

Disturbance and resilience in riparian woodlands on the highly modified Upper Condamine floodplain

Kathryn Mary Reardon-Smith
BNatRes (Hons), MSc



A thesis submitted for the award of Doctor of Philosophy

University of Southern Queensland

2011

ABSTRACT

Remnant ecosystems in agricultural landscapes are poorly understood in terms of their diversity, function and dynamics under altered disturbance regimes, and of how these influence resilience to future disturbance. Understanding native ecosystem responses to novel and multiple disturbances is a crucial foundation for adaptive management to maintain and enhance biodiversity and critical ecosystem services in production landscapes. This is particularly significant where environmental change drives irreversible threshold responses and ecosystem transitions to less functional, or less preferred, alternative ecological states. This research was conducted in remnant riparian woodland ecosystems along a regulated section of the Condamine River, southern Queensland, an ephemeral dryland river system draining an intensively farmed landscape in eastern Australia. Riparian woodland remnants on the Upper Condamine floodplain are subject to significant changes in hydrological regimes and land use intensity. They also exhibit dieback and limited recruitment of canopy species, as well as widespread invasion by the introduced perennial herb *Phyla canescens* (lippia); however, efforts to address these issues have largely failed to curb ongoing degradation, potentially due to a lack of understanding of the key drivers of ecological change operating in this complex socio-ecological landscape.

This research addressed questions about the drivers of floristic composition, functional diversity and woodland condition in fragmented riparian woodland communities associated with a regulated dryland river system, and embedded in a production landscape. In particular, it investigated ecological responses to the range of disturbances (including altered hydrology, land use intensity, resource availability, and key species interactions) prevalent in this highly modified landscape. Two of the four studies presented test the hypothesis that the composition and condition of riparian woodland remnants on the Upper Condamine floodplain are associated with current levels of longitudinal and lateral hydrological connectivity. These studies used a stratified sampling design which partitioned the study area into river sections, and also considered the influence of lateral overbank and overland flood flows, and grazing within ecosystem fragments (remnants). Full floristic sampling and condition assessments of mature *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*/*E. tereticornis* trees were conducted at a total of 24 sites in 2004/05. Significant patterns in floristic composition, functional diversity and woodland condition were explained by

differences in hydrological variability; however, the confounding influence of land use and interaction between within-remnant land use (specifically grazing) and hydrological factors for some measures, indicated response to a complexity of drivers.

A third study investigated the influence of local and landscape-scale hydrological and land use variables. It used a Bayesian model averaging (BMA) approach to identify informative model sets of explanatory variables, and key environmental predictors of floristic composition, community structure and ecological condition. A novel method was developed to examine dynamic transitions in species richness and abundance between reciprocal pairs of functional groups; this method used the ratio of species richness (or total abundance) in corresponding pairs of functional trait groups (e.g. C3:C4 species) as a community response variable reflecting the relative importance of each group along the environmental gradients tested. Groundwater decline was the primary predictor of ecosystem response, with lower floristic and functional diversity and more severe dieback associated with increasing depth to groundwater; this result suggests an overarching reliance on shallow groundwater resources for maintenance of ecosystem resilience not previously reported for this ecosystem type in Australia. *Lippia* abundance and dominant tree condition were also important biotic drivers of ecosystem condition in these communities, and key predictors of floristic composition and functional group richness and abundance transitions. Poor tree condition and loss of hydraulic function was associated with secondary impacts on less well adapted 'terrestrial' groundcover species, while the subdominant species *Acacia stenophylla* responded positively to competitive release due to poor tree function and reduced tree density. *Lippia* cover was also strongly associated with the density (positively) and mortality (negatively) of mature trees.

Small scale species interactions were investigated in a study which tested differences in groundcover vegetation composition and lippia cover, reproductive condition and growth habit between 'distance from tree' and topographic position treatments in a riparian woodland on the Upper Condamine floodplain. Sampling was conducted along twelve transects extending from the base of mature *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*/*E. tereticornis* trees into canopy gaps. Results indicated that scattered trees play a significant role in facilitating the abundance and condition of lippia in this landscape, with evidence of high lippia abundance, reproductive effort and

consolidated clonal growth under trees canopies (described as a ‘halo’ effect). This interaction is likely to play a significant role in the persistence of this mesic, though highly adaptive, species in this drought prone landscape. Lippia cover greater than approximately 20% was also found to have a significant impact on the abundance and diversity of non-lippia species in these grassy woodlands.

Results of this research are synthesised in a conceptual resilience-based state and transition ‘riparian woodland response’ model identifying three critical transitions for riparian ecosystem condition and function related to effectively irreversible changes in the landscape: (i) transformation to a lippia-invaded landscape with the introduction, establishment and spread of lippia on the floodplain; (ii) transformation from riparian communities which are well buffered against drought, due to connection with shallow groundwater, to communities reliant on and susceptible to stochastic climatic variability; and (iii) population failure in the dominant functional canopy species complex, *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*/*E. tereticornis*, and transformation to non-eucalypt-dominant floodplain ecosystem types such as *Acacia stenophylla*-dominant woodlands, floodplain grasslands or lippia-dominant herblands with significantly reduced capacity to provide essential ecosystems services in riparian contexts.

In conclusion, this research indicates that observed condition in riparian woodlands on the Upper Condamine floodplain is an integrated response to a range of disturbances, but that certain changes (in particular, groundwater decline due to overextraction in combination with extended drought) may be critical to the long-term persistence and function of these remnants. This study indicates the importance of systems-based empirical research to developing better understanding of the function and dynamics of remnant ecosystems in highly modified landscapes subject to both natural and anthropogenic disturbance regimes. The resilience-based approach also focuses attention on the key drivers of stability and critical transitions in these complex socio-ecological systems. Such research is vital to evaluating and predicting changes in remnant native ecosystems and the provision of important ecosystem services, and as a basis for adaptive management in multi-use production landscapes.

CERTIFICATION OF DISSERTATION

I certify that the ideas, experimental work, results, analyses, discussions and conclusions reported in this dissertation are entirely my own work, except where otherwise acknowledged. I also certify that the work is original and has not previously been submitted for any other award.

Signature of Candidate

Date:/...../.... ...

ENDORSEMENT

Signature of Supervisor (1)

Date:/...../.... ...

Signature of Supervisor (2)

Date:/...../.... ...

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My heartfelt thanks go to the many people who have shared the ups and downs of the PhD journey:

to the landholders of the Upper Condamine floodplain who willingly allowed me access to their properties and shared their insights and observations on the state of the riparian woodlands along the river;

to the University of Southern Queensland's Faculty of Sciences and Office of Research and Higher Degrees for support and encouragement throughout my candidature;

to Jarrod Kath and Keegan Roache for their invaluable assistance with fieldwork through a very hot dry summer on the floodplain;

to the lippia research 'team' who have shared the quest to better understand lippia's role in floodplain landscapes, especially Chengyuan-Stephen Xu, Jodie Price, Matt Macdonald, Rieks van Klinken, Celine Clech-Goods, Kate Stokes and Justine Murray;

to the members of the Australian Centre for Sustainable Ecosystems (and former Land Use Research Centre) for companionship and inspiration, especially Simon Attwood, Stuart Collard, Fiona Morris, Megan Brady, Justine Baillie, Jarrod Kath, Martine Maron, Shahbaz Mushtaq, Tek Marasini, Jerry Maroulis, Roger Stone, Charlie Zammit and so many more;

to Alan House, Kathleen Maze and Nicki Laws for reading and commenting on chapters;

to my fabulous foursome - Mardi, Susie, Hannah and Hugh - for their support and encouragement, their enthusiasm and good humour on fieldwork expeditions, and their tolerance of my increasing withdrawal from household responsibilities; and

finally, to my sage and ever patient academic advisor, Andy Le Brocque, who has persisted in his roles as both mentor and friend despite my many detours and side trips along the way.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
CERTIFICATION OF DISSERTATION	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vii
APPENDICES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
Chapter 1 General Introduction	1
1.1 Research overview	1
1.1.1 Theoretical background	2
1.1.2 Floodplain landscapes: patterns and processes.....	7
1.1.3 Floodplain development	11
1.1.4 Disturbance ecology in modified floodplain landscapes.....	13
1.1.5 Key knowledge gaps.....	18
1.2 Aims and scope of current study	21
1.2.1 Research questions	21
1.2.2 Thesis outline.....	22
Chapter 2 Hydrological and land use changes on the Upper Condamine floodplain	25
2.1 The Upper Condamine floodplain.....	25

2.1.1 Geology and Soils.....	25
2.1.2 Climate	27
2.1.3 Hydrology.....	29
2.2 Development on the Upper Condamine floodplain.....	31
2.2.1 Land use development on the Upper Condamine floodplain	31
2.2.2 Water resource development on the Upper Condamine floodplain	32
2.2.3 Land cover change on the Upper Condamine floodplain.....	41
2.3 Assessment of environmental condition on the Upper Condamine floodplain	43
2.4 Current land use and resource condition in the study area.....	46
2.4.1 Method of assessment.....	47
2.4.2 Results	49
2.4.3 Discussion.....	57
2.4.4 Conclusions	58
Chapter 3 Patterns in riparian woodland community composition, structure and function on the Upper Condamine floodplain	59
3.1 Introduction	59
3.1.1 Vegetation responses to changes in hydrological connectivity	59
3.1.2 Vegetation responses to grazing in riparian ecosystems	61
3.1.3 Study overview	62
3.2 Methods.....	64
3.2.1 Site selection.....	64
3.2.2 Data collection.....	69
3.2.3 Data treatment	70
3.2.4 Statistical analyses.....	73

3.3	Results	75
3.3.1	General floristics	75
3.3.2	Patterns in community composition	75
3.3.3	Patterns in community richness, abundance and diversity	77
3.3.4	Patterns in functional group diversity.....	80
3.3.5	Patterns in lippia abundance	84
3.4	Discussion	84
3.4.1	Pattern in community diversity	85
3.4.2	Patterns in functional group diversity.....	88
3.4.3	Patterns in the dominant weed, lippia.....	90
3.4.4	Significance and limitations	92
3.5	Conclusions	94
Chapter 4 Patterns in canopy tree condition in riparian woodland communities on the Upper Condamine floodplain		96
4.1	Introduction	96
4.1.1	Trees and resilience in grassy woodland ecosystems.....	96
4.1.2	Tree condition in modified landscapes.....	98
4.1.3	Riparian tree responses to changes in hydrological connectivity.....	99
4.1.4	Tree responses to grazing in riparian ecosystems	102
4.1.5	Study overview	103
4.2	Methods.....	104
4.2.1	Survey design	104
4.2.2	Data collection.....	105
4.2.3	Data manipulation	107

4.2.4 Statistical analyses	108
4.3 Results	109
4.3.1 Patterns in tree health	109
4.3.2 Patterns in foliage growth.....	113
4.3.3 Patterns in canopy condition	113
4.3.4 Patterns in reproductive condition.....	113
4.3.5 Patterns in interacting species	115
4.3.6 Correlations between variables.....	115
4.4 Discussion	117
4.4.1 Tree condition and hydrological connectivity.....	117
4.4.2 Tree condition and land use intensity.....	121
4.4.3 Pattern and process in recruitment and survival of canopy species ..	122
4.4.4 Species interactions and dominant tree condition	123
4.4.5 Significance and limitations	126
4.5 Conclusions	129
Chapter 5 Drivers of floristic composition, community structure and condition in riparian woodland communities on the Upper Condamine floodplain	131
5.1 Introduction	131
5.1.1 Environmental drivers in riparian ecosystems	131
5.1.2 Ecological response to environmental gradients	133
5.1.3 This study	135
5.2 Methods.....	136
5.2.1 Data collection and treatment.....	136

5.2.2	Statistical analyses	143
5.3	Results	145
5.3.1	Best predictive model sets	147
5.3.2	Response models for functional group transition ratios	150
5.3.3	Response models for dominant species attributes	155
5.4	Discussion	158
5.4.1	Floristic composition (frequency) and functional group transitions.....	159
5.4.2	Dominant species responses	160
5.4.3	Drivers of riparian woodland ecosystem condition.....	164
5.4.4	Significance and limitations	172
5.5	Conclusions	175
Chapter 6 Lippia-tree interactions in an Upper Condamine floodplain riparian woodland.....		178
6.1	Introduction	178
6.1.1	Species invasion in native ecosystems	178
6.1.2	Interactions between native and invasive species	179
6.1.3	Lippia on the Upper Condamine Floodplain	181
6.1.4	This research.....	183
6.2	Methods	184
6.2.1	Study area	184
6.2.2	Lippia-tree relations.....	186
6.3	Results	191
6.3.1	Floristic patterns	191

6.3.2 Abiotic parameters.....	201
6.4 Discussion	204
6.4.1 Trees as facilitators.....	205
6.4.2 Lippia response to environmental conditions.....	209
6.4.3 Vegetation responses to lippia.....	212
6.4.4 Lippia-tree interactions and ecosystem condition/function.....	214
6.4.5 Significance and limitations	216
6.5 Conclusion.....	220
Chapter 7 A conceptual state and transition model for Upper Condamine floodplain riparian woodlands	222
7.1 Introduction	222
7.1.1 Conceptual models and ecosystem dynamics.....	223
7.1.2 Conceptual modelling of floodplain/riparian ecosystems	229
7.1.3 This study	230
7.2 Methods.....	231
7.3 Results and discussion.....	231
7.3.1 A resilience-based STM for riparian woodlands on the Upper Condamine floodplain	231
7.3.2 Significance and limitations	240
7.4 Conclusions	242
Chapter 8 General discussion and conclusions.....	244
8.1 Introduction and summary	244
8.1.1 Importance of surface flow (longitudinal and lateral) connectivity.....	248

8.1.2 Importance of vertical connectivity	249
8.1.3 Importance of landscape legacy	250
8.2 Management and policy implications	251
8.2.1 Management for ecosystem resilience on the Upper Condamine Floodplain.....	253
8.3 Conclusions and future research directions.....	255
REFERENCES	257

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Survey site details.....	303
Appendix B	Functional group classifications for species recorded on the Upper Condamine floodplain.....	304
Appendix C	Species frequency data, Upper Condamine floodplain.....	310
Appendix D	Environmental variables assessed for use in community composition and condition response modelling.....	315
Appendix E	Mean cover data and functional classifications for species recorded at St Ruth Reserve.....	319
Appendix F	Soil analysis results for shallow and deep soil samples from St Ruth Reserve.....	320

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Hierarchical relationships between hydrological, geomorphic and ecological systems associated with rivers (adapted from Thoms and Parsons 2002).....	8
Figure 2.1	Map of the Condamine catchment, showing the location of the Upper Condamine and adjacent floodplains, major towns and drainage patterns....	26
Figure 2.2	Mean monthly rainfall at Dalby.	27
Figure 2.3	Cumulative rainfall departure patterns indicate major wetting and drying trends evident in annual rainfall from 1870 to 1999 at Dalby.....	28
Figure 2.4	Mean monthly streamflow at Loudon Weir, Dalby.....	30
Figure 2.5	Annual streamflow at Cecil Plains Weir, 1949/50-2004/05.....	30
Figure 2.6	Areal extents of different land uses on the Upper Condamine floodplain	32
Figure 2.7	Location of key instream storage structures on the Upper Condamine floodplain sections of the Condamine river, southern Queensland.	34
Figure 2.8	Aerial photograph showing floodplain ringtanks, roads, and distribution channels on the North Branch section of the Upper Condamine floodplain...35	
Figure 2.9	Groundwater trends in selected bores within the Queensland Department of Environment and Resource Management groundwater monitoring network..39	
Figure 2.10	River section (Upper, Middle, Lower) and river bank (Left, Right) segments on the Upper Condamine floodplain.	48
Figure 2.11	Streamflow patterns at (a) Talgai, (b) Yarramalong, (c) Lemon Tree and (d) Cecil Plains weirs by water year (Oct. – Sept.), 1995/96 - 2004/05..	53
Figure 2.12	Density of irrigation infrastructure (water storages), across (a) river sections (Upper, Middle, Lower) and (b) river banks (Left, Right) within a 5 km zone either side of the river.....	54
Figure 2.13	Density of registered bores across (a) river sections (Upper, Middle, Lower), and (b) river banks (Left, Right) within a 5 km zone either side of the river	55
Figure 2.14	Relative proportions of cropping (dryland, irrigated, total), and native vegetation (mapped remnant vegetation, grazed native vegetation), across (a)	

	River Sections, and (b) River banks within a 5 km zone either side of the river	56
Figure 3.1	Upper Condamine floodplain study area, indicating survey site locations and the location of weirs defining the endpoints of river section treatments.....	65
Figure 3.2	Significant interactions between river sections and land use (grazed, ungrazed) for patterns in total species richness (a), and river bank and land use (grazed, ungrazed) for patterns in native species richness (b) on the Upper Condamine floodplain.....	79
Figure 3.3	Significant interactions between river bank and land use (grazed, ungrazed) for patterns in wetland species richness (a) and abundance (b) on the Upper Condamine floodplain.....	83
Figure 5.1	Upper Condamine floodplain study area, indicating survey site locations including additional reference ‘best on offer’ sites (R1-3).	137
Figure 5.2	Example of buffers and associated quadrants drawn (ArcGIS version 9.1) at 500 m, 2000 m and 5000 m distance from a survey site.	140
Figure 5.3	Conceptual flow diagram of key environmental factors associated with responses in ecosystem response variables (tree condition as indicated by site Weighted Wylie Index or WWI, lippia cover and floristic composition) in riparian woodland communities on the Upper Condamine floodplain. ..	175
Figure 6.1	Aerial photograph indicating the general location of transects at St. Ruth Reserve.....	185
Figure 6.2	Transect design indicating distance from tree treatments based on multiples of r, the canopy radius, and limits in terms of proximity to neighbouring trees.	187
Figure 6.3	Two-dimensional ordination (nMDS) of floristic abundance (FPC) by distance from tree	193
Figure 6.4	Average floristic similarity and lippia contributions to average similarity (SIMPER analysis in PRIMER) within distance from tree treatments.	194
Figure 6.5	Lippia reproductive effort (number of flower and seed heads) with distance from tree treatments.	197

Figure 6.6	Scatter plots showing linear correlation between lippia <i>Phyla canescens</i> cover and (a) cover of non-lippia species, and (b) Shannon-Wiener diversity.....	199
Figure 6.7	Conceptual diagram of interactions and potential feedbacks between canopy cover, and cover of lippia and non-lippia vegetation.	215
Figure 7.1	A conceptual state and transition model for Upper Condamine floodplain riparian woodland.....	235

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Groundwater entitlements and annual extractions in relation to modelled sustainable yields, and average salinity across the 5 Groundwater Management Units (GMUs) of the Upper Condamine Groundwater Management Area	38
Table 2.2	Details of weirs within the Upper Condamine Water Supply Scheme.....	48
Table 2.3	Hydrological details of study area River Sections	51
Table 2.4	Hydrological details of study area River Banks.....	52
Table 3.1	Distribution of survey sites per sampling stratification category (stream section, riverbank, land use).....	66
Table 3.2	Site disturbance categories and scoring.....	67
Table 3.3	Measures of site condition and woodland structure by site groupings (river section, river bank, land use).....	68
Table 3.4	Selected functional groupings, including categories within groups, gradient reflected and reported responses	72
Table 3.5	Summary of pairwise tests (ANOSIM) on species abundance data between river section groups.	75
Table 3.6	Species contributing to 20% of Dissimilarity between river sections.....	76
Table 3.7	3-way ANOVA results for total, native and alien species richness, abundance and Shannon-Wiener diversity by treatments (river section, river bank, land use).....	78
Table 3.8	3-way ANOVA results for functional response group species richness, abundance and Shannon-Wiener diversity by treatments (river section, river bank, land use)	81
Table 3.9	Lippia frequency (1000 m ² quadrats) and cover (FPC%, 1 m ² quadrats) by treatments (river section, river bank, land use)	84
Table 4.1	Definitions for (a) tree health parameters, including (b) categories used to assess crown structure, and (c) categories to assess the position of crown dieback, used in assessments of tree health in mature <i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i> / <i>E. tereticornis</i> in Upper Condamine riparian woodlands.	106

Table 4.2	Definitions for (a) Health Class, and (b) Weighted Wylie Index (WWI) categories used in assessments of tree health in mature <i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i> / <i>E. tereticornis</i> in Upper Condamine riparian woodlands	108
Table 4.3	Summary data for canopy species response variables.....	110
Table 4.4	3-way ANOVA results for key woody species attributes by treatments (river section, river bank, land use).....	112
Table 4.5	Reproductive condition, foliage condition, canopy condition and evidence of species interactions measures by site groupings (river section, river bank, land use)	114
Table 4.6	Spearman’s correlations between measures of tree health, tree size, reproductive condition, canopy condition and evidence of species interactions	116
Table 5.1	Functional group transitions tested in this study.....	139
Table 5.2	Minimum sets of predictor variables used in Combination, Hydrology, Spatial, Patch-scale and Local-scale (local landscape-scale) models.....	142
Table 5.3	Grades of evidence used to interpret the strength of the BIC values, BIC differences between models, and posterior effect probabilities for variable effect within Bayesian model averaging (BMA) models	144
Table 5.4	Functional group richness and abundance transition ratios for reciprocal pairs of functional groups (V_1 and V_2).	146
Table 5.5	Dominant species attributes (condition, size, density and cover).	146
Table 5.6	BIOENV models (Combination, Hydrology, Spatial, Patch-scale) for floristic composition based on species frequency data.....	147
Table 5.7	Bayesian information criteria (BIC) values for the best predictor models within the Combination, Hydrology, Spatial and Patch-scale test variable sets for functional group richness and abundance transition ratios and dominant species attributes.	149
Table 5.8	Individual models for functional species richness transitions using Bayesian model averaging (BMA).	152
Table 5.9	Individual models for functional species abundance transitions using Bayesian model averaging (BMA).	154

Table 5.10	Individual models for dominant species measures using Bayesian model averaging (BMA).	157
Table 6.1	Attributes of transect trees across topographic position treatments.....	187
Table 6.2	Soil attributes measured in shallow soil and subsoil samples collected from St Ruth Reserve	190
Table 6.3	Results of Analysis of Similarity (ANOSIM) pairwise comparisons on FPC data for distance from tree treatments.	192
Table 6.4	Differences between distance from tree and topographic position treatments for lippia cover; total species richness, cover and Shannon-Wiener diversity; and non-lippia species richness, cover and Shannon-Wiener diversity.....	196
Table 6.5	Mean lippia condition response (scores: 0-4) to distance from tree and topographic position treatments	198
Table 6.6	Spearman's correlations between lippia cover and non-lippia functional and origin species groups richness and cover	200
Table 6.7	Differences between distance from tree (0.5r, 2r) and topographic position (low, mid, high) treatments for soil parameters.	202
Table 6.8	Spearman's correlation results for abiotic parameters and (a) tree attributes at 0.5r; (b) lippia cover and lippia reproductive effort; and (c) non-lippia cover and Shannon-Wiener diversity.	204
Table 7.1	Resilience-based concepts recommended for incorporation in the state-and-transition modelling framework.....	228
Table 7.2	Components of a proposed resilience-based state and transition model (STM) for riparian woodlands on the Upper Condamine floodplain	233