

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN QUEENSLAND

EX ANTE EXPECTATIONS AND EX POST ASSESSMENT OF THE NATURE
AND EXTENT OF EARNINGS MANAGEMENT IN THE MBE SETTING

A Dissertation submitted by

Camillo Lento, MSc., CA, CFE, HBComm.,

For the award of

Doctor of Philosophy

2011

Abstract

There are two main objectives of this research. First, this research investigates whether the relationship between the extent of earnings management and the abnormal return of firms that meet or beat earnings expectations (MBE) is moderated by the nature of the earnings management (opportunistic or informative). Second, this research investigates whether a belief revision process exists regarding the pricing of discretionary accruals. Specifically, this research examines whether the abnormal return is a function of the markets' ex ante expectation of the extent of earnings management at the earnings announcement date, and ex post assessment of the extent assessment of earnings management during the financial statement analysis period.

In relation to the first objective, the results reveal that the extent of earnings management has a negative (positive) relationship with the abnormal return when earnings management is likely opportunistic (informative). The discount (reward) to meeting or beating expectations is more significant when earnings management is more clearly opportunistic (informative). In addition, the market is shown to penalize firms more for the use of opportunistic earnings management than it rewards firms for the use of informative earnings management.

In relation to the second objective, the results reveal that the abnormal return is a function of the prior quarter discretionary accruals at the earnings announcement date and the current quarter discretionary accruals during the financial statement analysis period. Taken together, these results support a belief revision process occurring from the earnings announcement date to the financial statement analysis period as equity valuations change from being a function of prior quarter discretionary accruals to current quarter discretionary accruals. This is consistent with past literature that suggests that investors require time to

price earnings management into the abnormal return (Balsam, Bartov & Marquardt 2002; DeFond & Park 2001; Gaviious 2007).

This research makes several contributions to the literature. Unlike past studies, this research does not assume that all firms that meet or beat expectations by one cent employ an opportunistic earnings management strategy. Rather, this research contributes to literature by testing whether the market differentiates between opportunistic and informative earnings management when awarding an abnormal return to firms that MBE.

A second contribution is in relation to the research design. This is the first known study to use an interaction variable to capture the non-linear relationship between the nature and extent of earnings management and the abnormal return. Utilizing a variable for the nature of earnings management, and examining the non-linear relationship between the nature and extent of earnings management contributes to the literature by offering a more robust test of the market pricing mechanism of earnings management.

A third contribution is the introduction of gross margin into the MBE setting. Anecdotal evidence clearly indicates that gross margin is a key metric; however, academic literature has yet to corroborate this assertion. These results suggest that gross margin is a key metric that is relied upon by the market when determining an abnormal return for firms that MBE. The fourth contribution, which is of significance to practice, is the introduction of a composite model that provides insight into whether a firm's earnings management is likely to be opportunistic or informative. This model has potential applications for investors as a tool to make investment decisions and avoid inefficient allocations of capital. The fifth contribution is the insight regarding the timing by which discretionary accruals are reflected in equity valuations. The impact of the extent of earnings management is shown to be revised from the earnings announcement date to the financial statement analysis period.

CERTIFICATION OF DISSERTATION

I certify that the ideas, results, analyses and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not been previously submitted for any other award, except where otherwise acknowledged.

Signature of Candidate

Date

ENDORSEMENT

Signature of Principal Supervisor

Date

Signature of Associate Supervisor

Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am greatly indebted to my Principal Supervisor, Professor Julie Cotter, and my Associate Supervisor, Professor Irene Tutticci, for their guidance and encouragement throughout the period of my candidature.

I would also like to acknowledge my family and friends for providing encouragement and support during my period of candidature.

I would like to thank the many institutions that helped fund my studies. Specifically, I am thankful to the University of Southern Queensland for the funding and administrative support provided to me as a PhD candidate.

In addition, I am grateful for both the financial and administrative support provided to me by Lakehead University, where I was employed. I would like to thank the Dean of the Faculty of Business Administration, Bahram Dadgostar, and many of the faculty members for all of their support and encouragement over my period of candidature.

Furthermore, I appreciate the financial support I received in the form of a PhD scholarship that was sponsored by Institute of Chartered Accountants of Ontario (ICAO), along with all of the opportunities afforded to me by the ICAO in order to provide financial assistance during my period of candidature.

My PhD has also benefited from the comments of seminar participants at the University of Southern Queensland, Lakehead University, the 2009 AFAANZ Doctoral Consortium, the 2010 CAAA CAR Conference Doctoral Colloquium, and the 2011 CAAA Annual Conference.

Table of Contents

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. THE RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	4
1.2. OBJECTIVES	4
1.3. CONTRIBUTIONS	5
1.4. MOTIVATIONS.....	7
1.5. DELIMITATION	9
1.6. OUTLINE OF THE RESEARCH	9
2. REVIEW OF THE PERTINENT LITERATURE.....	11
2.1. INTRODUCTION	11
2.2. EARNINGS MANAGEMENT	11
2.2.2. <i>Opportunistic Earnings Management</i>	13
2.2.2. <i>Informative Earnings Management</i>	15
2.2.3. <i>Detecting Earnings Management</i>	16
2.2.4 <i>Summary of the Section’s main Themes</i>	20
2.3. MEETING OR BEATING EARNINGS EXPECTATIONS.....	21
2.3.1. <i>The Meeting or Beating Expectations Phenomenon</i>	21
2.3.2. <i>Beating Expectations and Future Performance</i>	22
2.3.3. <i>The Premium to Meeting or Beating Analysts’ Expectations</i>	23
2.3.4. <i>Summary of Section’s main Themes</i>	24
2.4. EARNINGS MANAGEMENT IN THE MBE SETTING	25
2.4.1. <i>How does the Extent of Earnings Management impact the MBE Premium?</i>	26
2.4.2. <i>How does the Nature of Earnings Management impact the MBE Premium?</i>	27
2.4.3. <i>When is Earnings Management reflected in the MBE Premium?</i>	29
2.4.4. <i>Summary of Section’s main Themes</i>	32
2.5. SUMMARY OF CHAPTER’S MAIN THEMES.....	33
3. HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT	36
3.1. INTRODUCTION	36
3.2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	36
3.3. <i>EX ANTE</i> EXPECTATIONS OF EARNINGS MANAGEMENT.....	39
3.4. <i>EX POST</i> ASSESSMENT OF EARNINGS MANAGEMENT	41
3.5. SUMMARY OF CHAPTER’S MAIN THEMES.....	42
4. METHODOLOGY	43
4.1. INTRODUCTION	43
4.2. THE MBE SETTING	43
4.3. MEASURING THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE.....	44
4.3.1. <i>Defining the Expected Return Proxy for the Abnormal Return</i>	44
4.3.2. <i>Defining the Event Window for the Earnings Announcement Date</i>	46
4.3.3. <i>Defining the Event Window for the Financial Statement Analysis Period</i>	48
4.4. MEASURING THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLES.....	49
4.4.1. <i>The Nature of Earnings Management</i>	50
4.4.2. <i>The Extent of Earnings Management</i>	62
4.4.3. <i>The Control Variables</i>	69
4.3.4. <i>Summary of Variable Measurement</i>	71
4.5. RESEARCH DESIGN – TESTING THE HYPOTHESES	74
4.5.1. <i>A Survey of the Models used in Similar Studies</i>	74
4.5.2. <i>Hypotheses 1 and 2 – Abnormal Returns at the Earnings Announcement Date</i>	76
4.5.3. <i>Hypothesis 3 – Abnormal Returns during the Financial Statement Analysis Period</i>	80
4.6. SUMMARY OF CHAPTER’S MAIN THEMES	82

5. THE DATA	83
5.1. INTRODUCTION	83
5.2. DATA SOURCES	83
5.3. SAMPLE SELECTION	84
5.3.1. <i>Time Period Analysed</i>	85
5.3.2. <i>Industry Classification and Exclusions</i>	86
5.3.3. <i>Final Sample of Firm-Quarter Observations for Hypotheses Testing</i>	88
5.4. MEETING, BEATING AND MISSING EXPECTATIONS.....	90
5.4.1. <i>The MBE Phenomenon</i>	91
5.4.2. <i>Meeting or Beating Expectations in the Pre- and Post-Scandal Periods</i>	94
5.4.3. <i>The MBE Premium</i>	98
5.5. THE MODIFIED JONES MODEL – FIRST-STAGE REGRESSION SUMMARY STATISTICS	101
5.6. THE EARNINGS NATURE SCORE (ENS)	103
5.6.1. <i>ENS Correlations</i>	104
5.6.2. <i>Analysis of each ENS Component</i>	105
5.6.3. <i>ENS and Firm Future Performance</i>	110
5.6.4. <i>Conclusion on the ENS’s ability to capture the Nature of Earnings Management</i>	112
5.7. CONCLUSION OF MAIN THEMES	113
6. ANALYSIS OF RESULTS	115
6.1. INTRODUCTION	115
6.2. HYPOTHESES 1 & 2 - THE ABNORMAL RETURN AT THE EARNINGS ANNOUNCEMENT DATE	115
6.2.1. <i>Descriptive Statistics</i>	115
6.2.2. <i>Correlations</i>	117
6.2.3. <i>Regression Analysis</i>	121
6.2.4. <i>Robustness Check 1 – Analysis of Average Returns</i>	129
6.2.5. <i>Robustness Check 2 – Analysis with Current Quarter Discretionary Accruals</i>	132
6.3. HYPOTHESIS 3 – THE ABNORMAL RETURN DURING THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT ANALYSIS PERIOD.....	135
6.3.1. <i>Descriptive Statistics</i>	135
6.3.2. <i>Correlations</i>	136
6.3.3. <i>Regression Analysis</i>	139
6.3.4. <i>Robustness Check 1 – Analysis with Prior Quarter Discretionary Accruals</i>	146
6.4. ADDITIONAL ANALYSIS.....	149
6.4.1. <i>The Impact of Fourth Quarter Observations</i>	149
6.4.2. <i>The Impact of the Pre- and Post-Enron Scandal Periods</i>	153
6.4.3. <i>The Impact of the Dot Com Bubble</i>	157
6.5. SUMMARY.....	160
7. CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS	162
7.1. INTRODUCTION	162
7.2. CONCLUSION ABOUT HYPOTHESES.....	162
7.2.1. <i>Hypothesis 1</i>	162
7.2.2. <i>Hypothesis 2</i>	163
7.2.3. <i>Hypothesis 3</i>	165
7.3. IMPLICATIONS FOR THEORY	166
7.4. IMPLICATIONS FOR PRACTICE.....	168
7.5. LIMITATIONS.....	168
7.6. FUTURE RESEARCH.....	171
REFERENCES	172

List of Tables

TABLE 1 – PROXIES USED TO MEASURE THE ABNORMAL RETURNS IN PAST LITERATURE	45
TABLE 2 – STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF ALTERNATIVES TO JOINTLY EMPLOYING ENS COMPONENTS	61
TABLE 3 – EARNINGS MANAGEMENT MEASURES USED IN PAST STUDIES	63
TABLE 4 – SUMMARY OF VARIABLE MEASURES AND DESCRIPTIONS	72
TABLE 5 – TIME PERIODS ANALYSED BY PAST RESEARCH	85
TABLE 6 – SMALL INDUSTRIES EXCLUDED IN THE SAMPLE.....	87
TABLE 7 – SAMPLE SIZE USED FOR EMPIRICAL TESTS	87
TABLE 8 – LARGE INDUSTRIES INCLUDED IN THE SAMPLE	88
TABLE 9 – NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IN SAMPLE.....	89
TABLE 10 – DISTRIBUTION OF OBSERVATIONS BY YEAR	89
TABLE 11 – DISTRIBUTION OF EARNINGS SURPRISES	91
TABLE 12 – SUMMARY STATISTICS BY EARNINGS PER SHARE RELATIVE TO CONSENSUS FORECAST	94
TABLE 13 – DISTRIBUTION OF EARNINGS SURPRISES IN THE PRE, POST, AND SCANDAL ERAS.....	95
TABLE 14 – CUMULATIVE ABNORMAL RETURNS FOR FIRM THAT MET, BEAT, AND MISSED EXPECTATIONS	98
TABLE 15 – CUMULATIVE ABNORMAL RETURNS BY MAGNITUDE OF EARNINGS SURPRISE.....	100
TABLE 16 – DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF THE COEFFICIENTS FROM THE FIRST-STAGE MODIFIED JONES MODEL REGRESSIONS	101
TABLE 17 – DISTRIBUTION OF FIRMS BY HIGH, MEDIUM, AND LOW ENS SCORE	103
TABLE 18 – CORRELATIONS BETWEEN ENS COMPONENTS, THE ENS, AND THE CAR.....	105
TABLE 19 – CAR FOR EACH COMPONENT OF THE ENS	107
TABLE 20 – DISTRIBUTION OF FIRMS BY GROSS MARGIN CHANGES.....	108
TABLE 21 – DISTRIBUTION OF FIRMS BY REVENUE SURPRISE.....	109
TABLE 22 – FUTURE OPERATING PERFORMANCE OF FIRMS BASED ON ENS SCORE	111
TABLE 23 – DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR DEPENDENT AND INDEPENDENT VARIABLES.....	116
TABLE 24 – PEARSON AND SPEARMAN CORRELATIONS FOR 1-DAY CAR & 3-DAY CAR AND INDEPENDENT AND CONTROL VARIABLES.....	119
TABLE 25 – VARIANCE INFLATION FACTORS FOR HYPOTHESIS 1 AND HYPOTHESIS 2	122
TABLE 26 – HYPOTHESIS 1 AND HYPOTHESIS 2 REGRESSION ESTIMATION WITH THE 1-DAY CAR.....	123
TABLE 27 – HYPOTHESIS 1 AND HYPOTHESIS 2 REGRESSION ESTIMATION WITH THE 3-DAY CAR.....	124
TABLE 28 – CAR FOR DIFFERENT COMBINATIONS OF ENS AND DACC LEVELS.....	127
TABLE 29 – THE ABNORMAL RETURN BY ENS AND DISCRETIONARY ACCRUALS.....	130
TABLE 30 – REGRESSION RESULTS DURING THE EARNINGS ANNOUNCEMENT DATE WITH CURRENT QUARTER DISCRETIONARY ACCRUALS.....	133
TABLE 31 – DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR DEPENDENT AND INDEPENDENT VARIABLES.....	135
TABLE 32 – CORRELATIONS FOR CAR (2, 17) & CAR (4, 17) AND INDEPENDENT AND CONTROL VARIABLES	137
TABLE 33 – VARIANCE INFLATION FACTORS FOR HYPOTHESIS 3	139
TABLE 34 – HYPOTHESIS 3 REGRESSION RESULTS DURING THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT ANALYSIS PERIOD	140
TABLE 35 – CAR FOR DIFFERENT COMBINATIONS OF ENS AND DACC_SURP LEVELS	142
TABLE 36 – REGRESSION RESULTS DURING THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT ANALYSIS PERIOD WITH PRIOR QUARTER DISCRETIONARY ACCRUALS	147
TABLE 37 – HYPOTHESIS 2 REGRESSION ON SUBSETS OF 4 TH QUARTER VERSUS ALL OTHER QUARTERS OBSERVATIONS.....	150
TABLE 38 – HYPOTHESIS 3 REGRESSION ON SUBSETS OF 4 TH QUARTER VERSUS ALL OTHER QUARTERS OBSERVATIONS.....	151
TABLE 39 – HYPOTHESIS 2 REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS ON PRE-SCANDAL, SCANDAL, AND POST-SCANDAL SUB-PERIODS	154
TABLE 40 – HYPOTHESIS 3 REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS ON PRE-SCANDAL, SCANDAL, AND POST-SCANDAL SUB-PERIODS	155
TABLE 41 – HYPOTHESIS 2 REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS ON DOT COM BOOM, DOT COM BUST, AND POST-DOT COM BUBBLE PERIODS	158
TABLE 42 – HYPOTHESIS 3 REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS ON DOT COM BOOM, DOT COM BUST, AND POST-DOT COM BUBBLE PERIODS	159

List of Figures

FIGURE 1 – DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REPORTED EARNINGS AND ANALYST CONSENSUS FORECAST (CENTS)	93
FIGURE 2 – PERCENTAGE OF BIG BEATS AND SMALL BEATS OVER TIME.....	95
FIGURE 3 – AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF BIG BEATS AND SMALL BEATS PER QUARTER.....	96
FIGURE 4 – PERCENTAGE OF FIRM THAT MEET, BEAT, AND MISSED EXPECTATIONS OVER TIME.....	97
FIGURE 5 – S&P 500 INDEX FROM 1998 TO 2007.....	99
FIGURE 6 – DISTRIBUTION OF R^2 VALUES FOR THE FIRST-STAGE MODIFIED JONES MODEL REGRESSIONS	102
FIGURE 7 – SLOPE OF DACC ON CAR FOR INFORMATIVE AND OPPORTUNISTIC EARNINGS MANAGEMENT	128
FIGURE 8 – SLOPE OF ADDITIONAL DISCRETIONARY ACCRUALS ON CAR FOR OPPORTUNISTIC AND INFORMATIVE EARNINGS MANAGEMENT	144
FIGURE 9 – CHART OF THE NASDAQ FROM 1998 TO 2007.....	157

List of Equations

EQUATION 1 – PERFORMANCE ADJUSTED MODIFIED JONES MODEL REGRESSION	65
EQUATION 2 – NON-DISCRETIONARY ACCRUAL CALCULATION	65
EQUATION 3 – BARTOV, GIVOLY, & HAYN (2002) REGRESSION EQUATION	74
EQUATION 4 – BALSAM, BARTOV, & MARQUARDT (2002) REGRESSION EQUATION.....	75
EQUATION 5 – BABER, CHEN & KANG (2006) REGRESSION EQUATION.....	75
EQUATION 6 – HYPOTHESIS 1 REGRESSION EQUATION	77
EQUATION 7 – HYPOTHESIS 2 REGRESSION EQUATION	77
EQUATION 8 – HYPOTHESIS 3 REGRESSION EQUATION	80
EQUATION 9 – HYPOTHESIS 2 REGRESSION WITH CURRENT QUARTER DISCRETIONARY ACCRUALS	132
EQUATION 10 – HYPOTHESIS 3 REGRESSION WITH PRIOR QUARTER DISCRETIONARY ACCRUALS	146