and from supervisors’ perspective (Sub), when LMX-MDM-loyalty was the dependent variable ................................................................. 96

Table 4.13 Hierarchical regression analysis of LMX-MDMS-loyalty on perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance and the moderator of guanxi (subordinates’ perspective) .................................................................................. 97

Table 4.14 Hierarchical regression analysis of LMX-MDML-loyalty on perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance and the moderator of guanxi (supervisors’ perspective) .................................................................................. 99

Table 4.15 Hypotheses tested, with summary of support (S)/non-support (NS) for hypotheses from subordinates’ perspective (Sub) and from supervisors’ perspective (Sub), when LMX-MDM-contribution was the dependent variable .................................................................................. 101

Table 4.16 Hierarchical regression analysis of LMX-MDMS-contribution on perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance and the moderator of guanxi (subordinates’ perspective) .................................................................................. 102

Table 4.17 Hierarchical regression analysis of LMX-MDML-contribution on perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance and the moderator of guanxi (supervisors’ perspective) .................................................................................. 104

Table 4.18 Hypotheses tested, with summary of support (S)/non-support (NS) for hypotheses from subordinates’ perspective (Sub) and from supervisors’ perspective (Sub), when LMX-MDM-professional respect was the dependent variable ........................................................................... 106

Table 4.19 Hierarchical regression analysis of LMX-MDMS-professional respect on perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance and the moderator of guanxi (subordinates’ perspective) ........................................................................... 107

Table 4.20 Hierarchical regression analysis of LMX-MDML-professional respect on perceived similarity, perceived liking, perceived subordinate performance and the moderator of guanxi (supervisors’ perspective) ........................................................................... 109

Table 5.1 Section names and associated hypotheses .......................................................... 112