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“I don’t think the human mind can comprehend the past and the future. They are both just illusions that can manipulate you into thinking there’s some kind of change.”

Bob Dylan (Ft. Lauderdale Sun-Sentinel Interview, 28 September 1995).

Introduction

The way we view the Australian landscape at the start of the 21st century is notably different to how we viewed it in the late 20th century, and Peter Kershaw has had a most significant role in this. One of the key elements to Peter’s intellectual contribution lies in the discovery that the Australian landscape is more changeable and dynamic than was previously imagined, and in particular more deeply influenced by human history than we could have then known. The notion that people arriving in an uninhabited landscape over 40,000 years ago so fundamentally changed fire regimes, and that Aboriginal people have continued to shape the environment through ongoing landscape firing practices ever since – and as a consequence affected the whole ecology of an island continent – is one that shook the scientific community and forced a rethink of the way we view the long-term history and present environmental
state of Australia. The impacts of this on the broader Australian and international community have contributed to a critical rethinking of political paradigms and conservationist policies and their articulation with Indigenous perspectives on landscape, especially as these relate to fire management (e.g. Hale and Lamb 1997); indeed, in the mid-1990s the Australian Conservation Foundation’s general approach to landscape management radically shifted to take better account of Indigenous concerns, together with Indigenous voices a result of the accumulated wisdom of previous years of palaeoecological research that by then demanded consideration of the role of people in landscape management. These were precisely the kinds of issues spurred by Peter’s findings in north Queensland and elsewhere, research results that had shown to be critical to understanding the Australian environment today as in the past. Thus while throughout his career Peter himself has been more directly concerned with gathering evidence towards establishing the facts of Australia’s landscape history, those results have influentially fed back into community perspectives, political dialogue and policy-making. Peter’s work continues to engage both the scientific community and the public in an ongoing debate over the role of people in shaping the environment.

The investigation that contributed most to the shift in our understanding of the role of people in Australian landscapes was based around a pollen record from Lynch’s Crater (Figure 1) in northeast Australia (Kershaw 1974). Peter began working on this long environmental record for his PhD thesis (Kershaw 1973) in the Department of Biogeography and Geomorphology at the Australian National University (ANU) in the early 1970s, at a time when palaeoecological research in Australia was in its infancy. In 1974 he published in Nature his seminal paper on Lynch’s Crater, demonstrating a radical vegetation change in northeast Australia around 38,000 years ago, at about the time when people were then thought to have first arrived on the continent (see Turney et al. 2006 for a subsequent redating of this vegetation change at Lynch’s Crater, keeping in line also with subsequent redating of the first evidence of people in the landscape). This was also the year when the influential ‘Sunda and Sahul’ symposium was first planned (Allen et al. 1977), and the foundation year of the Australian Archaeological Association where, for the first time, researchers of Australian archaeology could assemble at an annual forum to share findings and discuss intellectual developments. This was a period of burgeoning interest in Australian Aboriginal archaeology and landscape history, where systematic connections were being forged between these two disciplines and interests (Aboriginal and landscape history). While discussions had by then already emerged on the role of people in shaping the environment (e.g. Jones 1968), especially through Rhys Jones’ notion of ‘firestick farming’ (Jones 1969), and cause(s) of megafaunal extinctions had already long been debated since the 19th century (see Johnson 2007 for a review), what Peter brought to the equation were long environmental records and a conceptual shift signalling the necessary and obligatory incorporation of people into interpretations of landscapes, as managed and dynamic social spaces. From Peter’s early works at Lynch’s Crater, it was realised that it was simply not legitimate to interpret palaeoenvironments of the last c.40,000 years other than as peopled landscapes. This was not a matter of debating whether or not a particular archaeological or palaeontological assemblage showed evidence of human intervention, but rather a paradigm shift that newly saw palaeoecological sequences as only interpretable through consideration of human presence, given that people lived in the landscape and thus affected it. The question had become not so much whether or not people had a role to play in the evolution of the Australian landscape, nor whether they were palaeontologically or palynologically visible in that landscape, as a determination of the nature and scale of such interventions and their palaeoecological visibility.

From the outset Peter has been a key figure that led to a culture of research that closely enmeshed Australian archaeological with palaeoecological research during that period of the
1970s when environmental understanding proved critical to archaeological paradigms. While today connections between culture and environment have largely been reframed to incorporate new nuances of ‘dwelling’, ‘inhabitation’ and the like (e.g. Thomas 2008), the kinds of research connections that Peter helped to frame between environmental and cultural sequences have resulted in strong research bonds between the disciplines of palaeoecology and archaeology in Australia and beyond.

**Peter Kershaw**

To properly understand, and appreciate, Peter’s impact on the study of the Australian landscape we begin where he himself began. Peter grew up in Littleborough in the north of England where the industrial edge of Lancashire nosed up the valley into the peat-covered Pennine hills. He attended the local schools then went to the University of Wales at Aberystwyth where, as a student of geography, he first became aware of Quaternary pollen analysis. After acquiring an Honours degree, he moved to Durham University to do a Masters in ecology supervised by Judith Turner. Soon afterward he successfully applied for a research assistantship to Donald Walker, in the still infant laboratory at the ANU, to help extend the then dominating interest in Papua New Guinea to continental Australia.

Arriving with his wife Susan in the summer of 1967, Peter was given the task of observing the drilling in the Lake George basin by the Bureau of Mineral Resources and taking samples of any deposit there which might have contained preserved pollen. Such material as he was able to obtain, with much scepticism, in the field he found to be virtually barren in the laboratory; a very disappointing start. So a shift of emphasis was called for.
Given the laboratory's existing tropical interests, it made sense to go north, specifically to the biogeographic boundary zone of the Atherton Tableland where, in 1962, Walker had established that pollen was plentifully preserved in a ‘grab sample’ of sediment from Lake Euramoo. Accordingly, the Walkers and the Kershaws entrained for Far North Queensland in June 1968 and set themselves up in half a house hired at the waterworks settlement of Tinaroo Falls where Peter voluntarily took on the additional evening duty of wheeling a two year-old Kate Walker around the streets to encourage her to sleep.

Fieldwork was not without its problems but, despite a raft that floated just below water level and what, in 2011, would be regarded as impossibly primitive coring equipment, a good sequence of samples was obtained and carried back to Canberra.

Months later, Peter presented Walker with an outline pollen diagram, undated, which undoubtedly showed sclerophyll woodland to have predated the existing rainforest of the Euramoo basin. Peter attributed this to a climatic change but Walker was not so sure, suggesting that it may have been a seral phenomenon immediately following the eruption by which the crater had been formed. The only way to solve the question was to sample more crater sediments of differing morphologies, and perhaps differing ages, in the region and date the vegetation change. It was also evident that Peter had a mind of his own in recognition of which he was awarded a scholarship to continue the work for a PhD and so threw off the shackles of assistantship. Thus was laid the foundation of a remarkable achievement in which Peter proved himself right (to Walker’s delight) and established a basis from which he and others have made the Atherton Tableland the most concentrated source of palynological and related data in the tropical Quaternary world.

Figure 2. A biogeography field trip to Ironbark Basin, Angelsea, in the late 1970s prior to the establishment of a post-1983 fire regeneration project that has continued with second-year students to the present day.
Peter subsequently moved from the ANU to the School of Geography and Environmental Science at Monash University, where he continues to foster environmental education and research (Figure 2). One of Peter’s great strengths in teaching and research is to gather around him people with exceptional skills and dedication to the research tasks at hand. His long-term collaboration with his student and then colleague Merna McKenzie continues to produce invaluable insights into the nature of glacial cycles and tree-line fluctuations in southeast Australia. The arrival of Sander Van der Kaars, one of the first Logan Fellows at Monash University, ushered in a period of prolific pollen counting in the department, focussing on the potential for marine records from the west and north coasts of Australia to unlock our understanding of the influence of the monsoon on Australian landscapes. And Peter long promoted the incorporation of Indigenous archaeology in teaching and research of environmental science, a logical outcome of his early views of peopled landscapes; indeed it was his presence that caused Bruno David to come to the School of Geography and Environmental Science rather than the anthropology department in 1997 and thereby establish Monash University’s first Indigenous archaeology programme (further developed a few years later by the arrival of Ian McNiven). These collaborations, and those with his many successful honours, post-graduate and post-doctoral fellows led to the School being regarded as the pre-eminant department for undergraduate and graduate training in biogeography and palaeoecology.

After some 44 years of academic research and teaching in Quaternary ecology and biogeography, Professor Peter Kershaw retired in October 2010. A meeting was held on 1st November 2010 at the Royal Society of Victoria in Melbourne, for a day honouring Peter’s contributions to palaeoecology, biogeography and archaeology in the Australian region (Figure 3). The presentations listed below represent a snapshot of the legacy of Peter’s endeavours, collaborations and inspiration that will no doubt resonate into the future of Quaternary research in our region. He is a valued colleague driven by his commitment to the discipline and graduate students, and in each of these areas he has achieved outstanding results:

- Matt McGlone, Keynote, “Separated at birth: physical, biological and social aspects of the trans-Tasman relationship”
- David Mercer & Homer Le Grand, “Peter Kershaw’s career at Monash”
- Martin Williams, “Did the 73 ka Toba super-eruption have an enduring effect? Insights from archaeology, genetics, palynology, stable isotope geochemistry and climate models”
- Jim Bowler, “Fishing at the LGM: A day in the life of early boat people”
- Patrick De Deckker, “Multidisciplinary studies applied to core Fr10/95-GC17 offshore Northwest Cape, Western Australia”
- John Dodson, “Paradise Lost: tools and lessons on how human-kind shaped the world”
- Richard Cosgrove, “The Archaeologists Palynologist: the connection between archaeology and palaeoecology in Australia”
- Lesley Head, “Tomorrow is a long time: palaeoecology and contested landscapes in Sweden and Australia”
- Peter Gell, “Palaeoecology as a means of auditing wetland condition”
- Patrick Moss, “Holocene Landscape Change in the Humid Tropics of Northeastern Australia”
- John Tibby, “Palaeolimnological evidence for European impact in Australia”
- Kale Sniderman, “New insights from the fossil record into the history of Australia’s sclerophyllous vegetation”
Discussion

By those who shared the laboratory with Peter at the ANU, he is perhaps best remembered for his goodwill, hard work, Dylan-style songs and his forcefully enunciated views on anything from pollen morphology to the dangers of religious bigotry. He was also the only member of those early years of ANU pollen research to count pollen while smoking a cigarette, particularly in the evenings when nobody else was working, as evidenced by the accumulation of ash around his microscope each following morning.

Perhaps fittingly, then, it was the application of charcoal analysis alongside pollen counts to explore the role of fire in vegetation change that showed an unprecedented change in fire regimes accompanying the arrival of people into Australia and that led to fundamental changes in the extent and composition of rainforests in the Atherton Tableland region. Such an approach was new and innovative at the time, being utilised in the Department of Biogeography and Geomorphology at the ANU to address questions of landscape change. Whether or not the timing and extent of these transformations reflected the wider tempo of change in the Australian landscape is yet to be fully resolved and is likely to occupy the lives of many Quaternary researchers for years to come.

Peter’s concern with the Australian landscape as a peopled landscape meant, and means, that archaeologists need to consider landscape processes in the interpretation of excavated sequences, while geomorphologists and biogeographers need to consider people in their own interpretations of landscape processes. In this context and for the Australian region in particular, Peter’s contribution to these disciplines have revolved around a number of major themes:

1. For Australia’s long history, the visibility of people in the landscape through the effects of anthropogenic landscape burning.

2. As best shown at Lynch’s Crater, but evident at numerous other sites also, the ability of individual pollen site sequences to implicate landscape histories. That is, the ability to transcend different spatial scales of interpretation, as evident by the ability of palynological research within individual sites to implicate whole landscape histories, an
interpretative leap rarely legitimately achievable in purely archaeological research (Figure 4).

3. Peter was also the first person to securely date several glacial cycles in Australia and through the pollen records he showed that these cycles were different in their vegetation composition. This work came out of what was then seen as a pressing need to demonstrate synchronous orchestration of Australia’s past climate with global climate signatures. He grasped the opportunity to open up the rich palynological fields of the western plains of Victoria, where maar deposits not unfamiliar to him from his earlier Atherton Tableland work yielded windows of opportunity to investigate the long Quaternary record of climate and vegetation change in southeast Australia.

4. The development of new and novel approaches to palaeoenvironmental reconstruction, particularly pioneering the use of bioclimatic profiles of extant taxa to generate quantitative palaeoclimatic estimates from pollen data (e.g. Kershaw and Nix 1988).

5. A preparedness to recognise that we do not know everything about the past, with present understandings sometimes turning to blind prejudice informed by the limitations of our data, meaning that we need to question conventional wisdom. Peter has thus been willing to play devil’s advocate when new data hinted at the arrival of people in Australia 150,000–100,000 years ago, as evidenced by significant changes in pollen and carbonised particle frequencies in offshore sediments, northeast Australia (Kershaw et al. 1993); and a subsequent preparedness to reverse his own views in light of subsequent findings (e.g. Moss and Kershaw 2000). While these new interpretations flew in the face of conventional wisdom – and were subsequently shown to be wrong – Peter was prepared to shake the discipline(s) in light of evidence that required explanation.

6. Expanding our understanding of the deep-time biogeography of Australian rainforests and sclerophyll plants, most notably the Araucariaceae, an iconic Gondwanan family (Kershaw and Wagstaff 2001).

7. The encouragement of cross-disciplinary research and use of multi-proxy evidence to strengthen the reliability and interpretability of research findings.

These themes have each significantly contributed to how we now come to read, and understand, the Australian landscape as historically created from some 50,000 years of Aboriginal engagements with their surroundings. In this spirit of investigation, where understanding landscape history requires a joining rather than separation of parts (e.g. plants vs sediments vs people), in this volume we present a set of papers by scientists who have each been directly influenced by Peter’s work. The case studies presented each consider the landscape as one that has developed with people in its midst. These are not prefigured landscapes as stages for people to subsequently act upon, but rather engaged landscapes at their very core: landscapes that are defined by such engagements. In this sense a peopled landscape is one that would not exist in that form without those who gave it its particular characteristics. One of our roles, as archaeologists, geomorphologists, palaeoecologists and biogeographers, is to determine the nature of those historical engagements that enable us to define how in history people have come to influence and shape the world in which we live today. This is the ongoing legacy of Peter Kershaw’s ongoing contributions to the study of landscape history (Figure 5).
Figure 4. Peter Kershaw (right) celebrating another successful coring expedition at Lynch’s Crater in 2004 with Damien Kelleher (left) and Chris Turney (middle).

Figure 5. Peter Kershaw at the Bromfield Swamp lookout in 2004.
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Peopled landscapes: The impact of Peter Kershaw on Australian Quaternary Science

I. Archaeology and Perceptions of Landscape
Hay Cave is one of many limestone caves in the tropical Mitchell-Palmer area of north Queensland. Archaeologically, its major significance is a lengthy, more than 30,000 year-long, cultural sequence, with good preservation of faunal remains as well as stone artefacts and an
abundance of rock art. Thus, it offers the opportunity to investigate long-term local archaeological trends in one site and to compare these with regional trends obtained from a wider range of sites throughout this archaeologically rich area (David and Lourandos 1997). How can these long-term cultural trends be characterised from an individual site? In what ways do they reflect wider regional trends and patterns? How do they compare with palaeoenvironmental trends? And, at a more general level, how can we connect different spatial scales of investigation (the local or site-specific and the regional) when seeking to explore long-term cultural trends? These were the questions guiding the research.

As a limestone cave with alkaline soils and good preservation, Hay Cave is well endowed in different kinds of archaeological materials, raising also the question of the relationship between different lines of archaeological evidence when exploring cultural trends through time. To what degree does each category of archaeological material represent independent sets of evidence, and to what degree can they be related inter-textually? With such questions in mind, the stone artefacts, animal bone, land-snail shell, mussel shell, brush-turkey egg shell, charcoal and hearths of Hay Cave are examined here in relation to wider regional chronological patterns for Cape York Peninsula (see David and Lourandos 1998). A large number of AMS radiocarbon determinations were obtained to investigate these data in adequate chrono-stratigraphic detail.

The Mitchell-Palmer limestone zone

The Mitchell-Palmer limestone zone is part of the Chillagoe Formation, located 180 km northwest of Cairns, north Queensland, Australia (Figure 1). The individual limestone towers (‘bluffs’) often exceed 1 km in length and 500 m in width, jutting up to 150 m above the surrounding landscape (Figure 2). These are impressive rock formations, possessing regionally distinctive lithologies and sediments (e.g. Galloway et al. 1970; Day et al. 1983:85), vegetation communities (e.g. Galloway et al. 1970) and fauna (for preliminary results, see Hall et al. 1996; Macrokanis 1996; see also Stanisic and Ingram 1998) in an otherwise dry sclerophyll landscape.

The Chillagoe Formation outcrops towards the western margin of the Hodgkinson Province as a steeply dipping, discontinuous belt running parallel to the Palmerville Fault line. The unit extends over a distance of approximately 150 km and varies in width from 10 km to a few hundred metres. Regional magnetic imagery indicates that the Chillagoe Formation continues beneath ground cover to the north in a north-northeasterly direction (Domagala and Fordham 1997).

The Chillagoe Formation consists of varying proportions of limestone, chert, basalt, arenite and mudstone, conglomerate and breccia. Limestone is the characteristic lithology. Given the geological components and an estimated early Silurian age (about 428 million years), the limestone belt probably was deposited as calcareous muds and coral reefs; earth movements since deposition have folded and tilted the limestone into its current vertical position. Where erosion has removed the surrounding material, the limestone is now exposed as numerous towers of variable height and size (Domagala and Fordham 1997; Stanisic 1997).

Vegetation on the Chillagoe Formation limestone outcrops is deciduous microphyll vine thicket. This is a stunted vine forest in which the canopy closes at 3 m to 9 m above the ground, with the majority of emergents deciduous, together with many understorey species existing as deciduous or semi-evergreen plants. The vine thickets lose their leaves in response to a drop in moisture availability, and the ready supply of leaf litter provided by the vegetation fills the rock crevices to further enhance the water-conserving properties of the limestone outcrops (Kahn and Lawie 1987). Fensham (1995, 1996) denoted these vine thickets as a floristically distinct
Hay Cave: A 30,000-year cultural sequence from the Mitchell-Palmer limestone zone, north Queensland, Australia

Group restricted mainly to limestone karst; the limestone substrate forms an additional natural fire barrier. Within the Chillagoe Formation, individual limestone towers are isolated by open woodland eucalypt communities devoid of limestone (Rowe et al. 2001). Climate is semi-arid, with a highly seasonal rainfall regime, falling predominately in the months of December to March. The enhanced humidity-preserving qualities of the limestone outcrops, particularly in caves surrounded by vine thickets, are especially relevant to long-term cultural trends spanning drier and wetter climatic phases, as at Hay Cave (see below).

The limestone outcrops are typically surrounded by alkaline rocky pediments conducive to the preservation of organic materials such as bone, egg shell and land-snail shell. In some rare instances, archaeological excavations have recovered well-preserved late-Holocene wooden digging sticks and other ‘soft’ organic items in buried cave sediments, including ancient fungus, fig fruit and Pandanus nuts (David and Dagg 1993). Hundreds of shallow rockshelters and also deep caves occur near the junction of the towers and their pediments. Some of these caves extend hundreds of metres into the rock, most often in pitch-black conditions, but also at times illuminated by roof collapses and sinkholes creating skylit chambers. While no evidence of people has ever been found in these deeper recesses, archaeological evidence of Aboriginal occupation is abundant in more open rockshelters and sunlit cave entrances. There is also ample evidence of Aboriginal presence on the plains surrounding the towers, particularly in the form of partly buried and surface stone artefact scatters.

**Hay Cave**

Hay Cave is a large limestone cave positioned between the Mitchell and Palmer rivers, towards the northern limits of the Mitchell-Palmer limestone belt and approximately 140 km west of the eastern Australian coastline, at 16°6’S latitude and 144°7’E longitude (Figures 1 and 3). The site was recorded during Bruno David’s Mitchell-Palmer archaeological site surveys as site number PM18 (being the 18th archaeological site recorded in this programme), and also by the Chillagoe Caving Club under its speleological site number MP118. Hay Cave is situated at the southern end of Wilson’s Tower, accessible from the east, with a large entrance (16 m wide, 3 m high and 10 m deep) (Figure 3). It sits at the base of the limestone tower, atop a small scree covered in vine thicket that slopes down to a surrounding plain of open sclerophyll forest. The cave entrance itself is positioned slightly above the level of the flat, soft cave floor within the overhang. Sunlight is subdued immediately inside the overhang, towards the centre of the entrance chamber. Here, where the floor is flat and sediments are soft, archaeological excavations were undertaken by Bruno David, Harry Lourandos and Chris Clarkson in 1996 (Figures 3 and 4). Four juxtaposed 50 x 50 cm squares were excavated, the deepest of which (M30) was removed in 63 Excavation Units (XU) of mean 2.0 cm thickness and following Stratigraphic Units (SU) where visible (Figure 5). Twenty-two distinct SUs were identified in the deepest excavation square of 133 cm depth. Sediments containing cultural materials were found at all depths except within the thick clays of SU3 at the very base of the excavations (Table 1).

The four Hay Cave squares were excavated independently of each other. Cultural items >2 cm maximum length were plotted in three dimensions and drawn on individual XU recording forms during the course of the excavation. All other sediments were sieved in 3 mm mesh sieves and bagged for later sorting in the laboratory. Sediment samples were collected from the <3 mm residue from each XU of each square.

At the University of Queensland and Monash University, over the ensuing years Angela Holden (1999) worked on the stone artefacts; Nicola Roche (1999) on the animal bone following preliminary work by Neville Terlich (1998); Cassandra Rowe (1998) on the land-
snail shell; Simon Clarke (2005) on the egg shell; and Bruno David (e.g. David et al. 1997) on the rock art and chrono-stratigraphy. This, however, is the first full site report to appear and to assemble the different lines of previously unpublished evidence to explore long-term temporal trends.

Figure 1. Map of southeast Cape York Peninsula showing location of the individual Mitchell-Palmer and Chillagoe-Mungana limestone towers and the excavated archaeological sites.
The sediments

Three major SU were revealed during the excavation (Figure 5). SU1, the uppermost, is subdivided into 18 subunits (SU1a-SU1r). All subunits of SU1 are ashy, and at least seven well-demarcated hearths are represented. The latter appear in SU1b/1c; SU1d/1f; SU1e/1h/1i/1k; SU1j; SU1m; SU1n; SU1q; and also probably SU1o. The other SU1 subunits are either of ill-defined hearths or contain mixed ashy sediments from hearths lying above or from those extending beyond the excavated deposits (SU1a, the ashy treadage zone; SU1l; SU1p; SU1r). Thus, the entire period spanned by SU1, the uppermost unit, represents the intensive construction of hearths within this part of the site.

SU2, lying immediately below SU1, is divided into three subunits (SU2a, 2b and 2c), each predominantly composed of silt and clay and variably rich in calcium carbonate concretions. No in situ evidence of hearths has been recovered from this unit.

SU3, the lowermost unit, is highly compact and clayey. Again, no stratigraphic evidence of hearths has been recovered from this unit.

Each SU is described in greater detail in Table 2.
Radiocarbon dates

Twenty-six radiocarbon determinations have been obtained from Hay Cave. All are AMS dates, most on single pieces of charcoal (N=15), the others from single pieces of Alectura lathami egg shell (N=3), freshwater mussel shell (N=4), or from scrapings from charcoal wall drawings (N=4). The radiocarbon dates were obtained from three laboratories, ANSTO (OZ numbers, 24 dates), University of Colorado INSTAAR (NSRL- number, 1 date) and Waikato (Wk-number, 1 date). All the excavated radiocarbon samples were obtained from Square M30.

From the deepest excavated levels, a near-basal date was obtained on a riverine bivalve shell recovered from XU60 (122-125 cm below the surface). The resulting date of 29,700 ± 1100 BP (OZD948) indicates that cultural sediments towards the base of the excavation were
deposited around 30,000 years ago. A small number of artefacts also occur at the SU2-SU3 interface. Below this lowermost radiocarbon date, however, the SU3 sediments themselves are of very compact mottled clays, with small manganese nodules that appear to pre-date human occupation.

Radiocarbon dates were obtained from every three or so XU above XU60, sometimes more frequently. They appear to progress at a fairly regular sedimentation rate of about 0.10 cm/100 years from ca. 30,000 BP in XU60 to 13,900 BP in XU52 (see Table 3). After this time, sedimentation rates increase two- to three-fold, to 0.26 cm/100 years, until ca. 3000 BP.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XU</th>
<th>SU</th>
<th>Volume (litres)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Area (m²)</th>
<th>Mean thickness (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1a+1d+1l</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1f+1l</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1f+1l</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1f+1l</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>1l</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9a</td>
<td>1l</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1l+1p</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1l+1n+1p</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1l+1n+1p</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13a</td>
<td>1m+1n+1p</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1n+1p</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1p</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>1p+1r</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1r</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1r</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1r</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1r</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1r</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>1r</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>1r</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2a+2b</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Excavation details, Hay Cave Square M30.
Table 1. Description of Stratigraphic Units, Hay Cave.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XU</th>
<th>SU</th>
<th>Volume (litres)</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Area (m²)</th>
<th>Mean thickness (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Description of Stratigraphic Units, Hay Cave.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SU</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Dry Munsell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Very fine-textured surface sediments. Dry and ashy, fairly compact but</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10YR6/2 to 10YR6/3 Light brownish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>unconsolidated and very easy to dig. Treadage zone of surface-disturbed</td>
<td></td>
<td>grey to pale brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sediments are extremely shallow, restricted to the uppermost 1-2 mm. This</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>disturbed veneer consists of sediments indistinguishable in colour, content</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and texture from the rest of this SU. Cultural materials noticed during</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>excavation.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Thin lens of light brownish brown heat-baked sediment. Its boundary with</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR6/4 Light brownish brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>overlying SU1a is sharp, as it is also with underlying SU1c. This SU is</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not present across all the excavated squares, being of limited spatial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>extent: it is only present in the NW corner of the four-square pit. Sediments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>are homogeneous throughout.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>Sediments are very ashy and cultural in origin. They are discontinuous</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR6/2 Light brownish grey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>across the four squares, being present only in the NW part of the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>excavation, immediately below SU1b but with a slightly greater spatial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>spread. Where SU1b does not occur, SU1c merges with SU1a. The interface</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>between SU1c and SU1b is marked in most parts. The interface between</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SU1c and SU1e below it occurs over a vertical distance of ca. 1 cm. Sediments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>are very dry.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1d</td>
<td>A thin lens of ash towards the southern wall near the SW corner of Square</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR8/1 White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M30. Sediments are very fine in texture, dry, easy to dig but somewhat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>compact. The boundaries between SU1d and surrounding sediments are</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>marked.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1e</td>
<td>Very ashy lens. Sediments vary in colour from very pale brown in the SW</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR7/3 (SW parts of pit) to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>parts of the pit to light brownish grey in the NW parts. This change in</td>
<td></td>
<td>10YR6/2 (NW parts of pit)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>colour is gradual; a more reddish appearance of sediments towards the</td>
<td></td>
<td>Very pale brown to light brownish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>southern side of the pit is consistent with similar patterning in the</td>
<td></td>
<td>grey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Dry Munsell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1f</td>
<td>A small lens of colour-stained sediments immediately underlying SU1d. SU1f should not be interpreted as a distinct depositional unit, but rather the heat-stained sediments located immediately below the hearth represented by SU1d. Boundaries between SU1f and SU1a, and those between SU1f and SU1d, are marked. Boundaries between SU1f and SU1g are gradual, taking place over a vertical distance of 4-6 cm.</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR5/3 Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1g</td>
<td>Dry and ashy sediments. While sediments are generally light brownish grey, towards the SW corner of the pit they become more reddish in colour (pink to light brown in Munsell terms). This more reddish towards the SW corner of the pit appears to be the result of the overlying hearth SU1e, which is most pronounced in this area. In this SW corner, the boundary between SU1e and SU1g is marked. The boundaries between SU1g and underlying SU1h and SU1i are also marked. SU1g was noticed to contain cultural materials during excavation.</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR6/2 to 7.5YR6/4-7.5YR7/4 Light brownish grey to light brown to pink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1h</td>
<td>A small, localised lens of dry sediments immediately above SU1i. Like SU1f and SU1k, SU1h is interpreted as a reddish, heat-stained sediment, rather than as a distinct depositional unit. It is interpreted as a localised, heat-stained lower part of the SU1g hearth. The boundary between SU1g and SU1h is gradual, occurring over a vertical distance of 2 cm. The boundary with overlying SU1i is marked.</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR6/1 Pale brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1i</td>
<td>A very clearly demarcated hearth, including a fire pit intruding into underlying SU1k, SU1l and SU1p. SU1i is very well-defined throughout, and contains hearth stones. Sediments are white to light grey in colour, interspersed with light grey ash. SU1i contains very large pieces of charcoal randomly distributed throughout. This hearth is most evident in the western part of the pit where the western section dissected it in half.</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR7/1-10YR8/1 with patches of 10YR7/2 White to light grey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1j</td>
<td>A localised lens of ash. This unit is a hearth whose boundaries are for the most part well-defined. Sediments are dry ash and very fine in texture.</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.5YR8/0 to 10YR8/1 White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1k</td>
<td>A localised patch of heat-stained sediments immediately below SU1i. It should not be interpreted as a distinct depositional unit, but rather as part of the SU1i sediments that were fire-stained by the overlying SU1k. Boundaries with SU1l and SU1p are gradual; the SU1k-SU1p interface is ca. 2 cm thick. Sediments are dry and ashy with a reddish tinge.</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR6/3-10YR6/4 Light yellowish brown to pale brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1l</td>
<td>Sediments are dry, very fine, ashy and homogeneous throughout. Boundary with underlying SU1p is extremely gradual, occurring over a vertical distance of ca. 3 cm. Sediments were noticed to possess cultural materials during excavation.</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR6/2 Light brownish grey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1m</td>
<td>Apart from a difference in colour, the descriptions of SU1j apply here also.</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR7/1 Light grey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1n</td>
<td>Relatively unconsolidated ash. Boundaries with surrounding sediments are fairly well-defined in most places, but not sharp, occurring over a distance of 1-2 cm. Numerous roots and rootlets occur in this SU. Sediments are dry. SU1n is interpreted as a hearth.</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR6/2 Light brownish grey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1o</td>
<td>Sediments are fine and ashy. They are very similar to those of SU1g, but here they occur below SU1j and above SU1p. SU1o is indistinct and appears to be another ash-rich unit which only occurs in the extreme NE corner of the pit. It is probably the very edge of a hearth which remains in situ beyond the excavated pit. The boundaries of this SU are ill-defined and merge with surrounding units over a distance of 2 cm.</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>10YR6/2 Light brownish grey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
in XU41. Considerable mixing of sediments, however, is apparent from XU43 to XU35 (as is evident from dating reversals), making it more difficult to interpret average sedimentation rates on this part of the curve. The past 3000 years BP witnessed a further sustained nine- to 10-fold increase in sedimentation rates, to an average of 2.53 cm/100 years. The uppermost radiocarbon dates are consistent with the site finally being used by people around the time of European arrival in the region during the late 19th century AD.

We interpret the zone of dating reversals, most pronounced from 83 cm to 63 cm below ground (XU43-XU35), in two ways. This zone represents the boundary between SU1 and SU2 into SU2a and SU2b. Firstly, these levels include the early–Holocene period, a time when other archaeological sequences in north Queensland are also characterised by dating reversals and coarser sediments that have been argued by various authors (e.g. David and Chant 1995).
Figure 6. Deposition rates of excavated materials, Square M30.
Table 3. Radiocarbon dates from Hay Cave Square M30. Calibrations made on Calib 6.0 (Stuiver and Reimer 1993), using the SH Atmosphere option (McCormac et al. 2004); calibrated years in bold represent highest age probability range at 2 sigma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XU</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>δ¹³C (‰)</th>
<th>Conventional radiocarbon age (years BP ± 1σ)</th>
<th>Calibrated radiocarbon age in cal BP (1σ range [68.3% probability])</th>
<th>Calibrated radiocarbon age in cal BP (2σ range [95.4% probability])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>Wk-6053</td>
<td>-26.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>300 ± 55</td>
<td>311-338 (20.9%) 355-449 (79.1%)</td>
<td>285-495 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.3-3.1</td>
<td>egg shell</td>
<td>OZF619</td>
<td>-8.5</td>
<td>270 ± 40</td>
<td>153-173 (20.9%) 177-209 (27.0%) 277-316 (52.0%)</td>
<td>145-223 (41.8%) 260-322 (44.6%) 364-443 (13.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD006</td>
<td>-25.14</td>
<td>660 ± 80</td>
<td>552-650 (100%)</td>
<td>505-685 (99.4%) 709-714 (0.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD007</td>
<td>-30.22</td>
<td>820 ± 70</td>
<td>660-762 (100%)</td>
<td>563-602 (6.8%) 628-807 (89.9%) 879-901 (3.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD939</td>
<td>-26.77</td>
<td>980 ± 60</td>
<td>794-915 (100%)</td>
<td>733-935 (98.4%) 943-955 (1.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD008</td>
<td>-27.18</td>
<td>2570 ± 80</td>
<td>2488-2644 (66.1%) 2654-2738 (33.9%)</td>
<td>2362-2748 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD940</td>
<td>-26.49</td>
<td>2430 ± 60</td>
<td>2337-2490 (89.4%) 2643-2672 (10.6%)</td>
<td>2209-2223 (0.9%) 2209-2619 (84.1%) 2631-2709 (15.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>53.2-55.9</td>
<td>egg shell</td>
<td>OZF620</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>2320 ± 40</td>
<td>2180-2240 (55.9%) 2303-2342 (44.1%)</td>
<td>2153-2276 (63.0%) 2290-2349 (37.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD009</td>
<td>-25.26</td>
<td>3100 ± 60</td>
<td>3164-3185 (9.5%) 3205-3355 (90.5%)</td>
<td>3043-3046 (0.2%) 3063-3393 (99.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>61.8-63.2</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD941</td>
<td>-26.96</td>
<td>2730 ± 50</td>
<td>2748-2801 (73.1%) 2817-2844 (26.9%)</td>
<td>2721-2882 (99.0%) 2910-2919 (1.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>63.2-65.7</td>
<td>egg shell</td>
<td>NSRL-14448</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>5395 ± 15</td>
<td>6020-6079 (47.1%) 6111-6155 (39.8%) 6174-6189 (13.1%)</td>
<td>6000-6208 (98.5%) 6253-6261 (1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XU</td>
<td>Depth (cm)</td>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Sample code</td>
<td>$\delta^{13}C$ (‰)</td>
<td>Conventional radiocarbon age (years BP, ± 1σ)</td>
<td>Calibrated radiocarbon age in cal BP (1σ range [68.3% probability])</td>
<td>Calibrated radiocarbon age in cal BP (2σ range [95.4% probability])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>70.0-71.6</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD942</td>
<td>-25.61</td>
<td>2180 ± 60</td>
<td>2000-2155 (88.2%) 2270-2295 (11.8%)</td>
<td>1949-1963 (1.7%) 1967-2213 (77.6%) 2218-2310 (20.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>74.5-77.4</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD010</td>
<td>-24.82</td>
<td>1610 ± 70</td>
<td>1379-1524 (100%)</td>
<td>1305-1570 (98.5%) 1583-1600 (1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>74.5-77.4</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD943</td>
<td>-25.0</td>
<td>11,880 ± 210</td>
<td>2345-2492 (83.1%) 2602-2608 (1.9%) 2641-2678 (15.0%)</td>
<td>2333-2619 (82.2%) 2632-2708 (17.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>80.1-83.1</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD944</td>
<td>-26.92</td>
<td>2450 ± 60</td>
<td>2345-2492 (83.1%) 2602-2608 (1.9%) 2641-2678 (15.0%)</td>
<td>2333-2619 (82.2%) 2632-2708 (17.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD011</td>
<td>-26.37</td>
<td>13,420 ± 150</td>
<td>801-888 (74.1%) 897-927 (25.9%)</td>
<td>746-963 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>102.8</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZC422</td>
<td>assumed -25.0</td>
<td>13,600 ± 180</td>
<td>1292-1360 (100%)</td>
<td>1263-1414 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>106.7-108.2</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD945</td>
<td>-27.11</td>
<td>13,900 ± 80</td>
<td>1186-1202 (0.8%) 1244-1247 (0.1%) 1256-1635 (96.3%) 1647-1694 (2.8%)</td>
<td>1244-1247 (0.1%) 1256-1635 (96.3%) 1647-1694 (2.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>111.6-114.3</td>
<td>freshwater mussel shell</td>
<td>OZD946</td>
<td>-5.68</td>
<td>20,040 ± 200</td>
<td>801-888 (74.1%) 897-927 (25.9%)</td>
<td>746-963 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>freshwater mussel shell</td>
<td>OZD012</td>
<td>-5.35</td>
<td>19,280 ± 140</td>
<td>1292-1360 (100%)</td>
<td>1263-1414 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>116.4-118.7</td>
<td>freshwater mussel shell</td>
<td>OZD947</td>
<td>-9.41</td>
<td>23,240 ± 320</td>
<td>1292-1360 (100%)</td>
<td>1263-1414 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>122.4-125.0</td>
<td>freshwater mussel shell</td>
<td>OZD948</td>
<td>-9.09</td>
<td>29,700 ± 1100</td>
<td>1292-1360 (100%)</td>
<td>1263-1414 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rock-art dates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiating lines</th>
<th>charcoal</th>
<th>OZC608</th>
<th>assumed -25.0</th>
<th>1010 ± 60</th>
<th>801-888 (74.1%) 897-927 (25.9%)</th>
<th>746-963 (100%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthropomorph</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD427</td>
<td>assumed -25.0</td>
<td>1480 ± 50</td>
<td>1292-1360 (100%)</td>
<td>1263-1414 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropomorph</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZC848</td>
<td>assumed -25.0</td>
<td>1570 ± 110</td>
<td>1316-1518 (100%)</td>
<td>1186-1202 (0.8%) 1244-1247 (0.1%) 1256-1635 (96.3%) 1647-1694 (2.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropomorph</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>OZD425</td>
<td>assumed -25.0</td>
<td>1700 ± 90</td>
<td>1415-1620 (94.8%) 1673-1687 (5.2%)</td>
<td>1343-1740 (98.8%) 1757-1778 (1.2%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to have been caused by higher rainfall levels resulting in the disturbance of sediments and lag deposits. Increased humid conditions appear to have damaged this part of the archaeological assemblage at Hay Cave through migrating driplines and lag or mixed deposits whereby fine particles, but not the coarse sediment fraction, have been removed (see below). Between XU36 and XU46 sediments are clayey but incorporate large amounts of coarser-grained components, including peak quantities of land-snail shell and calcium carbonate concretions (Figure 6). These XUs represent the final period of the Pleistocene to the mid Holocene when rainfall levels are known to have peaked. The large numbers of land-snail shell and peak frequencies of calcium–carbonate concretions in these levels include the early Holocene period of heightened humidity, consistent with both items themselves signalling wetter conditions.

Secondly, the complex of hearths from SU1 (cf. Figure 5) appear to have intruded into and disturbed underlying stratigraphic levels from earlier periods, such as those of SU2a and SU2b. SU1 is composed of numerous in situ hearths and hearth material, as well as representing the period of time when the cave was most intensively used by people and thus suffered the greatest amount of treadage (see below). Intrusive hearths and related activities, therefore, can be expected to have caused some disturbance to earlier sediments, including mixing of small charcoal particles such as those used here in our AMS determinations, particularly at stratigraphic interfaces representing ancient surfaces.

Palaeoenvironments: Land-snail shells

Information on palaeoenvironmental dynamics for the Chillagoe Formation has been obtained through an examination of the geographical distribution, and ecological and biological characteristics, of the helicinid terrestrial mollusc shell *Pleuropoma extincta* (Odhner 1917). The use of *P. extincta* remains for palaeoenvironmental reconstruction at Hay Cave is dependent on two main factors: firstly, the capacity of the species to show a measurable response to environmental change; and secondly, the ability to recover sufficient undamaged shell material from the archaeological excavation.

*Pleuropoma extincta*’s current restriction to the vine-thicket communities of the Chillagoe Formation is indicative of an acute sensitivity to regional and local moisture supply. The species occurs abundantly both as living populations on the limestone rock and associated vegetation, and as dead shells in the litter deposits. It has not been recorded from the more expansive eucalypt forest/woodland communities which dominate much of the region. An analysis of 1140 modern *P. extincta* shells from 23 collection localities within the Chillagoe Formation confirmed a sensitivity in shell growth (measured as size–correlated differences in shell whorl count) to environmental moisture availability. Differences in shell size and pattern between populations of *P. extincta* correlate positively with a declining regional north–south gradient in annual average rainfall and mean number of rain days. Measurements made on shells collected from the northern Mitchell-Palmer limestone belt are consistently more than those gathered from the Chillagoe-Mungana limestone belt further south, where the former recorded higher rainfall statistics than the latter. This apparent variation in the shell size of modern *P. extincta* is consistent with findings of environmental moisture–related variation in the shell size of terrestrial molluscs from the Kimberley in northwestern Australia (Solem and Christensen 1984) and several other detailed studies (e.g. Tillier 1981; Gould 1984) that suggest moisture is the critical factor in determining size and shape in mollusc species and between populations, more so than temperature, insolation, population density or the availability of calcium. Full details of these modern *P. extincta* investigations have been presented in Rowe (1998) and Rowe et al. (2001).

A total of 295 sub-fossil specimens of *P. extincta* were recovered from archaeological excavations at Hay Cave. A high incidence of terrestrial mollusc shell (of all species) by weight
occurred after ca. 13,600 BP to around or shortly before 3100 BP (XU49-XU38), incorporating a peak (688.0 g) at XU40. Before this time, total shell incidence is comparatively low. Above XU38, a pronounced decrease to almost negligible occurrence is evident, encompassing the period ca. 3100 BP to present. In the excavated P. extincta shells, mean whorl counts, heights and diameters peak between 13,600 BP and 13,420 BP (XU49-XU45) and all shell parameters have high values between 13,600 BP and 3100 BP (XU49-XU34), declining after ca. 3100 BP before a secondary peak through the period ca. 820-660 BP (XU12-XU6). Low values continue to the present.

Palaeoenvironmentally, both the incidence of terrestrial mollusc shell and the variation in P. extincta shell size with depth indicate dry conditions during the late Pleistocene leading into the terminal Pleistocene/Holocene boundary. This is followed by a period of increased (to peak) precipitation encompassing the early and mid Holocene, until approximately 3100 BP, in turn followed by an effective drying. Although dry, the last ca. 3100 years appear to have incorporated a wetter phase around 820-660 BP, suggesting a degree of local precipitation and general climatic variability. These major changes in moisture regimes are thought to have affected the spread, floristic structure and complexity of the vine-thicket communities throughout the Chillagoe Formation. Vine-thicket communities would have had a maximum distribution beyond the current confines of the limestone outcrops, displacing areas of sclerophyll vegetation between ca. 13,600 BP and 3100 BP. A late–Holocene drier climate allowed for a reinvansion of the sclerophyll vegetation, reducing the P. extincta habitat. The Chillagoe Formation vine thicks are therefore remnants of a more extensive late-Pleistocene to mid-Holocene flora, now represented as permanent refugia. The local Chillagoe Formation palaeoenvironmental results are, overall, consistent with higher rainfall regimes and associated maximum extent of humid rainforests during the early and mid Holocene on the Queensland east coast, as informed by palynological data (Kershaw et al. 1993; Kershaw 1994). However, the P. extincta shell data suggest of an earlier increase in moisture availability, close to 13,600 BP west of the Great Dividing Range, warrants further investigation.

**Palaeotemperatures: Alectura lathami egg shells**

The chronology of Hay Cave has also been assisted by examination of the remains of brush-turkey egg shell from its deposits. The extent of isoleucine epimerisation in Australian brush-turkey (Alectura lathami) egg shell from Hay Cave was reported by Clarke 2005 and Clarke et al. 2007. Isoleucine epimerisation (a diagenetic reaction analogous to amino acid racemisation) is the interconversion of the epimers L-isoleucine (Ile) and D-alloisoleucine (aIle), and is expressed as the ratio of these two molecules (aIle/Ile). In modern Alectura egg shell the aIle/Ile value is approximately 0.02 and increases towards a value of 1.30 at dynamic equilibrium.

A total of 99 Alectura egg-shell fragments excavated from Square M30 of Hay Cave were subjected to isoleucine epimerisation analyses. These egg shells primarily come from the upper 75 cm of the sequence; below these depths, egg shell was rare or absent. The values fall into three main clusters: 0.02 < aIle/Ile < 0.05, 0.05 < aIle/Ile < 0.08, and 0.13 < aIle/Ile < 0.16. These clusters are identified as A, B and C, respectively. Not incorporated in these three clusters are the aIle/Ile values of three egg shells, one of which falls between clusters B and C. The other two have aIle/Ile values greater than those observed in cluster C. The two egg shells with aIle/Ile values exceeding these ranges are not considered further as they are likely to reflect the influence of campfire heating on the rate of isoleucine epimerisation (Clarke et al. 2007).

The correlation between Alectura egg shell aIle/Ile values and calibrated radiocarbon ages (median of the 1σ range) obtained on the same samples can be approximated using a simple linear model that estimates that the extent of isoleucine epimerisation increases by 0.020 aIle/
Ile units every 1000 years. The root mean square error of this regression predicts that the model can be used to interpret δIle/Ile in terms of sample age with an uncertainty of approximately ± 470 years (when the average analytical uncertainty of ± 0.005 δIle/Ile units is included).

Three groups corresponding to clusters A, B and C are identified in the depth-age profile of the isoleucine epimerisation ages in the Hay Cave sequence. The implication is that three major chrono-stratigraphic phases can be identified from these data. The egg shells of cluster A were recovered from depths close to the surface down to approximately 40 cm and have age estimates of ca. 0-1500 years. The cluster B egg shells occupied depths between 40 cm and 65 cm and have ages of ca. 2000-3000 years. The egg shells of cluster C came from sediments at about 65–70 cm depth and have ages of approximately ca. 6000-7000 years. The apparently young egg shells from clusters A and B found at depths below the above-mentioned respective depths are explained, along with other radiocarbon dates, as most likely due to one of two possibilities: either post-depositional contamination through treadage and related activities, or the intrusion of hearths in the suite of Excavation Units spanning XU35-44, at the stratigraphically indistinct Pleistocene-Holocene interface (see above).

Archaeological materials: Temporal trends

To address questions of temporal trends in cultural activity and intensity of site occupation, studies have been carried out on the rock art and the main archaeological materials from Square M30: stone artefacts, animal bone, land-snail shell and brush-turkey egg shell (see Table 4 for a list of excavated materials by XU). The results of these studies are presented below.

Stone artefacts

The analysis of the stone artefacts focuses on the question of ‘intensity of site use’ through time. Holden (1999:31-46) followed the methods of Hiscock (1988:163-228) at Colless Creek, Mitchell (1988) at Seal Point, and Lamb (1994) at Fern Cave to demonstrate that discard rates of stone artefacts alone are insufficient measures of ‘intensities of site use’, as other factors may also critically affect the number of artefacts produced and discarded at a site. These factors include the manufacturing process (e.g. the use of blade versus non-blade technologies; bipolar versus freehand flaking techniques; heat treatment), lithic resource manipulation (e.g. decortication at the quarry versus all stages of core reduction on-site) and taphonomic processes (e.g. post-depositional heat shatter from adjacent hearths; removal of small artefacts by water action). A set of appropriate multiple attributes was thus used to investigate these processes at Hay Cave (see Holden 1999 for a detailed analysis of the Hay Cave stone artefacts). Here we present a summary of these results.

Quantities of stone artefacts from SU2 and SU3 at Hay Cave were too low to conclusively assess changes in human activity during those periods. Suggestive trends, however, were apparent. In general, deposition rates of the weight of stone material through the deposit strongly correlate with those of burnt earth, mussel shell and egg shell (Figure 6). The few stone artefacts in the lower levels suggest overall low levels of site use between ca. 30,000 BP and 13,600 BP, followed by much reduced numbers or a possible hiatus in occupation during the early Holocene when the cave might have been too humid for regular occupation, with peak levels of human activity taking place after ca. 3000 BP and continuing until the near-present. Holden argued that after ca. 3000 BP, increases in the frequency, length of duration of stay or number of people using the site (all of which potentially contribute to increased intensities of site use) boosted the demand on stone, leading to practices aimed at conserving the resource. For example, during the late Holocene, an emphasis was placed on later stages of reduction, with high levels of controlled flaking, along with increased lithic discard rates. Fluctuations in lithic deposition rates appear to be unrelated to differential activity locations, raw-material selection or taphonomic processes, and are thus largely explained by changing intensities of site use by people (Figure 6; see Holden 1999 for specific details).
Table 4. Excavated materials, Hay Cave square M30.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XU</th>
<th>Flaked stone artefacts (#)</th>
<th>Flaked stone artefacts (g)</th>
<th>Mean weight of flaked stone artefacts (g)</th>
<th>Bone (g)</th>
<th>Mussel shell (g)</th>
<th>Egg shell (g)</th>
<th>Charcoal (g)</th>
<th>Burnt earth (g)</th>
<th>Hearth stones (#)</th>
<th>Hearth stones (g)</th>
<th>Bone points (#)</th>
<th>Burnt seeds (#)</th>
<th>Burnt seeds shells (g)</th>
<th>CaCO3 concretions (g)</th>
<th>Roots (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>156.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>162.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>162.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.07</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>197.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>217.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>236.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>96.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13a</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>30.9</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>55.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16.18</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6.74</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15.62</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>1 71.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>30.16</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>11.46</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>29.72</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>32.32</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>13.46</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>22.87</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>26.04</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.51</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>130.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.06</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>225.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>99.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>109.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>100.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>66.89</td>
<td>22.30</td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>124.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>112.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>113.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11.66</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>95.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>126.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15.13</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>58.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>113.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17.81</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>113.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>59</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>160.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Teeth and jaw fragment NISP, Hay Cave Square M30 (after Roche 1999:82, table VI).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Excavation Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macropus agilis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macropus giganteus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macropus parryi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macropus robustus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrogale sp.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macropodidae (sp. indeterminate)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichosurus vulpecula</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petaurus norfolcensis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoodon obesulus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoodon macrourus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perameles nasuta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bettongia tropica</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasyurus hallucatus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dasyuridae (sp. indeterminate)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phascogale tapoatafa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phascogale (sp. indeterminate)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sminthopsis murina</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conilurus penicillatus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesembriyos gouldii</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uromys caudimaculatus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rattus tunneyi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rattus (sp. indeterminate)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Teeth and jaw fragment NISP, Hay Cave Square M30 (after Roche 1999:82, table VI).
Vertebrate faunal remains

Roche (1999) extended the above study by examining the animal bone from Square M30. Because of the large quantities of bone present, the bones were identified from every third XU down to XU57, below which every XU was analysed for taxonomic identifications. For analytical purposes, Roche divided the excavated sequence into arbitrary units, each representing a period of approximately 3000 years (Analytical Units 1-5, excluding Analytical Unit 2a, which is added here for the purposes of this paper). The Analytical Units followed the timeframes below, and were based on extrapolation from the depth-age curve (Hughes and Djohadze 1980):

Analytical Unit 1: [XU1-34, representing 150 BP to 3000 BP]
Analytical Unit 2a: [XU35 to 40, representing 3000 BP to 12,000 BP]
Analytical Unit 2b: [XU41-52, representing 12,000 BP to 15,000 BP]
Analytical Unit 3: [XU53-56, representing 16,000 BP to 19,500 BP]
Analytical Unit 4: [XU57-59, representing 23,500 BP to 27,000 BP]
Analytical Unit 5: [XU60-63, representing 29,500 BP to 32,000 BP]

These Analytical Units are distinct from the SUs and XUs that structured the excavation itself, and were employed to facilitate examination of temporal trends through the use of chronological units of roughly equal, and therefore more comparable, duration. The identifiable bone was analysed by Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI), and the unidentified, fragmented bone by the Number of Identified Specimens (NISP) (cf. Grayson 1984:28, 30; Lyman 1994:98, 100). Bone deposition rates (Figure 6) conform to the general trends observable in other cultural indices, except that high levels also occur between ca. 32,000 BP and 18,000 BP when other indices are low; and levels increase markedly between ca. 15,000 BP and 12,500 BP. Bone, therefore, appears prominent in the lower and middle units (Analytical Units 5, 4, 2).
As soil pH remained constant throughout the deposit, general variations in quantities of bone over time are not related to changes in the acidity of the matrix. There is a generally high rate of post-cranial fragmentation throughout (more than 90% of the fragments are smaller than 15 mm); frequencies of skeletal elements revealed little variation between XU, with limb bones being the most common post-cranial bones. All calculations in this analysis were adjusted for temporal variation between XUs. Calcined and carbonised bones are present in almost all XUs and highest between XU34-28 and XU52-46. That is, burned bone is most evident between ca. 3000-2500 BP and ca. 15,000-13,500 BP, which we argue represents those levels when the site was most heavily used by people. The presence of burnt bone in Hay Cave cannot be attributed to natural (bush) fires due to its protected location inside a cave which is itself surrounded by fire-protected limestone pediments; furthermore, the presence of calcined bone is generally understood to be closely related to human activity (e.g. Shipman et al. 1984:323; David 1990:75).

Taxonomic identifications were undertaken on teeth and jaws, indicating the presence of 24 distinct species plus five indeterminate family- or genus-level taxa which could not be attributed to species (Table 5). Macropod bones are present in almost all XUs, with NISP peak deposition periods in XU34-25 (the lower part of Analytical Unit 1) and XU52-43 (Analytical Unit 2b) – that is, between ca. 3000 BP and 2500 BP and between ca. 15,000 BP and 13,500 BP. Macropod MNI are highest in Analytical Unit 1 (ca. 3000 BP and 150 BP)(Table 6).
Hay Cave: A 30,000-year cultural sequence from the Mitchell-Palmer limestone zone, north Queensland, Australia

Table 6. Description of Analytical Units (see Roche 1999:101-11 for further details).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analytical Unit</th>
<th>Years BP</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>150-3000</td>
<td>This unit contains the fastest sedimentation rates, peak proportions of macropods, and the second-highest value of calcined bone. There is also evidence for the rationing and conservation of stone (Holden 1999:96), indicating that demands on lithic raw materials were high. All of the dated rock art belongs to this time. The complete absence of grassland faunal taxa (with murid NISP at their lowest) cannot be related to differential environmental or climatic conditions. Rather, people, with their high incidence of hearths, are inversely correlated with the frequency of murids at this time (and, by implication, probably also the use of the cave by predatory birds). Forest faunal taxa also show a marginal decrease from the prior wetter period (of the early to mid Holocene represented by Analytical Unit 2a). Lower precipitation during Analytical Unit 1 is also reflected in low deposition rates of land-snail shell and calcium-carbonate concretions (cf. Rowe 1998:78-79).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>3000-12,000</td>
<td>Between ca. 3000 BP and 13,500 BP, land-snail shell and calcium-carbonate deposits increased noticeably, indicating peak levels of precipitation (Rowe 1998:78-79). The period between 3000 BP and 12,000 BP is not clearly differentiated at Hay Cave, partly due to the presence of mixed sediments during this period, the coarser nature of those sediments (indicating that finer sediments may have been washed away through percolating water action), and the cementing of sediments in calcium-carbonate concretions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>12,000-15,000</td>
<td>Human use of the site is less than during the late Holocene, but higher than preceding Analytical Units, with high weights of calcined bone and other indices. Murids and macropods are also abundant, with peak incidence of open forest/woodland taxa. Grassland taxa, however, are little different in proportion to those of Analytical Units 4 and 5, indicating that grasslands were not expansive at this time. During this time, the increased use of the site by people and other fauna, including murid predators, is related to higher levels of precipitation than previously.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16,000-19,500</td>
<td>Human use was marginally more than during the preceding Analytical Unit 4. Here, there is also a low level of murids, and the second-lowest weight of calcined bone, murid and macropod NISP. Climatic conditions were dry, as indicated by low levels of land-snail shell and calcium-carbonate concretions. Low levels also of open forest/woodland animals are consistent with drier environmental conditions and a reduction in forests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23,500-27,000</td>
<td>A relatively low level of human use is shown by average levels of calcined bone and macropods, and low levels of flaked stone and burnt earth. There is a high proportion of murids, indicating that animals and probably predatory birds were frequent users of the cave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>29,500-32,000</td>
<td>A low level of human use is indicated by the low amount of calcined bone, the slow rate of sedimentation, and the lowest values of macropods contrasting with the highest proportions of murids.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Greater amounts of macropod than murid bone at a site are usually suggestive of people rather than other predators, even though the diets of people and animal predators may overlap to some extent (Balme et al. 1978:52; Bowdler 1984:63; David 1984b:40). Macropods form a key element of human diets, while murids are more prominent in the diets of other predators, such as birds using caves as roosts. More intensive human use of a cave or rockshelter, therefore, often results in a decline in murid bones due to the presence of hearths and other disturbances to the lair or roost of non-human predators (David 1984a:49). As rates of bone fragmentation remained constant throughout the Hay Cave sequence, differential bone preservation does not appear to account for the changes in the absolute or relative representation of macropods and murids. Frequencies of murid bones can thus be contrasted with frequencies of culturally deposited bones (in particular, macropod) within the deposit. Accordingly, and in contrast with the pattern of macropod bone at Hay Cave, murid NISP are almost totally absent in Analytical Unit 1 when human activity is most prominent (murid bones are absent in XU31-1, except for XU13). They are present in all other Analytical Units, with a peak presence in Analytical Units 4 and 5 (Figure 7). Comparison between Analytical Units 1 and 5, representing the two extremes of highest and lowest human occupation respectively, indicates a marked negative correlation between murid and macropod bone concentrations; when murid concentrations are high, macropod are low, and vice versa. In line with previous studies, such as at Walkunder...
Arch Cave also in the Chillagoe Formation, the implication is that the human use of Hay Cave is significantly greatest during the last ca. 3000 years in Analytical Unit 1 (Roche 1999:80-92).

The frequency of open forest/woodland taxa, as measured by NISP/1000 years, indicates their presence throughout the deposit, with very low frequencies in Analytical Unit 3 (the Last Glacial Maximum) and peak frequencies in Analytical Unit 2 (terminal Pleistocene). This may be an indication of a climatic gradient from maximum aridity to increasing humidity, and therefore a decline in open forest/woodland during the drier Last Glacial Maximum followed by an increase during the more humid terminal Pleistocene into the early Holocene. However, the complete absence of grassland taxa (for example, murids) in Analytical Units 1 and 3 may be related to the increased presence of humans during the late Holocene and possibly the Last Glacial Maximum also. As Analytical Unit 3 represents the glacial maximum – a time of peak aridity when grasslands were more dominant – the results in this case are somewhat ambiguous and may be interpreted either as evidence of changed environmental conditions and/or as a period of increased human presence (Roche 1999:92-100).

If we summarise the data regarding people’s activities at Hay Cave, comparing vertebrate faunal evidence with the deposition rates of other cultural materials and with the speed of sediment accumulation through time, peak rates of use by people consistently occur during the late Holocene (especially the past ca. 3000 years in Analytical Unit 1), followed by Analytical Unit 2b (the terminal Pleistocene, ca. 15,000 BP to 12,000 BP), with Analytical Units 3, 4 and 5 showing sequentially decreasing levels.

The rock art

Hay Cave contains 92 rock pictures, all but four of which are either drawn (mainly in black charcoal) or painted. The drawn and painted art is from here on referred to as ‘pigment art’. The other four rock pictures are moderately patinated engravings pecked into the rock wall. Appendix 1 lists each of these rock pictures.

Four radiocarbon determinations were obtained from small scrapings of charcoal pigment art. Two of these are from generalised anthropomorphs located on a small panel near the cave entrance, but well inside the dripline (Figure 8). This decorated panel is found high up on the...
overhanging rock wall, in a part of the cave that could only be accessed by artists when standing on a large boulder on the scree slope and reaching up. This localised panel is segregated spatially from the main body of artwork in the cave, marking the cave entrance, and the charcoal drawings found here would appear, on both spatial and stylistic grounds, to represent a single artistic event. The two radiocarbon-dated charcoal drawings from this panel are part of a spatially and stylistically close-knit set of ‘Generalised Anthropomorphs’ and ‘Anthropomorphs with Inverted V-Headresses’ (see David et al. 1997 for details of the Mitchell-Palmer region’s rock art). About 1 m away around the corner of the same rock that protrudes down from the ceiling, an ‘Anthropomorph with Knobbed Headress’, drawn in a similar manner to the other two radiocarbon-dated anthropomorphs, was also radiocarbon dated. Each of these three radiocarbon determinations overlaps at two standard deviations, and indicates that this panel and the nearby paintings were probably all drawn around 1500 BP.

The fourth radiocarbon-dated rock drawing is located in a protected part of the site in semi-dark conditions on the vertical wall of a large limestone pillar close to the back wall. It consists of a set of ‘Radiating Lines’ (see David et al. 2001:112 for a tracing of this drawing). The radiocarbon date for this image is 1010 ± 60 BP (OZC608).

The two major implications from the radiocarbon dates at Hay Cave are that, firstly, artistic activity took place late during the late Holocene, during a span of time when cultural activity (including the application of pigment art on rock) and demographic conditions appear to have reached a peak across much of north Queensland (see David and Chant 1995). And, secondly, that the artistic activity at Hay Cave took place at a point in time with no in-situ evidence of human presence in that part of the site we have excavated. For example, even when the out-of-sequence 14C sample OZD010 is taken into account, there appears to be an absence of sedimentation and cultural deposition between ca. 1500 BP and 1000 BP. Therefore, it could be argued that at that time the cultural significance of the site shifted, the cave being no longer suitable for habitation, rather being reserved for artistic practices and their symbolic and cosmological associations.

**Discussion**

**Palaeoenvironmental trends at Hay Cave**

Based on the incidence of grassland and open forest/woodland animal taxa, Roche (1999:111-13) concluded that gross vegetation patterns in the Hay Cave area remained generally stable through time (relative to more coastal parts of southeast Cape York Peninsula). That is, throughout the past 30,000 years, the Hay Cave environment retained its patchwork of open forest/woodland and grassland with small pockets of wet forest vegetation amongst the karst towers.

Nevertheless, some changes did take place. Between ca. 19,000 BP and 16,000 BP, low proportions of open forest/woodland animal taxa indicate more arid conditions than previously, but less dramatic changes than have been described for the Atherton Tableland some 150 km to the southeast (cf. Butler 1998:5; Kershaw 1986:48). This drier period is followed by an increase in open forest/woodland animal taxa between ca. 15,000 BP and 12,000 BP, suggesting increasing precipitation (Roche 1999). Rowe's (1998) complementary land-snail shell and calcium-carbonate concretion data also indicate dry conditions leading into the terminal Pleistocene/Holocene boundary, followed by a period of increased-to-peak precipitation encompassing the early and mid Holocene ca. 13,600-3100 BP. Peak humid conditions during this time began shortly after ca. 13,600-13,420 BP. The sediments of the mid-Holocene period at Hay Cave include the phase ca. 7000-6000 BP, as indicated by the Australian brush-turkey (*Alectura lathami*) egg-shell cluster C.
At Hay Cave, the onset of increased precipitation (and end of peak aridity) in the terminal Pleistocene (between ca. 15,000 BP and 12,000 BP) generally overlaps in timing with that of other parts of southeast Cape York Peninsula ca. 13,000-10,000 BP (Torgensen et al. 1988:259), although its commencement at Hay Cave may be slightly earlier. The analysis of land-snail shell (Rowe 1998) present throughout the Hay Cave sequence, indicates an unbroken association with vine thicket. While the karst formations may have acted as refugia for such relatively humid forest vegetation, low quantities of rainforest/wet forest vertebrate taxa at the site suggest that humid forest or vine thicket itself was never expansive across the region during the past 30,000 years. Here, relatively wet forest/vine thicket may have been restricted mainly to small refugia like the karsts and creek edges, even during the wetter terminal-Pleistocene to mid-Holocene period.

The past ca. 3000 years, by way of contrast, were generally drier, with Rowe’s land-snail shell data indicating that a short wetter phase occurred also between ca. 900 BP and 600 BP, suggesting overall general climatic variability. Open forest/woodland vertebrate taxa accordingly show a marginal decrease from the prior wetter period of the early to mid Holocene.

Cultural trends at Hay Cave

Drawing all the material together, we have the following sequence. People first started using Hay Cave around 30,000 BP or shortly beforehand, but at first, human occupation was low. The following, increasingly drier, periods that led into the glacial maximum (ca. 19,500-16,000 BP), continued to experience low levels of site use by people. Murids are absent during this phase of peak aridity. Throughout this long period of time, the cave was occupied more frequently by animals, including predatory birds. These trends were measured by the various indices – deposition rates of sediment, animal bone, mussel shell and egg shell; and construction rates of hearths, incorporating charcoal, burnt earth and structural features. These indicate that low levels of site use continued until around 15,000 BP when evidence of human presence begins to increase. This was a time of open forest/woodland and grassland communities.

The first noticeable long-term rise in occupation by people coincided with increasing rates of precipitation between ca. 15,000 BP and 12,000 BP that continued into the early to mid Holocene until around 3100 BP. With the onset of increasing precipitation at this time, people began to use the cave more often and there is a peak in the incidence of open forest/woodland animal taxa. Murids also are present, indicating a continuation of mixed human and non-human use of the cave.

Patterns of human occupation at the site are unclear to some extent during the early to mid Holocene due to stratigraphic disturbances. The significant rise in levels of precipitation between ca. 13,600 BP and 3100 BP (Rowe 1998:78-79) may have resulted in disturbance to the cave’s deposits, as indicated by larger sediment particle sizes and high quantities of calcium-carbonate concretions, together with increases in land-snail shell. To what extent people continued using the cave throughout this lengthy period is uncertain, although the presence of cultural remains in those deposits (often encrusted in thick calcium-carbonate concretions) testifies against total abandonment. During this period, radiocarbon dates on charcoal and egg shell at Hay Cave, and chronological estimates based on degrees of isoleucine epimerisation among Australian brush-turkey egg shells, point to depositional events around 12,000 BP; between 7000-6000 BP and around 5400 BP.

The most intensive period of occupation of the cave was during the late Holocene, between ca. 3100 BP until the near-present, a period of drier, changeable climate. This peak period of human use of Hay Cave between ca. 3000 BP and 150 BP is shown by all indices, including the fastest deposition rates of sediments, and also of a broad class of cultural materials, including peak proportions of macropod bone and high calcined bone frequencies. Attempts were made
during this time to ration and conserve stone, indicating that demands for lithic raw materials were high relative to availability. The decline in murids may also be linked to increased human presence. Indeed, murids are only present in XU13, coinciding with a short wet phase dated to within ca. 900–600 BP, suggesting that wetter conditions may have then limited people’s use of the damp cave, leaving it to other predators including birds. There is no strong evidence here to link murids predominantly to human diet.

It is during this recent period also that rock art – in particular pigment art – makes its appearance. Around 1500 BP, artists began to use the site in a new way, and in doing so, ceased to live in it – or at least in the area we excavated, using it instead in a specialised way. The symbolic marking of the cave with charcoal anthropomorphs at its entrance, and a broader range of designs within its chambers, signals the onset of a new system of territorial place marking and cosmological referencing that point to a changing social world.

Regional trends and patterns of site use

General comparisons can be drawn between the chronological trends observable at Hay Cave and trends derived from regional archaeological data. Long-term trends for southeast Cape York Peninsula have been obtained in three ways by analysing temporal patterning in (a) all radiocarbon dates, (b) the number of occupied sites, and (c) the rates of site establishment, at a regional scale and over a 30,000-year period (David and Lourandos 1997; Lourandos and David 1998, 2002). While accepting the generalised nature of all these trends and their methodological limitations, they nevertheless offer the opportunity to draw comparisons between different kinds of data sets and between data derived at different analytical scales: in this case, comparing site trends with regional trends.

A general comparison between the Hay Cave trends and the regional trends shows some strong similarities. In both, rates of site and regional land use by people are low from before 30,000 BP until after the Last Glacial Maximum, with noticeable increases in all indices during the terminal Pleistocene after ca. 15,000 BP, and with even higher rises in the late Holocene after ca. 3000 BP until recent times. These trends apply both to highly durable materials such as stone artefacts and to hard organic items such as animal bones and shell at sites with good preservation. Similar trends are observed also in other site data, including the incidence of hearths, evidence for treadage-induced disturbance, and overall sedimentation rates. They also apply to rock art, which evidences major quantitative increases in pigment art creation (see below). The general trend, therefore, is consistent across the varied lines of evidence (e.g. stone artefacts, faunal remains, rock art) and across analytical scales (site and regional).

In this context, and at both site and regional scales, the glacial maximum is clearly associated with changes to environment and site use. There are, however, no signs of disuse or abandonment of Hay Cave at this time, as occur at some other sites in the region. During the Last Glacial Maximum, the karst towers may have served as more humid locations, to which people periodically retreated, within a generally drier landscape. This pattern of increased use during the Last Glacial Maximum is observable at the sites of Fern Cave, Hearth Cave and Sandy Creek 1, all located near permanent sources of water (Morwood and Hobbs 1995:180; David and Lourandos 1997:6). Likewise, Hay Cave lies close to Limestone Creek, assumed to have been active during the Last Glacial Maximum.

The early Holocene period remains less clear to some extent, at both local (Hay Cave) and regional scales, due to sediments being affected by post-depositional taphonomic factors such as lag deposits caused by increased precipitation levels in most sites excavated so far. In addition to Hay Cave, this problem has been documented also at Walkunder Arch Cave (Campbell 1982:65), Green Ant Shelter (Flood and Horsfall 1986:39), Sandy Creek 1 (Morwood and Hobbs 1995:78) and Fern Cave (David and Chant 1995:401). In contrast to this issue, however,
the general regional trends for this same early-mid Holocene period indicate significant rises in site establishment and use (David and Lourandos 1997).

The Hay Cave sequence, therefore, appears to reflect the site's particular location, and individual characteristics, within the environmentally varied region of southeast Cape York Peninsula. Throughout its occupational history, the Hay Cave environment appears to have remained largely a mixture of open forest/woodland and grassland, with small pockets of dry rainforest vegetation in the immediate vicinity of karst towers. Overall environmental change during the Last Glacial Maximum, for example, was less marked than has been described for the Atherton Tableland on the eastern plateau (Kershaw et al. 2007). In general, Hay Cave reflects a more stable and drier climate when compared with the more humid areas some 150 km further to the southeast, towards the higher parts of the Great Dividing Range and the coast. Lying within a rain shadow, the Hay Cave area appears to have been shielded from the more dramatic climatic oscillations experienced in more coastal regions nearby (see also Rowe 1998:78; Roche 1999:115-25). Nevertheless, the Mitchell-Palmer region (including Hay Cave) witnessed similar directions of environmental change to those further in the east.

Regional trends and patterns: Rock art

We have elsewhere argued that the past 2000 years in particular saw an increased regionalisation of social practice across southeast Cape York Peninsula (David and Chant 1995; David and Lourandos 1998, 1999; David 2002). In Princess Charlotte Bay and its neighbouring Flinders Island group, the late-Holocene art includes numerous figurative paintings of moths/butterflies and zoomorphs with crescent heads (David and Chant 1995). Close by to the south, in the Koolburra Plateau, large numbers of paintings of echidna-human therianthropes predominate (Flood 1987). Across the Kennedy River, just off the eastern edge of the Koolburra Plateau, the Laura sandstones are richly decorated with a broad range of anthropomorphs and, to a lesser degree, zoomorphs, many of which are life-sized and contain elaborate decoration in bichrome or polychrome (e.g. Cole 1992; Morwood and Hobbs 1995). To the immediate south of the Laura sandstones, in the Mitchell-Palmer limestone belt, generalised anthropomorphs abound, many painted upside-down (David et al. 1997). To the south, neighbouring the Mitchell-Palmer limestones, are the Bonney Glen granite boulders, where again, generalised anthropomorphs and zoomorphs occur (David 1998a). Immediately to the south of the Mitchell and Walsh Rivers is Ngarrabullgan, a large, cliff-lined mountain where the art suddenly and dramatically contrasts with that of all its northern neighbours (David 1998b): here, no more do we find a predominance of figurative paintings of human and animal shapes. Instead, we find linear abstract designs, such as single and composite lines, circles and their variants, radiating and grid designs. In the Mungana, Chillagoe, and Almaden areas on the other side of the Featherbed Ranges and to the immediate southwest of Ngarrabullgan – in a similar geological setting to the Mitchell-Palmer limestone belt further to the north – we again find a predominance of abstract linear paintings (e.g. David and David 1988). In the Davies Creek region on the edge of the rainforest further to the east, there is a predominance of localised figurative forms in the shape of generalised anthropomorphs with upraised arms and down-turned legs, in what some authors have described as a ‘dancing’ style (Clegg 1978; see David and Chant 1995, and David and Lourandos 1998 for reviews of regional rock-art conventions). There is, therefore, significant late–Holocene regionalism in southeast Cape York Peninsula’s rock art. During that time, individual sites began to be used in new ways, adding to our understanding of social process. Socio-territorial changes documented for the region thus now also appear to be associated with changes in the meaning of specific places. We suggest that the increased regionalism of the past two millennia took place as territorial constrictions, and were inscribed by local artistic practices and legitimated by increasingly localised cosmological
referents to local ancestral spirit beings that came to be known ethnographically as ‘Dreamings’. These Dreamings became referents to ‘country’; to landscapes populated not only by people but also ancestors and spirit-forces that gave the land its particular character in language and culture, as was apparent during the early European contact period. The portrayal of Dreaming beings and spirit-forces in rock art during the past 1500 years at Hay Cave, and the past 3000–2000 years across southeast Cape York Peninsula, at a time coincident with significant increases in site and regional land use, suggests the onset of population increases and social and territorial restructuring (David and Lourandos 1997, 1998, 1999), in association with a new or transforming cosmology; akin to that which through time became the ethnographic Dreaming system of this region. The predominance of anthropomorphs in the rock art of the entire Mitchell-Palmer region is a graphic articulation of this process of territorial and cosmological regionalisation expressed in site-specific depictions and use.

**Conclusions**

At Hay Cave, long-term archaeological trends have been characterised in a number of ways by employing a multifarious approach, composed of a range of separate indices and trends. The overall *general* archaeological trend is consistent across the varied lines of evidence and across different analytical scales, both site-specific and regional. At Hay Cave, generalised, long-term archaeological trends compare favourably with general, regional temporal trends derived from separate data sets. In both, rates of site and regional land use by people are low from before ca. 30,000 BP until after the Last Glacial Maximum, with noticeable increases in all indices during the terminal Pleistocene after ca. 15,000 BP, and with even higher rises in the late Holocene after ca. 3000 BP until recent times.

At Hay Cave, palaeoenvironmental trends were analysed by use of the land-snail shells and vertebrate faunal material and these also reflected the wider, general regional trends, while at the same time presenting a more localised focus. Lying further inland, Hay Cave falls outside the more humid coastal belt and its more dramatic climatic oscillations. The broader relationships between the long-term, regional palaeoenvironmental trends and cultural patterns, as well as human demographic trends, have been discussed elsewhere (cf. David and Lourandos 1997, 1998, 1999; Lourandos and David 2002). At Hay Cave, the rock art, for example, is clearly a local manifestation of the regionalised rock-art patterns of the past 2000 years found across the wider Cape York Peninsula area that have been viewed in terms of increased and denser Aboriginal populations (David and Lourandos 1998). In all, these spatio-temporal patterns of human behaviour observed through the analysis of individual sites and their broader regional patterning – incorporating emplaced art and deposition rates of varied cultural materials – amount to a *spatial history* of Aboriginal Australia.
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Appendix 1. The rock pictures of Hay Cave; motif types follow David et al. (1997).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Picture #</th>
<th>Motif type</th>
<th>Engraving</th>
<th>Drawing or painting colour</th>
<th>Maximum length (cm)</th>
<th>$^14$C date (BP)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>anthropomorph with inverted V-headress</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>anthropomorph with inverted V-headress</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>anthropomorph with inverted V-headress</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>anthropomorph with inverted V-headress</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td>$1480 \pm 50$ (OZD427)</td>
<td>male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>anthropomorph with inverted V-headress</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td>$1700 \pm 90$ (OZD425)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>generalised zoomorph</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td>lizard with crescent-head shape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>orange</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 1. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Picture #</th>
<th>Motif type</th>
<th>Engraving</th>
<th>Drawing or painting colour</th>
<th>Maximum length (cm)</th>
<th>$^{14}$C date (BP)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>drawn area</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>his motif type was not described in David et al. 1997. It consists of numerous drawn lines covering a small area a few centimetres square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>drawn area</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>See Picture 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph with fingers and/or toes</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph with fingers and/or toes</td>
<td>white outline-red infill</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>upside-down anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>generalised zoomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>lizard-shape</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>complex anthropomorph</td>
<td>white outline-red infill-white internal decoration</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td>upside-down male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph with fingers and/or toes</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>ca. 32</td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph with fingers and/or toes</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td>female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>anthropomorph with knobbed headdress</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>anthropomorph with knobbed headdress</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture #</td>
<td>Motif type</td>
<td>Engraving</td>
<td>Drawing or painting colour</td>
<td>Maximum length (cm)</td>
<td>$^{14}$C date (BP)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph with fingers and/or toes</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>upside-down female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph grid</td>
<td>black</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>radiating lines</td>
<td>black</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1010 ± 60 (OZC608)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>bird track</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>trident with heel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>bird track</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph with fingers and/or toes</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>upside-down anthropomorph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>anthropomorph with knobbed headdress</td>
<td>black</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1570 ± 110 (OZC848)</td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>anthropomorph with inverted V-headdress</td>
<td>black</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>indeterminate</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>indeterminate</td>
<td>red</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>indeterminate</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>anthropomorph with inverted V-headdress</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td>red</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td>red</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picture #</td>
<td>Motif type</td>
<td>Engraving</td>
<td>Drawing or painting colour</td>
<td>Maximum length (cm)</td>
<td>¹⁴C date (BP)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>indeterminate</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>indeterminate</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>radiating lines</td>
<td></td>
<td>red</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>indeterminate</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>generalised anthropomorph</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>indeterminate</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>linear non-figurative</td>
<td></td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


### Appendix 1. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Picture #</th>
<th>Motif type</th>
<th>Engraving</th>
<th>Drawing or painting colour</th>
<th>Maximum length (cm)</th>
<th>(^{14}C) date (BP)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>indeterminate</td>
<td>black</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Introduction

Peter Kershaw has contributed substantially to the understanding of palaeoenvironmental change in Australia, particularly in relation to the timing of Aboriginal colonisation and anthropomorphic alterations of vegetation communities. More recently, Kershaw and colleagues have studied the palaeoenvironment of southwestern Victorian landscapes, with emphasis on the palaeoecology of lakes and swamps, especially in regard to the appearance of Aboriginal water management and fish-trapping systems on the Mt Eccles lava flow and the relationship of these systems to socioeconomic complexity of Aboriginal groups in the southwest (e.g. Kershaw 2004; Tibby et al. 2006; Buiith et al. 2008; Kershaw and Lewis 2011). This chapter addresses similar issues of Aboriginal social complexity in southwest Victoria, but examines them from a nearby coastal landscape perspective.

There has been controversy regarding the complexity of Aboriginal societies in southwest Victoria since the 1880s, with disagreement focused on the nature of leadership in the ethnographic period (e.g. Dawson 1881, 1887; Curr 1886; Howitt 1887, 1904; Corris 1968; Lourandos 1977, 1980a, b, 1983, 1984, 1987, 1997; Barwick 1984; Williams 1985, 1987; Critchett 1990, 1998; Edwards 1987; Hiatt 1996; Keen 2006; Hayden 2006) and expanding a century later into debates regarding Lourandos’s socioeconomic intensification modelling for the late-Holocene (e.g. Lourandos 1980a, 1983, 1985a,b, 1988, 1993, 1996, 1997; Beaton 1983, 1985; McBryde 1984; Williams 1985, 1987, 1988; Godfrey 1989; Bird and Frankel 1991a,b, 1998, 2005; Lourandos and Ross 1994; Bird et al. 1998, 1999; McNiven et al. 1999; David et al. 2006; Keen 2006; Hiscock 2008). ‘Complex’, ‘affluent’, or ‘transegalitarian’ foragers or hunter-gatherers are societies thought to exhibit characteristics of cultural and social complexity that contrast with an idealised view of egalitarian, highly mobile hunter-gatherers (Koyama and
Transegalitarian societies are societies that are neither egalitarian nor politically stratified; they are thus intermediate between generalized hunter-gatherers and chiefdoms in terms of the social and economic inequalities that characterize them. (Owens and Hayden 1997:121)

While much of the debate regarding transegalitarian features in the archaeological record of southwest Victoria has revolved around Aboriginal water control and eel management infrastructure, and earth mounds, Aboriginal marine shell middens are a major source of contention in the region, with Lourandos (1983, 1993, 1997:224-227; Lourandos and Ross 1994:58-59) documenting the increasing use and establishment of middens from ca. 3500 years
ago as evidence in support of his intensification model, although this is disputed by some other researchers (e.g. Godfrey 1989; Bird and Frankel 1991a, b; Hiscock 2008:190-191). Missing from these discussions have been comparisons between the structure and contents of late-Holocene middens and earlier middens, due to the absence of data from formally excavated early-Holocene middens in the region.

Excavations on an Aboriginal landscape at Cape Duquesne provide crucial evidence for early-Holocene coastal occupation in this region and this paper will document the chronology, contents and structure of several excavated middens from this period and characterise midden deposition rates and littoral resource exploitation patterns (Figure 1). This baseline data set for the early-Holocene will be compared with data from the late-Holocene as an additional means of evaluating possible late-Holocene changes in coastal resource use related to or reflecting increased complexity in regional societies.

Before the Cape Duquesne Aboriginal landscape data are presented, the regional archaeological context and local environmental setting are briefly reviewed.

**Previous archaeology**


Bridgewater South Cave, only 8 km north of Cape Duquesne, was excavated by Lourandos in the mid 1970s (1976, 1980a, 1983, 1997). The site consists of superficially disturbed stratified deposits both inside and in front of a medium-sized limestone rockshelter that had excellent preservation of organic material (see cover photograph of this volume). Of interest here is the late-Pleistocene-early-Holocene occupation evidence within stratigraphic Phase A, dating between ca. 13,250 cal BP and ca. 9350 cal BP (Table 1) (Lourandos 1983:83; Head 1985:5):

During this phase there is an over-riding emphasis on land mammals that consisted of a substantial proportion of macropods (including the grey kangaroo) and wombat. There was correspondingly very little representation of marine foods. Apart from scattered pieces of shell of both sandy beach and ocean rock platform species there was evidence of one seal and one fish. Flaked stone was in low frequency... (Lourandos 1980a:348)

Lourandos (1980a:349-350) characterises occupation during Phase A as likely to have occurred during autumn-winter, with the evidence suggesting ‘...an ephemeral use of the site as a hunting bivouac...’ (Lourandos 1997:201-202).

Although currently 1.5 km from the sea in a straight line, Bridgewater South Cave would have been ca. 3.75 km from the Discovery Bay coastline at 11,000 cal BP, ca. 3.0 km at 10,000 cal BP and only ca. 2.25 km by 9000 cal BP, thus within easy reach of the shoreline; however, it is apparent that decisions were made not to exploit coastal resources from this camp, with the major exception of a high-value resource such as seals.

In several ways, Koongine Cave is a twin of Bridgewater South Cave, located at the opposite end of Discovery Bay, some 85 km to the northwest (Bird and Frankel 2001:74). It is also a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Lab code</th>
<th>Dating method</th>
<th>¹⁴C age (years BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated age BP 95.4% prob.</th>
<th>Cal BP med. prob.</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bridgewater South Cave</td>
<td>Pit C</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>Beta-3923</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>11390 ± 310</td>
<td>12644-13845</td>
<td>13250</td>
<td>Lourandos 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgewater South Cave</td>
<td>Pit B</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>SUA-2175</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>10900 ± 90</td>
<td>12600-13064</td>
<td>12800</td>
<td>Head 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgewater South Cave</td>
<td>Pit C</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>Beta-8465</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>10760 ± 10</td>
<td>12575-12737</td>
<td>12650</td>
<td>Head 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridgewater South Cave</td>
<td>Pit I</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>Beta-8464</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>8350 ± 130</td>
<td>9027-9536</td>
<td>9350</td>
<td>Head 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Monbong Site</td>
<td>Site 4, Midden 1</td>
<td>marine shell</td>
<td>Wk-1105</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>8410 ± 90</td>
<td>8715-9273</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>Godfrey 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Swan Lake Site</td>
<td>Site 2, Midden 1</td>
<td>marine shell</td>
<td>LTU-18</td>
<td>First Order</td>
<td>9450 ± 1250</td>
<td>7509-13304</td>
<td>10400</td>
<td>Frankel 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koongine</td>
<td>J9/59</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>Beta-14862</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>9590 ± 140</td>
<td>10523-11243</td>
<td>10900</td>
<td>Bird and Frankel 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koongine</td>
<td>J9/44</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>Beta-14861</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>9710 ± 180</td>
<td>10560-11708</td>
<td>11050</td>
<td>Bird and Frankel 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koongine</td>
<td>J9/26</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>Beta-15996</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>9240 ± 100</td>
<td>10229-10664</td>
<td>10400</td>
<td>Bird and Frankel 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koongine</td>
<td>J9/20</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>Beta-21541</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>8900 ± 110</td>
<td>9631-10240</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>Bird and Frankel 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koongine</td>
<td>J9/14</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>Beta-14859</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>8270 ± 400</td>
<td>8345-10224</td>
<td>9200</td>
<td>Bird and Frankel 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble’s Rocks East Site</td>
<td>Site 1, Midden 1</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>Wk-410</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>8340 ± 110</td>
<td>9033-9528</td>
<td>9350</td>
<td>Godfrey 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble’s Rocks East Site</td>
<td>Site 1, Midden 1</td>
<td>marine shell</td>
<td>Wk-605</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>8840 ± 80</td>
<td>9318-9705</td>
<td>9500</td>
<td>Godfrey 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble’s Rocks Survey Area</td>
<td>Site 45, Midden 1</td>
<td>marine shell</td>
<td>Wk-1262</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>8940 ± 70</td>
<td>9465-9835</td>
<td>9600</td>
<td>Godfrey 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sutton’s Rocks</td>
<td>Site 13, Midden 2</td>
<td>marine shell</td>
<td>Wk-1263</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>8680 ± 60</td>
<td>9194-9482</td>
<td>9350</td>
<td>Godfrey 1989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Radiocarbon determinations used the Libby ¹⁴C half life (5568 years).

Marine shell determinations were calibrated with the Marine09 radiocarbon age calibration curve; no Delta R correction was employed due to the age of the samples (Reimer et al. 2009).

Charcoal determinations were calibrated using the IntCal09 radiocarbon age calibration curve as some of the samples were too old for SHcal04 (Reimer et al. 2009; McCormac et al. 2004).

All calibrations were undertaken with the CALIB Radiocarbon Calibration Program rev. 6.0.1. (Stuiver and Reimer 1993; Stuiver et al. 2011).

Median probability of calibrated dates is rounded to the nearest 50 years.
substantial limestone cave set in a scarp on the edge of the coastal plain, containing significant early-Holocene occupation deposits, and has a well-preserved faunal assemblage.

Both the early and middle phases of occupation (ca. 11,000-9000 cal BP) are interpreted as representing repeated camping events during which a range of medium and small land mammals, including possums, bandicoots, potoroo, wallabies and wombats, and large mammals such as grey kangaroo, were hunted (Bird and Frankel 2001:71). In this sense, the period of occupation and the faunal assemblage is remarkably similar to that documented at Bridgewater South Cave (Lourandos 1980a: Table 13:2, 1983:83; Head 1985:5). The middle phase is essentially a less intensive version of the early phase, characterised by shorter and less frequent occupation episodes (Bird and Frankel 2001:74).

Although the shoreline would have been 10-15 km distant during the early and middle phases, a small amount of marine mollusc shell was recovered from Koongine Cave (Bird and Frankel 2001:73), as at Bridgewater South Cave. Finally, it is inferred from the presence of emu eggshell that occupation occurred in winter, although additional seasonal usage is possible (Bird and Frankel 2001:74) – yet another similarity to Bridgewater South Cave (Lourandos 1980a:352).

For Discovery Bay and Cape Bridgewater coastal sites, Godfrey (1989, 1994) and Frankel (1991) have used spot samples of marine shell from deflated middens for radiocarbon dating, producing several early-Holocene age determinations (Table 1). Unfortunately, this type of uncontrolled sampling does not provide a reliable basis for characterising the composition of faunal assemblages, as surface shell species proportions at Cape Duquesne are typically not representative of nearby deposits as revealed through excavation (see surface vs. excavated shell species proportions for shell midden investigations reported in this chapter). The itinerant dating approach of Godfrey and Frankel tells us only that early-Holocene Aboriginal coastal occupation of this region probably did occur, but little about the nature of this occupation.

**Landscape description and environment**

The study area is located in a very exposed position along the top of steep cliffs at Cape Duquesne, a headland at the southwestern tip of Cape Bridgewater on the Portland Peninsula (Figures 1, 2). This is a high-energy coast dominated by swell waves and winds from the west through south-southwest (Short 1988:125; Buckley 1992:13).

**Geomorphology, geology and soils**

The Portland Peninsula is a large promontory jutting southward into the Southern Ocean, tipped by a sequence of protruding headlands and indented bays. Cape Duquesne is the westernmost of the headlands and is bounded by the extensive northwest-southeast trending Discovery Bay to the west, and the much smaller, protected Bridgewater Bay to the east. The juncture of Cape Duquesne with Discovery Bay is known as Descartes Bay.

Coastal geomorphology is dominated by three major geological formations exposed in cliff faces and on the surface – Plio-Pleistocene basalts and tuffs of the Newer Volcanic Formation, overlain by Pleistocene beach and dune calcarenites of the Bridgewater Formation and capped by terminal Pleistocene-Holocene dune sands (Boutakoff 1963; Bird 1993:24) (Figures 2-4). Much of this sequence is exposed in 25-120 m high vertical cliffs extending from Descartes Bay in the northwest, around the Cape Duquesne and Cape Bridgewater headlands to the south, and east and northeast into Bridgewater Bay. The present shoreline is mainly sheer basalt cliff, with the occasional small sandy beach (e.g. White’s Beach to the northwest), narrow shingle, boulder talus or sea caves (e.g. Seal Cave to the east). There are also frequent off-shore intertidal basalt platforms.
Boutakoff (1963:48-51) defined the Bridgewater Formation, which consisted of a series of lithified calcareous sand dunes (‘limestone dunes’), formed on sand derived from weathering of Tertiary dunes exposed by regressing seas during Pleistocene glacial periods, and also included palaeosols capping the calcarenite dunes. The latter, which were classed as rendzina, terra rossa and laterite fossil soils, were considered to have formed following calcification of the underlying dunes during interstadial/interglacial pluvial conditions (Boutakoff 1963:49). They were described as calcareous, sandy and red, reddish-brown or reddish-pink soils (Boutakoff 1963:51). Subsequent research in the region confirmed Boutakoff’s sequence (e.g. Kenley 1976; Douglas 1979; Land Conservation Council 1981), until Cupper et al. (2003:343-344) upgraded the Bridgewater Formation to the Bridgewater Group and expanded its distribution more broadly across southwestern Victoria.

Shortly after Boutakoff’s (1963) geological study appeared, Gibbons and Downes (1964) published a study of soils along the southwest coast of Victoria. They described a terra rossa soil, the Nelson Sandy Loam, and a rendzina soil, the Bridgewater Sandy Loam, both with parent materials attributed to Pleistocene dune limestone or aeolianite of the Bridgewater Formation (Gibbons and Downes 1964: Appendix 1). Nelson Sandy Loam is a dark reddish-brown (2.5YR 2/4-3/4 wet) sandy loam, with a weak fine sub-angular blocky and fine crumb structure, loose to very friable, very porous and with a pH of 6.6 to 7.8 (Gibbons and Downes 1964: Appendix 1). Bridgewater Sandy Loam is a very dark brown (5 to 7.5YR 2/2) sandy loam, with a moderate medium crumb structure to sub-angular blocky structure, friable, and with a pH of 8.5 to 8.9 (Gibbons and Downes 1964: Appendix 1). They described one further soil of relevance, Discovery Bay Sand, found in high and unstable sand dunes, as a light yellowish brown (7.5YR to 10YR 7/4 dry, 6/4 moist) coarse sand composed mostly of calcium carbonate (finely broken seashells), with massive structure, loose, no organic matter, and a pH of 8.9 to 9.4 (Gibbons and Downes 1964: Appendix 1).

The Land Conservation Council (1981:14-15) described soils developed on dune limestone in the region as ‘Red-black, uniform-gradational, sandy loams (terra rossa) ... generally shallow, with a crumb structure, friable throughout, and ... little horizon differentiation’. The Land Conservation Council (1981:14) study also describes ‘Undifferentiated Calcareous Sands’ generally found in extensive unconsolidated to mobile dunes, formed on sands moved onshore...
from the continental shelf and reworked by wind action. These sands were characterised as yellow-brown, with little clay or organics, loose and structureless and extremely alkaline (Land Conservation Council 1981:14).

The Coastal Management and Co-ordination Committee report (1981:29) described the Holocene Bridgewater Bay mobile to stabilised dunes as overlying Pleistocene calcarenites; they comprise friable, uniform calcareous sand with little or no soil formation and a pH of up to 9.5.

More recently, Buckley (1992) studied coastal beach and dune sediments from southwest Victoria in detail. He mentioned unconsolidated Holocene dune sands overlying Pleistocene calcarenite, notably the stranded clifftop dunes at Cape Bridgewater, and his analysis of Discovery Bay beach sands is very relevant to understanding of the origin of the clifftop sediments (Buckley 1992:13–20). He concluded that the majority of sand found on the Discovery Bay beaches was derived from calcareous continental shelf deposits moved landward during Pleistocene glacial phases (Buckley 1992:20). The sands were described as grey-brown, well sorted (0.44 phi), fine-medium calcareous sand, with a mean grain size of 0.26 mm and a carbonate content of 75% (Buckley 1992:15–20).

In the recent synthetic overview by Cupper et al. (2003:343), the Bridgewater Group included the palaeosol horizons derived from pedogenesis and weathering of aeolian calcarenite surfaces first identified by Boutakoff. Overlying the Bridgewater Group are the Holocene Discovery Bay and Bridgewater Bay Sands, a composite of earlier work (e.g. Gibbons and Downes 1964; Kenley 1976; Land Conservation Council 1981). These sands were found in unconsolidated calcareous sandy beach, foredune and dune complexes, and were summarised...
as fine-grained, cream to white calcareous sand (Cupper et al. 2003:344).

Rosengren (2001a, b) carried out an applied geological study of Cape Bridgewater and Cape Duquesne for a wind-farm development. He reviewed the previously reported geology, and reconfirmed Boutakoff’s Pleistocene dune limestone Bridgewater Formation. He attributed the limestone calcrete to Oxygen Isotope Stages (OIS) 5 to 2, and noted that dunes formed during OIS 2 to 1 had much slower rates of cementation than in previous Stages (Rosengren 2001a:213-215). Reddish-brown silty and clayey sands overlying calcrete, characterised as *terra rossa* and rendzina palaeosols, were again observed, as were poorly consolidated calcareous sands and clifftop dunes (Rosengren 2001a:213-215). The calcareous sands, of estimated OIS 2 to 1 age, were described as poorly differentiated to gradational, yellowish-brown sands, with only minor surface organic matter accumulation (Rosengren 2001a:214-215).

**Palaeoenvironment**

According to Kershaw et al. (2004:158), a decline in effective moisture in the terminal Pleistocene, largely due to rising temperatures, culminated in a period of maximum aridity from ca. 17,000-14,000 cal BP, during which woody plants were uncommon. In the succeeding period, ca. 14,000-11,500 cal BP, increases in both temperature and rainfall resulted in an expansion of the distribution of trees, accompanied by a change from steppe grassland to grassland (Kershaw et al. 2004:158). By the beginning of the Holocene, 11,500 cal BP, tree cover had reached early 19th century levels (pre-European clearing) (Kershaw et al. 2004:158). Vegetation community composition continued to change, with a sustained increase in *Eucalyptus* relative to *Casuarinaceae* trees around 8900-7800 cal BP, so that essentially the vegetation cover present in the early 19th century, dry sclerophyll forest/woodland, was established by the end of this period (Kershaw et al. 2004:139,158-159).

![Figure 4. View east from western end of landscape, showing surface exposure of strata, including the actively eroding dune formed in the late-Pleistocene and early-Holocene, the exposed late-Pleistocene early-Holocene palaeosol and Pleistocene dune calcarenite. A remnant sediment pedestal can be seen on the left top of the dune and numerous carbonate root casts are exposed all over the eroding face of the dune.](image)

**Cape Duquesne investigations**

Investigations at Cape Duquesne (AAV 7121/233) have revealed a series of open-air Aboriginal shell midden deposits at the top of a 50 m high cliff, with the ocean currently washing against its base (Figures 2-4). As noted in the previous section, vast areas of carbonate sands were exposed on the continental shelf along southern Australia during the late Pleistocene and much of this sand was mobilised as the high-energy sea transgressed and strong southwesterly winds prevailed (Short 1988:121). These sands, mainly locally sourced
from the exposed sea bed, piled up against obstructions such as the cliffs at Cape Duquesne, and occasionally overtopped these cliffs (Short 1988:138). During the terminal Pleistocene through early-Holocene, the resulting sand ramp would have extended from the exposed sea bed near the shoreline up and over the top of the Cape Duquesne cliffs, providing easy human access between the two locations. This explains the presence of marine shell middens at the top of the seemingly impassable barrier of the cliffs (Figure 3, Table 2). Later, the transgressing sea would have directly eroded the base of the ramp, removing it entirely as the current sea level was attained (and then exceeded), leaving the stranded clifftop dunes as the last remnant of the former ramp (Short 1988:138-139; Rosengren 2001a:215).

**Table 2.** Distance to shoreline from Cape Duquesne early-Holocene Aboriginal landscape (sea level curve of Sloss et al. 2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years cal BP</th>
<th>Sea level (m) (relative to present)</th>
<th>C. Duquesne occupation</th>
<th>Horizontal distance from C. Duq. to shore (m)</th>
<th>Vertical distance from C. Duq. to shore (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>-35</td>
<td></td>
<td>1384</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11,500</td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>pre-SM A</td>
<td>1312</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td></td>
<td>1275</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,500</td>
<td>-23</td>
<td>F 50, SM J</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>SM A, SM B, SM E, SM F, SM G</td>
<td>1184</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9500</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>SM A, SM G</td>
<td>888</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>SM D</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8500</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>SM I</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>240</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7800</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wind erosion has significantly shaped the Cape Duquesne landscape, so that the present surface is a patchwork of differentially exposed Pleistocene and Holocene landforms and sediments (Figures 2, 3). There are extensive exposures of lithified dune deposits (calcarenite) along the southern edge of the landscape (the clifftop) in the centre and west of the landscape, where wind action has scoured away all overlying sediment. These are calcarenites of the Pleistocene Bridgewater Group.

Running approximately east-west across the landscape is an exposed palaeosol, comprising greyish sandy loam, most similar to the Bridgewater Sandy Loam, a rendzina soil (Gibbons and Downes 1964: Appendix 1). The sandy loam directly overlies the calcarenite, varying from mere remnant patches a few centimetres thick filling in hollows on uneven, calcarenite surfaces, to deposits more than 1 m thick. Such palaeosols are commonly associated with calcarenites of the Bridgewater Group and are considered part of this unit. In the present erosional situation, the palaeosols are again active soils, although generally very poorly vegetated.

Dominating the western end of the landscape is a large dune, for the most part actively eroding with little vegetation cover, but which is vegetated and stable to the north (Figure 4). Remnant sediment pedestals and exposed carbonate root casts indicate that more than 1 m of sand has been removed from the southern surface of this dune by wind action. This dune is a remnant of the clifftop dunes deposited since the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), and much of the present eroding greyish-brown sandy surface is at least early-Holocene in age. It overlies both the sandy loam palaeosol and the calcarenites of the Bridgewater Group (Figures 2-4).

The vegetated area 50-150 m to the north of the cliff edge all along the landscape comprises the remaining stabilised clifftop dune field (Figure 2). Poorly vegetated sandsheets are present south of the dunefield in patches on the landscape, representing destabilised clifftop dunes reworked by wind action during the Holocene (Figure 2).
Archaeological survey and the surface record

The area subject to detailed systematic archaeological survey, much of it deflated to some extent, extended 1 km along the clifftops and up to 400 m inland. The goal of the survey was to identify the extent of the Aboriginal landscape, focusing on the distribution of flaked and ground stone artefacts, shell middens, hearth features and other evidence of human occupation. The initial pedestrian survey identified the gross extent of the distribution of Aboriginal cultural material exposed on the surface – 58 hearth features, eight shell middens and thousands of flaked stone artefacts were found over an area of approximately 500 m east-west and 125 m north-south (62,500 m²). The landscape was mapped, hearth features and shell middens were recorded in detail, three of the exposed hearth features were excavated and eight shell midden deposits were tested. None of the great numbers of lithic artefacts observed on the surface were in situ, so they were generally not mapped individually, although samples of stone artefacts, animal bones, marine shell, hearth stones, charcoal and sediments were mapped and collected for identification and analysis.

In situ shell midden deposits are only located on early-Holocene and late-Pleistocene features, namely clifftop dunes and sandy loam palaeosols capping calcarenite, while stone artefacts and deflated hearth features are distributed more widely across the landscape, suggesting they mostly derive from more recent deposits that have largely eroded away. Technology of flaked stone artefacts and radiocarbon age determinations on two remnant hearth features indicate a substantial late-Holocene occupation across this landscape, but the focus of the present study is on the early-Holocene shell middens and hearth features.

Surface exposures of middens displayed obvious loose shells that were not in their primary context, but other shells appeared to be eroding out of the surface, due to wind removal of surrounding soil particles, and seemed to be in situ. Shell Midden A (SM A) was excavated first to determine whether in situ deposits were indeed present, to identify the subsurface structure and characteristics of the midden and to obtain samples for identification, analysis and chronometric dating.

Excavation of Shell Midden A

SM A is located at the northwestern corner of the landscape (Figure 2). It manifested on the surface as a dense concentration of *Turbo undulata* and *Cellana tramoserica* shells and a small amount of charcoal exposed by wind erosion (Figure 5). Some of the shells were loose, but what appeared to be an in situ shell deposit was eroding out of sediments below the loose shell and recently blown-in sand. The area of midden exposed on the ground surface measured approximately 3 m in diameter; however, it extended under partially consolidated dune deposits to the northwest and is probably many times larger in area than the exposed, eroding portion.

Methods

Square 1, measuring 1 m x 1 m was staked out over the area, with the highest concentration of eroding midden material on the surface (Figure 5). All sediment was excavated with trowels and dry sieved through 3 mm mesh screens in the field (with the exception of bulk soil samples); all sieve residue was retained for cataloguing and analysis. The first step in the investigation involved the sweeping up and collecting of all loose material on the surface of the square. Although sorted and catalogued, this material has been excluded from the present analysis and discussion because it was not *in situ*. Two excavation units (XUs), each 5 cm deep, were dug with trowels across Square A. A third XU, also 5 cm deep, was dug in the northeast quadrant of the square.
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Figure 5. Shell Midden A, Square 1, pre-excavation view showing dense midden material on surface. Ranging pole bars are 20 cm long.

**Stratigraphy**

Excavation revealed a very dense deposit of whole and fragmented marine shellfish shell that extended across the square, with associated carbonate-coated chunks of charcoal and flaked stone, to an average depth of 4 cm below surface. Small amounts of shell and charcoal continued for another 2 cm in the unconsolidated sand (Figure 6). Below the base of the midden, a patch of burnt sediment and charcoal with an associated large flat rock (manuport) was uncovered in the northeast of the square and extended to 9 cm below surface. This feature is stratigraphically earlier than SM A.

Sediment in the midden deposit was an unconsolidated, dark greyish-brown (10YR4/2 wet) to light-grey (10YR7/2 dry) calcareous sand containing carbonate clods and sediment aggregates, as well as land snails and rootlets providing a non-cultural minor organic component (Johnston 1996). Soil pH values varied from 8 (field), a highly favourable environment for the preservation of bone, to 10 (lab), which is less favourable (Reitz and Wing 2008:140-141). Detailed analysis revealed that the sand was medium to fine grained (Wentworth 1933), and composed of 45% quartzite, 40% carbonate and 15% quartz. Negative skewness in the particle size distribution, grain shape and surface texture, as well as the moderate to well-sorted nature of the deposit, indicated that this was an aeolian deposit originating from beach sands (Johnston 1996). Below the midden, the sediment appeared virtually identical in the field, although slightly darker (10YR3/2).

Finally, the fact that the loose surface shell appeared to be present only in a small area directly over in situ deposits suggests that the top of the midden was only exposed a short time before the investigations occurred and that not much had been lost to wind action (i.e. no downwind surface trail of smaller items was present).

**Marine shellfish**

The Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) identified to species in SM A comprised 650
individuals from eight taxa of marine mollusc identified in more than 7.5 kg of shell recovered (Tables 3–5). The most common shellfish is *Turbo undulata*, at just under 70% of the total MNI, with *Cellana tramoserica* contributing 18%, *Austrocochlea concemerata* 9%, and five other taxa each representing 1% or less (Table 5).

**Radiocarbon dating**

Four radiocarbon age determinations are pertinent to the dating of SM A, three of them on *Turbo undulata* shell from the midden itself, and one on wood charcoal located below the midden. Initially, one shell sample from XU 1 yielded an age determination of ca. 10,300 cal BP (Beta-93569) and another from XU 2 dated to ca. 9850 cal BP (Beta-96584) (Table 6). The determination for XU 1 was slightly older than that from XU 2, although they overlap at two standard deviations. A second shell determination was run for XU 2, ca. 10,500 cal BP (UB-4369), with the resulting date older than both of the previous determinations and in sequence (Figure 7).
A further determination on wood charcoal from the charcoal-rich layer underlying the midden deposit yielded a terminal-Pleistocene radiocarbon age determination of ca. 11,800 cal BP (UB-4370), indicating a distinct occupation horizon pre-dating midden formation (Table 6).

Other excavations and investigations

Following excavation of SM A, with its evident in situ midden deposits, test pits were excavated at six other surface shell scatters (see Table 7). The purpose of these small-scale excavations was to evaluate whether intact midden deposits were also present, to characterise the nature of any midden layers and to obtain samples of shell and other materials for identification and dating. Similar excavation and recording methods to those employed at SM A were used on these smaller test pits.

Shell Midden B

SM B is located in a flat, nearly vegetation-free expanse of dark grey, very consolidated sandy loam, located 140 m to the east of Shell Midden A (Figure 2). *Turbo undulata* shell and a flint flake appeared to be eroding out of sandy loam palaeosol in an area measuring 7.0 m east-west by 6.1 m north-south.

SM B was tested with a single 25 cm square placed in the approximate centre of the exposed midden in an area of high surface shell density. Almost all shell was concentrated in the uppermost 3 cm of the first XU, although a small amount extended to a maximum depth of 7 cm in the second XU.

Two species were identified in the 70 g of shell recovered (Tables 3, 4). *Turbo undulata*

---

**Table 3.** Finds by weight (g) for Cape Duquesne investigations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Excavation Unit</th>
<th>Flaked stone</th>
<th>Shell</th>
<th>Bone</th>
<th>Charcoal</th>
<th>Ochre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SM A</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td></td>
<td>816.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>7414.81</td>
<td>41.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM A</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>122.83</td>
<td>64.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM A</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM B</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>60.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td></td>
<td>13.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>199.28</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM E</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td></td>
<td>271.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM E</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>60.42</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM E, F 50</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td></td>
<td>65.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1030.94</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>17.68</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM G</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td></td>
<td>61.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM G</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>126.49</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM G</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM G</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM I</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>74.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM J</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM J</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM J</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Total</td>
<td>surface</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1289.65</td>
<td>139.88</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Total</td>
<td>excavated</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>9064.41</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>139.88</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>1.78</strong></td>
<td><strong>10354.06</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.09</strong></td>
<td><strong>139.88</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.25</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell taxa</td>
<td>SM A</td>
<td>SM B</td>
<td>SM D</td>
<td>SM E</td>
<td>SM F</td>
<td>SM G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbo undulata</td>
<td>3706.10</td>
<td>72.89</td>
<td>55.81</td>
<td>91.09</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellana tramoserica</td>
<td>1257.69</td>
<td>24.74</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>420.08</td>
<td>45.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donax deltoides</td>
<td>193.28</td>
<td>98.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thais orbita</td>
<td>84.92</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>193.28</td>
<td>98.72</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austromytilus rostratus</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyplacophora</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrea angasi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austrocochlea concemerata</td>
<td>14.72</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanerita melanostrous</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drathais baileyana</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cominella lineolata</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>5084.40</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Shellfish taxa weight (g) distribution by excavated shell midden.
<p>| Shell taxa                  | SM A |        |        | SM B |        |        | SM D |        |        | SM E |        |        | SM F |        |        | SM G |        |        | SM J |        |        | Total |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
|----------------------------|------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|        |
|                            | % MNI| MNI    |        | % MNI| MNI    |        | % MNI| MNI    |        | % MNI| MNI    |        | % MNI| MNI    |        | % MNI| MNI    |        | % MNI| MNI    |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |
| Turbo undulata             | 454  | 69.85  | 7      | 53.85| 1      | 5.00   | 7    | 70.00  | 41     | 29.50| 10     | 100.00 | 0     | 0.00   | 520   | 61.39|
| Cellana tramoserica        | 120  | 18.46  | 0.00   | 0.00 | 1      | 10.00  | 81   | 58.27  | 0      | 0.00 | 0      | 202    | 23.85|
| Austroaculea concemeraata | 59   | 9.08   | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0    | 0.00   | 0      | 0.00 | 0      | 59     | 6.97  |
| Donax deltoides            | 0    | 0.00   | 0      | 0.00 | 19     | 95.00  | 0    | 0.00   | 0      | 0.00 | 0      | 0      | 2.24  |
| Thais orbita               | 7    | 1.08   | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0.00   | 11   | 7.91   | 0      | 0.00 | 0      | 18     | 2.13  |
| Austromytilus rostratus    | 0    | 0.00   | 6      | 46.15| 0.00   | 1      | 10.00| 1      | 0.72   | 0    | 5      | 100.00 | 13    | 1.53  |
| Polyplacophora             | 3    | 0.46   | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0      | 5    | 3.60   | 0      | 0    | 0      | 8      | 0.94  |
| Melanerita melanotragus    | 5    | 0.77   | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0      | 0    | 0.00   | 0      | 0    | 0      | 5      | 0.59  |
| Ostrea angasi              | 0    | 0.00   | 0.00   | 0.00 | 1      | 10.00  | 0    | 0.00   | 0      | 0    | 0      | 1      | 0.12  |
| Dicathais baileyana        | 1    | 0.15   | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0      | 0    | 0.00   | 0      | 0    | 0      | 1      | 0.12  |
| Cominella lineolata        | 1    | 0.15   | 0.00   | 0.00 | 0.00   | 0      | 0    | 0.00   | 0      | 0    | 0      | 1      | 0.12  |
| <strong>Totals</strong>                 | 650  | 100.00 | 13     | 100.00| 20     | 100.00 | 10   | 100.00 | 139    | 100.00| 10     | 100.00 | 5     | 100.00 | 847   | 100.00|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Lab code</th>
<th>Dating method</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ ‰</th>
<th>$^{14}C$ age (years BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated age BP 95.4% prob.</th>
<th>Rel. prob.</th>
<th>Cal BP med. prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pre-SM A</td>
<td>7-9</td>
<td>wood charcoal</td>
<td>UB-4370</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>10,207 ± 55</td>
<td>11,704-12,108</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>11800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM J</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>Austromytilus rostratus</td>
<td>Wk-9532</td>
<td>AMS</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9971 ± 58</td>
<td>11,708-11,121</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>10950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F 50</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Ostrea angasi</td>
<td>Wk-9563</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>9814 ± 71</td>
<td>10,533-10,955</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>10700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM A</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>Turbo undulata</td>
<td>UB-4369</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>°</td>
<td>9619 ± 52</td>
<td>10,345-10,584</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>10500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM F</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>Turbo undulata</td>
<td>Wk-29818</td>
<td>AMS</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9525 ± 33</td>
<td>10,263-10,487</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>10400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM A</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>Turbo undulata</td>
<td>Beta-93569</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>9430 ± 100</td>
<td>10,074-10,533</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>10300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM E</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>Turbo, Ostrea</td>
<td>Beta-93568</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>9390 ± 80</td>
<td>10,069-10,476</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>10250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM B</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>Turbo undulata</td>
<td>Beta-93567</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>9300 ± 70</td>
<td>9893-10,288</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>10150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM F</td>
<td>0-5</td>
<td>Cellana transserica</td>
<td>Wk-9604</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>9290 ± 56</td>
<td>9923-10,234</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>10150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM G</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>Turbo undulata</td>
<td>Wk-9564</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>9213 ± 56</td>
<td>9833-10,184</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>10050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM B</td>
<td>5-7</td>
<td>Turbo undulata</td>
<td>Wk-29816</td>
<td>AMS</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9183 ± 31</td>
<td>9868-10,135</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM A</td>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>Turbo undulata</td>
<td>Beta-96584</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>9110 ± 70</td>
<td>9622-10,120</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>9850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM G</td>
<td>5-10</td>
<td>Turbo undulata</td>
<td>Wk-29817</td>
<td>AMS</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>9068 ± 32</td>
<td>9630-9920</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>9800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM D</td>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>Donax deltoides</td>
<td>Beta-93566</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>8490 ± 70</td>
<td>8954-9323</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>9100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM I</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>Donax deltoides</td>
<td>Wk-9562</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>8238 ± 57</td>
<td>8593-8957</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>8750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Radiocarbon determinations used the Libby $^{14}C$ half life (5568 years).

Marine shell determinations were calibrated with the Marine09 radiocarbon age calibration curve; no Delta R correction was employed due to the age of the samples (Reimer et al. 2009).

The charcoal determination was calibrated using the IntCal09 radiocarbon age calibration curve as the sample was too old for SHcal04 (Reimer et al. 2009; McCormac et al. 2004).

All calibrations were undertaken with the CALIB Radiocarbon Calibration Program rev. 6.0.1. (Stuiver and Reimer 1993; Stuiver et al. 2011).

* $\delta^{13}C$ ‰ value measured, but not reported.
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Figure 7. Cape Duquesne Aboriginal landscape radiocarbon age determinations arranged in chronological order (see Table 6 for details of age determinations). Dates are presented as bars spanning the two sigma range.

again dominated the small assemblage, at 54% of the MNI, with another rock-platform species, *Austromytilus rostratus*, contributing 46% (Table 5).

A sample of *Turbo undulata* fragments from XU 1 was radiocarbon dated to ca. 10,150 cal BP (Beta-93567) and another on a *Turbo undulata* shell from XU 2 yielded an AMS determination of ca. 10,000 cal BP (Wk-29816) (Table 6, Figure 7). The samples are statistically the same age at the 95% level (T statistic) (Stuiver et al. 2011).

**Shell Midden D**

SM D is located in the south-central portion of the landscape, in a flat, sparsely vegetated area of exposed dark grey palaeosol some 50 m from the cliff edge (Figure 2). Flint artefacts and *Donax deltoides*, *Cellana tramoserica*, *Turbo undulata* and Polyplacophora shells were sitting on the surface, with some of the *Donax deltoides* appearing to be in situ and eroding out of the
sediment over an expanse measuring 13.6 m east-west and 8.9 m north-south within a much larger area of the exposed dark-grey sediment.

SM D was tested with a 25 cm square placed in the centre of the exposed deposits in a surface shell concentration. Excavation of a single 5 cm XU in dark-grey, consolidated sandy loam revealed that dense in situ midden deposits extended from the surface to 2-3 cm, with a sharply defined termination.

The SM D assemblage totals 199 g of shell, with a trace amount of charcoal (Table 3). *Donax deltoides* comprises 95% of the MNI, with minor representation by *Turbo undulata* (5%) (Table 5). A sample of whole *Donax deltoides* shells was radiocarbon dated to ca. 9100 cal BP (Beta-93566) (Table 6, Figure 7).

**Shell Midden E**

Ancient sediments up to 1 m deep are exposed around the periphery of a large blowout towards the northeastern periphery of the landscape. Cultural material comprising SM E was exposed in section on the steep-edged eastern side of the blowout and on the surface beyond the blowout (Figure 2). In situ items in section and partially exposed on the eroding surface (not the blowout) included shell, notably *Ostrea angasi*, flint artefacts including a flake core, and charcoal, as well as a hearth feature (Feature 50, discussed below). At more than 750 m², SM E is the largest midden on the landscape.¹

A 25 cm square test pit was placed on the eastern edge of the eroded area where in situ shell, charcoal and flint artefacts were observed both in section and on the surface. Time constraints did not allow the excavation of more than one XU, which revealed a moderately dense midden to at least 6 cm depth (supported by material visible in the eroded section to a similar depth). Excavation was very difficult, especially within the first few centimetres, due to the sediments being cemented with calcium carbonate. Beneath this crust was a consolidated light-grey sandy loam.

The excavated sample of 60 g was dominated by *Turbo undulata*, which comprised 70% of the MNI (Tables 3-5). Three other species, *Cellana tramoserica*, *Austromytilus rostratus* and *Ostrea angasi*, were each represented by 10% of the MNI. A sample of *Ostrea* and *Turbo undulata* shell from the excavation provided a radiocarbon age determination of ca. 10,250 cal BP (Beta-93568) (Table 6, Figure 7).

**Feature 50**

Feature 50 (F 50) is located 10 m northeast of the blowout on SM E. F 50 was a cluster of burnt calcarenite cobbles measuring 4.6 m east-west by 4.2 m north-south, some in situ and some simply resting on the greyish brown, compact sandy loam surface, the surrounding sediments having been removed by wind action (Figures 2, 8). These hearthstones are the only ones observed in situ in early-Holocene sediments on the Cape Duquesne landscape. Several *Ostrea angasi* shells were observed among the hearthstones, including two in situ shells, each approximately 50% exposed on the surface. One in situ *Ostrea* shell was removed for radiocarbon dating, providing a determination of ca. 10,700 cal BP (Wk-9563) (Table 6, Figure 7).

**Shell Midden F**

Located on the eastern side of the landscape, SM F is a largely unvegetated surface exposure of eroding shells, measuring 18.8 m east-west by 14.6 m north-south (Figure 2). A single pass

---

¹ Detailed examination of the area around Shell Midden E, Shell Midden H and Feature 50 during contour mapping operations revealed that the distribution of surface cultural material showed no gaps between Shell Middens E and H. As a result, SM H is now incorporated within SM E and is no longer regarded as a discrete midden. Feature 50 is within the boundaries of SM E and is now regarded as part of that midden, although it still retains its designation as a feature.
by plough by the land management authority for the purpose of creating furrows for replanting to stabilise the area exposed significant densities of *Cellana tramoserica*, *Turbo undulata*, *Thais orbita* and *Donax deltoides* shell.

A 40 cm square was placed over an average surface expression of *Cellana tramoserica* and *Turbo undulata* shell located between furrows (Figure 9:top). The loose shells, some nearly whole, some fragmented, were swept up and bagged before the excavation of XU 1.

Once the excavation started, *Cellana tramoserica* and *Turbo undulata* shells were found to be very dense, comparable to SM A and SM D (Figure 9:bottom). A few small chunks of dubiously cultural charcoal were found near the surface in the northwest corner and also deeper in the southwest. Pointing trowels and dental picks were used to remove the compact sediment from around the tightly packed shells so they could be removed without damaging them. The first XU was excavated to the bottom of the dense concentration of shell, at 5 cm below the surface.

XU 2 was excavated to establish the depth of sterile deposits. Only small fragments of shell were recovered and they clearly came from the upper 1 cm of the 5 cm deep XU. Small pieces of charcoal were found throughout the XU.

The surface consisted of a greyish-brown (10 YR 5/2) fine sand with almost no vegetation cover. The sediment in both excavated XUs was identical to that on the surface, except it was lightly consolidated in comparison to the very consolidated surface crust.

SM F is the only midden dominated by *Cellana tramoserica*; out of 1049 g of shell, *Cellana tramoserica* comprised 58% of the total MNI, *Turbo undulata* 29%, *Thais orbita* 7%, Polyplacophora 3% and *Austromytilus rostratus* <1% (Tables 3-5).

A sample of whole *Cellana tramoserica* shells from XU 1 was submitted for radiocarbon dating, yielding an age estimate of ca. 10,150 cal BP (Wk-9604) (Table 6, Figure 7). An AMS age determination of ca. 10,400 cal BP (Wk-29818) was obtained on a *Turbo undulata* shell from XU 2. The samples are significantly different at the 95% level (T statistic) (Stuiver et al. 2011).
Figure 9. Shell Midden F, Square 1: (top) pre-excavation view showing midden material on surface of palaeosol; (bottom) initial stage of excavation following removal of loose surface material, exposing dense in situ shell. Ranging pole bars are 20 cm long.
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Shell Midden G

SM G, located in the northeast of the landscape, was eroded by wind action and largely devoid of vegetation (Figure 2). Flint artefacts, *Turbo undulata*, *Cellana tramoserica* and *Donax deltoides* shells and fragmented animal bone were exposed on the surface over an area approximately 16 m in diameter. SM G was investigated with a 40 cm square test pit excavated in an area of average surface shell density. Surface sediments were a loose, light-grey (10YR 7/1) sand. Sediment in XUs 1 and 2 consisted of lightly consolidated, light-grey (10YR 5/2), fine sand with few rootlets. The only change in the XU 3 sediment was the addition of small calcarenite pebbles to the sand.

*Turbo undulata* shell was concentrated in the upper few centimetres of the first XU, with very little in the two XUs excavated below that (Table 3). A sample of 132 g of shell was recovered, with *Turbo undulata* comprising 100% of the shellfish MNI (Tables 3-5).

Large *Turbo undulata* fragments from XU 1 provided a radiocarbon determination of ca. 10,050 cal BP (Wk-9564) (Table 6, Figure 7). A single *Turbo* operculum from XU 2 yielded an AMS age determination of ca. 9800 cal BP (Wk-29817). The samples are significantly different at the 95% level (T statistic) (Stuiver et al. 2011), although they overlap at 2 s.d.

Shell Midden I

SM I, located at the eastern end of the landscape, is approximately 3.2 m in diameter and consists of a cluster of *Donax deltoides* shell and a few flint artefacts on the surface (Figure 2). No excavations were undertaken at this midden, but a *Donax deltoides* sample consisting of some partially exposed, in situ shells, but mostly loose surface shells, was collected from an approximately 1 m diameter area (Table 3). A radiocarbon age determination of ca. 8750 cal BP (Wk-9562) was obtained on whole *Donax deltoides* shell (Table 6, Figure 7).

Shell Midden J

Shell Midden J, located in the south-central part of the landscape, measures 13.5 m north-south, by 9.1 m east-west on a much larger exposure of sandy loam sediment almost devoid of vegetation cover and containing numerous surface cracks (Figure 2). SM J had one dense in situ exposure of mainly *Turbo undulata* shell covering ca. 5 m², with scattered *Turbo undulata*, *Cellana tramoserica*, *Donax deltoides* and *Austromytilus rostratus* shell evident over a larger area. Notably, two *Austromytilus rostratus* shells were present on the surface on the southern edge of the midden. As the other middens had only yielded a small amount of shell of this species, it was decided to recover some from this area, both to obtain basic information on subsistence and to provide material for radiocarbon dating. A 50 x 50 cm square was laid out on the southern edge of the midden to include the partially exposed *Austromytilus rostratus* shells.

The *Austromytilus rostratus* shells, which were in a crumbly condition and only held together by the highly consolidated sediment, fell apart as they were gently exposed with pointing trowels. Excavation generally proved to be very difficult and progressed very slowly despite the use of highly sharpened tools. Very little additional shell was found in the first XU, and what was there was fragmentary and friable. Almost no shell was apparent near the bottom of the level, the last 1 cm of which was completed by careful shovel shaving. Hand picks were cautiously used to excavate the second, 5 cm-thick XU. Very little shell was found, and what was there was fragmented. A third XU was excavated only in the southeast quadrant (a 25 cm square). Only one tiny shell fragment and a few pieces of charcoal were present (Table 3).

There was no vegetation on the surface of the square, which was a greyish-brown (10 YR 5/2), very consolidated sandy loam. Sediment in XUs 1 and 2 is a greyish-brown (10 YR 3/2), very consolidated sandy loam. Cracks visible on the surface were still present down to XU 2. Sediment in XU 3 is a dark-brown (10 YR 3/3), consolidated sandy loam with small, rounded,
calcarenite pebbles.

Very little shell was recovered from the test excavation in SM J, totalling only 13 g (Table 3). *Austromytilus rostratus* was the only species represented, with a MNI of 5 (Table 5). A trace of charcoal was present in each of the excavated XUs and a few grams of red ochre were present in XU 1, with a tiny amount in XU 2 (Table 3).

An AMS radiocarbon age determination of ca. 10,950 cal BP (Wk-9532) was obtained on *Austromytilus rostratus* shell from XU 1 (Table 6). This is the earliest age determination on shell from the landscape (Figure 7).

**Sediment discussion**

SM A sediment is similar to the late-Pleistocene-to-Holocene Discovery Bay Sand (Gibbons and Downes 1964), Undifferentiated Calcareous Sands (Land Conservation Council 1981), Discovery Bay and Bridgewater Sands (Cupper et al. 2003) and Poorly Consolidated Calcareous Sand (Rosengren 2001a) previously described for the region. It is clearly not a Pleistocene *terra rossa* or rendzina palaeosol, typically associated with the Bridgewater Group in this region. The location of the excavation, on a large sand dune, well above contact with the underlying Bridgewater Group calcarenite, further reinforces this interpretation.

In fact, the sediment in SM A closely matches Discovery Bay beach and dune sediments that also consist of grey-brown, fine-medium calcareous sand, with a virtually identical mean grain size (Buckley 1992:18, Table 2). In addition, there is a highly similar carbonate content to samples from Descartes Bay (Buckley 1992: Appendix 2). The data strongly indicate that the SM A sediment and the Discovery Bay–Descartes Bay beach and dune deposits mainly derive from the same calcareous continental shelf deposits exposed from the LGM (ca. 20,000 cal BP) well into the Holocene (Land Conservation Council 1981:14; Short 1988:121; Buckley 1992:20; Bird 1993:25; Rosengren 2001a:213-215; Sloss et al. 2007).

None of the other excavations had sediment analysis undertaken as with SM A, but the field observations from SM J are sufficient to indicate that this is a substantially different sediment. Firstly, SM J is present in a shallow, very consolidated, greyish-brown sediment, with surface cracks evident, directly overlying calcarenite. Excavation was very difficult at this site due to the very consolidated nature of the soil, which had a sandy loam texture and contained small calcarenite pebbles. This sediment does not compare well with SM A or the nearby beach and dune sands, and is rather more like the Bridgewater Group palaeosols that commonly cap calcarenite. It is especially similar to the Bridgewater Sandy Loam, a rendzina soil (Gibbons and Downes 1964: Appendix 1). Radiocarbon dating of a shell sample from the top of this deposit yielded the oldest shell determination for the site, an early–Holocene date of ca. 10,950 cal BP, further supporting this interpretation. The matrix of F50 was a similar dark-grey, very consolidated sandy loam, overlying calcarenite, a shell from which produced a radiocarbon age determination of ca. 10,700 cal BP.

Of the other shell middens, the sediment textures, pH values, consolidation and colour varied somewhere between the extremes of the loose, sandy SM A and the very consolidated sandy loam of SM J. Texture was typically finer and more compacted than SM A—varying from lightly consolidated fine sand (SM F, SM G), to consolidated sandy loam (SM B, SM D) and very consolidated sandy loam (SM E, SM J).
Table 7. Summary of excavated early-Holocene shell midden characteristics at Cape Duquesne.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Midden</th>
<th>Surface area (m²)</th>
<th>Excavation pit size (m)</th>
<th>Depth excav (m)</th>
<th>Mean midden thickness (m)</th>
<th>Shellfish (g)</th>
<th>Shellfish g/m²</th>
<th>Shellfish g/m³</th>
<th>Excavated midden density</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SM A</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.0 x 1.0</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>7537.64</td>
<td>7537.64</td>
<td>125,627.33</td>
<td>very dense</td>
<td>most dense area of surface shell exposure sampled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM B</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>.25 x .25</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>60.30</td>
<td>964.80</td>
<td>32,160.00</td>
<td>dense</td>
<td>most dense area of surface shell exposure sampled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM D</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>.25 x .25</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>199.28</td>
<td>3188.48</td>
<td>127,539.20</td>
<td>very dense</td>
<td>most dense area of surface shell exposure sampled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM E</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>.25 x .25</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>60.42</td>
<td>966.72</td>
<td>16,112.00</td>
<td>moderately dense</td>
<td>area with stratified cultural material visible in section sampled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM F</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>.40 x .40</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>1030.94</td>
<td>6701.11</td>
<td>134,022.20</td>
<td>very dense</td>
<td>average surface density area sampled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM G</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>.40 x .40</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>126.49</td>
<td>822.185</td>
<td>26,352.08</td>
<td>dense</td>
<td>most dense area of surface shell exposure sampled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM J</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>.50 x .50</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>11.56</td>
<td>46.24</td>
<td>1,541.33</td>
<td>diffuse</td>
<td>low-density surface shell exposure sampled</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Variability in midden density

Intact shell midden deposits were encountered in all but one of the excavations. There was some variability in the structure of the shell midden deposits and they ranged from diffuse through very dense. In all cases, a single shell layer was present, and these varied from tightly defined 2–3 cm thick middens (SM B, SM D, SM G) to well defined 5–6 cm thick SMs A and F, through to much less well defined deposits, such as the tested areas in SM E and SM J (Table 7). For comparative purposes, shell weight per cubic metre has been extrapolated from the testing, which reveals that SM A, SM D and SM F had the highest ratio of shell weight to excavated volume, expressed as kilograms of shell per cubic metre, at 125–134 kg/m³, and these three middens are characterised as very dense (Table 7). SM B and SM G are described as dense middens, at 26–32 kg/m³, SM E is moderately dense, with 16 kg/m³, and SM J can be considered diffuse, at 1.5 kg/m³. These values are best regarded as an indicator of the range of midden densities to be found on the landscape, rather than an average density for each midden.

Size and extent of middens on the landscape

The middens had a variety of contents, including hearth features, flaked stone, charcoal, red ochre and animal bone, but with a consistent domination by marine shellfish remains. In situ midden deposit was found in patches ranging from <10 to 752 m², with a total for the eight early-Holocene middens of just over 1575 m² (Table 7). This figure should be read as a minimum because deposits were covered by overlying sediments in some cases; for example, the observed portion of SM A was only about 9 m², yet it may well have covered an area in excess of 100 m², but this could not be confirmed without disturbing overlying semi-stable dune deposits that were protecting the midden from wind attack. The landscape has also suffered enormously from wind erosion and every midden observed has had an unknown quantity of material removed from above the observed in situ shell, indicating the possibility that the middens covered a larger area than presently preserved. It is also a near certainty that some early-Holocene middens have eroded away entirely, but of course this is something that cannot be proven. Finally, a reasonable estimate of the extent of early-Holocene middens on this landscape, taking into consideration those entirely removed by wind erosion and those probably still covered by overlying sediment, would be to double the observed extent of midden, to 3000 m² as a maximum figure.

Midden formation

Multiple radiocarbon age determinations are available for several middens that are each structurally different and which provide insights into midden formation. In the case of SM A, there is a dense shell concentration 4 cm thick, overlying 2 cm of less-dense shell deposit that yielded two age determinations that are statistically the same. A third determination, from the less-dense deposit, is ca. 500 years more recent than the other dates, out of sequence, and statistically different from them. A single occupational event is indicated in the midden deposit, and although the out-of-sequence date suggests later material is also present, this may be intrusive from eroded deposits overlying the stratified occupation of ca. 10,400 cal BP.

The structure of SM F is similar to SM A, with a concentration of shell in the upper 5

Shell Midden C was also tested, but is not shown on Figure 2 or discussed, because as Richards and Jordan (1996) observed: ‘Excavation of one spit quickly revealed that all cultural materials were only superficially embedded in the upper few millimetres of the sediment and no in situ deposits were present. The materials observed here had clearly been redeposited, having in all likelihood been moved from a location to the north down to the ‘midden’ by slopewash.’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shell taxa</th>
<th>Common name</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Preferred habitat</th>
<th>Tidal zone</th>
<th>Feeding strategy</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Mobility</th>
<th>Average size (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Turbo undulata</em></td>
<td>Wavy Turbo</td>
<td>rock</td>
<td>intertidal platform</td>
<td>mid to sub tidal</td>
<td>algae grazer</td>
<td>very common</td>
<td>mobile</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cellana transversa</em></td>
<td>Variegated Limpet</td>
<td>rock</td>
<td>intertidal platform</td>
<td>high to low tidal</td>
<td>algae grazer</td>
<td>abundant</td>
<td>mobile</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Austrocochlea conceneratoria</em></td>
<td>Wavy Top Shell</td>
<td>rock</td>
<td>intertidal platform</td>
<td>high to mid tidal</td>
<td>algae grazer</td>
<td>common</td>
<td>mobile</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Donax deltoides</em></td>
<td>Pipi</td>
<td>sand</td>
<td>intertidal platform</td>
<td>high to mid tidal</td>
<td>low-high energy</td>
<td>very common</td>
<td>mobile</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Donax orbiculus</em></td>
<td>Dog Whelk</td>
<td>rock</td>
<td>intertidal platform</td>
<td>mid to sub tidal</td>
<td>low-high energy</td>
<td>very common</td>
<td>mobile</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Austropectes rostratus</em></td>
<td>Black Mussel</td>
<td>rock</td>
<td>intertidal platform</td>
<td>mid tidal</td>
<td>filter feeder (mainly plankton)</td>
<td>very common</td>
<td>mobile</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Polyplacophora</em></td>
<td>Chiton</td>
<td>rock</td>
<td>intertidal platform</td>
<td>low to sub tidal</td>
<td>low-high energy</td>
<td>very common</td>
<td>mobile</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Melanea angasi</em></td>
<td>Dog Whelk</td>
<td>rock</td>
<td>intertidal platform</td>
<td>high to low tidal</td>
<td>algae grazer (grazed by encrusting plants and animals)</td>
<td>very common</td>
<td>mobile</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ostrea angasi</em></td>
<td>Common Mud Oyster</td>
<td>sand, silt</td>
<td>intertidal platform</td>
<td>low to sub tidal</td>
<td>phyto-plankton filter feeder</td>
<td>uncommon to abundant</td>
<td>sedentary</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rascas balcrana</em></td>
<td>Bailey’s Dog</td>
<td>rock</td>
<td>intertidal platform</td>
<td>mid to sub tidal</td>
<td>low-high energy</td>
<td>uncommon</td>
<td>mobile</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cominella lineolata</em></td>
<td>Lineated Whelk</td>
<td>rock</td>
<td>intertidal platform</td>
<td>high to sub tidal</td>
<td>med-high energy</td>
<td>very common</td>
<td>mobile</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cm and sparse shell extending only 1 cm below that. The age determinations from each are statistically different, a few hundred years apart, and in sequence. In this instance, repeated occupation is indicated.

SM B has a concentration of shell in the upper 3 cm, with sparse shell below that to 7 cm depth, and dates from the dense and sparse shell deposits are statistically the same age. A single occupational event is indicated.

The structure of SM G is similar to SM B, with a concentration of shell in the upper 3 cm and sparse shell below that to 10 cm. In this case, the age determinations from the dense and sparse deposits are statistically different, but not in sequence, with the underlying date a few hundred years more recent than the overlying one. On the other hand, there is still a 100-year overlap between the two dates at two standard deviations and the difference in median ages is only 250 years on ca. 10,000 year dates. Repeated occupation is indicated.

To summarise, these open-air middens show a strong integrity considering that they are thin and shallow deposits (around 5 cm in depth) that have survived for 10,000 years or longer. Treadage alone is enough to explain the minor mixing revealed by the dating in some of these deposits. Some middens represent single, short-term occupation events and others represent a few short-term occupations over a few hundred years. The general chronological signature is of repeated, short-term occupation of this landscape.

Shellfish species frequency

The majority of the 11 shellfish taxa recorded in the shell midden deposits are rock-platform species (Tables 5, 8). *Turbo undulata* dominates the overall excavated shellfish assemblage, at nearly 62% of the total MNI of 847, and is the most common species in four of the seven tested middens (SMs A, B, E and G), as well as being represented in all of the other middens. It may well be the dominant species in SM J as well, because the testing there deliberately avoided the most dense in situ deposits exposed on the surface, which were strongly dominated by *Turbo undulata*. The next most important species in the total assemblage is *Cellana tramoserica*, which represents nearly 24% of the MNI. This species dominates one of the middens (SM F) and is prominent in another (SM A).

*Austrocochlea concemerata* comprises close to 7% of the total MNI, but this species is only represented in SM A (Table 5). *Thaïs orbita* comprises just over 2% of the MNI. Sandy shore species *Donax deltoides* make up slightly less than 2% of the excavated MNI, although it is the dominant species in SM D and appears to be dominant at the unexcavated SM I. *Austromytilus rostratus* comprises just over 1.5% of the assemblage, and although it is absent in SM A, it is represented in four other middens (SM D, E, F and J). Of the five other species present in the excavated assemblage, all comprise <1% of the total MNI, and they are mostly only present in SM A. The estuarine/muddy shore *Ostrea angasi* is only represented at SM E and F 50, and although its large size makes it appear prominent, there are only around five examples exposed on the surface over a very large area. Looked at another way, rock-platform species dominate six middens (SM A, B, E, F, G and J), while sandy-shore species dominate two middens (SM D and I).

Shellfishing chronology

The overall chronological range of shell middens on this landscape, considering the two sigma range of calibrated radiocarbon age determinations, indicates middens were deposited as late as ca. 8600 cal BP and as early as ca. 11,100 cal BP, a maximum span of 2500 years (Table 6, Figure 7). If the median probability of each calibrated date is used, the midden-related
occupation span is 2200 years (8750-10,950 cal BP).

There is some chronological patterning in shellfish species presence in the middens. The earliest shell dates are on *Austromytilus rostratus* and *Ostrea angasi*, from the period ca. 10,950-10,700 cal BP from SM J and F 50 (Table 6). The *Austromytilus rostratus* and *Ostrea angasi* dates may indicate an early period of landscape occupation when these species were important economic contributors, but *Turbo undulata* is also common in deposits exposed near the dated locations.

The main period of landscape occupation is defined by eight dates on middens (SM A, B, E, F and G), with a strong focus on *Turbo undulata*, which range from ca. 10,500 to ca. 10,000 cal BP (which may be expanded slightly to include two out-of-sequence age determinations of ca. 9800 cal BP and ca. 9850 cal BP) (Figure 7).

Finally, there is a late-occupation pulse with a focus on *Donax deltoides* around 9000 cal BP at SM D and SM I (ca. 9100-8750 cal BP).

**Nature and distribution of shellfish resources**

Characteristics of the shellfish species found in the middens on the Cape Duquesne landscape are provided in Table 8. The majority of species found in the middens at Cape Duquesne are adapted to high-wave energy coasts. Further, all but two species are adapted to rock substrates. All tidal zones are represented in the above species, from high tidal to subtidal (down to a depth of 10 m); almost all species can be found in either the high or mid-tidal zones, with only two exclusively in the low-tidal zone or deeper (and one of these is commonly found in shallow lagoons).

In terms of characteristics of the shellfish themselves, they range significantly in size, frequency, gregariousness, occurrence and mobility. Most are currently common to abundant along the Victorian coast, with one species, *Ostrea angasi*, rare generally, but abundant in its fairly limited estuarine or lagoon habitat. Most are gregarious to a greater or lesser degree, and occur in small groups to very dense beds. All but one of the rock substratum adapted species are mobile, while both soft substratum species are sessile. The largest species, *Ostrea angasi*, is also currently the most rare, and the rest of the species range from 25 mm to 85 mm for adults.

It is always risky to apply modern-day faunal distributional studies to Pleistocene situations and the present study has the usual difficulties in this regard. The coastline in the vicinity of Cape Duquesne was considerably different 10,000 years ago and it is difficult to accurately and independently model the nature of shellfish habitats in detail for that period. Nevertheless, on the basis of sea-bed mapping, geological studies and information from local crayfishermen, it is apparent that the shoreline off what is now Cape Duquesne would have been rocky, dominated by basalt boulders and platforms, with some sandy coves. This is currently a high-energy shoreline, bearing the brunt of high breaker waves, predominantly from the southwest (Short 1988:125); it was likely at least as energetic during the late-Pleistocene and early-Holocene. It is reasonable to conclude that the immediate early-Holocene coastal marine environment off what is now Cape Duquesne provided a favourable habitat for shellfish that prefer cold water, tolerate high energy waves and feed on algae that live on a rocky substratum.

Discovery Bay would have been likely dominated by high-energy sandy beaches as at present, with occasional rock outcrops and possibly lagoons, while Bridgewater Bay would not have existed as a water body; rather, a stretch of sandy beaches, possibly with backing lagoons, would have joined the southward-projecting Cape Bridgewater and Cape Nelson headlands. Patchy basalt outcrops and occasional offshore platforms would probably also have been present, and all would have been exposed to high-energy waves.
Subsistence

Shellfish are a predictable resource in that the density and location of species of interest varies little from year to year (e.g. Meehan 1982:160), making them an ideal regular staple, especially on a short-term or seasonal basis. Very little evidence for the presence of other types of food was found in the excavations at Cape Duquesne, despite alkaline pH values conducive to the preservation of bone (Reitz and Wing 2008:140-141). It must be concluded that the economic basis of occupation at Cape Duquesne was largely shellfish, although non-economic factors are likely to have also influenced selection of this locality. The sustained and repeated usage of the locality for essentially the same purpose strongly indicates that shellfishing was an ongoing component of the subsistence economy.

If the proportions of shellfish species found in the middens are considered, the top three species, *Turbo undulata*, *Cellana tramoserica* and *Austrocochlea concemerata*, account for 92% of the total assemblage MNI, spanning the entire 2200-year period that middens were deposited on this landscape. These species are very alike in terms of habitat, all being found on intertidal rock platforms on medium to high-energy coasts (Table 8). They are also all mobile algae grazers, are gregarious, and occur in small to large groups. One difference between them is that while *Austrocochlea* and *Cellana tramoserica* can be found in the high-tidal zone, often exposed to the air, and all three species occur in the mid-tidal zone, only *Turbo undulata* is commonly found in the sub-tidal zone down to a depth of 10 m. The other notable difference is that while adult *Turbo undulata* average about 70 mm in diameter and *Cellana tramoserica* averages 60 mm, *Austrocochlea concemerata* only average 25 mm in size.

Looking at proportions of shellfish species in the excavated middens more closely, the most common species by a considerable margin is *Turbo undulata*, at 61% of the entire assemblage MNI. One interpretation of the excavation results, in light of the likely shoreline habitat characteristics during the main period of occupation at Cape Duquesne ca. 10,000 cal BP and the current understanding of shellfish ecology, is that the occupants primarily targeted *Turbo undulata*, and that the specific rock-platform locations where *Turbo undulata* was to be found also held significant numbers of *Cellana tramoserica* and *Austrocochlea concemerata*, usually at shallower depths, and that these were secondary targeted species. The other rock-platform species represented in the assemblage were likely collected as bycatch during the main activity of obtaining *Turbo undulata*. To obtain meaningful amounts of fully grown *Turbo undulata*, some may be collected by wading, but complete immersion in the ocean would likely be necessary. If there were no *Turbo undulata* to hand while submerged in the very cold Southern Ocean, there would be considerable incentive to collect anything edible otherwise encountered (hence, the bycatch species in the assemblage).

During the latter period of occupation, it appears that there was a significant change in focus from mobile, intertidal rock-platform shellfish species that had to be individually located and collected by hand, to a sessile, sandy-beach species that occurred in large, highly dense beds. *Donax deltoides* are typically found in water-saturated sand, around 10-20 cm below the surface, and using bare feet to locate them and hands to pick them up, collectors may expect to get arms wet up to the elbows and legs wet to the knees, which is a radically different proposition to the collection of *Turbo undulata* in sub-tidal waters while being battered against a rock platform by enormous waves.

Discussion

Relationship with contemporary early-Holocene inland sites

In terms of their place in a larger-scale landscape settlement pattern, the three early-
Holocene occupations at Cape Duquesne, Bridgewater South Cave and Koongine Cave represent repeated, short-term, small-group resource-extraction camps, with Bridgewater South Cave and Koongine Cave having a nearly exclusive focus on terrestrial mammals and Cape Duquesne on littoral invertebrates. The balance of the evidence suggests cold-season occupation for the inland caves and warm-season occupation for the open coastal landscape, although there is no absolute seasonal control on resource availability or access. In all three cases, food resources were killed or collected away from the camping area and brought back to the camps for processing and consumption. The cave sites, with their hunting emphasis, contain considerable evidence for stone working, which included wood working, tool manufacturing and maintenance. At the Cape Duquesne camps, stone working was a minimal activity. Viewed through general ethnographic patterns, the data could indicate a division of activity and site occupation by gender, with hunting and stone working providing a predominantly male emphasis at Bridgewater South Cave and Koongine Cave, while shellfishing and associated processing suggest a female activity focus at Cape Duquesne.

The distance from Bridgewater South Cave to the camping area at Cape Duquesne at 10,000 cal BP was 8 km, with less than 1.2 km further south to the littoral resources on the shore. Given the contemporaneity of occupation of the two locations, and the two to three hour walk between them, it is conceivable that the same people occupied both sequentially, or even at the same time. With little effort, scenarios could be constructed involving: (1) small family groups spending time shellfishing on the coast while camped at Cape Duquesne and then moving on to Bridgewater South Cave to hunt terrestrial mammals; (2) mainly women, children and older people camping at Cape Duquesne and collecting shellfish, while a related party of men were hunting terrestrial resources out of a field camp in the Bridgewater South Cave; (3) groups of mainly men or women, in different hunting and foraging parties from as-yet-unknown, larger base-camp locations, using Bridgewater South Cave and Cape Duquesne as temporary field camps, where activities concentrated on field processing food resources for transportation to the base camps.

All three sites should be viewed as indicative of early-Holocene short-term field camps in this region, along with even more ephemeral contemporary inland evidence of occupation at Blackfellows Waterhole (Richards 2004), Billimina Rockshelter and Drual Rockshelter (Bird and Frankel 2005), located to the northeast. This regional pattern of short-term, repeatedly occupied sites suggests a highly mobile, sparse human population in the early-Holocene.

Comparison with late-Holocene midden structure and contents

Recent research at a very large late-Holocene midden some 3 km to the northeast of Cape Duquesne, has revealed a distinct chronology of landscape usage and shellfishing patterns (Richards and Johnston 2004; Richards and Webber 2004). The Cape Bridgewater landscape contains Aboriginal midden deposits measuring up to 237,000 m² in area, and with an estimated average thickness of 10 cm there may have been up to 23,700 m³ of midden at this location (Richards and Johnston 2004). In contrast, the Cape Duquesne landscape, although around half to one quarter the size of Cape Bridgewater, only contains small patches of visible midden deposit, measured at just over 1500 m² and estimated to have been no more than 3000 m² (including buried and destroyed deposits). Testing of the Cape Duquesne middens indicates a mean thickness of 4 cm, providing an estimate of up to 120 m³ of early-Holocene midden deposit on this landscape, vs. 23,700 m³ at Cape Bridgewater, or 198 times as much midden as the latter.

Radiocarbon age determinations indicate an occupation span at Cape Bridgewater of some 3600 years, from ca. 4000 cal BP to ca. 400 cal BP, with an estimated average of up to 6.6 m³ of shell midden deposition per year. In contrast, occupation of Cape Duquesne occurred over
a span of 2200 years, resulting in an estimated midden deposition rate of up to 0.06 m$^3$ per year. The estimated average annualised rate of midden deposition on the late-Holocene Cape Bridgewater landscape is thus 110 times greater than the average annualised rate of midden deposition on the early-Holocene Cape Duquesne landscape.

**Comparison with late-Holocene shellfishing patterns**

At Cape Duquesne, there was a focus on one species, *Turbo undulata*, a relatively large sea snail that had to be collected by hand in the sub-tidal zone off wave-battered intertidal rock platforms. Two other species which had similar adaptations and habitat, although largely confined to the mid to high-tidal zones, were also collected, but to a lesser extent. Combined, the three top species in the assemblage, which were able to be collected at the same location, but at varying depths, comprised 92% of the MNI; almost all of the remaining species, which also could have been found in the same location, can be regarded as bycatch. The collection of sandy-shore species *Donax deltoides* occurred after the main period of landscape occupation and represents a switch to a new catchment, with *Donax* replacing *Turbo* as the dominant species.

The investigated sample from Cape Bridgewater totals 11,308 MNI, comprising 17 distinct taxa (vs. 11 taxa at Cape Duquesne); however, there was a concentration on mass collection and processing of one small species of shellfish found in sandy beaches, *Paphies angusta* (Narrow Wedge Shell). In the period 4000 to 1500 cal BP at Cape Bridgewater, 70% of MNI were *Paphies angusta*, while in the period 1400–400 cal BP this species increased to 90% of MNI (Richards and Johnston 2004:106). Significant numbers of both sandy and rocky substrate species were collected at Cape Bridgewater, so that while there was a major focus on one sandy-shore species, the fact that a distinct rocky-shore area was also accessed to collect rock-platform species indicates that these were deliberately targeted as supplemental species and not bycatch.

The economic focus on the Cape Bridgewater landscape was shellfish extraction, processing and consumption, which occurred on a large scale, repeatedly and over an extended duration (Richards and Johnston 2004:110). Aboriginal bands used this location as a base camp, undertaking a range of activities, probably on a semi-annual, seasonal (summer) basis for durations of a few weeks to a few months at a time (Richards and Johnston 2004:108–109). Cape Duquesne also had a focus on shellfish extraction, processing and consumption, and this also appeared to occur repeatedly over an extended duration and was probably semi-annual and seasonal in nature; however, this was a very small-scale activity that was targeted mainly towards a few species found in a few vertically stratified zones at intertidal rock platforms. In contrast to Cape Bridgewater, all of the evidence at Cape Duquesne indicates that small groups, on the scale of extended families, camped at this location for short periods of time, for durations of a few days to a few weeks at most.

**Conclusions**

Three natural phenomena allowed the formation and subsequent preservation of the Cape Duquesne Aboriginal landscape: (a) a cliff; (b) a steeply sloping sea bed; and (c) a sand ramp. The sand ramp joined the cliff top with the early-Holocene shoreline, providing an environmental setting that Aboriginal people turned into their own landscape, collecting marine littoral resources from the Southern Ocean and transporting them to their camping places at the top of Cape Duquesne for processing and consumption. Because of the steeply sloping sea bed, at 10,000 cal BP, the cliff top location would have been only just over 1 km to the north and about 75 m above the shoreline, providing a highly convenient camping location with a commanding view over an extensive coastal plain.
This is not an unusual occurrence of one isolated early midden; instead, the evidence from the Cape Duquesne landscape indicates that coastal occupation was a regular feature of the settlement-subsistence pattern in southwest Victoria from at least the onset of the Holocene.

The archaeological research at Cape Duquesne contributes to the study of socio-cultural complexity in southwest Victoria by providing a baseline dataset for comparisons with late-Holocene coastal Aboriginal landscape use, especially:

1. The physical nature of the middens, including size, composition and structure, indicating discrete patches of midden ranging up to 750 m² in area, containing 11 shellfish taxa, stone artefacts and hearth features.

2. A firm chronology based on 15 radiocarbon dates, demonstrating repeated marine shell midden occupations of the area over a maximum 2500-year span (ca. 11,100 cal BP to ca. 8600 cal BP) with a focus on the period ca. 10,500-10,000 cal BP.

3. Statistics derived from midden measurements and chronology, such as annualised midden deposition rate, estimated at up to .06 m³ per year.

4. The character of marine littoral resource exploitation, which was a highly patterned focus on three species that were available from high-tidal to sub-tidal zones at rock platforms. Other shellfish species were collected incidentally as they were encountered at these locations. The primary focus was on relatively K-selected shellfish that were individually collected and processed by hand prior to consumption.

5. The character of human occupation of the landscape; this was small scale and probably seasonal, indicating short-term reliance on shellfish as a food source by small groups of people.

In marked contrast, a nearby late-Holocene Aboriginal landscape at Cape Bridgewater, occupied some 5000 years after Cape Duquesne, contained a very large midden deposit with evidence for a concentration on mass collection and processing of one very small, sessile species of shellfish that was available in very large beds in the high-tidal zone. This late-Holocene midden had an annualised midden deposition rate that was estimated to be 110 times greater than at Cape Duquesne in the early-Holocene. The Cape Bridgewater midden itself is structurally different, being much larger and thicker, having huge areas of continuous midden deposit, while at Cape Duquesne the midden deposit is discontinuous, patchy and much thinner.

It must be concluded that there is no indication of complexity in the early-Holocene archaeological record at Cape Duquesne; in fact the evidence provides a textbook signature for generalised, highly mobile, egalitarian hunter-gatherers. This finding is consistent with other documented early-Holocene occupations in southwest Victoria, such as Bridgewater South Cave, Koongine Cave, Blackfellow’s Waterhole, Billimina Rockshelter and Druul Rockshelter. It is also clear that something very different was happening in terms of Aboriginal coastal occupation and littoral resource use in this area during the late-Holocene in comparison with the early-Holocene. The late-Holocene occupations were more highly organised, and probably more tightly scheduled, by larger groups that stayed on the coast for longer periods, and who utilised a broader range of species while increasingly focusing over time on the mass collection and processing of one highly r-selected species. This pattern is entirely consistent with the late-Holocene appearance of semi-sedentary, high population density, complex, transegalitarian societies, as postulated by Lourandos (1980a, b, 1983, 1997) and Williams (1985, 1987, 1988).
It is also consistent with the results of Kershaw and his collaborators on the Mt Eccles lava flow.
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Introduction

Human occupation of Sahul (Australia-Tasmania-New Guinea) began about 50,000 years ago, and by 40,000 BP most environments had been colonised (O’Connell and Allen 2008). By this time, people had adapted to the tropics, the arid centre and the glacial areas of Tasmania. In his groundbreaking palynological and palaeoecological studies, Peter Kershaw provided an essential framework within which to examine human responses to changing vegetation and climate variability in Australia. At Lynch’s Crater on the Atherton Tableland, Kershaw demonstrated major climatic changes based on the study of pollen and charcoal concentrations fluctuating over the past ca. 120,000 years (Kershaw 1986; Moss and Kershaw 2000). He and his teams identified a date of ca. 45,000 BP for the onset of burning on the Atherton Tableland, through increases in carbon particles around this time (Turney et al. 2001). This evidence was said to support an argument for early peopling of the region, between 45,000 and 55,000 years ago, which also heralded the initial human impacts on Sahul’s ecosystems, including the extinction of megafauna. However, despite the evidence for widespread human occupation of Sahul by 40,000 BP, no dates earlier than 8000 years ago have been found in north Queensland’s tropical rainforest region. Thus, the present archaeological data run counter to suggestions for the presence of people on the Atherton Tableland ca. 40,000 years ago. However, it is possible there was some Aboriginal occupation on the edge of the rainforest ca. 30,000 years ago (Cosgrove et al. 2007) and further work may reveal late-Pleistocene human
occupation in the core rainforest area, as has been detected to the north of the study area (Haberle and David 2004; Summerhayes et al. 2010).

Wide-ranging archaeological research in the Atherton-Evelyn Tableland region of north Queensland (Horsfall 1987, 1996; Cosgrove and Raymont 2002; Cosgrove 2005; Cosgrove et al. 2007; Ferrier 2010) has shown that the majority of the archaeological material dates to within the past 5000 years, with human occupation initially at very low levels, while it was only within the past 2000 years that occupation intensified. Here, we expand on the current understanding of what appears to be a late-Holocene intensification in site occupation and Aboriginal use of toxic food plants in north Queensland’s Wet Tropics Bioregion, with an analysis of the macro-botanical remains excavated from Urumbal Pocket, an open archaeological site on the Evelyn Tableland (Figure 1). We use ethnographic analogy and a modern botanical reference collection to understand past techniques of toxic plant food processing and the identification of archaeological specimens.

Figure 1. Location of Urumbal Pocket at Koomboloomba Dam and other sites investigated on the Atherton-Evelyn Tableland in Far North Queensland’s rainforest region.
Aboriginal exploitation of toxic foods

A variety of explanations have been put forward for continent-wide changes in Aboriginal subsistence patterns and site occupation during the mid- to late-Holocene period (Denham et al. 2009). These include social intensification (Lourandos 1997:303, 305) and broad-spectrum resource use (Haberle and David 2004), population increase and ceremonial activities (Beaton 1983, 1985, 1990), large-scale climatic change (Morwood and Hobbs 1995:182) and high-intensity El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) activity (Rowland 1999; Cosgrove 2005; Turney and Hobbs 2006; Cosgrove et al. 2007). Unravelling the causes for an increase in the use of poisonous food plants is dependent on a capacity to build solid regional frameworks of archaeological and palaeoecological data that include an understanding of (i) substantial archaeological evidence of ancient plant remains, (ii) site formation and taphonomic processes, (iii) an identification of associated changes in other cultural remains with matching palaeoenvironmental signals, and (iv) commensurate regional site comparisons that have stratigraphically intact dated deposits. Previous studies have argued that the development of toxic plant processing techniques occurred outside Australia and diffused into this country about 4000 to 3000 years ago (Beaton 1983). However, the significant inclusion of tree nuts into rainforest hunter-gatherer diets suggests it was part of a specific regional economic development occurring across Australasia in the Holocene (Denham et al. 2009). It has been argued that this development was linked to a much earlier generalist late-Pleistocene subsistence strategy of habitat modification by fire and broad-spectrum plant exploitation. The noted lack of evidence for early plant exploitation may have an underlying taphonomic explanation (Asmussen 2008, 2009, 2010), and unlike faunal remains in some late-Pleistocene sites (Cosgrove and Allen 2001), preservation of organic materials has perhaps hampered the investigations into early plant-food exploitation patterns. We see more consistent evidence in the Holocene from which to make predictions about Aboriginal dietary plant use.

Background

Aboriginal tropical-rainforest occupation and the use of plant foods by Aboriginal rainforest dwellers was extensively recorded in the early contact period by Europeans such as explorers, botanists, Aboriginal Protectors and naturalists (Lumholtz 1889; Meston 1889; Roth 1900, 1901-1910; Coyyan 1918; Mjöberg 1918). Much of the ethno-historical literature has been summarised by Harris (1975, 1978, 1987), Horsfall (1987, 1990) and Pedley (1992, 1993), who have discussed Aboriginal foods of the rainforest. From the historical literature, it is apparent that the rainforest provided a wealth of vegetable foods. Historical documents and Aboriginal oral histories demonstrate that plant foods comprised a significant proportion of the Aboriginal rainforest diet, which included the collection, processing and consumption of a large number of rainforest nuts (e.g. Mjöberg 1918:492-494; Pedley 1993; M. Barlow pers. comm. 2004). More than 112 plants have been identified as food sources consumed by Aboriginal rainforest dwellers. Of these, 10% to 13% are toxic and require extensive processing (Horsfall 1987; Pedley 1993). Most historical descriptions emphasise specific toxic tree nuts that apparently provided an important food source during the wet season (late November through to March). Experimental work by Pedley (1993:179-180) and Tuechler (2010) has shown that the contribution of toxic nuts to the Aboriginal rainforest diet was significant, being important sources of carbohydrates, protein and fats in various quantities. It has been estimated (Pedley 1993) that toxic nuts comprised around 10% to 14% of the diet of rainforest people at the time of Aboriginal-European contact. Their total contribution to the Aboriginal diet in prehistory is unknown, but considering the early ethnographic observations and estimated nutritional
values, it was probably considerable.

Gold prospector Mick O’Leary made observations in the early 1880s of nut use in the upper Tully River area:

The principal food trees are the koah [yellow walnut], burra [black walnut], bean tree, tchupella [black pine] and a number of smaller varieties; there are also a few vines or tree climbers that at times bear edible fruit. The bean tree is not often used by those people on account of its poisonous nature and the amount of work that is attached to preparing it. The nuts are pared into very thin slices using a piece of sharp quartz, then there is a considerable time that it has to go under the water process and fire before it is fit for consumption. The tchupella is a smaller nut and grows on the trees we know as black pine. When the season is on the food is eagerly sought for by those people and they will travel over miles of country to partake of it. They also grind those nuts, into fire, but it does not require the water treatment, baking in the hot ashes being sufficient. The tchupella is an annual bearer, but is not too plentiful and generally found on the high or tableland country. (Coyyan 1918)

Historical descriptions of Aboriginal toxic-nut exploitation in the rainforest mostly refer to two types of walnut, *Beilschmiedia bancroftii* (yellow walnut) and *Endiandra palmerstonii* (black walnut), the ‘black pine’ nut, *Sundacarpus amara*, and the black bean, *Castanospermum australie*. Many of the toxic species utilised by Aboriginal rainforest people are endemic to Australia’s Wet Tropics Bioregion (Hyland et al. 2002). The yellow and black walnuts are available for around eight months of the year, mainly over the spring and summer months, and grow at altitudes ranging from 0 m to 1300 m. The black pine has a considerably shorter fruiting period and is available only between October and December and has a more limited distribution, growing between altitudes of 600 m and 1200 m (Cooper and Cooper 2004). The black-bean tree fruits between March and November and grows at altitudes between 0 m and 840 m (Cooper and Cooper 2004:204). These varieties of toxic nuts have a high food value, high seasonal abundance and storage potential (both above and below ground), and as a result are sought after by both people and rainforest animals. Yellow walnuts and black pine nuts are eaten by cassowaries and bush rats, while the black walnut is popular with white-tailed rats. A particular attraction of the yellow walnut for humans is that much of the fallen fruit can lie on the ground for a short period without attack from predators. Predators avoid the fallen black bean and black pine nuts, but for a more limited time (Pedley 1993:193), while the black walnut must be collected straight away to prevent competition from the white-tailed rat (Pedley 1993:193). These hard-shelled nuts could be stored for several months below ground for later consumption (Coyyan 1918; Mjöberg 1918; Harris 1975).

Based on historical accounts as well as ethnographic observations, it is possible to reconstruct the processes involved in detoxification. Elaborate lawyer-cane (*Calamus australis*) baskets were used for the collection of nuts on the ground and lawyer-cane ropes were used for climbing trees to collect fresh nuts (e.g. Roth 1901–1910; Mjöberg 1918). O’Leary observed Aboriginal rainforest people using a sharp piece of quartz to slice toxic nuts on the Tully River, and in other areas of the rainforest region, snail-shell graters were used (Roth 1900; Pedley 1992:51). Earth ovens were used to steam the toxic nuts and other foods, including meat and fish, sometimes lining the pit with river cobbles as well as ginger leaves, placing the nuts in the pit and covering them up with more leaves, and finally placing hot coals on top. Following this baking and steaming procedure, the nuts were cracked open using a small cobble as a nut-cracking stone. Lastly, the grated pulp was put in lawyer-cane dilly bags and leached for two to three days in a small running creek. Once leached of their toxins, the pulp was chewed and
formed into a paste that was eaten raw, and later in the contact period, Aboriginal rainforest people made it into ‘Johnny cakes’, or flat cakes that were baked on hot coals (Coyyan 1918; Mjöberg 1918; Pedley 1992). What remains in the archaeological record are the carbonised fragments of the hard layer of endocarp that enclose a single seed (nut).

**Archaeological investigations**

The archaeological open site at Urumbal Pocket is located on a flat spur, high on a bank above the original course of the Tully River (Figure 2).

Urumbal Pocket is a series of *Eucalyptus* patches among rainforest, bordering a stretch of the upper Tully River. In total, six 1 m x 1 m pits were excavated at the site to investigate the density of archaeological remains and the age and stratigraphy of the site, as well as to establish the spatial distribution of cultural materials. Two main stratigraphic units are distinguished on the basis of soil colour and structure – an upper dark humic layer, with an underlying lighter layer (Figure 3).

The soil type is common in the area and defined as Yellow Kandosol (McKenzie et al. 2004:246-247). Unit 1 consists of an artefact-rich black loam, with a Munsell ranging from 10YR1/7 in the top layers to 7.5YR4/6 in the bottom layers. The sediments in Unit 1 can be described as a homogeneous and unconsolidated sandy loam deposit with fine grit throughout, derived from the surrounding organic soil. A transition layer separates Unit 1 and 2 (7.5YR 3/2) and consists of a light brown gritty soil that is distinguished by some orange mottling. Its top starts at a depth of 40 cm and is approximately 10 cm thick. Sediments in Unit 2 (7.5YR 4/6) become increasingly gritty and are less homogeneous with depth, with particles from the decomposing granite bedrock becoming incorporated into the soil.

**Chronology**

Charcoal was recovered from all squares excavated. Seventeen radiocarbon dates were obtained from *in situ* samples of charcoal recovered during the excavations (Table 1). The dates show a good chronological order, suggesting that the site is relatively undisturbed. The earliest dates at Urumbal Pocket, 7445 ± 68 BP (8273 ± 67 cal BP [Wk-13578]) and 7212 ± 46 BP (8052 ± 65 cal
BP [Wk-13571]) are from charcoal found in the lower spits of Unit 2 together with a small number of quartz artefacts. The dates coincide with an initial period of Holocene rainforest expansion, and correspond with increases in microscopic charcoal in the pollen record (Haberle 2005; Cosgrove et al. 2007). A further six AMS dates (bold in Table 1) were obtained from in situ diagnostic endocarp fragments. The dates on the nutshell fragments broadly correlate with the dates on the charcoal samples. One excavated Lauraceae endocarp fragment, a yellow walnut (*Beilschmiedia bancroftii*) or a black walnut (*Endiandra palmerstonii*), was submitted for 14C analysis. The fragment returned a date of 1585 ± 40 BP (uncalibrated age; OZJ718) and is consistent with evidence for toxic plant use from other archaeological sites in the region (Horsfall 1987:268; Cosgrove et al. 2007). The dated Lauraceae fragment from Urumbal Pocket provides a minimum age for the appearance of toxic nut processing in the rainforest.

Table 2 below shows how the grouping of radiocarbon dates from Urumbal Pocket suggests three phases of Aboriginal occupation at Urumbal Pocket, where ca. 70% of the dates are younger than 1500 years. The three occupation phases are correlated with increasingly high numbers of cultural materials through time. Some mixing of the deposits in Unit 1 may be related to increased human activity at the site in the past 1000 years. The chronology points to very low intensity initial site use around 8000 years ago, with a hiatus in site use from around 7000 BP until 5000 BP. The evidence points to an occasional use of the site between 5000 BP and 2000 BP. At the end of Phase 2 (transition zone), cultural materials start to increase, and Phase 3, dating from around 2000 BP to the late 1800s, is rich in cultural materials. Thousands of stone artefacts, small amounts of ochre and plant remains were recovered from these upper layers.
Table 1. Conventional and calibrated radiocarbon dates for Urumbal Pocket.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Square</th>
<th>Spit</th>
<th>Material dated</th>
<th>Uncalibrated age</th>
<th>Calendric age cal BP</th>
<th>68% range cal BP</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>514 ± 51 BP</td>
<td>569 ± 48</td>
<td>520-617</td>
<td>Wk-11341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>1045 ± 51 BP</td>
<td>980 ± 51</td>
<td>929-1031</td>
<td>Wk-11342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>3339 ± 66 BP</td>
<td>3579 ± 82</td>
<td>3497-3661</td>
<td>Wk-11343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>4887 ± 93 BP</td>
<td>5627 ± 111</td>
<td>5516-5738</td>
<td>Wk-11344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>422 ± 40 BP</td>
<td>449 ± 62</td>
<td>387-511</td>
<td>Wk-13566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>2201 ± 46 BP</td>
<td>2229 ± 69</td>
<td>2160-2298</td>
<td>Wk-13567</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>1497 ± 34 BP</td>
<td>1381 ± 30</td>
<td>1351-1411</td>
<td>Wk-13568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>1660 ± 44 BP</td>
<td>1573 ± 54</td>
<td>1581-1627</td>
<td>Wk-13569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>1581 ± 41 BP</td>
<td>1472 ± 47</td>
<td>1424-1519</td>
<td>Wk-13570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>7212 ± 46 BP</td>
<td>8052 ± 65</td>
<td>7987-8117</td>
<td>Wk-13571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>1374 ± 39 BP</td>
<td>1304 ± 21</td>
<td>1283-1325</td>
<td>Wk-13572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>2628 ± 51 BP</td>
<td>2762 ± 34</td>
<td>2728-2796</td>
<td>Wk-13573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>endocarp</td>
<td>1585 ± 40 BP</td>
<td>1474 ± 47</td>
<td>1427-1521</td>
<td>OZJ718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>190 ± 37 BP</td>
<td>156 ± 122</td>
<td>33-278</td>
<td>Wk-13574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>endocarp</td>
<td>470 ± 60 BP</td>
<td>502 ± 44</td>
<td>457-546</td>
<td>OZJ719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>endocarp</td>
<td>850 ± 40 BP</td>
<td>778 ± 51</td>
<td>727-829</td>
<td>OZJ720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>endocarp</td>
<td>720 ± 40 BP</td>
<td>676 ± 21</td>
<td>655-697</td>
<td>OZJ721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>672 ± 39 BP</td>
<td>622 ± 45</td>
<td>577-667</td>
<td>Wk-13575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>1244 ± 40 BP</td>
<td>1181 ± 64</td>
<td>1117-1245</td>
<td>Wk-13576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>endocarp</td>
<td>1605 ± 40 BP</td>
<td>1486 ± 51</td>
<td>1434-1537</td>
<td>OZJ722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>endocarp</td>
<td>1595 ± 40 BP</td>
<td>1480 ± 48</td>
<td>1431-1528</td>
<td>OZJ723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>2143 ± 48 BP</td>
<td>2169 ± 104</td>
<td>2065-2273</td>
<td>Wk-13577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>7445 ± 68 BP</td>
<td>8273 ± 67</td>
<td>8205-8340</td>
<td>Wk-13578</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The plant assemblage

The plant assemblage from Urumbal Pocket consists for the most part of robust endocarp fragments, generically referred to as nutshells. The plant remains are highly fragmented, both as a result of nut-cracking during processing and probably also from human activities at the site, such as trampling and cleaning activities. A small number of complete and incomplete seeds with diagnostic features were also recovered. All of the botanical remains are carbonised inert charcoal, which has allowed for their survival in the archaeological record (Horsfall 1987, 1990). It is not yet clear how the plant remains became burnt, but experiments show that nutshell fragments put on coals produced from a small log fire burn fast and disintegrate to ash. Nutshell charring is therefore most likely to have taken place in a low oxygen environment and their survival is possibly due to anaerobic carbonisation during the steaming and baking of the nuts. This step in the detoxification process has been described as ‘nuts roasted in hot ash’ (Mjöberg 1918:494), which suggests that the nuts came in direct contact with hot ash and as a result perhaps became burnt. A second explanation is that the nutshells were incorporated into coals in dying fires as waste products during cleaning up activities at the site. Further experimental work may reveal an explanation of their survival in the archaeological record.

Creating a modern reference collection

To facilitate identification of the excavated nutshell fragments, modern samples of yellow
Table 2. Chronologically ordered uncalibrated radiocarbon dates from Urumbal Pocket, grouped into three phases of site use; about 70% date to more recently than 2000 BP (bold dates indicate transition zone).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratigraphic Unit 2</th>
<th>Stratigraphic Unit 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1</td>
<td>Phase 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low level use</td>
<td>Occasional use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca. 8000-7000 BP</td>
<td>ca. 5000-2000 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7445 ± 68 BP</td>
<td>4887 ± 93 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7212 ± 46 BP</td>
<td>3339 ± 66 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2628 ± 51 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2201 ± 46 BP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and black walnuts, as well as black pine nuts, were collected and brought back to the laboratory for comparison. The black bean does not contain a thick endocarp layer and as a result was eliminated as a possible candidate. Samples of modern yellow and black walnuts and black pine nuts were collected from underneath trees located in the study area and in locations on the Atherton-Evelyn Tableland with a vegetation structure similar to pre-European times. This ensured that the modern reference collection was representative, taking into account any size variation within the modern and archaeological plant assemblages. The morphological features of 22 modern samples of yellow and black walnut and five modern samples of black pine nut were recorded. These attributes were then used as a guide to compare and contrast attributes recorded on the archaeological plant material.

**Methods applied in the analysis**

All nutshell fragments greater than 10 mm in maximum dimension and with distinctive surface features visible to the naked eye were selected for analysis. Surface structures were also compared using a standard binocular microscope (7-40 X). The significant morphological features of modern walnuts and black pine nuts recorded were (i) shape, (ii) size, (iii) thickness of the endocarp wall, and (iv) surface structures. The results from recording these morphological features on the modern samples were compared with results from analysis of the archaeological nutshell fragments (Table 3). In the modern samples, yellow and black walnuts are spherically shaped. The main surface feature on the black walnut is a pointed and sharp apex with an opposite blunt base, and on the yellow walnut the apex and base both have sharp protrusions (Figure 4).
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Black pine nuts are also spherical, but are slightly more oblong, smaller and lack the pointed ends. In the first instance, large curved endocarp fragments and fragments with distinctly pointed or swollen ends were selected for further analysis and identification (Figure 5).

Some size variability in the modern walnut samples was observed, similar to that recorded in the botanical literature on tropical rainforest plants (Cooper and Cooper 2004:242). Modern black pine nut samples were all relatively small (20-25 mm) and fell outside the modern walnut size range (28-50 mm). A circle template was used to measure large, curved endocarp fragments in the archaeological assemblage so that the complete modern samples could be compared. This enabled an estimation of the size of the nut, including the complete seed surrounded by the endocarp layer. The modern sample sizes were used to assess potential endocarp shrinkage during the carbonising process that could result in erroneous identifications. Those samples that matched the modern walnut size were selected for further analysis. At this point, fragments significantly smaller than the modern range of walnuts and without any other morphological indicators such as endocarp thickness or surface ornamentations were eliminated from the analysis and recorded as unidentified fragments. Black pine nuts are outside the walnut size range and due to their lack of diagnostic morphological features other than size and shape, it was difficult to make a positive identification. The thickness of the endocarp wall was measured in millimetres using callipers, with the aim of investigating whether or not fragments shrunk in the process of carbonisation, potentially making identification ambiguous (Figure 6).

The endocarp walls of the modern black pine nut were consistently thinner than those of the walnuts, making them susceptible to breakage, and difficult to identify among the archaeological assemblage. Although some thin pieces of endocarp with a smaller circumference were tentatively attributed to black pine, further microscopic analysis of cell structure would be required for a conclusive identification. Surface features were carefully examined and recorded, following Anderberg (1994:9). Endocarp fragments preserving a complete or part of a pointed apex were easily identified to either black or yellow walnut. Other surface structures recorded on modern samples were their relative smoothness, the presence of veins, and surfaces with foveate (pitted), rough and ribbed ornamentations.

A number of complete and incomplete seeds were also recovered in the archaeological deposits. The excavated seeds were identified by comparison with modern reference material held at CSIRO’s tropical herbarium in Atherton and with samples collected in the field.
Table 3. Morphological features recorded on modern walnuts and black pine nuts compared with results from analysis of the archaeological nutshell fragments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Modern yellow and black walnut</th>
<th>Excavated nutshell fragment</th>
<th>Modern black pine</th>
<th>Excavated nutshell fragment?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shape</td>
<td>globose</td>
<td>curved</td>
<td>globose but slightly more oblong than walnuts</td>
<td>slightly curved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>28-50 mm</td>
<td>25-50 mm</td>
<td>20-25 mm</td>
<td>&lt;25 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocarp thickness</td>
<td>1-3 mm</td>
<td>1-3 mm</td>
<td>0.5-1 mm</td>
<td>0.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface ornamentation</td>
<td>sharp protrusions on one or two ends, smooth surface with veins or ribbed structures</td>
<td>sharp protrusions on ends, smooth surface with veins, pitted or rough structures</td>
<td>lack protrusions on ends, overall smooth surface</td>
<td>smooth surface</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A number of these were identified as Sapotaceae, genus *Pouteria* (B. Grey pers. comm. 2006). *Pouteria* spp. seeds have a number of key distinguishing features. They are ovate with one or two pointed ends and have a smooth surface with a groove running down the centre of the body (Figure 7).

The interpretation that *Pouteria* species were used and deposited in the sites by humans cannot be supported by reference to ethnographic analogy, although another variety, *Pouteria sericea*, is considered ‘bush tucker’ in the field guides to vegetation of dry tropical areas in Queensland (Brock 2005:287). In this case, it is the fleshy pericarp that is consumed by humans. Another type of seed found in the archaeological deposits is a small, round to slightly oval seed, between 10 mm and 14 mm in diameter, with distinct surface ornamentations. The seed is enclosed within a thin, wrinkled and woody endocarp (Figure 8). This type of seed has tentatively been identified as belonging to the Elaeocarpaceae and is probably one of the quandong species (B. Hyland pers. comm. 2006). The use of other *Elaeocarpus* species by rainforest dwellers was recorded in the contact period, for example *Elaeocarpus bancroftii*, the Johnstone River almond (Harris 1975:39-43).
Potential limitations of the data

Investigations into past plant use by humans must include examinations of taphonomy and site formation processes in order to understand their vectors of introduction (Smith 1982, 1996; Clarke 1985, 1988; McConnell and O’Connor 1997; Wallis 2001, 2003; Martinoli and Jacomet 2004). Thus, discriminating between cultural and natural plant accumulations is important to the interpretation of plant evidence found at archaeological sites in general (Beck et al. 1989). It has been suggested that good indications of the past cultural use of a plant are (i) its presence in high concentrations, (ii) a continuous presence through time in cultural deposits, (iii) similar patterns within a range of taxa, and (iv) the nature of the preservation of the remains (Minnis 1981). Criteria to consider when trying to discriminate between cultural and natural plant accumulations in the archaeological record include radiocarbon dating of plant remains, comparison of species represented in cultural soils with those in adjacent non-cultural soils, and a presence of high concentrations and good preservation of identifiable elements, particularly those that are burnt and charred (Keepax 1977:226–228; Minnis 1981). The plant remains excavated from Urumbal Pocket fulfil these criteria. However, to further assist in the identification of cultural and natural plant accumulations in the archaeological record at Urumbal Pocket, the spatial distribution of plant remains was tested for in a series of shovel tests dug in a 150 m forest transect perpendicular to the site. Some wood charcoal was collected, but no nutshell or other cultural materials were identified in the pits. This suggests that adjacent non-cultural soils contain no charred nutshells and reduces the possibility that the botanical remains were deposited at the site from natural fires and subsequent slope wash.

In addition, none of the identified species grow within the immediate vicinity of the site. The surrounding vegetation at Urumbal Pocket is currently dominated by eucalypts. Several dates on charcoal from soil pits near the excavation suggest fire has influenced the vegetation for the last 8000 years (7181 ± 30 BP = 7988 ± 23 cal BP [Wk-28722]), suggesting that the archaeological plant
remains are not the result of nuts and seeds falling into the site from overhanging rainforest vegetation. A further indicator that strongly supports the hypothesis that humans discarded the plant remains is the lack of evidence for animal consumption on the archaeological plant remains. Rodents and cockatoos fed on nuts and seeds of the species identified archaeologically, leaving distinct gnaw marks such as those in Figures 9 and 10.

Thus, the evidence supports the notion that the carbonised plant remains found in the Urumbal Pocket excavations were brought to the site by Aboriginal people. To summarise, the evidence for this is:

1. Aboriginal people reportedly used the species represented archaeologically, according to oral traditions and ethno-historical documents.

2. There is a narrow range of species represented.

3. The plant remains are charred.

4. Pits dug outside the site contain no charred plant remains.

5. The remains have not been chewed by rats and other animals.

Results

More than 9100 pieces of plant remains, weighing a total of 422.7 g, were recovered from the Urumbal Pocket archaeological excavations. Of these, more than 90% are unidentified carbonised endocarp fragments fewer than 10 mm in size. The remainder consists for the most part of diagnostic endocarp fragments greater than 10 mm, in addition to a number of complete and partially complete seeds. All of the identified plant remains are from Unit 1, and account for 5.3% of the total plant assemblage. An MNI of 86 pieces of endocarp is derived from the two species of toxic walnut, either *Beilschmiedia bancroftii* or *Endiandra palmerstonii*. At least another 312 fragments are curved pieces of endocarp from a large type of fruit (greater than 25-30 mm in diameter). Given that the estimated size, endocarp wall thickness and surface ornamentations of the partial remains are consistent with features recorded on modern walnuts, there is a strong possibility that these are also walnut fragments. Modern and archaeological samples showed no significant difference in the size of the nuts or endocarp wall thickness and it is concluded that no major shrinkage occurred to the fragments at the time they were burnt, thus eliminating the possibility of misidentification. Starch grain analysis was carried out on an excavated fragment of an incised slate grinding stone (UP/A2/SP5/1022) from Urumbal Pocket (Figure 11) to provide evidence for what types of plants were being processed on a particular stone artefact (Cosgrove et al. 2007; Field et al. 2009).
These stone tools, sometimes referred to as graters, are restricted to Far North Queensland’s rainforest region. The results showed that the grooves on incised grinding stones act as ‘residue traps’ and preserve micro-fossils such as starch and phytoliths. Starch recovered from the fragment excavated at Urumbal Pocket was identified to yellow walnut, indicating on-site processing (Cosgrove et al. 2007:164; Field et al. 2009). The results support an interpretation that toxic starchy seeds were being exploited at Urumbal Pocket, and are consistent with the identification of carbonised nutshells of the yellow walnut (Beilschmiedia bancroftii). The remaining 98 identified specimens, which consist of complete and partly complete seeds, have been identified to Sapotaceae, and more specifically to varieties of Pouteria spp. A small round seed is also represented at the two sites and has been tentatively identified to Elaeocarpaceae, probably one of the quandong species. Neither of these two species has been previously identified as economically important, or historically documented as a food source by Aboriginal rainforest people.

Results indicate that toxic walnuts were being processed at Urumbal Pocket, a detoxification process that takes several days. It is likely that Aboriginal people stayed at the site more than one night during each visit, assuming that the occupants had the same toxic-nut processing techniques as historically recorded and that they did the whole processing cycle on site.

### Table 4. Distribution of carbonised plant remains in grams per square and per composite spit in Analytical Units 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Square</th>
<th>Analytical Unit 1</th>
<th>Analytical Unit 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
<td>Weight (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z3</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V8</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>406.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>16.2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Despite the burning process associated with nut processing, our analysis has shown that it is possible to specifically identify a small proportion of the excavated macro-fossils from the archaeological record. We have shown that these nuts belong to the Lauraceae family; i.e. the yellow (Beilschmiedia bancroftii) and black (Endiandra palmerstonii) walnuts. Two other types of seeds recovered in the excavations were identified to a variety of Pouteria spp. and a variety of Elaeocarpaceae. Neither is referred to in the ethno-historical literature or remembered by Aboriginal elders as a food source in the recent past (M. Barlow pers. comm.). In contrast, use of Sundacarpus amara (black pine) as a food source in the contact period was observed and documented (e.g. Mjöberg 1918). Black pine could not with any certainty be identified in the plant macrofossil assemblage. It is possible that black pine nuts are too fragile to survive site formation processes, although it is also possible that, since the trees only produce nuts during a short period between October and December, the site was occupied at other times.

**Long-term Aboriginal plant use at Urumbal Pocket**

The temporal distribution of plant remains was assessed in the two Analytical Units. Table 4 shows that most of the plant remains were excavated from Unit 1.
Nutshell fragments from Unit 2 are characteristically smaller in size and lack any clear diagnostic features. However, it demonstrates that carbonised nutshells can survive in older deposits and that Aboriginal people were most likely exploiting rainforest environments and bringing rainforest plant foods to the site before 2000 years ago, as unidentified nutshell fragments were recovered in association with stone artefacts and charcoal in layers radiocarbon dated to ca. 2500 years old. At Urumbal Pocket, numbers of plant remains peak in layers dated to between 800 BP and 400 BP, a time period also associated with high stone-artefact numbers and the presence of rich charcoal deposits (Cosgrove et al. 2007; Ferrier 2010). A number of identified endocarp fragments recovered from Urumbal Pocket were dated (refer to Table 1), suggesting a minimum age of ca. 1600 years BP for toxic-nut use at the site. Interpreting the long-term change and continuity in Aboriginal plant use at Urumbal Pocket is difficult, due to the fragmented plant macro-fossil record. It nevertheless appears that tree-nut exploitation has been an important and consistent component of Aboriginal rainforest diet for at least 1000 years. These trends are also reflected in other archaeological sites investigated in the rainforest region: Murubun Shelter, Goddard Creek (Cosgrove et al. 2007), Jiyer Cave and the Mulgrave River sites (Horsfall 1996) (Figure 1).

Discussion

Palaeoenvironmental reconstructions in the rainforest region demonstrate that in the mid Holocene an extended period of dry conditions and environmental pressures began. It has been suggested that as a response to these environmental changes, Aboriginal people living near or on the rainforest fringe were forced to undertake more frequent journeys into the rainforest as a survival strategy (Ferrier 2010). This interpretation is supported in the archaeological record from Urumbal Pocket and from two other sites investigated in this area – Murubun Shelter and Goddard Creek (Figure 1). The archaeological records from these sites suggest a change from the exploitation of the semi-dry landscape bordering the rainforest region to a more permanent life in the rainforest in the late Holocene (Cosgrove et al. 2007). The archaeological record from Urumbal Pocket indicates that increases in Aboriginal activity began to take place around 2000 years ago, accelerating and peaking in the past 1000 years. Before this time, a relatively low level of human occupation occurred at the site. The past 2000 years of Aboriginal occupation at Urumbal Pocket show major increases in cultural remains, including the appearance of incised grinding stones used to process toxic nuts, evidence that points to significant changes in the way Aboriginal people were now exploiting the rainforest environment and its resources. Numbers of plant remains increase dramatically in archaeological deposits dated to the past 1000 years of occupation, a pattern that is reported to be similar in archaeological sites investigated across the rainforest region (Horsfall 1996; Cosgrove et al. 2007). At the time of Aboriginal-European contact, historical accounts demonstrate that varieties of toxic nuts provided a reliable staple food source on the Atherton-Evelyn Tableland during the wet season. Thus, one possibility is that toxic nuts played a significant role in the development of complex semi-sedentary rainforest societies that were recorded at contact, and perhaps also provided the means for large ceremonial gatherings to be held on the Atherton-Evelyn Tableland and during the wet season when large quantities of toxic nuts were consumed (Coyyan 1918).

Conclusion

Peter Kershaw’s pioneering research on the Atherton Tableland in Far North Queensland’s tropical rainforest region over the past 40 years has established the important palaeoenvironmental backdrop to human-environment interactions on the Atherton Tableland. These include his
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We have benefited from this important work, allowing us to investigate the ecological relationships between people and changing subsistence patterns in a unique part of Australia. Results of the analysis on archaeobotanical macro-fossils excavated from the Urumbal Pocket open site in Far North Queensland's Wet Tropics World Heritage Bioregion demonstrate that the application of ethnographic analogy to the archaeological plant remains provides clues to past human subsistence behaviour. By applying this method, we have identified toxic food use that extends back to at least 1600 years ago. Based on the evidence, it appears that Aboriginal people repeatedly collected and processed rainforest walnuts at the Urumbal Pocket site over a period of ca. 1600-2000 years. The evidence also suggests that this subsistence strategy was already in place on a much smaller scale before the shift towards more permanent rainforest occupation sometime in the past 2000 years. This adaptive shift has been interpreted as the outcome of highly unstable ENSO activity beginning about 5000 years ago (Turney and Hobbs 2006; Cosgrove et al. 2007). Our research demonstrates that explanations of cultural change in the mid- to late-Holocene period can be linked to broad-scale environmental changes. Processes of social intensification probably led to a major re-orientation of social, political and economic structures. It also appears that two languages developed during this time, Yidinj in the north and Dyirbal in the south (Dixon 1991:4). This facilitated the emergence of a fully functioning rainforest society that was unique in Aboriginal Australia.
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Introduction

In 1974, Peter Kershaw published a paper in *Nature* outlining a remarkable pollen core sequence from Lynch’s Crater in tropical northeast Queensland (Kershaw 1974). From an archaeological perspective, the most interesting dimension to this work was the novel and provocative suggestion that the transition from rainforest to sclerophyll forest beginning around 38,000 BP may have been related to anthropogenic burning of the landscape since Aboriginal colonisation of the continent (Kershaw 1974:222). In a sense, Kershaw was giving empirical veracity to Rhys Jones’s (1969) paradigmatic notion of ‘fire-stick farming’ and the proposition that ‘the arrival of Aboriginal man [to Australia] increased the fire frequency by an enormous amount’. In 1981, Kershaw and colleagues gave further support to the anthropogenic burning interpretation by showing increases in charcoal counts within the Lynch’s Crater core coincident with the rainforest-sclerophyll forest transition (Singh et al. 1981). While initially archaeologists were wary of this new and alternative approach to the human past in Australia, by 1993 Kershaw could rightly claim that ‘information from some pollen records has been important to the debate on the time of arrival of Aboriginal people’ (Kershaw 1993:14).

A key concern of archaeologists over the use of pollen and charcoal records to date human colonisation was the controversial claim that charcoal in some cores pointed to an Aboriginal presence well over 100,000 years ago and well before the earliest archaeological evidence of less than 50,000 years ago (Singh et al. 1981; White and O’Connell 1982:42; Kershaw 1993). Yet few disagreed with the general thrust of Singh et al.’s (1981:45) proposition that ‘the impact of the increase in the frequency of fires through the early activities of Man may have been marked, so that evidence from the vegetation history of an area might be used to supplement, or foreshadow, the archaeological record’. More recently, Hiscock (2008:37) has suggested that fire records at sites such as Lynch’s Crater may underestimate human presence, as ‘intensified fire frequencies might signal a time when humans began regularly using fire to burn their ecosystem, which could be long after the colonisation of Australia’. Despite these useful caveats, the re-dating of anthropogenic firing at Lynch’s Crater to 45,000 years ago by Kershaw and colleagues (Turney et al. 2001) now comes close to current archaeological evidence for the antiquity of the human colonisation of Australia (O’Connell and Allen 2004; see also Mooney et al. 2011).

To the immediate north of Australia in New Guinea, palaeoenvironmental approaches to human colonisation and use of landscapes have similarly complemented archaeological approaches to the human past (Hope and Haberle 2005). Here, one question that has long intrigued archaeologists and ecologists alike is the history and formation of grasslands across various parts of the highlands and the role of human vegetation clearance and landscape firing in this process, particularly over the past 4000 years (e.g. Hope 1976, 1983, 2009; Haberle 1998; Haberle et al. 2001; Swadling and Hope 1992). Indeed, such is the scale and significance of these anthropogenic transformations that Hope et al. (1983:41) suggest that ‘Man–made landscapes occur over about 200,000 km² [25%] of the 800,000 km² of New Guinea’.

Intense research into the impacts of human colonisation and long-term occupation of the Papua New Guinea highlands has not been matched across the surrounding coastal lowlands. In terms of Pleistocene occupation, this paucity of research has led to a situation where ‘there are no coastal sites from mainland New Guinea that can provide data with which to assess human impacts on the coastal resources for this crucial early period of time’ (Summerhayes et al. 2009:730). A similar situation exists for insular eastern Papua New Guinea, where evidence for ‘an environmental impact signature for these early peoples’ during the Pleistocene is generally lacking (Summerhayes et al. 2009:731). Yet the lowlands (or at least islands) were the setting for the second major colonisation process across Melanesia – Austronesian expansions, particularly
those associated with Lapita peoples of the past ca. 3500 years. As Enright and Gosden (1992) and Summerhayes et al. (2009) point out, here evidence for human environmental impacts becomes much more apparent in terms of:

- introduction of new animals (e.g. pigs, dogs, rats);
- introduction of horticultural plants (e.g. bananas);
- extinctions (e.g. birds);
- firing of vegetation (associated with agriculture and hunting);
- accelerated erosion of hillsides; and
- lowland sedimentation and coastal progradation.

Insights into Austronesian environmental impacts on the New Guinea mainland are negligible, as until now no conclusive evidence for Lapita settlement of mainland New Guinea had been found (e.g. Lilley 2008:79) and the period of concern reveals ‘very little correlation with [anthropogenic] environmental change’ (Hope and Haberle 2005:548). The recent excavation of Lapita and post-Lapita sites dating between 2900 and 2000 years ago at Caution Bay immediately northwest of Port Moresby, southern Papua New Guinea, negates the first conclusion of a purported absence of Lapita, and provides scope to challenge the second (McNiven et al. 2011). For 40 years, the accepted view has been that Austronesian colonisation of the southern Papuan coast took place around 2000 years ago by maritime peoples possessing a pottery tradition similar to but post-dating Lapita (David et al. in press). Ethnographically, the descendants of these peoples in the Port Moresby region practised a mixed economy of marine fishing and shellfishing, along with wallaby hunting across grasslands and savannah vegetation maintained by firing. While the antiquity of this process of anthropogenic landscape modification is unknown, it is considered to be no more than 2000 years ago. Here, we extend the known antiquity of mixed economic practices and possible anthropogenic landscape modification in the Port Moresby region using recent excavation results from Caution Bay, focusing on Edubu 1 site, dating from <2350 to 2650 cal BP. Our key aim is to establish a historical framework for pre-2000 cal BP human landscape engagements and transformations along the southern coast of mainland Papua New Guinea.

**Edubu 1**

Edubu 1 (aka AH15) is located 1 km inland from the southern end of Caution Bay and 20 km northwest of Port Moresby (Figure 1). The site name refers to the local place name for a nearby creek. The site falls within the Fairfax Land System, with characteristic gently undulating terrain, brown clay soils and savannah and grassland dominated by *Themeda australis* grass and *Eucalyptus* trees (Mabbutt et al. 1965). The site is 19 m above sea level within the Vaihua River drainage catchment and is positioned on flat to gently sloping ground elevated above the southern margins of Moiapu creek, which supports *Pandanus* trees. It is on the ecotone of grasslands (that extend inland from the coast) and the start of open woodlands and eucalypt savannah (Paijmans 1975). Narrow zones of riparian or gallery forest vegetation can be found along some waterways and along the frontal dune flanking the nearby shoreline. Extensive mangrove forests up to 600 m wide front Caution Bay opposite the site. The Owen Stanley Range provides a visually impressive backdrop to the area and commences its steep rise around 40 km inland. As part of the broader Port Moresby region, Caution Bay experiences a tropical monsoonal climate with a characteristic wet season (December to April), which accounts for 80% of precipitation, and a dry season (April to November) (McAlpine et al. 1983). Annual rainfall averages 1000 mm on the coast and increases nearly four-fold, moving inland over a
Site description

Edubu 1 is located on a flat area that drops away on the northwest side down to an ephemeral waterway known locally as Moiapu creek (Figure 2). The site is covered in grass, with scattered and isolated low shrubs. Sediments are silty clays. The adjacent waterway is also covered in grass but includes scattered pandanus trees. The archaeological site extends along the edge of the flat land and down the slope towards the waterway. The highest concentration of cultural material is located between the top of the slope and back across the flat for some 10 m. The length of the site is at least 30 m. Cultural material extends down the slope towards the waterway for a distance of 10 m. Most if not all of the slope cultural materials derive from in-situ cultural deposits up on the flat area. Cultural materials across the site surface are mainly marine shells, flaked stone artefacts, fire-cracked rocks and pottery sherds. A low termite mound was located in the middle of the site up on the flat area. Squares A to C were located across the main concentration of surface cultural materials on the flat area. However, Square C was located on the edge of the flat area and presumably the edge of the main settlement area.

Excavation and stratigraphy

Three 1 m x 1 m pits (Squares A, B and C) were excavated across Edubu 1. The three squares were aligned in an approximate southwest–northeast direction, with Square A in the southwest and Square C in the northeast. Centrally located Square B was located 7 m from Square A and 6 m from Square C, the distance between Squares A and C being 13 m. Similar but not identical cultural deposit was encountered in all squares. For the purposes of this chapter, analyses focus on Square A, which revealed less post-depositional disturbance and greater stratigraphic integrity than Squares B and C. Square A was dug to a maximum depth of 90 cm (southeast corner) in 40 Excavation Units (XUs), with a mean thickness of 2.2 cm (Figure 3). The weight and volume of each XU was measured to the nearest 0.5 kg and 0.5 litres respectively. Elevations were taken at the start and end of each XU and bulk sediment samples were taken for each XU. All excavated sediments were wet sieved through 2.1 mm mesh.
Figure 2. Edubu 1 during excavation, with Moiapu creek in the foreground (looking southeast), 28 September 2009. Squares A to C located right to left are positioned under each shade tent. Photo: Ian J. McNiven.

Figure 3. Edubu 1 Square A, east and south sections, at end of excavation (scale in 10 cm units). Photo: Ian J. McNiven.
Diagnostic and fragile artefacts (e.g. obsidian, decorated ceramic sherds, adzes) and charcoal fragments for AMS dating were plotted in 3D and bagged separately.

The deposit was divided into four major Stratigraphic Units (SUs) (Figure 4). SUs 1 to 3 account for the upper three-quarters of the deposit and are the main layers bearing cultural materials (e.g. shells, bones, stone artefacts and pottery). SU1 is consolidated very dark brown to black silty clay and takes in the upper 13 cm of the deposit. SU2 is consolidated dark-brown silty clay that varies in depth from 16 cm to 29 cm below the surface. SU3 is consolidated brown clay with a maximum depth of 68 cm. SU3a was isolated due to infiltration of SU2 sediments. SU3b is slightly more consolidated and mottled compared with other SU3 sediments. SU3c sediments contain few cultural materials. SUs 3d to 3f represent burrows. SU4 features consolidated clays that take in the lower 27 cm of deposit. SU4a is consolidated brown clay, with mottling and some cultural materials from infiltration of SU3c sediments. SUs 4b to 4c are culturally sterile and mottled sediments, with layer orientation tilting downwards and very different to that seen for SUs 1 to 3. Sediments vary from mottled orange and brown clays (SU4b), to mottled white, grey and brown clays with limestone inclusions (SU4c), and mottled grey and dark-brown clays (SU4d).

**Cultural materials**

Square A contains a diverse range of cultural materials. They include: marine shell (24,090.1 g), sea-urchin exoskeleton (1757.5 g), crustacean exoskeleton (49.8 g), bone (283.3 g), egg shell (<0.1 g), ceramic sherds (6552.2 g), stone artefacts (1776.7 g) and charcoal (0.9 g). Vertical changes in the distribution of these cultural materials reveal three major concentrations of activity – an upper concentration between XU1 and XU16 (0 cm to 38 cm below the surface) and a middle concentration between XU16 and XU23 (38 cm to 52 cm below the surface), which merge at their boundary, and a more isolated lower concentration between XU27 and XU32 (60 cm to 73 cm below the surface) (Figure 5).

**Radiocarbon dating and chronology**

Eight AMS radiocarbon dates are available for Edubu 1 (Table 1). All dates were obtained on single fragments of wood charcoal and determined by the University of Waikato Radiocarbon Dating Laboratory in New Zealand. Radiocarbon dates were calibrated into calendar years using the online calibration program Calib 6.0 (Stuiver and Reimer 1993) and the IntCal09 dataset (Reimer et al. 2009). Due to a paucity of charcoal in the site, a more comprehensive and additional series of AMS dates is planned using marine shell, applying species-specific Delta-R marine reservoir correction values for the Caution Bay area as determined by a comprehensive program of paired shell-charcoal dates from the area.

The available charcoal dates range from 2339 ± 30 BP (ca. 2350 cal BP) to 2546 ± 30 BP (ca. 2500 cal BP). The two dates for Square A come from near the base of the upper (ca. 2350 cal BP) and middle (ca. 2500 cal BP) concentrations of cultural materials. Insight into the age of the lower concentration of cultural materials is provided by the six AMS dates in Squares B and C. The lowest and oldest dates in Square B (XU29a) and Square C (XU22) are 2520 ± 30 BP (ca. 2600 cal BP) and 2546 ± 30 BP (ca. 2650 cal BP) respectively. These dates suggest strongly that the lowest cultural materials in Square A date to around 2600-2650 cal BP, or more broadly to within the period 2500-2750 cal BP at 2 sigma probability.

**Subsistence (marine)**

*Shellfish.* Marine mollusc shells were recovered from throughout the 90 cm-deep Square A sequence, with nearly all (99.9% by weight) recovered from XUs 1 to 32, taking in the three concentrations of cultural materials down to a depth of 73 cm. The assemblage comprised
Figure 4. Stratigraphy, Square A, Edubu 1.
Figure 5. Vertical changes in cultural materials, Square A, Edubu 1.
Figure 5. Continued
Table 1. AMS radiocarbon charcoal dates, Square A, Edubu 1. *Median probability of calibrated dates rounded to the nearest 50 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab. code</th>
<th>Square</th>
<th>XU (find #)</th>
<th>Depth below surface (cm)</th>
<th>δ¹³C‰ ± 0.2</th>
<th>¹⁴C Age (years BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated age BP 68.3% 1σ (probabilities)</th>
<th>Calibrated age BP 95.4% 2σ (probabilities)</th>
<th>cal BP circa*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wk-27302</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>13b(3)</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>74.7</td>
<td>2339 ± 30</td>
<td>2335-2358 (1.000)</td>
<td>2318-2376 (0.835) 2384-2459 (0.165)</td>
<td>2350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk-27301</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>22a(4)</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>2440 ± 30</td>
<td>2363-2419 (0.330) 2426-2492 (0.402) 2601-2608 (0.034) 2641-2678 (0.227)</td>
<td>2355-2544 (0.677) 2563-2571 (0.010) 2586-2616 (0.080) 2635-2700 (0.233)</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk-27510</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>18(2)</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>2531 ± 30</td>
<td>2541-2590 (0.383) 2615-2636 (0.220) 2698-2738 (0.397)</td>
<td>2492-2601 (0.470) 2608-2641 (0.186) 2678-2744 (0.344)</td>
<td>2600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk-27511</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>27(1)</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>2537 ± 30</td>
<td>2543-2564 (0.175) 2568-2587 (0.131) 2616-2635 (0.226) 2700-2740 (0.467)</td>
<td>2494-2599 (0.427) 2610-2640 (0.185) 2681-2746 (0.388)</td>
<td>2650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk-27512</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>29a(1)</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>2520 ± 30</td>
<td>2507-2528 (0.136) 2539-2593 (0.425) 2614-2637 (0.200) 2697-2725 (0.239)</td>
<td>2488-2644 (0.711) 2665-2741 (0.289)</td>
<td>2600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk-27514</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>12a(4)</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>2502 ± 30</td>
<td>2498-2596 (0.694) 2612-2623 (0.074) 2628-2638 (0.074) 2691-2714 (0.158)</td>
<td>2470-2730 (1.000)</td>
<td>2600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk-27515</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>17a(2)</td>
<td>40.3</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>2514 ± 30</td>
<td>2503-2530 (0.172) 2537-2594 (0.442) 2614-2637 (0.188) 2696-2720 (0.197)</td>
<td>2488-2644 (0.722) 2653-2739 (0.278)</td>
<td>2600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wk-27516</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>22(1)</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>72.8</td>
<td>2546 ± 30</td>
<td>2545-2561 (0.129) 2573-2582 (0.056) 2616-2635 (0.221) 2701-2744 (0.594)</td>
<td>2497-2597 (0.350) 2612-2639 (0.182) 2689-2748 (0.469)</td>
<td>2650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivalves</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrosterigma reeveanum</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anodara antiquata</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anodara granosa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anodara sp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anodonta edentula</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anomalocardia squamosa</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigona puerpera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arca avellana</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arca cf. avellana</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arca sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arcidae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astriella corrugata</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atactodea striata</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbatia amygdaolomostum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbatia foliata</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbatia sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batissa violacea</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiae</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamna sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gafrarium pectinatum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gafrarium tumidum</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gafrarium sp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isognomon sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mactra cuneata</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mactra sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malleus sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcia hiantina</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mytilidae</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostreidae</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinctoda sp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinna bicolour</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymesoda erosa</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rudifopes variegatus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semele sinensis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellina palatum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellina remies</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tellina sp.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trachycardium cf. rugosum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veneridae</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivalve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivalve C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivalve D</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivalve K</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivalve L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bivalve O</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastropods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angaria delphinus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullo armullo</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calliostoma sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centhidea carinata</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centhidea longissima</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrhium echinatum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicoreus brunneus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicoreus capucinus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicoreus sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conus Abbas</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>XU</th>
<th>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conus flavidus</td>
<td>2 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conus littatus</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conus lividus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conus striatus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conus textile</td>
<td>1 1 2 2 1 1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conus cf. coronatus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conus sp.</td>
<td>1 3 3 1 2 1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypraeidae</td>
<td>1 1 2 1 1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypraeula americana</td>
<td>1 2 3 1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypraea sp.</td>
<td>1 1 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cypraea volutata</td>
<td>1 1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diodora sp.</td>
<td>8 2 3 10 11 4 7 1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolabella auricularia</td>
<td>2 2 11 4 7 1 1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellobium auricula</td>
<td>8 3 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellobium latidens</td>
<td>3 4 1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemitoma sp.</td>
<td>1 1 1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambis millepedo</td>
<td>3 1 2 4 8 12 4 2 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Littoraria scabra</td>
<td>3 1 2 4 8 12 4 2 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melo sp.</td>
<td>1 1 1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarius olivaceus</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarius pullus</td>
<td>2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassarius詒</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nassariidae</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitila sp.</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitra sp.</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muricidae</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monodonta labio</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasarius sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naticidae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerita albigilla</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerita chamaeleon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerita planospira</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerita polita</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerita cf. polita</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerita undata</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerita sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nenerita peselephanti</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oliva sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patelloidea</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planaxis sulcatus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polinices mammilla</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polinices sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrene sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strombus aurisdiaene</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strombus bulla</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strombus gibberulus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strombus labiatus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strombus luhuanus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strombus urceus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strombus cf. lentiginosus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strombus sp.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tectus fenestratus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telescopium telescopium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terebralia sulcata</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terebridae</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thais cf. alouina</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Terrestrial engagements by terminal Lapita maritime specialists on the southern Papuan coast

Table 2. Continued

| XU | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Theodoxus (Clithon) oualaniensis |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Trivia sp. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Trochidae |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Trochus maculatus | 1 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Turbo cinereus | 1 | 12 | 37 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Turbo cf. cinereus |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Turbo sp. | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 2 |
| Turritellidae |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Vagum ceramicum |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Vagum turbinellus |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Vexillum sp. |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 2 |
| Gastropod 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod 3 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod A | 19 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod A2 | 32 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod A3 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod B | 5 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 4 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 2 |
| Gastropod D |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod E |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod J | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod Q |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod V |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod W | 3 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod X1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod Y1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod Z |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| Gastropod Z1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 1 |
| **TOTALS** | 40 | 62 | 21 | 157 | 436 | 1098 | 344 | 537 | 329 | 235 | 241 | 160 | 111 | 100 | 82 | 20 | 41 | 31 | 30 | 40 | 15 | 31 | 27 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 8 | 7 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 155
| Vertebrate assemblage | XU: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 |
|----------------------|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| **Mammals**          |     |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | 1  | 1  | 1  | 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Isoodon sp.          |     | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Peramelidae indet.   |     | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Macropus agilis      |     | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Macropodidae indet.  |     | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Pteropus sp.         |     | 1 | 1 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Rattus gestroi       |     | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Rattus sp.           |     | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Muridae indet.       |     | 1 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 17 | 15 | 24 | 1 | 17 | 19 | 12 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| **Reptiles**         |     |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | 1  | 3 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Chelidae             |     | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 |    | 1 | 2 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Marine turtle        |     | 1 |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Boidae               |     | 10| 3 | 12| 6 |    | 2 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Colubroidea          |     | 1 | 2 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Serpentes indet.     |     | 2 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 18 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 2 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Varanidae            |     | 2 | 2 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Scincidae            |     | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Agamidae             |     | 3 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Lacertilian indet.   |     | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| **Fish**             |     |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | 1  |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Acanthidae           |     | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Lophiidae            |     | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Lutjanidae           |     | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Scaridae             |     | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Serranidae           |     | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Sparidae             |     | 1 |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
bivalves (4081.8 g), gastropods (12,162.3 g), chitons (31.2 g) and 7814.8 g of shell material that could not be identified to family, genus, or species level due to fragmentation and/or weathering. A total of 4260 individuals (MNI) was calculated for Square A (including unidentified bivalves and gastropods <1.0 cm long), represented mostly by gastropods (MNI=3606) and to a lesser extent bivalves (MNI=654). Land snails (family Camaenidae) formed an insignificant part of the shell assemblage (1.8 g, MNI=3).

More than 140 different mollusc taxa were identified, represented by at least 45 bivalve taxa and 99 gastropod taxa (Table 2). Of these, species-level identifications were possible for 43% (by weight) of the total shell assemblage. The top five ranked bivalve species in terms of MNI abundance are: *Anadara antiquata* (8.1%, MNI=53), *Polymesoda crosa* (6.0%, MNI=39), *Tellina remies* (2.9%, MNI=19), *Anodontia edentula* (2.8%, MNI=18) and *Anadara granosa* (2.0%, MNI=13). These species represent only 22% (by MNI) of the bivalve assemblage, with 27 bivalve taxa represented by a MNI of ≤5. The top five ranked gastropod species in terms of MNI abundance are: *Cerithidea largillierti* (59.6%, MNI=2159), *Strombus luhuanus* (5.3%, MNI=193), *Turbo cinereus* (2.3%, MNI=84), *Terebralia sulcata* (2.2%, MNI=78) and *Nerita planospira* (1.7%, MNI=62). These taxa represent 71% (by MNI) of the gastropod assemblage, with 62 gastropod taxa represented by a MNI of ≤5. A small proportion of the assemblage (N=214, 5%) consists of intact small specimens (maximum length <1 cm), suggesting that only a relatively small proportion of the assemblage was of non-economic utility.

Environmental data are available for 76 species, with 52 of these restricted to a single environment type (MNI=2644). Preliminary analysis indicates that shells were obtained from a variety of different environments: mud (MNI=2233), rocks and rocky areas (MNI=209), seagrass (MNI=121), coral reef (MNI=25), sand (MNI=37), muddy sand (MNI=18) and mangroves (MNI=2). Muddy environments were the main targeted shellfishing location, with only minor differences in habitat preference through time.

**Fish.** Fish bone represents 18.3% of the bone assemblage (by weight) and formed a consistent component of the diet of peoples camping at Edubu 1 (Table 3). The most common taxon of marine fish in terms of NISP values is Scaridae (parrotfish), followed by very low representations of Labridae (wrasse), Serranidae (groupers) and Lutjanidae (snapper). These fish could have been obtained from local open-water reef habitats fringing Caution Bay. The lack of fishhooks recovered from Edubu 1 points towards use of spears or nets as fishing technology. While most fish remains were restricted chronologically to <2350-2500 cal BP in Square A, the deeper Scaridae bones in XUs 28-30 most likely date to 2600-2650 cal BP.

**Marine turtle.** The only marine turtle bone recovered from Square A was a fragment of phalanx in XU4 dating to younger than ca. 2350 cal BP.

**Crustacean and sea urchin.** Crustaceans (mostly a number of different crab taxa) and sea urchins represent consistent subsistence items throughout the history of site occupation. While crabs were a minor dietary item, the considerable amounts of sea urchin body and spine fragments point to sustained exploitation. Crabs are available from a range of marine habitats (e.g. rocks, coral reefs, mangroves), with sea urchins restricted more to coral reef contexts. Both crabs and sea urchins were probably collected from the intertidal zone at low tide.

**Subsistence (terrestrial and freshwater)**

Most of the terrestrial bone recovered from Square A reflects the results of human hunting and on-site discard practices. That is, the assemblage comprises bones of known prey species, and there is a significantly high proportion of burnt bone. The fact that rodent bone tends to be less burnt than other mammal bones may indicate contributions of natural on-site rodent deaths. The highly fragmented nature of the bone assemblage suggests that mechanical attrition rather than chemical solution has been the major agent of degradation, pointing to
substantial pre-depositional loss, perhaps caused by trampling and foraging by pigs and dogs. Furthermore, the unburnt bone is moderately degraded, suggesting a significant attrition of the original assemblage, with an unknown quantity of bone probably lost to post-depositional degradation.

**Endemic mammals.** The mammal remains include a range of medium- and small-sized species characteristic of local savannah and gallery rainforest habitats, both ground-dwelling taxa – *Macropus agilis* (Agile Wallaby), *Isoodon* sp. (possibly Northern Short-Nosed Bandicoot, *Isoodon macrourus*), and native rodents (e.g. *Melomys* cf. *lutillus*, *Rattus gestroi*); and tree-dwelling taxa – *Phalanger* sp. (possibly Southern Lowland Cuscus, *Phalanger intercastellanus*) and *Pteropididae* (flying fox). While most of these mammals were recovered from upper levels in Square A, dated to <2350–2500 cal BP, some Agile Wallaby remains were found in the lower levels dated to 2600–2650 cal BP.

**Introduced pig and dog.** Pig remains were found in nine XUs between XU2 and XU19a dated to between <2350 and 2500 cal BP. Whether pig bones occur in the 2600–2650 cal BP levels of Squares B and C remains to be determined. Most pig bones in Square A are small fragments of teeth, but XU17a and XU19a each produced larger fragments of dentaries with teeth. Dog remains were also restricted to the upper levels of Square A (XUs 4, 6, 7, 18 and 19), dating to between <2350 and 2500 cal BP. There is no evidence of the introduced Pacific rat (*Rattus exulans*).

**Reptiles.** A range of reptile taxa was recovered from upper levels of Square A, dated to <2350–2500 cal BP. The most common are pythons (*Boidae*) and fanged snakes (*Colubroidea*), with minor representations of lizards such as goannas (*Varanidae*), dragons (*Agamidae*) and skinks (*Scincidae*). All of these reptiles are available from local savannah and grasslands.

**Birds.** While no bird bone was recovered from Square A, a few bird eggshell fragments (0.01 g) were found in XU23. These fragments are thin-walled and are consistent in character with megapode eggs. Clearly, hunting of birds for subsistence was of little interest to the occupants of Edubu 1.

**Freshwater turtle and fish.** Freshwater turtle (*Chelidae*) bone forms an inconsistent component of the faunal assemblage down to XU19a, dating to <2350–2500 cal BP. In contrast, bones of *Arriidae* (catfish) were identified only in a single level (XU5), indicating that catfish formed an insignificant component of the diet for the site inhabitants. Both the turtle and catfish remains indicate minor exploitation of nearby estuaries and/or freshwater creeks.

**Ceramics**

In Square A, ceramic sherds were recovered from XUs 1 to 32, dating from <2350 cal BP to at least 2600 cal BP (Figure 5). A total of 33 decorated sherds, excluding red slipping, was recovered. The ceramic sherds from XUs 1 to 15 are mainly plainwares, with decoration limited mostly to red-slipping. These upper-level ceramics date to younger than 2500 cal BP and fall outside the stylistic and chronological parameters of Lapita pottery found elsewhere at Caution Bay (McNiven et al. 2011). In contrast, decorated sherds found below XU16 exhibit features that are broadly characteristic of terminal Lapita ceramic assemblages elsewhere at Caution Bay, but also show stylistic signs that they were by then transforming out of Lapita into post-Lapita assemblages. Descriptions of all the ceramics containing body decorations other than red slip follow:

**Vessel shapes.** Sherds from three separate vessels are large enough to reveal information about vessel shapes:

1. A wide, shallow dish with a very slightly everted to vertical rim (orientation angle = 5°; see David et al. 2009:Figure 4 for definitions of pottery terms) and orifice diameter of 52
cm. It is made up of four conjoining sherds from XU16a and XU18a. The lip is flat and of a fairly uniform 10.6 mm width. The rim is typically 35.4 mm deep to its point of greatest curvature, where it then becomes the body of the dish. Plain sherds excavated from these same XUs are likely to also conjoin (Figure 6:top).

2. A small bowl with a slightly inverted, near-vertical rim (orientation angle = 355º). It consists of a set of three conjoining sherds from XU20a, two sets of two conjoining sherds from XU20a, and three individual sherds from XU19a and XU20a. The lip is rounded and of a uniform 6.7-6.8 mm width. The rim is typically 34.4 mm deep, after which it gently curves into the bowl body. Plain sherds excavated from these same XUs are likely to conjoin (Figure 6:bottom).

3. An indirect everted pot of unknown orientation angle (as there are no lip sherds). The aperture at the neck (the most constricted point at the base of the rim) is approximately 20 cm wide (internal measurement). At 5.9 mm thickness, the pot wall at the neck – the thickest part of the pot – is noticeably thin for what appears to be a moderately large jug or bowl (Figure 7e).

**Body Decorations.** The body decorations employed on the sherds described here can be divided into nine categories:

1. Comb dentate-stamped triple-triangles (note: throughout our work on the Caution Bay ceramics, we differentiate between tools used to make decorations – e.g. comb or shell, and the decorations themselves – e.g. indentations, which could be made by a number of tined or toothed tools such as combs or shells. Hence, we specify both tool [here comb] and decorative design [here dentate-stamped]). Two closely spaced comb dentate-stamped parallel lines curve around the pot a short distance below the top edge of the lip. These horizontal lines act as the upper margin of down-pointing dentate-stamped triangles, whose other two sides each have three parallel lines. Dentate-stamped triangles of this kind are repeated across the pot as a motif row. Twenty sherds, consisting of nine unconjoined sherds, plus 11 which conjoin into four sets, occur of this motif type. One conjoining set of four sherds, with internal surfaces red-slipped, comes from XU16a and XU18a and makes up part of one shallow dish (vessel shape 1 above); the other sherds, all coming from XU19a and XU20a, either conjoin or are very likely to have come from a small bowl with slightly inverted rim (vessel shape 2 above). The chrono-stratigraphic distribution, size, shape and surface texture of the sherds with this kind of decoration indicate that they all probably come from only two different vessels (Figure 6). Examples of similar (but not identical) decorative technique and design in confirmed late-Lapita sherds occur at Honiavasa on the edge of the Roviana Lagoon in New Georgia (Solomon Islands), illustrated in Felgate (2001:Figure 3 items HV.2.464, HV.4.175). However, the Edubu 1 sherds appear to represent a structurally simpler pattern of repeated triangles a short distance below the lip.

2. Irregular comb dentate-stamped horizontal lines. Two conjoining sherds, from XU12a and XU13a, contain a horizontal line below two other sub-horizontal lines meeting at a point along the rim. The lines consist of irregular, uneven comb dentate stamping.

3. Dentate-stamped rectilinear maze. A single non-rim sherd of this kind has been found from XU20a (Figure 7a). It is akin to established Lapita motifs such as the ones found
at site FAAH on the Willaumez Peninsula (New Britain) and illustrated in Specht and Torrence (2007:Figure 11b, 11c, 11d).

4. Dentate-stamped rectilinear lines on lip. A single thickened rim sherd is decorated with repeated sets of five parallel dentate-stamped lines separated by pairs of perpendicular lines. It was a surface find at the base of the slope along the northern edge of the site (Figure 7b).

5. Parallel wiggly lines created from shell valve end-impressions along the rim. Two such sherds come from XU6 to XU9b (Figure 7c).

6. Dentate-stamped triple-angled lines on lip. The outer edge of the lip is notched at regular intervals. The lip is noticeably thickened. A single sherd of this kind has been found from XU19a (Figure 7d).

7. Wiggly lines created from shell valve end-impressions to form triangles arced around a central design. Three conjoining sherds of this kind have been found, and are reminiscent of the banding triangles commonly found on Lapita ceramics (Figure 7e). Shell impressions are a feature of late-Lapita assemblages elsewhere in island Melanesia (e.g. Kirch 1997:155). These sherds were exposed during bulldozer construction works immediately following the archaeological excavations.

8. Row of lenticular, probably stick, side impressions on two body sherds from XU9b and XU18a.

9. Row of fingernail impressions on a body sherd from XU18b.

The Edubu 1 Square A decorated ceramic sherds include techniques and designs akin to terminal Lapita (in particular comb-impressed dentate stamping involving the use of more open, broad-tined combs; wiggly lines of shell end-impressions to create triangular designs separated by impressed rows; an interlocking rectilinear maze; and shallow stick-like impressions along lip edges). However, they also contain features more reminiscent of the immediate post-Lapita period in the Caution Bay region: there is a simplification of decorative forms particularly attested to by a predominance of simple geometric designs such as triple-lined dentate-stamped triangles below double rows a short distance below the lip, and a diminished area of surface decoration. The Edubu 1 Square A ceramic decorations imply a terminal-Lapita assemblage, as they are transforming out of the Lapita design system: they are still too similar to late Lapita to categorically classify them as something else, while at the same time indicating a repeated theme of reduction in design complexity and diminution of decorated area, indicative of the immediate post-Lapita period. This is entirely consistent with the radiocarbon determinations, and with the Lapita and post-Lapita ceramics from other sites at Caution Bay, which would place the ceramic assemblage from below XU13 as relating to that period when terminal Lapita (ca. 2500 cal BP) is transforming into post-Lapita, indicating the initial opening and breakdown of the Lapita decorative system.

**Stone artefacts**

The stone artefact assemblage from Square A comprises flaked artefacts (flakes, retouched flakes and cores), a flaked and ground adze, and an anvil. Nearly all (n=2546, 98%) artefacts were made from chert, which is locally available as small and large nodules across the Caution
Bay landscape and the Port Moresby region more generally (Table 4) (Glaessner 1952:66-67; Mabbutt et al. 1965; Davies and Smith 1971:3303). In terms of fracture types for chert, most (83%) are flakes (complete and broken) (n=2115), followed by flaked pieces (n=374), cores (n=22) and other (n=34). The majority (57%) of chert artefacts reveal evidence of heat alteration (pot lid scars) from contact with fire – either hearths or natural landscape fires when the site was unoccupied. All of the 22 chert cores were reduced by freehand percussion, with no evidence of anvil-resting or bipolar reduction. That cores made of high-quality chert were reduced further than cores made from poor-quality chert is indicated by differences in mean core weight (15 g and 67 g respectively), mean core length (29 mm and 48 mm), mean number of platforms (3.5 and 2.5) and mean number of flake scars (nine and four). The small size of chert cores is reflected in the small size of complete chert flakes, which range in length from 2 mm to 26 mm (mean = 9.3 mm). Only 8% of complete chert flakes and 1.5% of chert flake platforms exhibit cortex, suggesting strongly that the early stages of core reduction took place elsewhere. The 12 obsidian artefacts are small flakes (mean weight, 0.3 g; mean length, 8 mm; length range, 4 mm-16 mm), showing no signs of bipolar reduction. The nearest source of obsidian is West Ferguson Island located 500 km to the east (Irwin and Holdaway 1996).

More formally manufactured implement types include retouched flakes and an adze. Retouched flakes comprise only 2.2% of the lithic assemblage and are represented by chert (n=55) and chalcedony (n=1). As with chert, chalcedony outcrops in the Port Moresby region (Glaessner 1952:66). The mean length of retouched chert flakes (14.8 mm) is larger than the mean length of unretouched chert flakes, indicating selection of larger chert flakes for manufacture into curated implements. A flaked and ground adze was recovered from XU20a (Figure 8). It is manufactured from volcanic stone (possibly basalt) and weighs 154.9 g. An anvil (also made from volcanic stone, possibly basalt) was recovered from XU2. The adze and anvil were likely imports, given that the nearest major outcrops of basalt are located 80-100 km to the northwest and southeast of Port Moresby (Glaessner 1952; Pieters 1978; Smith and Milsom 1984:165).

Whereas chert flakes were used throughout the history of site use, back to at least 2650 cal BP, obsidian artefacts have a more restricted occurrence in XUs 3 to 15, dating between <2350 and 2500 cal BP. While the adzes was recovered from the more recent levels, the lack of these implements in 2600-2650 cal BP levels may simply reflect issues of rarity and sampling.
Figure 7. Decoration styles at Edubu 1 Square A. A: Dentate-stamped rectilinear maze dated to 2350-2500 cal BP. B: Dentate-stamped rectilinear lines on lip dated to 2350-2500 cal BP. C: Shell valve end-impressions on rim dated to <2350 cal BP. D: Dentate-stamped triple-angled lines on lip dated to 2350-2500 cal BP. E: Shell valve end-impressed triangles arced around central design.

Shell artefact

A fragment of a shell ring was recovered from XU13, dating to 2350 cal BP (Figure 9). The ring is approximately 30% complete and is finely made from what appears to be cone shell (*Conus* sp.). The surface of the ring exhibits smoothing and shaping by grinding. The ring is 10.5-10.7 mm high, 5.0-5.5 mm wide, and would have been 9 cm diameter (measured on the outside of the shell) when complete. Based on ethnographic information from the Port Moresby region, the shell ring may have been used as a body adornment and/or exchange valuable (Seligmann 1910).

Implications for Port Moresby regional land-use history

Extending the 2000 cal BP barrier

Before our Caution Bay study, the earliest evidence for people in the Port Moresby region was found at three sites – Nebira 4, Eriama 1 and Loloata Island. Nebira 4 is an open site located atop a low hill immediately north of Port Moresby, some 11 km inland from the coast. Excavations by Jim Allen in 1969 and 1970 revealed a 2.6 m cultural deposit of stone artefacts, shell artefacts and pottery (including red-slipped wares), along with bone and shell food remains (Allen 1972). Charcoal radiocarbon dates of 1760 ± 90 BP and 3340 ± 160 BP were obtained from Level 14, but well above basal cultural materials (Level 18). While Allen (1972:120) remarked that ‘[n]o archaeological reason can be given for this [dating] discrepancy’, he rejected the earlier date, given the pottery assemblage similarities between Nebira 4 and the Oposisi site on Yule Island in the Gulf of Papua dated by Ron Vanderwal to 2000 years ago (cf. Vanderwal 1973). Eriama 1 is a small rockshelter located northeast of Port Moresby and 7.5 km from the sea. Excavations by Sue Bulmer in 1969 revealed a 0.9 m cultural deposit, with the lowest layer containing ‘red slip pottery’ and producing a charcoal radiocarbon date of 1930 ± 230 BP (ca. 1850 cal BP) (Bulmer 1975:56). Loloata Island is located 15 km southwest of Port Moresby and 1 km from the mainland. A ‘shell sample from the lower midden layer’ produced
**Figure 8.** Adze from XU20a, Square A, Edubu 1, dating to between 2350 and 2500 cal BP.

**Figure 9.** Shell ring from XU13, Square A, Edubu 1, dating to 2350 cal BP.
a radiocarbon age of 2300 ± 100 BP (ca. 1900 cal BP) associated with ‘red-slipped pottery’ (Sullivan and Sassoon 1987:7). Based on site survey and excavation data, Allen (1977a:447) hypothesised that ‘the existing archaeological evidence suggests the rapid occupation of both the coast and hinterland by pottery manufacturing people around 2,000 years ago’.

Recent excavation results from Caution Bay demonstrate that pottery-using (Lapita) peoples settled the Port Moresby region by 2900 cal BP, with pre-ceramic occupation extending back to at least 4200 cal BP (McNiven et al. 2011). As such, people settled the Port Moresby region well before the previously hypothesised 2000 cal BP for an initial migration by ceramicists to the region. Indeed, Edubu 1 was mostly, if not entirely, occupied before 2000 cal BP, between <2350 cal BP and 2650 cal BP. This expanded chronology for settlement of the region is not unexpected, given that Kukuba Cave, located 100 km along the coast northwest of Port Moresby, dates back to 4000 years ago (Vanderwal 1973). Indeed, it is probable that a human presence has been in the region since the Pleistocene, given archaeological evidence for well-established populations 49,000 years ago at Kosipe, located in the Owen Stanley Ranges 70 km north of Port Moresby (Fairbairn et al. 2006; Hope 2009; Summerhayes et al. 2010), and in the Papuan Gulf lowlands to the west by at least 13,000 years ago (David et al. 2007).

**Terminal Lapita settlement expansions**

Edubu 1, with terminal/transforming Lapita deposits, demonstrates that new Lapita sites were actively established at Caution Bay hundreds of years after the original arrival of Lapita peoples at 2900 cal BP (McNiven et al. 2011). Clearly, Caution Bay hosted a dynamic and developing community of Lapita peoples, with some sites such as Bogi 1 located 2.8 km west-northwest of Edubu 1 spanning the full temporal extent of Lapita occupation at Caution Bay for 400 years between 2900 and 2500 cal BP, and other sites such as Edubu 1 showing more temporally restricted Lapita occupation of less than 200 years (and possibly less than 100 years) between 2650 and 2500 cal BP. Significantly, Bogi 1 site, located downslope from Edubu 1 on the nearby shoreline of Caution Bay, reveals a major burst of activity at 2500–2650 cal BP (McNiven et al. 2011). The fact that Lapita sites located on both the shoreline and 1 km inland exhibit synchronous increases in site activity at 2500–2650 cal BP indicates broad-scale social linkages across what is clearly a complex and integrated community of Lapita peoples at Caution Bay, and that probably also involves local non-Lapita populations. While it is possible that both Bogi 1 and Edubu 1 were used by similar peoples as part of seasonal movements, the fact that both sites show synchronous increases in cultural discard indicates at the very least greater human activity and most likely more people living in the area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw material</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Wt (g)</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chert</td>
<td>2546</td>
<td>98.2</td>
<td>1414.2</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcedony</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsidian</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcanic (basalt?)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>345.1</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siliceous sandstone</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartzite?</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine-grained sedimentary</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine-grained igneous</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals:</strong></td>
<td>2593</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1776.7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Stone artefact raw materials, Square A, Edubu 1.
Marine subsistence and terrestrial hunting

The lower sediment levels at Nebira 4 revealed exploitation of marine and terrestrial animals. Terrestrial animals include pig, dog and wallaby (*Macropus agilis*), while marine animals include turtle, dugong, fish (mostly estuarine catfish) and shellfish (mostly reef-dwelling *Chama* sp. and *Strombus* spp.). Interestingly, bandicoot and bird, which were present in upper levels, were not present in lower levels of Nebira 4. Allen (1972:123) concluded that early immigrants to the Port Moresby region possessed an ‘economy based on mixed hunting, agriculture and fishing’. Yet it is clear that subsistence practices of early occupants at Nebira 4 focused more on aquatic animals than land animals, with fish accounting for 50% of bone assemblages (Allen 1972:118). While nearly all fish (92% of MNI) in Levels 16–19 were catfish, Allen (1972:118) argued that these high-saltwater-tolerant fish were probably ‘caught in estuarine conditions’ and not more characteristic riverine contexts located adjacent to the site (cf. White and O’Connell 1982:203). Bulmer (1971:57) concurs and commented that the ‘earliest occupants of Nebira 4 had a predominantly maritime economy, with enormous quantities of fish, sea mammals, and shell fish consumed’ (see also Bulmer 1979:18). Allen (1977b) acknowledged the early focus on marine resources, stating ‘the subsistence patterns of these early migrants was oriented much towards the sea and the exploitation of sea resources, but that land hunting also contributed to the diet’. The nature of subsistence remains in the lower levels of Eriama 1 is difficult to determine given the disturbed stratigraphy and incomplete reporting of finds. However, remains from ‘Crevice Layer 3’ (from where the early date was obtained) revealed low amounts of shell (mangrove and freshwater species) and possibly small quantities of mammal (mostly wallaby) and fish bone (Bulmer 1978:Table 7.6, Appendix 7.2). The lower midden on Loloata Island contained ‘abundant [marine] shell’ and specialised marine subsistence is implied (Sullivan and Sassoon 1987:3).

Faunal remains from Nebira 4 and Eriama 1 reveal that the maritime peoples living in the Port Moresby region around 2000 years ago consumed pigs and wallabies. While wallabies were clearly hunted in the wild, Allen (1977b:37) hypothesises that pigs may have been ‘husbanded rather than hunted in their feral state’. Yet the question remains as to what extent hunting of terrestrial animals reflected the location of both sites some distance from the coast (11 km and 7.5 km inland respectively). Interestingly, early ethnographic accounts from the late 19th century mention pigs and highlight the importance of wallaby hunting, particularly among the Koita, and the importance of fish, particularly among the Motu (Stone 1876:60; Lawes 1879:373, 375; Chalmers 1887:14–15; Turner 1878:482, 487, 495; see also Allen 1977a, 1991; Oram 1977; Vasey 1982). Turner (1878:481) observed that ‘the food of the Motu consists principally of wallaby, fish, yams, bananas, cocoa-nuts, and sago’ (see also Stone 1876:47). Today, local people of Caution Bay hunt Agile Wallaby (*M. agilis*) and Southern Lowland Cuscus (*Pteropus intercastellanus*) (Woxvold 2008:69; Coffey Natural Systems 2009:12–47) (Figure 10). More specifically, in ‘winter they live upon yams, bananas, and fish. In August the hunting season commences, and for two or three months they live almost entirely on the flesh of the wallaby’ (Turner 1878:481). However, what is the history of this hunting and did the early ancestors of these coastal people similarly supplement diets with hunted animals or were they marine subsistence specialists? In this connection, Allen (1977a:450) posits that coastal locations with little access to terrestrial resources may have been too ‘restrictive’ for early maritime colonisers and their ‘more general economy’. While the Loloata Island site is obviously located on the coast, unfortunately at this site subsistence evidence is too scant to shed detailed light on this question.

The faunal assemblage at Edubu 1 concurs in part with Allen’s (1977a) hypothesis that early peoples of the Port Moresby region practised a mixed marine-terrestrial subsistence economy. While it is now clear that maritime (Lapita) peoples moved into the Port Moresby
coast well before 2000 years ago, the view that these maritime colonists exploited a wide range of marine and terrestrial foods has been reinforced. In common with Nebira 4, the faunal assemblage at Edubu 1 included terrestrial hunting focusing on macropods with contributions by pig, snake and freshwater turtle, while the marine faunal suite included fish, sea urchins, turtle and an extensive array of shellfish. In this sense, terminal Lapita occupants of Edubu 1 practised a more mixed economy than the more marine specialised Lapita-period subsistence practices seen on nearby shoreline sites at Caution Bay, such as Bogi 1 (McNiven et al. 2011). While the more mixed terrestrial–marine subsistence regime at Edubu 1 can be attributed in large part to the site's location 1 km inland from the shoreline, the question of why these terminal–Lapita-period peoples chose to establish a site inland away from the coast remains to be determined. For example, was Edubu 1 part of the seasonal round of the same peoples who occupied shoreline sites such as Bogi 1, or was it used by more terrestrially oriented inland peoples who were in close interaction with coastal peoples, as was the case of inland-coastal relations during the early European contact period of the late 1800s–early 1900s? Whatever the case, it is clear that in the Caution Bay region a complex and diverse subsistence pattern existed for terminal–Lapita pottery–using peoples, whereby the ratio of terrestrial to marine foods in diets varied depending on context and site location. In this sense, Caution Bay Lapita sites have considerable potential to inform current debates on the degree of marine–protein specialisation by Lapita peoples of the Western Pacific and factors influencing the incorporation of wild and domesticated terrestrial animal foods (Field et al. 2009; Valentin et al. 2010). At this juncture, whether cultivated plant foods contributed significantly to Lapita diets at Caution Bay remains hypothetical, but it is plausible that plant food was cultivated given the likelihood of Lapita agriculture across the Western Pacific (Kirch 1997; Spriggs 1997; Kennett et al. 2006; Horrocks and Nunn 2007; Fall 2010; see also Fairbairn 2005:495) and major erosion and sedimentation at Edubu 1 (see below). The presence of pig in Edubu 1 suggests strongly that pigs were introduced to the region by at least 2500 years ago.
Firing and grassland formation

Directly related to the issue of the history of macropod hunting is the history of the development of savannah and grasslands – the major habitat of macropods such as Agile Wallabies. Early European visitors to the Port Moresby region in the late 19th century commented on savannah vegetation and burning of grasslands to aid hunting of wallabies and most likely pigs (Turner 1878:471, 487; Romilly 1889:164; Seligmann 1910:87) (Figure 11). In the Caution Bay region, such landscape burning to aid wallaby hunting continues today (Woxvold 2008:67–68; pers. obs. 2009–2010). Eden (1974) argues that the distribution of Port Moresby savannah and grassland vegetation cannot be accounted for simply by environmental factors and that these vegetation zones are also the product of human burning associated with shifting cultivation and hunting. In terms of the former, the potential for widespread impact is considerable, given that ‘the life of individual fields’ is usually only three to four years, and the principal local crops of banana (*Musa* sp.), taro (*Colocasia* sp.), sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) and yam (*Dioscorea* sp.) involve considerable ground disturbance (Eden 1974:106). Oram (1977:83) suggested that the savannah and grasslands along the coast between Boera and Lea Lea (i.e. Caution Bay area) were ‘probably as a result of human occupation’.

Historicising the long-term process of local anthropogenic grassland formation, Bulmer (1975:66) hypothesised that the early maritime colonisers of the Port Moresby region ‘may have helped to clear some of the lowland forest in the course of gardening and hunting on the river plains’. Swadling (1977:38) added that because of poor soils and low rainfall, the Port Moresby region was ‘probably not considered a good place to live’ prior to the introduction of horticulture and the associated clearance of forest, which subsequently created grasslands that supported rich stocks of wallabies. While both Bulmer and Swadling place the start of anthropogenic grassland formation back 2000 years, Bulmer (1975:21) hypothesises that these grasslands ‘were widespread by 1,000 years ago’, given archaeological evidence for local settlement patterns and extensive wallaby hunting within the past 800 years at sites such as Motupore (see Allen 1977a). Eden (1974:109) made the interesting point that while little evidence exists for the history and antiquity of anthropogenic deforestation and grassland formation in the Port Moresby region, ‘it cannot be questioned that, even at rates of clearance significantly below those of today, the present savanna and grassland could readily have been created by cultivating peoples since about 2000 BP. The savanna and grassland may therefore represent the cumulative impact of cultivation and burning during such a period.’

The presence of macropod remains in Edubu 1 indicates that the necessary savannah and grassland habitats for wallabies were present in the Caution Bay area by at least 2600 years ago. Thus, it is possible that these savannah and grassland habitats were maintained, at least in part, by anthropogenic firing regimes similar to those recorded historically, and were initiated during the Lapita settlement of the coast sometime between 2900 and 2500 years ago when inland peoples became more attracted to this coastline for its new trade and other opportunities for social interaction generated by the newly established Lapita settlements at Bogi 1 and other nearby locations. Alternatively, landscape firing at Caution Bay may have a much longer antiquity: coring of Kosipe Swamp located 70 km inland indicates that people have been firing the landscape in the broader Port Moresby region for at least 40,000 years (Hope 2009). Furthermore, Hope et al. (1983:41) suggest that maintenance of grasslands and savannah in the Markham Valley on the northeast coast of Papua New Guinea by anthropogenic burning over the past 9000 years (Garrett-Jones 1979) opens the possibility of similar long-term firing in the Port Moresby region. Bulmer (1971:39) rightly points out that ‘pollen samples need to be obtained in order to learn how long ago the forest was cleared and what other ecological
clues can be established concerning that period’. In this connection, pollen cores taken from mangroves fringing Caution Bay by Rowe and McNiven in 2010 will hopefully shed light on this question.
Terrestrial engagements by terminal Lapita maritime specialists on the southern Papuan coast

Erosion and coastal progradation

Bulmer (1971:41) hypothesised that ‘clearance of the lowland forest would have accelerated the deposition of sediments on the river plains, and consequently the extension of the swamplands surrounding the river mouth’. In particular, she points to likely coastal progradation of 4.8 km at Galley Reach (including the mouth of the Laloki River) in the northern part of the study region (Bulmer 1978:13, 14, 73). While the rate of slope erosion and lowland deposition in the Port Moresby region is likely to be lower than many other regions of New Guinea due to low local rainfall (Mabbutt et al. 1965:110; Bleeker 1983:177), Bulmer rightly identifies an anthropogenic dimension to landscape evolution and shoreline progradation, with major potential consequences for long-term human settlement of the region.

Löffler (1977:8-9) notes that landscape burning ‘makes the ground highly susceptible to both rain splash erosion and unconcentrated wash’ and that ‘rain splash erosion appears to be severe where frequent burning is practised and where it is responsible for the removal of large quantities of soil’. Indeed, ‘slope wash has … been responsible for a near complete removal of the soil cover on most of the slopes in the Port Moresby area, irrespective of rock type’ (Löffler 1977:8; see also Spenceley and Alley 1986). In the Caution Bay study area, exposure of uplifted fossiliferous limestone deposits of probable Pleistocene age (Mabbutt et al. 1965:14, 30, 107) is also consistent with considerable erosion and stripping of overlying surface sediments (see also Löffler 1977:6).

Edubu 1 was formed by rapid accumulation of 90 cm of sediment mostly over a period of up to 300 years between approximately 2350 cal BP and 2650 cal BP. These sediments (SUs 1-3) were sitting on culturally sterile sediments (SU4) exhibiting a dramatically different layer orientation. While it is possible that SU4 sediments originally represented horizontal layers that have since been tilted through tectonic warping, it is more probable that the steep layer orientation reflects sediment accumulation on a steeper palaeo slope. This hypothesis is consistent with the nearby slope flanking the gully on the northern parts of the site. Whatever the case, the dramatic stratigraphic change between SU4 and SU3 represents a disconformity in soft sediments associated with an equally dramatic change in depositional context at Edubu 1. The surface of SU4 appears to be an erosion surface as its associated stratigraphy has not been homogenised and obliterated by soil formation and turbation processes. While detailed sediment analyses have yet to be undertaken, preliminary stratigraphic assessment from excavations across the Caution Bay coast to hinterland suggests that cultural deposition at Edubu 1 commenced soon after a period of erosion in the local landscape.

The most likely source for accumulating sediments forming SUs 1 to 3 is slightly more elevated land inland of Edubu 1. That is, eroded sediments from further inland moved downslope towards the coast and accumulated at Edubu 1. This sediment accumulation buried cultural materials left at the site by its occupants to create the archaeological deposit we see today. A direct correspondence between rapid sedimentation and cultural materials suggests strongly that upslope erosion and concomitant downslope sedimentation were associated with human landscape disturbance. Indeed, rapid sedimentation at Edubu 1 ceased once cultural materials stopped being discarded at the site perhaps 2000 years ago. We hypothesise that a key source of this landscape disturbance was firing of the local vegetation to maintain savannah and grassland habitats for macropods, particularly wallaby-hunting practices. It is also possible that ground disturbance was associated with gardening practices which accelerated downslope sedimentation (see Gosden and Pavlides 1994:169; Gosden and Webb 1994; Spriggs 1997:85-86, 88, 2010; Kennett et al. 2006:278). To what extent possible increased gardening activities reflected the introduction of agriculture or intensification of existing agricultural practices with the arrival of Lapita peoples is unknown. Previous hypotheses have linked the introduction of agriculture along the south coast with the arrival of pottery-using peoples 2000 years ago.
(e.g. Harris 1995:853) or ca. 2600 years ago (McNiven et al. 2006:74). Clearly, continued research into the history of agriculture in the region, including Torres Strait, will be critical to understanding associated landscape transformations, and requires further development of multi-proxy approaches integrating archaeological (e.g. Barham and Harris 1985; Parr and Carter 2003), geomorphological (e.g. Barham 1999) and palynological indicators (e.g. Rowe 2007; McNiven et al. 2010) (see also Fairbairn 2005).

Broader support for hypothesised increased landscape modification and sedimentation regimes linked to changing land-use practices comes from analysis of sediments at Waigani Lake east of Caution Bay and immediately north of Port Moresby (Figure 1). A dramatic increase in the deposition of fluvial clay sediments in the lake between 2540 ± 80 BP (ca. 2600 cal BP) and ca. 1200 years ago reflects increased input of flood waters and sediments from the nearby Laloki River, linked to increased effective precipitation (Osborne et al. 1993:607-608). However, Osborne et al. (1993:608) also suggest that increased sedimentation may be ‘due to an increase in run-off generated by changing land-use or vegetation cover within the catchment area’. The fact that ca. 2600-2650 cal BP marks the establishment of Edubu 1 and a major increase in sedimentation provides increased support for the influence of humans on sedimentation regimes at Waigani Lake.

The consequences of landscape burning and slope erosion throughout the past 2500-3000 years in the Port Moresby region are likely to have had cumulative impacts on land-use practices, particularly in relation to responses to repositioning of shorelines associated with coastal progradation and sea level change. For example, Summerhayes and Allen (2007:103) hypothesise that Nebira 4 may have been much closer to the sea in the past. Further to the west in the eastern Gulf of Papua, Swadling et al. (1976:56) similarly discuss the potential impact of major coastal progradation over a distance of 20-25 km during the past 6000 years on settlement patterns and land-use strategies. In the Caution Bay region, Pain and Swadling (1980) document a series of palaeo shorelines in the form of ‘sandspits’ separated by mangrove forests across the lower reaches of the Vaihua River. While they associate shoreline development with lowering sea levels in the past 6000 years, it is also likely that deposition of terrestrial sediments in the intertidal zone from inland erosion associated with human land-use activities contributed to shoreline changes and mangrove development. While coastal progradation may have increased the distance of sites such as Edubu 1 to the coast by only a few hundred metres, the impact of mangrove development and changing marine resource availability on local subsistence practices may have been considerable. Analysis of sediment cores taken across mangrove forests at Caution Bay by two of us (CR and IM) will shed further light on the history of local shoreline development and how people contributed and responded to such changes.

**Conclusion**

The results of recent excavations at Caution Bay will transform understandings of Port Moresby region archaeology and understandings of the human history of southern coastal Papua New Guinea. Critically in terms of this chapter, the extended chronology for human settlement of the region provides considerable scope to understand changes in landscape engagements and transformations associated with the arrival of Lapita colonists 2900 years ago. Whereas elsewhere in Remote Oceania, Lapita colonists represent the first human presence in landscapes, in the Port Moresby region, like much of Near Oceania, Lapita colonists arrived and engaged with places already transformed by more than 1000 years of prior human settlement. In this sense, the establishment of long-term Lapita settlements in the Port Moresby region was a more complex, negotiated process compared with Remote
Oceania, as it involved interactions with existing social and environmental landscapes. While the nature of this process of negotiation remains to be more fully explored – a process towards which we make initial steps in this paper – it is clear that the result was a complex mosaic of local and immigrant peoples with different cultural values, processes of environmental and social interaction, and histories. While sharing the same geographical setting, the ways local and immigrant groups chose to engage, transform and define this setting will reflect these differences. As Gosden and Webb (1994:48) cogently note, ‘Land use is culturally determined, arising from choices people make about how to provision the social system.’ To what extent such differences were maintained, hybridised and transformed over succeeding generations and centuries is the focus of ongoing research. Clearly, understanding how such differences were manifested in different land and sea engagements and environmental transformations will require the combined expertise of archaeological and palaeoenvironmental investigators. The co-determining and mutually constitutive nature of human-environmental relationships will be evident in archaeological sites as much as it will be registered in pollen cores, a theoretical point we have come to appreciate better through the work of Peter Kershaw.
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Introduction

This paper presents a model for the occupation of the Kikori River delta and the first archaeological results from excavations undertaken in Kerewo lands on the large river delta.
island of Goaribari, western Gulf of Papua (Gulf Province), Papua New Guinea (PNG) (Figure 1). The site of Otoia 1 is situated along the northwestern end of Goaribari. Ethnographically this region encompasses the lands of the Kerewo in the eastern Kiwai language area, who at the time of initial European contact in the 1870s, exerted socio-political control and/or competitive influence from the Turama River in the west, to Pai’a Inlet in the east, and upstream at least as far as Kopi in the north (e.g. Knauff 1993:27; Weiner 2006). The Kerewo are the largest tribal-linguistic group in the coastal Gulf of Papua region. Prior to the colonial period, Kerewo villages were organised around large men’s longhouses (dubu daima), each of which was compartmentalised into clan sections, membership of which was determined through agnostic descent. Local oral traditions recall that at Otoia, for example, there were originally two longhouses, called Gewo and Ubo Gewo. Clan membership at Gewo consisted of Kibiri, Atenaramio, Karuramio, IHe'eere, Guei, Pinei and Neboru, while the Ubo Gewo clans were Neauri, Kurami, Gibi, Adia'amudae and Neboru. When clans became too large, they split into separate units and differentiated themselves by adopting names according to the position they occupied in the longhouse. For Kerewo, the three major sections of the longhouse were tamu (head of the house), goho (middle of the house) and nupu (back of the house), thus the original Karuramio clan became divided into Nupu Karuramio and so on (Kenneth Korokai [Neauri clan], pers. comm.; Weiner 2006:32).

Kerewo oral traditions state that Otoia was the origin village for all the Kerewo, being the settlement from which the different clans fissioned and from which all subsequent villages in the delta were established (see Figure 1). At the time of initial European contact in the late 19th century, Kerewo society was characterised by ritual headhunting and constant raiding of nearby regions, with little inter-marriage taking place across linguistic/tribal boundaries. Residential mobility was extremely limited, with residence restricted to a number of very large and easily defended villages on Goaribari Island and the Omati River (Weiner 2006:41).

The archaeological site of Otoia 1 is an abandoned village location, one of several abandoned ancestral villages of the Kerewo on the islands of the Kikori-Omati Rivers delta. The site was visited and historically documented by Alfred Haddon in 1914 and the Australian photographer and explorer Frank Hurley in 1921. People continued to live at the village until the early 1970s, when the last of the villagers relocated to other Kerewo villages and to colonial administrative centres such as Kikori.

Early European accounts go to some lengths to point out that the delta villages of the Kerewo and nearby groups were generally very large at the end of the 19th century, unlike those of peoples found further inland, with populations typically estimated at 1000–2000 people within individual villages in the delta villages (e.g. Ryan 1913), in contrast to settlements upstream, which typically numbered fewer than 100 inhabitants (e.g. MacGregor 1894a; Murray 1914:10; Ryan 1914:170, 172; Woodward 1920; Flint 1923; Cawley 1925; Liston-Blyth 1929). Thus Ryan (1913, cited in Goldman and Tauka 1998:59) reported that ‘West of Vaimuru [Baimuru] are the villages of the Urama tribe. Their villages are situated on land between Era Bay and Pai’a inlet, consisting of seven villages, with a population of about 4,000 people’. In 1917, the Acting Assistant Resident Magistrate for the Delta Division, C.L. Herbert (1917:87), wrote that the two villages of Ebi-ka-o and Mai-aki, a few minutes apart by boat and located in the Kikori River delta some 20 km east of Goaribari, had a combined population of about 3000 people. In 1920, Woodward (1920:63) concluded that the Aird River delta supported around 6500 people; that the Kerewo numbered around 4000; and that the Urama villages supported 2000 people. William MacGregor (1893) likewise reported seeing numerous large villages in the Kikori and Omati Rivers delta in 1892:
Figure 1. Map of the Kikori and Omati Rivers delta, showing Otoia as the original Kerewo village and the ‘traditional Omati villages and migration history’ according to oral traditions (after Goldman and Tauka 1998:63).
We proceeded to go through the Aumoturi channel, which cuts off Goaribari from the mainland. Entering it from the east end, we soon found ourselves in front of a large village Anawaida, [which seems to correspond with the village of Dopima in northeastern Goaribari] on its south bank. There are three or four very long houses, 300 to 400 feet each, and a number of smaller ones for the women and children. The village site was half swampy, but the coast there grows sago and some cocoanut trees. … Near the western end of the strait there is another large village on the same bank Oteai [Otoia]. … The Aumoturi joins a large river on the west side, which meets it at nearly a right angle, the two opening into the sea on the west side of Goaribari. We intended to examine this river, and it was decided that we should begin at its mouth. We accordingly steered for a large village on the right bank near the sea [probably Aiedio; see Figure 2]. It is a large and populous settlement, with a number of very long houses and many smaller ones. A large number of canoes came out to meet us … About a mile and a-half further up the river there is, also on the right bank, a large village on a somewhat drier site [probably Mubagoa]. About four miles further up, on the same bank, is the great village of Baiaa [Pai-a], which seems to be the largest one I have seen in the Possession. Baiaa has over two scores of houses, many of them several hundred feet long. It is on land that would be about a yard above high water mark, and is firm enough to grow bread fruit and cocoanut trees. … The village of Baiaa is about half a mile long, and presents quite an imposing appearance. … On leaving our camp we found a large settlement on the right bank at a place called Naimesse. It was only a sago encampment. They had fairly good, small houses, not so large or substantial as those of the permanent villages. (MacGregor 1893:45-47)

In 1893, MacGregor (1894b, c) further reported that the villages of the Purari River delta a short distance to the east ‘are numerous and very noisy’, and that a ‘large tribe was met with’
on the Gulf Province islands between the Era and Kikori Rivers (MacGregor 1894b:xix).

By contrast, in 1920 the Acting Resident Magistrate for the Delta Division, R.A. Woodward (1920), estimated that the total population of all the communities along the inland Kikori River, the ‘Vero River’ and the Tiviri Junction put together numbered a mere 500.

Although the delta region is a difficult environment in which to live, in the sense that almost everywhere the land is swampy and covered by saltwater mangroves rendering agriculture nigh impossible (see Haddon 1918; below), it clearly supported some of the largest and most densely populated ethnographic villages of the western Gulf Province lowlands (cf. Williams 1924). We suggest that a contributing factor was that the villages’ position at the mouth of the Kikori and Omari Rivers placed them at the front end of redistributive networks inland to the north, and further to the west along the coast. This enabled the Kerewo to act as middlemen in aggrandising interaction networks with trade partners to the east, from which imported *hiri* ceramics were obtained. In their strategic positioning at the mouth of the major Kikori and Omari Rivers, the Kerewo of the delta region controlled the redistribution of pottery to the expansive populations residing along the Kikori and Omari Rivers. We argue that, initially at least, the Kerewo may themselves have depended to a significant extent on the pottery trade for access to inland products such as stone and supplementary plant produce to sustain themselves while living in a difficult environment from which they were supporting growing populations. Trade in supplementary plant produce is supported from oral accounts and the fact that many food plants will not grow in the delta because of its low-lying nature and periodic inundation by saltwater; even sago is not as prolific in the lower delta islands as elsewhere in the Gulf region.

That the *hiri* trade usually reached Goaribari via secondary means is supported by a range of historical and oral sources. Nigel Oram (1982) recorded that the Motu ceramic manufacturers divided the recipient *hiri* trade villages into four zones extending as far west as the Purari River (located 110 km east of Otoia). Present-day Kerewo oral traditions also state that pottery trade was indirect and came from their eastern neighbours, with no recollection of ever having been visited by Motu traders. Furthermore, Kerewo state that the Motu trade language was not spoken in the delta until introduced by missionaries at the turn of the 19th century (Kenneth Korokai pers. comm. 2008). This is supported by Dutton’s (1982:82) linguistic study of the distribution of the Motu language in the Gulf, where he states:

> ... although we know that some North-East Kiwai speakers, notably the Urama, traded with the Koriki of the Purari Delta, the traditional end point of the hiri, they do not seem to have traded with the Motu directly for it is reported that it was only after European contact that the Motu went to Urama [58 km to the east of Goaribari] and then they had to stop off at Maipua to find a man to translate Motu into Urama.

In July 1878, Henry M. Chester, the Police Magistrate at Thursday Island in Torres Strait, undertook an expedition to the south coast of New Guinea in the steamer *Ellengowan*. At the Motu village of Boera, he obtained the following information on customary *hiri* trade voyages from local clan leaders:

> We gleaned the following information from them. Their annual trading voyage commences in August, and extends about 100 miles to the westward. They call at all the villages to exchange their pottery ware for sago, and return to Boera with the first north-west wind in December. There are twelve villages to pass before arriving in the cannibal districts. Vaimuro is the last place of call, nine villages further on, and to them come people from three villages still further to the westward, Kerewa [Kerewa, aka
Bryce Barker et al.

Otoia] being the last village with which they have intercourse. (Chester 1878:9)

We further suggest that biri pottery redistribution networks may have contributed to the consolidation and possible expansion of headhunting cults for which the Kerewo were particularly feared during ethnographic times (see David et al. 2010). There is little doubt that the continuous raiding of neighbouring groups essential to Kerewo headhunting rituals kept neighbouring groups in constant fear of raiding parties (Knauft 1993; David et al. 2010). The sheer scale of such raiding can be seen from one account of a colonial punitive expedition sent to the Kerewo village of Dopima on Goaribari in the aftermath of the killing of the missionary James Chalmers and his associate the Rev. Oliver F. Tomkins on 8 April 1901:

In a report on the massacre, the Rev. H.M. Dauncey says, ‘in one of the dubus were over seven hundred skulls, and at another four hundred. Some of the other dubus were cleared before the party reached them, but I am within the mark in saying that there must have been ten thousand skulls in the twenty dubus burned’. (cited in Haddon 1918:180)

Indeed, David et al. (2010) suggest that persistent headhunting raids by the Kerewo in particular led to neighbouring delta and inland river-bordering tribal groups, themselves headhunters, relocating villages away from major waterways into more rainforest-hidden refugial locations, specifically for protection from headhunting raids.

In this context, through headhunting cults, in the Gulf Province river deltas actively configured regional polities, including settlement locations and regional alliances, reinforcing and defending hierarchical relationships between communities and individuals. Knauft (1993:196) thus states for headhunting practices in the broader contiguous Western-Gulf Province coastal region, including the Kerewo as the easternmost Kiwai-speaking group, that:

Kiwai headhunting melded dimensions of warfare found variously among Marind, Purari and Asmat.... coalitions of Kiwai in long-distance coastal raids could claim many victims, and some local groups were exterminated through headhunting. Kiwai were distinctive for their complex web of local and long-distance alliances. These provided opportunity for both substantial temporary coalition and large-scale death contracting and treachery. The detailed accounts obtained by Landtman (1917) illustrate how astute leaders could effectively ally with other settlements; sometimes they would even contract and aid third parties to travel long distances in fleets of canoes to carry out surprise attacks. Many heads and renown – as well as substantial payment – accrued to the attackers, while local political advantage went to the leader who contracted the killing ... The general sense one gets from the accounts of Landtman (1917), Riley (1925) and Beaver (1920) is that warfare among Kiwai themselves was driven by political disputes and revenge rather than ritual mandate.

We argue that because of the indirect nature of the trade, and the reliance on intermediary groups for access to imported pots and shell valuables, control over supply provided not only a powerful stimulus to largely command this interaction itself, but also a means to muster political and military support and to prevent competition from rival groups occupying the lower reaches of the Kikori River system. Over decades, generations, and some four to five centuries of permanent village settlement at the strategic mouths of the large river systems, the Kerewo and their neighbours in the Purari River delta where the westernmost regular direct biri trade took place, grew into controlling coastal polities. These social entities manipulated and
managed regional economies, territorial interactions and social relations through headhunting cosmologies that enabled powerful alliances to be formed and that spread fear among neighbouring populations. Kerewo domination of regional networks from a relatively resource-poor base involved control over incoming trade products, leading to further aggrandising of the Kerewo realm.

**Previous archaeological research**

In order to test this model, a series of excavations at ancestral village sites was planned in the Kikori delta. Our major aims were three-fold:

1. To determine whether the establishment of the large Kerewo villages coincided with or shortly followed the commencement of the ethnographic *hiri* trade, as known by the well-documented Motu genealogies for the origins of the *hiri*.

2. To determine whether the sequence of Kerewo villages known from local oral traditions concur with the sequence evidenced by the archaeological record.

3. To determine the extent to which the lower (coastal) Kikori River archaeological sequences (including the Goaribari villages) correspond with the mid Kikori River archaeological sequences. Ethnographically, imported trade ceramics entered the Kikori River via the coast, in exchange for mass-produced sago starch manufactured with tools made from stone pounders imported through inland trade systems. A key question asked of the upper, mid and lower Kikori River sequences thus concerns the antiquity of the articulating stone-sago-pottery production system and trade relations, and the effects of these trade relations on regional demography and village polities.

With these aims in mind, this paper represents our first results of excavations in the lower Kikori River coastal region. Here we present archaeological evidence for the age of the Kerewo origin village of Otoia.

Archaeological research in the Kikori River region was previously carried out by Sandra Bowdler (pers. comm., cited in David 2008:466) and James Rhoads (e.g. 1980, 1982) in the 1970s, and subsequently by Bruno David (e.g. 2008; David et al. 2007, 2008) from 2005 onwards. David Frankel and Ron Vanderwal (1982, 1985) undertook archaeological excavations at Kinomere village on the island of Urama shortly to the east in the 1980s. David et al. (2007) established a late-Pleistocene antiquity for settlement of the mid Kikori River with earliest radiocarbon dates of 13,000 cal BP, the only Pleistocene site yet found in the southern PNG lowlands.

David (2008) describes human occupation of the mid Kikori River system as a series of pulses separated by long periods of absence. These hiatuses in localised and possibly regional occupation occur between 8000 and 2750 years ago, 2750 and 2000 years ago, 2000 and 1450 years ago, and lastly between 950 and 500 years ago. The earliest archaeological evidence of village establishment in the mid Kikori River region coincides with the first appearance of ceramics from 1450 to 950 years ago, followed by a renewed period of village establishment and the presence of ceramics after 500 years ago. David (2008) suggests that this latest occupational pulse is likely linked to the onset of the ethnohistorically recorded Motu *hiri* trade in this part of PNG (David 2008; cf. Chalmers 1895; Seligman 1910; Dutton 1982).

Excavations at the lower Kikori River delta site of Emo, an ancient Porome village site in the nearby Aird Hills, also show a pattern of intermittent pulses of occupation beginning 1840 years
ago and signalling the earliest appearance of pottery in that area. After 1530 years ago, pottery discard rates increase substantially, which corresponds closely with the first known appearance of pottery in the mid Kikori River region some 1450 years ago (David et al. 2010).

Site description

The island of Goaribari, like all Kikori River delta lands except for the highly localised Aird Hills, is very low-lying (maximum elevation = 1 m above sea level), consisting of accumulated sedimentary mud from the Kikori and Omati river systems. During those times of the year when king tides and storm events occur, large parts of Goaribari are inundated. This has precipitated abandonment and relocation of delta villages, at least in the recent historical past. A government Patrol report from 1924 thus states that ‘Kerewa [Otoia] was at one time the parent village of the Goaribari District and the remains, broken sticks underwater were pointed out to me as part of the old DUBU [longhouse] which extended along the bank for at least 700 or 800 yards, by erosion of the river and big floods the DUBU broke away and many of the people left the village to form settlements in other parts of the district’ (Woodward 1920, cited in Weiner 2006:22).

There are currently only two small villages (Goare and Dopima) remaining on Goaribari Island, both of which are periodically inundated. Due to encroaching sea levels, each of these villages has been moved progressively inland over the years, so that local people now refer to the current Goare village as Goare 5 and Dopima village as Dopima 3 (both sets of villages facing the sea along the southwestern and eastern sides of Goaribari respectively) (Figure 3). The dynamic nature of the river delta has also meant that the coastline of these islands is constantly changing over time, affecting settlement patterns and, with this, the archaeological record. Thus, large parts of the site of Otoia (which faces inland and thus is river- rather than sea-bordering) no longer exist, as much of the land encompassing the old village has eroded into the main channel of the Kikori River delta. The steep, deeply cut river bank at Otoia has exposed a line of house posts running for more than 100 m parallel to the river bank, approximately 1 m below the current ground surface (Figure 4). These were identified by Kerewo clan leaders as the posts of a men’s longhouse (dubu daima) at Otoia. While visiting Otoia in the early 1900s, Haddon (1918:177) described one of these longhouse structures:

The dubu daima is a very long pile-dwelling varying from about 100 to over 200 yards in length. The ridge is horizontal, or rises slightly at the front end and is supported by a central row of poles. There is a platform entrance usually at one end and several side entrances. A gangway extends along the whole length of the interior, on each side of which are a number of cubicles … I found the one at Dopima was nearly 201.3m (660 feet) long, 10m (33ft) wide and the floor was 1.98m (78in) above the ground.

Associated with these posts and eroding from the bank are high densities of artefactual material. These include stone artefacts such as adzes, axes and grindstones, but more prominently a great variety of organic artefacts, including remnants of canoe paddles, arrows, canoes, notched pieces from houses and a high density of cut wood (chips) identified by Kerewo as the debris from woodworking (archaeological organic artefacts are equally well-preserved in other nearby Kerewo river-bordering sites; e.g. Figures 5 and 6). No pottery was found eroding from this bank, although it is found elsewhere on Goaribari (e.g. at Goare), and at Aiedio across the Omati River channel.

The present ground surface at Otoia is muddy and heavily vegetated, dominated by tropical wet rainforest species including Nipa (Nypa fruticans) and coconut (Cocos nucifera) palms.
Figure 3. Goare 2 village site, Goaribari, showing good preservation of longhouse posts in intertidal zone. This settlement was abandoned approximately 60 years ago. The current Goare 5 village can be seen in the background.

Figure 4. In situ longhouse posts at Otoia, exposed by tidal erosion. Note collapsed bank behind Hansen Iburi and the presence of posts behind the collapse.
interspersed with mounded crab (Ocypodidae) burrows. A single 1 m x 1 m test excavation square was positioned close to the river bank adjacent to eroding artefacts, as far away as possible from evidence of crab disturbance and on the most elevated location. The excavation square was thus located approximately 1 m from the river bank, close to a large coconut tree in

Figure 5. Well-preserved woven band made from plant matter from Goare 2.

Figure 6. A: Part of a house cross beam. B: Cut wooden piece, possibly floor slat.
a location that was once directly underneath a men's longhouse, as informed by oral traditions and the eroding cultural materials. We note that as most of the ancient village site of Otoia had already eroded into the adjacent river channel, only a very small undisturbed area remained available for excavation, and indeed the excavation square had almost completely eroded into the river channel on our return one year later (Figure 7).

**Stratigraphy**

The excavation square contains four distinct Stratigraphic Units (SU) (Figure 8). SU1 is a thin, 1 cm deep, mossy, surface vegetation layer. SU2 extends down to a maximum 32 cm below the ground surface, and consists entirely of densely matted coconut root with little or no soil deposit and no cultural material. SU3 is a culturally sterile red clay extending down to a maximum depth of 56 cm below ground. The upper levels of SU4 include the SU3-SU4 interface, which extends to a depth of 86 cm before giving way to the homogenous grey clayey mud of SU4 proper, which extends to the base of the excavation at a depth of 170 cm below ground.

![Figure 7: The remaining portion of the Otoia 1 excavation square one year after excavation.](image-url)
**Chronology**

Dating of the archaeological sequence was carried out on excavated wood and charcoal (Table 1). Radiocarbon dates on wood artefacts were conducted on in situ samples where the wood was clearly artefactual, identified as such through the presence of cut marks. The radiocarbon-dated charcoal samples were also retrieved in situ and plotted in three dimensions. The pattern of radiocarbon dates indicates that all the wooden artefacts date to approximately the past 200 years, with the oldest dates coming from XU36 at 165.3 cm depth, within a calibrated age range of 0-306 cal BP (highest probability within the 2 sigma range is 145-215 cal BP; see Table 1). Of the two charcoal radiocarbon determinations, one is in the ‘modern’ range near the top of the cultural layer in XU23, and the other is dated to 456-537 cal BP (highest 2 sigma probability) near the bottom of the cultural deposit in XU33 at 150.7 cm depth. In spite of the slight inversion of the oldest date on wood and the oldest charcoal sample, the absence of historically known fires in this wet tropical rainforest area indicates that all charcoal in this region is anthropogenic, and implies that the oldest radiocarbon date of 456-537 cal BP at Otoia is most likely to be indicative of village establishment.

![Figure 8](image-url)

**Figure 8.** East and south section drawings, Otoia 1 excavated square.

All of the dated wooden artefacts appear to be contemporaneous at an archaeological time scale. That these artefacts all belong to a single village phase is supported by a radiocarbon date from an in situ sharpened wooden stake extending vertically from XU29 to XU36 (135 cm to 165 cm depth) and dated to sometime between 0-285 cal BP (164-231 cal BP at highest 2 sigma probability). A radiocarbon date obtained from an in situ house post eroding from
the river bank 1 m from the excavation square indicates an age range of 0-304 cal BP (139-222 cal BP at highest 2 sigma probability). This is an almost identical age range to that of the lowest dated wood in the excavation square (145-215 cal BP at highest 2 sigma probability). The upper parts of this post had been exposed through water erosion caused by river activity, but still extended down into buried sediments for some 40 cm depth, the bottom extending to just below the maximum depth of the Otoia 1 excavation – that is, close to the same depth as XU36.

We interpret the Otoia 1 chronometric chronology as indicating initial village establishment around 450-500 years ago, with construction of this particular longhouse at approximately 139-222 cal BP (see also Frankel and Vanderwal 1982, 1985, who report similar dates for the important nearby delta village of Kinomere). The deepest dated piece of wood in the excavation within XU36 revealed a comparable radiocarbon age for an in situ house post eroding from the river bank. We posit that the more recent dates reflect the period of occupation of the longhouse said by local clanspeople to have been located on the exact spot of the excavation.

**Cultural materials**

All of the excavated cultural material is found within SU4, with the greatest densities of stone artefacts, bone, cut wooden pieces, coconut shell, seeds and charcoal occurring between XU20 at 107.6 cm and XU32 at 149.6 cm depth (Table 2).

---

**Table 1. Radiocarbon determinations, Otoia 1 Square 1.** All radiocarbon determinations are AMS dates. Calibrations on Calib 6.0 (Stuiver and Reimer 1993), using INTCAL09 curve selection. *Top of post.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XU</th>
<th>Depth below surface (cm)</th>
<th>Laboratory #</th>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>$^{14}$C age (years BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated age BP (95.4% probability)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>106.6</td>
<td>Wk-23998</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>163 ± 30</td>
<td>68-118 (0.116) 6-118 (0.195) 131-230 (0.512) 244-287 (0.177)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>112.9</td>
<td>Wk-23058</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>101 ± 33</td>
<td>0-0 13-148 (0.007) 188-196 (0.012) 212-269 (0.281)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>133.8</td>
<td>Wk-23059</td>
<td>coconut</td>
<td>98 ± 43</td>
<td>0-0 11-150 (0.013) 175-176 (0.666) 186-270 (0.320)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-36</td>
<td>135.0</td>
<td>Wk-24000</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>159 ± 30</td>
<td>0-37 65-118 (0.193) 124-158 (0.139) 164-231 (0.372) 243-285 (0.176)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>150.7</td>
<td>Wk-23060</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
<td>442 ± 33</td>
<td>337-348 (0.023) 456-537 (0.977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>165.2</td>
<td>Wk-23999</td>
<td>wood</td>
<td>214 ± 30</td>
<td>0-19 145-215 (0.143) 267-306 (0.511) 0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wk-25465</td>
<td></td>
<td>wood (longhouse post)</td>
<td>199 ± 32</td>
<td>0-30 139-222 (0.176) 259-304 (0.554)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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### Table 2. Excavated material from Otoia 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>XU</th>
<th>Stone artefacts</th>
<th>Bone</th>
<th>Wood chips</th>
<th>Coconut shell</th>
<th>Other plant</th>
<th>Seeds</th>
<th>Charcoal</th>
<th>Shell</th>
<th>Crab</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>#</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>NISP</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1247</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4351</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1567</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2391</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1836</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1784</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1570</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>196</td>
<td></td>
<td>31.68</td>
<td>207</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>51.72</td>
<td>850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>197</td>
<td></td>
<td>144.71</td>
<td>207</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>234.63</td>
<td>3510</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>5500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>3520</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>141.5</td>
<td>1580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>363.38</td>
<td>6500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>250.69</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>148.73</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.36</td>
<td>72.23</td>
<td>400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>40.49</td>
<td>528</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>31.55</td>
<td>286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>25.17</td>
<td>233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>19.58</td>
<td>204</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>34.49</td>
<td>221</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>36.92</td>
<td>245</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>30.07</td>
<td>215</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Wooden chips**

Cultural material in the site is overwhelmingly represented by plant remains consisting predominantly of cut wood chips. With no stone source occurring within the swampy Kerewo lands, during ethnographic times local material culture consisted predominantly of wooden items. By analogy, the high density of cut wood chips found in the excavation and eroding from the river bank are thus likely to be the by-products of wooden items, especially from house and/or dugout canoe manufacture. These wood chips are also precisely the size range and form of wooden manufacturing debris seen today at locations where houses or canoes have been recently constructed (Figures 9 and 10). The highest density of excavated wood chips came from XU27, with a NISP count of some 6500 chips (Table 2). Most of the wooden chips are angular with straight sides, usually forming a square or rectangular shape. The chips range from less than 1 cm to 12 cm in length, with most exhibiting clear evidence of having been cut.
Seeds

A large number of seeds were retrieved from the excavation. Taxonomic identifications were carried out by Joanne Bowman at the University of Queensland. In total, 23 types of seed and nut were recovered from Otoia 1. As a comprehensive floral reference collection is not yet available for the region, many of the excavated seeds could not be identified despite their distinctive morphologies. Identified taxa include *Cocos nucifera*, *Pandanus* sp. and specimens from the Cucurbitaceae and possibly the Malvaceae families. Coconut (*C. nucifera*) is the most abundant taxon recovered, with unidentified seed Types A, B, E and K also appearing in considerable quantities. Seed Type O is a single intact specimen from the Cucurbitaceae family recovered from XU25. The size of this seed suggests that it is from one of the smaller species of cucurbits, perhaps a variety of gourd. Types N and P are reinform seeds likely to be from the family Malvaceae, possibly from the genus *Hibiscus*. Specimens labelled Type D are legume seed pods. Most other types had only a single occurrence in the assemblage. All of the identified plant species have either an ethnographically described subsistence or economic use and remain key resources today (Table 3).
Table 3. Summary of archaeobotanical analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>NISP</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cocos nucifera</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>91.2812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pandanus sp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22.3332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cucurbitaceae (Type O)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malvaceae? (Type N)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malvaceae? (Type P)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabaceae (Type D)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type A</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.1258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type B</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.0532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type E</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.3673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type G</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type H</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type J</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type K</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type Q</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type R</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type T</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0133</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stone artefacts

The small sample of stone artefacts (N=11) retrieved from Otoia 1 was dominated by flaked pieces made on a coarse volcanic (basalt) material (Table 4). The two complete flakes represented the largest artefacts in the sample, and were also made on basalt. As there is no source of stone within Kerewo lands, the raw material had to have been imported from elsewhere, the nearest possible source being the Aird Hills some 37 km to the northeast. Although showing no signs of having been ground or polished, the coarse-grained nature of the stone and the fact that it is basalt suggests it would be most suited for adze or axe manufacture.

Table 4. Summary of stone artefact analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Flaked pieces</th>
<th>Flakes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean weight (g)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean max. dimension (mm)</td>
<td>12.93</td>
<td>33.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean length (mm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean width (mm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean thickness (mm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Charcoal, bone and shell

Only small quantities of charcoal were present throughout the cultural layers. Similarly, bone, shell and crab remains have a very limited archaeological presence at the site. The bones are all of fish; as with the shell and crab fragments, they are too small to allow further taxonomic identification.
Discussion

The cultural material at Otoia 1 signals activity-specific discard and post-depositional factors mostly falling within the late pre-European contact to early contact periods of the 1800s to mid 1900s. All of the radiocarbon-dated material (except the charcoal sample from XU33) falls within this age range, with some minor chrono-stratigraphic inversions. A degree of stratigraphic integrity can also be surmised from the distribution of stone artefacts within the major cultural stratum spanning XU16 at 86.6 cm to XU37 at 169.8 cm depth. The presence of larger artefacts in the upper portion of the deposit suggests that downward movement of stone was limited in extent. The two oldest radiocarbon determinations also come from the lowest XUs. The in situ vertical-sharpened stake found between XUs 29 and 36 at depths spanning 135 cm to 165 cm below ground shows conclusively that major reworking of the deposit has not occurred.

The predominance of wooden artefacts and the low densities of stone artefacts probably reflect the lack of a local stone source in the immediate region. The unexpected absence of pottery in the excavation (given its presence on the surface at the nearby ancestral village sites of Aiedio and Goare) may be explained as a sampling issue relating to not only the size of the excavation but the spatial locality of the excavation square, placed as it was at the location of a men’s longhouse. Ethnographic records among the Kerewo show that clay pots were used for cooking and the preparation of food took place in the women’s houses (*upi daima*), which were spatially separated from the men’s longhouses. Thus, the lack of pottery in the excavation and from the eroded river bank may relate to this gendered division of labour, space and artefacts. This explanation could also account for the small quantities of food remains (bone and shell) and charcoal retrieved from the excavation. The large quantities of cut wooden chips may be indicative of the construction of the longhouse itself (see Figure 9 for an indication of the large amount of woodworking that goes into the construction of a longhouse), or of subsequent woodworking by men in the longhouse, in particular of wooden sacred boards such as skull racks housed in the longhouse.

The radiocarbon age of 456–537 cal BP on charcoal closely mirrors the dates for the latest phase of village establishment and the late ceramic pulse in the mid Kikori River, arguably linked to the ethnohistorically recorded Motu *biri* trade, as postulated by David (2008). Excavations undertaken by Frankel and Vanderwal (1982, 1985) at Kinomere on Urama Island, 58 km east of Otoia by sea, also similarly returned a basal radiocarbon determination for initial village establishment around 410 ± 80 BP (296–553 cal BP). The much earlier dates for occupation of the mid Kikori River and at the Emo site in the Aird Hills (David 2008; David et al. 2010) during earlier occupational pulses are from considerably more stable physical environments than the delta. While it is possible that earlier evidence of delta occupation has been destroyed by river flow and tidal erosion, cyclonic events and sea level rise, we argue that it is more likely that large villages in the delta region only emerged with the onset of regular large-scale trade partnerships in the form of the Motu *biri* some 450–500 years ago (see David et al. 2010). That this environment was less than ideal for human occupation is supported by Haddon (1918:177), who states that ‘Owing to the swampy nature of the country they [Kerewo] have poor gardens’. Although phases of pottery trade occurred well before 450–500 years ago along the mid Kikori River, such trade came with a different set of socio-historical contexts, and for much of the sequence appears to have been less intensive and/or regular than the ethnohistorically described *biri* trade traceable genealogically to the past 450 years, and thus probably did not trigger the development of large, permanent delta villages at that time.
Conclusion

The contemporaneous onset of the large, domineering villages of Otoia (Kikori River mouth) and Kinomere (Purari River mouth) some 450-500 years ago, coincident in timing with the most recent ceramic pulse of the mid Kikori River, suggests significant causal relations between the establishment and growth of large, centralised village settlements at the mouths of large rivers, politico-economic control over redistribution networks, and the regular arrival of mass-produced (principally Motu ceramic and shell valuable) trade goods in the Gulf of Papua delta region. Although more work is now required to obtain a more robust chronology of village establishment for the past 450-500 years in the lower Kikori River and nearby river deltas, along with focused excavation of a series of pottery sequences in the West Papua-Western Province-Gulf Province region, our initial results clearly show how local and regional landscape history needs to consider not only physical environmental conditions, but just as importantly the network of social relations that enabled the cultural landscape to develop into its very particular configuration. In this sense, our initial results go some way to elucidating settlement temporal trends in this region, and to explaining the historical roots of the ethnographic situation through the workings of the Kikori River delta environment as a socialised, peopled landscape.
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Introduction

The Chinese provinces of Gansu and Xinjiang are key places for understanding prehistoric exchange between West and East Eurasia. For the past 2000 years, this has been encapsulated in the term ‘Silk Road’ (which was, in fact, many roads), but goods and ideas have been exchanged across the region for much longer (e.g. Li et al. 2007). In this regard, the well-known existence of the Ürümqi mummies of Xinjiang, which are of Caucasian origin (e.g. Barber 1999), show that they had opportunity to interact with Mongoloid people much earlier.

Detailed examination of the hundreds of archaeological sites in the region has hardly begun and many of these are just places on a map with no further detail described. There are no regional archaeological surveys of Gansu or Xinjiang, as there are for Henan and Shandong...
(e.g. Liu 2004; Underhill et al. 2008) and those site surveys that have been published focus on grave sites and their inclusions, and are not usually independently dated. There are few detailed material studies from non-grave sites and few independent chronologies to put the region into a wider East Asian prehistory context. Much of what has been described, such as the presence of microliths, pottery and bronze artefacts, has been dated on the basis of comparative analyses from elsewhere, most particularly from eastern China. There is no guarantee that such transported timelines necessarily apply beyond where they were established.

Western China has numerous burial sites, and hundreds of human remains and tomb contents have been analysed. For example, more than 500 human skulls have been examined from Yumen (Gansu) and Datong (Qinghai). These are between 3000 and 3600 BP in age. These are consistently Mongoloid and probably indicate there was little if any western Caucasoid incursion this far east at that time (Tan et al. 2005).

Bronze objects in Xinjiang have been found in a number of burial sites that span 3150 BP to 1900 BP. Those that have human remains in the Tarim Basin and northern Xinjiang sites have remains of Caucasoid people. Undecorated pottery from this region spread eastward, and painted pottery probably entered from the east since these are in Xinjiang earlier than elsewhere (Tao 2001). The Xinjiang mummies date back to about 4000 BP and a westward migration of Mongoloid people from Gansu into eastern Xinjiang is thought to have occurred from about 2000 BC (Tao 2001). The Caucasoid people are generally credited with bringing wheat agriculture, new kinds of artefacts, horsemanship, mud-brick building and new religious practices into eastern Asia (Tao 2001; references in Chengwen and Yoshinori 2002).

Here we describe, date and analyse two skulls and an associated sheep bone to provide new data on the possible co-existence of Caucasoid and Mongoloid peoples in western Gansu, and to comment on health and diet.

**Huoshiliang study site**

The Huoshiliang archaeological site is at 40°15.6’N and 99°18.3’E in the Black River valley of western Gansu Province (Figure 1). It occurs as a largely surface scatter of artefacts across several thousand square metres among sand dunes. The cultural sediments are about 1.6 m in depth in some places. The site has not been systematically excavated.

Evidence of material culture includes macro-fragments of plain and painted pottery, microliths, bone of *Bos* (cattle) and *Ovis* (sheep), copper ore, bronze slag and charcoal (Figure 2). Some of this material may be lag deposit from mobile dune sands and the time-depth sequence is not clear.

Fine particles at the site include small particles of charcoal, pottery, bone and cereal seeds. We have radiocarbon dates on five charcoal samples collected over a 1.6 m depth profile, and these are consistently aged between 3500 BP and 3600 BP (Dodson et al. 2009). In addition, we have a date from a wheat seed, of 3635 ± 45 BP. We interpret the site as a population centre where cropping, animal husbandry and bronze smelting was carried out. We believe that the majority of copper ore for smelting came from the Baishantang mine site, which is located about 100 km north of Huoshiliang (Dodson et al. 2009). The area is now devoid of trees, but the abundant charcoal indicates the area had significant woodland at the time of occupation.

In the course of examining the site and collecting charcoal for dating in late 2007, two complete skeletons were seen on the sand surface near the Huoshiliang site. The skeletons were eroding out of the shifting sands, and would likely be destroyed as erosion continued. The skulls (Figure 3) were examined in the Department of Archaeology at Northwest University in Xi’an. A premaxilla sheep bone was also analysed.
Methods

Using the standard techniques for determining Martin numbers, skull morphological features were measured and described (Martin 1928). Martin numbers are one of several systems for measuring anatomical features and this one was chosen because of its comprehensiveness. Comparative studies were made using the skull collection housed in the Department of Archaeology at North West Normal University in Xi’an and cluster analysis was used to
compare these with the Huoshiliang skulls.

About 10 g of bone from each skull and the sheep bone sample were transported to the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO) for analysis. The samples were pre-treated for radiocarbon dating in the AMS chemistry laboratories at ANSTO. Collagen was extracted to test whether they showed sufficient preservation and to identify effective removal of contamination to achieve reliable radiocarbon results.

The nitrogen percent of bone, the collagen percent of the sample, and the C:N atomic ratio of the extracted collagen were measured. ANSTO uses the ultrafiltration protocol (Brown et al. 1988; Bronk Ramsey et al. 2004; Higham et al. 2006) to pre-treat bone samples for radiocarbon dating. The ultrafiltration method has been shown to remove contamination more effectively than other methods (Bronk Ramsey et al. 2004). Ultrafiltration acts to remove material with a molecular weight below 30kD, which removes contaminants such as salts, fulvic acids and degraded collagen. The main steps in the ultrafiltration protocol used are:

- The bone sample is cleaned with a drill, washed with deionised water, dried and then crushed.
- The crushed bone samples are demineralised with 0.5M HCl.
- Humics are removed with 0.1M NaOH.
- Dissolved CO$_2$ is removed with 0.5M HCl.
- Samples are gelatinised with pH3 water (heated to 75°C for 20 hours).
- Samples are filtered through 100µm polyethylene eezi-filters™ to remove insoluble residues.
- Eezi-filtered gelatine is then transferred to pre-cleaned Millipore 30kD ultrafilters and centrifuged until sufficiently filtered.
- The >30kD collagen solution is then freeze dried.
- Once the collagen had been extracted, the samples were processed to graphite as described by Hua et al. (2001).

Some problems have been encountered with the ultrafiltration method in the past and have been fully explored in Bronk Ramsey et al. (2004). This contamination originates from the glycerol which is added to the ultrafilter membrane during production. The ultrafiltration
step in this method only adds carbonaceous contamination to the samples if the filters are not sufficiently cleaned. Quality assurance measures are routine in the ANSTO AMS chemistry laboratories to ensure that the use of ultrafilters does not pose a contamination risk for samples. Tests to ensure all carbonaceous contamination is removed include:

Measuring the quantity of carbon remaining on the ultrafilters after they have been cleaned. To achieve this, an ultrafilter is selected (randomly) for carbon content analysis. No measurable carbon was found, indicating that the ultrafilters had been cleaned satisfactorily.

A bone standard with a known age was run alongside the samples with unknown ages. This sample was selected was one that was a part of the VIRI international laboratory comparison study. The measured age from this bone standard matched the agreed age from the VIRI intercomparison study, and from past measurements that the ANSTO AMS chemistry labs had attained. This further confirms that potential contamination from the use of ultrafilters was negligible.

The glycerol that coats the ultrafilters was also extracted and dated. The glycerol used on the batch of ultrafilters that was used in processing the samples resulted in a date of 1.06 pMC (with an error of ± 0.0034). This suggests no older age offsets, as might be expected if the samples were affected by contamination.

Samples OZL292-OZL294 were measured for δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N on a Elemental Analyser (EuroVector EA3000) and an Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer (GV Instruments IsoPrime). The reference materials used for the samples were as follows:

- δ¹³C – IAEA C8 oxalic acid with an agreed value of −18.31‰ VPDB (used for graphite, bone and collagen) (Gonfiantini et al. 1995; Le Clercq et al. 2006).
- δ¹⁵N – IAEA NO-3 with a consensus value d¹⁵N AIR = +4.7 ‰ (Bohlke and Coplen 1995) and IAEA N-2 with a consensus value of d¹⁵N AIR = +20.3 ‰ (bone and collagen) (Bohlke and Coplen 1995).
- 3:1 atomic ratio standard – Internal standard of 2-isopropylimidazole (bone and collagen).
- Collagen standard employed: Internal material check standard – un-denatured bovine achilles tendon collagen.

The ¹⁴C content was measured on the STAR Accelerator at ANSTO and AMS ages were calculated after estimating fractionation effects from the δ¹³C values determined on the same samples used for dating.

In addition, cereal seeds were collected from the site by sieving surface sands, and we counted a number of these to obtain a snapshot of the types and relative amounts of cereals grown at Huoshiliang.

Results

Table 1 gives measurements on the two skulls found at Huoshiliang.

Morphological characteristics

Skull No. 1. The skull is from a middle-aged female, probably between 40 and 45 years of age. Its morphology is oval and the cranial index is 75.64, meaning it is mesocrany in size. The length-height index of the skull is 74.79, putting it in the taller metriocrany range. The skull is acrocrany type as the breadth-height index is 98.86. The middle superscalar arch has a range below 1/2. The forehead is even and straight with a frontal index of 69.7. This places it as eurymetor type, with no suture in the middle forehead. The bregmatic and vertex part in the
coronal suture are microwave type, and both top and back of the coronal suture are of indented type. The mastoid process is small and the external occipital protuberance is slightly prominent. The orbital cavity is oval (index 73.56), belonging to the lower range in orbital cavity size. The upper part of the Apertura piriformis is heart-shaped, and below this it has a nest type form. The nasal base is superficially hollow, no nasal spine is apparent and the nasal index is 52.38, showing it to be of a broad nasal type. The nasal bridge is hollow type and the nasal bone is Type II. The Canine fossa show moderate growth, the Zygomatic bone is slender (i.e. there is no zygomatic-jaw node) and the jaw angle is clearly evident. Both sides of the skull have Parietal apertures, the sigittal crest is evident and the Palate form is ‘V’ type. The Upper facial index is 51.92, showing it to be meseny type, while the gnathic index is 92.31, showing it to be orthognath type.

Skull No. 2 The skull is from a female, probably with an age of about 35–40 years. Its morphology is oval and the cranial index is 74.73, meaning it is a shorter dolichocrany skull type. The middle superscalar arch has a range below 1/2. The forehead is moderate and the frontal index is 66.33, indicating it is metriometer type. No suture was apparent in the middle forehead. The bregmatic part of the coronal suture is deep wave type. Both the top and back part of the coronal suture are of indented type, while the vertex aperture part is microwave type. The Mastoid process is large and the external occipital protuberance is moderate. The orbital cavity is oval, with an index of 78.65, suggesting it is medium type. The supraorbital foramen is heart-shaped and its lower edge is obtuse. The nasal base is superficially hollow and the nasal spine is degree II in type. The nasal index is 54.36 and her nose is chamaerrhiny type. The nasal bridge is hollow type and the nasal bone is I type. The Canine fossa is middle range in degree. The zygomatic bone is middle range in height and breadth and no zygomatic-jaw node was apparent. The jaw angle is apparent. Both sides of the skull have Parietal apertures, a sigittal crest is evident, and the Palate form is ‘V’ type.

Interpretation

The morphology characters of the two skulls are very similar, in being both mesocrany and dolichocrany type. The skulls have simple coronal suture, an oval orbital cavity, medium-narrow

Table 1. Comparative measurements from the Huoshiliang skulls and nine ancient skull groups (female) (mm, degree, %).
The numbers in brackets refer to the sample size for comparative measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Martin #</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>HSL (2)</th>
<th>XC (5)</th>
<th>QC (16)</th>
<th>PP (3)</th>
<th>SLY (12)</th>
<th>HD (5)</th>
<th>LW (1)</th>
<th>SSJE (H)</th>
<th>SSJ (K)</th>
<th>JS (8)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cranial length (g-op)</td>
<td>174.49</td>
<td>174.74</td>
<td>173.97</td>
<td>177.5</td>
<td>180.50</td>
<td>183.9</td>
<td>178.58</td>
<td>174.1</td>
<td>175.1</td>
<td>177.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cranial breadth (eu-eu)</td>
<td>134.8</td>
<td>133.26</td>
<td>134.20</td>
<td>146.05</td>
<td>137.25</td>
<td>136.1</td>
<td>132.27</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>135.1</td>
<td>136.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Cranial height (ba-b)</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>137.98</td>
<td>135.95</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>138.50</td>
<td>149.1</td>
<td>131.63</td>
<td>129.1</td>
<td>131.3</td>
<td>130.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Minimum frontal breadth</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>86.26</td>
<td>89.89</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>90.47</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>87.42</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>89.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Zygomatic breadth (ry-ry)</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>127.55</td>
<td>127.50</td>
<td>134.6</td>
<td>127.75</td>
<td>134.1</td>
<td>129.04</td>
<td>129.6</td>
<td>126.3</td>
<td>125.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Upper facial height (sd)</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>69.88</td>
<td>67.70</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>70.70</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>70.73</td>
<td>71.0</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>72.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
orbital type, an obtuse low edge of the supraorbital foramen, a shallow hollow of the nasal bases and obvious chamaerhinny. The angle of jaws is down in gradient. They are of moderate range in their Canine fossa, and have hollow-type nasal bridges, evident sagittal crests, meseny and relatively flat degree faces. The two skulls therefore belong to the Mongoloid race.

To further examine their possible origin, an analysis of mean categories for the two cases against mean values for nine ancient groups was carried out. Ten measured items and eight indices and angles from nine ancient groups were selected for the comparison and these are shown in Table 1. In the analysis below, all measurements are based on female skulls, and the nine ancient people groups chosen for comparison are as follows:

(a) Zhou Dynasty people at Xicun in the south of Fengxiang, Shaanxi Province. These skulls are close to South and East Asia types of the Mongolian race.

(b) West Zhou skulls from Wotianma-Qucun in Shanxi Province. The skulls of the group are similar to the East Asia type, but include some factors close to North and South Asia Mongoloid types.

(c) Pengpu Bronze Age people in Guyuan County, Ningxia Province. This skull group belongs to the North Asia Mongoloid type.

(d) A group from the Zhou Dynasty in Shaolingyuan in Xi’an, Shaanxi Province. The skulls of the group are close to South Asia and East Asia types of the Mongolian race, and hence similar to the Xicun group.

(e) The skull group of Neolithic Hedang people in Foushan City, Guangdong Province. The skulls of the group are of South Asia type.

(f) The skull groups of Liuwan, Machang and Qijia cultures in Ledu, Qinghai Province. The skulls of these people are East Asia type.

(g) The skull group from the Han Dynasty in Shangsunjiazhai in Datong, Qinghai Province.

(h) The skull group from the Kayue culture group in Shangsunjiazhai (Datong), Qinghai Province.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Martin #</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>HSL</th>
<th>XC</th>
<th>QC</th>
<th>PP</th>
<th>SLY</th>
<th>HD</th>
<th>LW</th>
<th>SSJZ (H)</th>
<th>SSJ (K)</th>
<th>LJS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Orbital height right</td>
<td>33.5 (2)</td>
<td>32.38 (4)</td>
<td>32.71 (17)</td>
<td>33.1 (3)</td>
<td>31.90 (2)</td>
<td>33.4 (4)</td>
<td>33.13 (16)</td>
<td>34.6 (22)</td>
<td>34.1 (102)</td>
<td>34.60 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Orbital breadth right</td>
<td>44.0 (2)</td>
<td>39.45 (4)</td>
<td>42.31 (17)</td>
<td>43.2 (3)</td>
<td>40.20 (2)</td>
<td>42.2 (4)</td>
<td>41.80 (16)</td>
<td>40.5 (21)</td>
<td>41.0 (103)</td>
<td>40.90 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Nasal breadth</td>
<td>28.1 (2)</td>
<td>25.23 (4)</td>
<td>26.03 (17)</td>
<td>26.5 (3)</td>
<td>27.90 (2)</td>
<td>27.8 (4)</td>
<td>25.76 (17)</td>
<td>26.2 (20)</td>
<td>25.9 (99)</td>
<td>26.80 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Nasal height (n-ns)</td>
<td>52.7 (2)</td>
<td>50.32 (5)</td>
<td>48.75 (17)</td>
<td>50.0 (3)</td>
<td>52.50 (2)</td>
<td>50.6 (4)</td>
<td>51.03 (16)</td>
<td>52.1 (21)</td>
<td>52.6 (98)</td>
<td>52.40 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Facial angle</td>
<td>90.5 (2)</td>
<td>81.40 (4)</td>
<td>83.27 (15)</td>
<td>85.7 (3)</td>
<td>82.00 (1)</td>
<td>85.7 (3)</td>
<td>87.00 (12)</td>
<td>85.4 (18)</td>
<td>84.9 (76)</td>
<td>86.90 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Continued
(i) Skull group sample from the of Kayue Culture in Lijiashan, Xunhua, Qinghai Province.

The latter three skull groups are close to the East Asia type of the Mongoloid race and similar to modern Tibetan people.

The comparative data used for analysis are shown in Table 2. The Dij value between the two skulls from Huoshiliang and nine ancient groups was calculated using the following formula:

\[
D_j = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m} (x_{ik} - x_{jk})^2}{m}}
\]

relationships of the skull groups. The results are shown in Table 3. The Dij values show that the skulls of Huoshiliang are most similar to the group of skulls from Liuwan, but differ from the Hedang group and are quite different from the Xicun group of the Zhou Dynasty.

A cluster analysis based on squared Euclidian distances was used to show the distribution of Dij values between the Huoshiliang group and other groups. The results are shown in Figure 4. This suggests that the Hedang group (6) has greater differences from all other groups, and Pengpu (4) is also relatively different from the other groups. The other eight groups are divided into two categories; with groups 8, 9, 10, 7, 1 as a category, and group 2, 3, 5 as the other. Morphological character is relatively similar in the group containing 8, 9 and 10. Group 1 belongs to this category and indicates that the Huoshiliang people group are members of the East Asia race. They thus have a close relationship with modern residents in North China. Group 2, 3, 5 is a mixture of South and East Asia Mongoloid people. So the values of Dij and CA analysis all show that the morphology of female skulls in Huoshiliang group is close to the East Asia type of the Mongoloid race.

Table 4 shows the bone protein yield, δ13C, δ15N, C:N ratio and radiocarbon results. The chemical indicators from the pre-treatments confirmed that the collagen that was extracted

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Martin No.</th>
<th>Measured Items</th>
<th>Skull 1(♀)</th>
<th>Skull 2(♀)</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cranial length (g-op)</td>
<td>174.5</td>
<td>184.0</td>
<td>179.25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Cranial breadth (eu-eu)</td>
<td>132.0</td>
<td>137.5</td>
<td>134.75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Cranial height (b-ba)</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Uricular height (po-po)</td>
<td>110.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>110.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Frontal breadth (ft-ft)</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Foramen magnum length (ba-o)</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Foramen meanum breadth (FOR. MA. B)</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Cranial sagittal arc (n-o)</td>
<td>367.0</td>
<td>365.0</td>
<td>366.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Nasion-bregma chord (n-b)</td>
<td>122.0</td>
<td>107.0</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Bregma-lambda chord (b-l)</td>
<td>132.0</td>
<td>142.0</td>
<td>137.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Lambda-opisthion chord (l-o)</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>116.0</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Nasion-bregma chord (n-b)</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>101.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Bregma-lambda chord (b-l)</td>
<td>118.0</td>
<td>129.8</td>
<td>123.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin No.</td>
<td>Measured Items</td>
<td>Skull 1(♀)</td>
<td>Skull 2(♀)</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Examples</td>
<td>Standard error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Lambda-opisthion chord (l-o)</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>99.6</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Cranial horizontal circumference (g-op)</td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Cranial transverse arc (po-b-po)</td>
<td>307.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>307.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Basion-nasion length (n-enba)</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Prosthion to Endobasion length (pr-enba)</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Upper facial height (n-pr)</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Upper facial height (n-sd)</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Bijugal breadth (Facial breadth) (zy-zy)</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Bimaxillary breadth (zm-zm)</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sub zm-ss-zm</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bimaxillary breadth (zm-zm)</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sub zm₁-ss-zm₂</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43(1)</td>
<td>Bifrontal breadth (fmt-fmt)</td>
<td>103.2</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>104.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Vordere Interorbital breite (mf-mf)</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH L</td>
<td>Zygomatic height left (fmo-zm)</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH R</td>
<td>Zygomatic height right</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB L</td>
<td>Zygomatic breadth left (zm-rim)</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB R</td>
<td>Zygomatic breadth right</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Nasal breadth</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Nasal height (n-ns)</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>52.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Simotic chord</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Simotic subtense</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 L</td>
<td>Orbital breadth left (mf-ek)</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1 R</td>
<td>Orbital breadth right</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1a L</td>
<td>Orbital breadth left (d-ek)</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1a R</td>
<td>Orbital breadth right</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2 L</td>
<td>Orbital breadth height left</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2 R</td>
<td>Orbital breadth height right</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>Interorbital breadth</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Rhinion height</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Maxillo-alveolar length (pr-alv)</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Maxillo-alveolar breadth (ekm-ekm)</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Palatal length (ol-sta)</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Palatal breadth (enm-enm)</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Maximum Biasterionic breadth (ast-ast)</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>115.2</td>
<td>109.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(po-po)</td>
<td>112.6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>112.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Biauricular breadth (au-au)</td>
<td>116.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>116.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Biorbital breadth (ek-ek)</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td>Innere Biorbitalebreite (fmo-fma)</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>97.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS</td>
<td>Nasal orbital internal breadth and height</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Interorbital breadth (d-d)</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN</td>
<td>Dacryon-nasion salient</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS</td>
<td>Dacryal subtense</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NLD</td>
<td>Nasal bone length (n-rhi)</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>Rhinion-Alveolar length (rhi-pr)</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin No.</td>
<td>Measured Items</td>
<td>Skull 1(♀)</td>
<td>Skull 2(♀)</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>Examples</td>
<td>Standard error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Profile angle of the frontal bone from nasion ((\angle n-m \ FH))</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Profile angle of the frontal bone from glabella ((\angle g-m \ FH))</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bregmatic angle ((\angle g-b \ FH))</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Total facial angle ((\angle n-pr \ FH))</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Nasal prognathism ((\angle n-ns \ FH))</td>
<td>91.0</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Alveolar Prognathism ((\angle ns-pr \ FH))</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>profilwinkel des Nasendaches ((\angle n-rhi \ FH))</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>Naso-malar angle ((\angle fmo-n-fmo))</td>
<td>154.0</td>
<td>143.0</td>
<td>148.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>Zygo-maxillary angle ((\angle zm-mm-zm))</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSA</td>
<td>(\angle zm1-mm1-zm1)</td>
<td>129.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>129.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winkel des gesichsdreiecks I ((\angle n-pr-ba))</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winkel des gesichsdreiecks II ((\angle pr-n-ba))</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winkel des gesichsdreiecks III ((\angle n-ba-pr))</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal bridge angle</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8:1</td>
<td>Cranial index</td>
<td>75.64</td>
<td>74.73</td>
<td>75.19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:1</td>
<td>Cranial length –height index</td>
<td>74.79</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>74.79</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:8</td>
<td>Cranial height –breathth index</td>
<td>98.86</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>98.86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:8</td>
<td>Forehead breadth index</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>66.33</td>
<td>68.02</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:7</td>
<td>Index of occipital foramen</td>
<td>79.03</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>79.03</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40:5</td>
<td>Gnathic index</td>
<td>92.31</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>92.31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48:17 pr</td>
<td>Vertical cranial index</td>
<td>50.34</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50.34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48:17 sd</td>
<td>Upper facial index (K)</td>
<td>51.95</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>51.95</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48:45 pr</td>
<td>Middle facial index (V)</td>
<td>50.34</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>50.34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48:45 sd</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48:46 pr</td>
<td></td>
<td>67.04</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>67.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48:46 sd</td>
<td></td>
<td>69.18</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>69.18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54:55</td>
<td>Nasal index</td>
<td>52.38</td>
<td>54.36</td>
<td>53.37</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52:51 L</td>
<td>Orbital index</td>
<td>78.62</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>78.62</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>78.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52:51 R</td>
<td></td>
<td>73.56</td>
<td>78.65</td>
<td>76.11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52:51a L</td>
<td></td>
<td>84.24</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>84.24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52:51a R</td>
<td></td>
<td>79.01</td>
<td>82.55</td>
<td>80.78</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54:51 L</td>
<td>Nasal orbital index</td>
<td>62.22</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>63.22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54:51 R</td>
<td></td>
<td>63.22</td>
<td>64.49</td>
<td>63.86</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54:51a L</td>
<td></td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54:51a R</td>
<td></td>
<td>67.73</td>
<td>67.69</td>
<td>67.71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55:55</td>
<td>Nasal base index</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61:60</td>
<td>Alveolar index</td>
<td>123.6</td>
<td>125.58</td>
<td>124.59</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63:62</td>
<td>palatal index</td>
<td>80.85</td>
<td>90.61</td>
<td>85.73</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45: (1+8)/2</td>
<td>Transverse cranial index</td>
<td>81.57</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>81.57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from the bone samples was sufficiently preserved for reliable dating. The samples also exhibited an acceptable level of nitrogen in the whole bone sample. Measuring the percentage of nitrogen of the whole bone allows us to estimate the quantity of collagen present before chemical treatment commences. For nitrogen, this usually ranges from approximately 4% in a fresh bone to below 0.2% in a poorly preserved bone (Tisnérat-Laborde et al. 2003). The nitrogen levels of the bones (ca. 4%) was acceptable, although the yield for OZL292 was surprisingly low. The small amount of collagen extracted from that bone appears not to be the result of poor preservation, as the percentage of nitrogen of the whole bone was of an acceptable value (4.1%) and the C:N ratio (3.23) of the collagen was satisfactory. This unusual result is most likely explained by a loss of collagen during the pre-treatment steps. In general, the C:N ratios of the collagen were within the acceptable range (ca. 3%), indicating that the collagen preservation was acceptable for dating (see Deniro 1985) and contaminants had been effectively removed.

The skull ages and the sheep bone overlap in age in the ± 2σ range (Table 4 shows the ± 1σ values and ± 2σ calibrated range values), which probably puts them all within an age range of 1700-2017 cal BC. The calibrated ages are based on Reimer et al. (2004). Other radiocarbon dates from the site have yet to be published and these are based on charcoal (five samples) and a charred wheat seed (one sample). These all have calibrated ages within the range 1733 to 2135
cal BC and the dates are therefore consistent within the site and the bone ages are consistent with these. The sheep bone sample has an indistinguishable radiocarbon age from the human skulls, suggesting they were contemporaneous.

The $\delta^{13}C$ values of the human skulls are about the same, but they are low negative values (ca. -8 to -9‰). The sheep bone had a value of ca. -18‰.

The seed analysis was based on 13,472 identified seeds (Table 5). The relative ease of finding cereal seeds suggests that crops were a staple part of the diet and people at the site were farmers. The seeds were dominated by broomcorn and foxtail millet types, with the latter declining in proportion about above 60 cm depth, when wheat and oat make an increasing contribution. Broomcorn millet is the dominant seed type in the upper layers, suggesting this was by far the dominant local crop, but an increase in crop diversity in the upper layers is suggested by the seed numbers.

**Discussion**

Table 4. Bone protein, $\delta^{13}C$, $\delta^{15}N$ and radiocarbon results. Calibrated ages are from Reimer et al. (2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Bone protein yield (%)</th>
<th>Whole bone N (%)</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$ (‰)</th>
<th>$\delta^{15}N$ (‰)</th>
<th>Collagen C:N ratio</th>
<th>AMS date number</th>
<th>Age BP ± 2σ</th>
<th>Calibrated age (BP) ± 2σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSG-01</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>-8.2 ± 0.1 -8.71</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>OZL 292</td>
<td>3515 ± 45</td>
<td>1955-1737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSG-02</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>-9.0 ± 0.1 -8.86</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>OZL 293</td>
<td>3590 ± 45</td>
<td>2124-1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSG-03</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>-17.5 ± 0.1 -18.51</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>OZL 294</td>
<td>3515 ± 40</td>
<td>1946-1776</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Distribution of seed types with depth at Huoshiliang.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample depth (cm)</th>
<th>Panicum miliaceum</th>
<th>Setaria italica</th>
<th>Triticum</th>
<th>Avena sativa</th>
<th>Hordeum vulgare</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total seeds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>95.74</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>90.03</td>
<td>9.47</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>10973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>92.08</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-80</td>
<td>76.21</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-100</td>
<td>73.24</td>
<td>26.76</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-120</td>
<td>77.61</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-140</td>
<td>72.22</td>
<td>27.08</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140-160</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The correspondence of the radiocarbon ages of the skulls, sheep bone and other materials from the site indicate that Huoshiliang was occupied by East Asian people between about 1860 BC and 2020 BC. The skull dates are the first definitive early ages of Mongoloid people in this part of Central Asia. Earlier people from the region were possibly Caucasoid (e.g. Barber 1999), but since the remains analysed in this study are from a sample of two, it remains to be demonstrated whether the site was wholly occupied by Mongoloid people, whether there was mixed occupation, or whether there was merely the opportunity for exchange.

Elsewhere, we have published evidence of bronze technology (Dodson et al. 2009) and we have also noted that wheat, barley and oats at Huoshiliang are among the oldest in China.
(Li et al. 2007). It is probable that this mix arose from western Asia and is thus indicative of at least strong east-west interaction by around 2000 BC. The seed evidence shows that millets, the quintessentially north China and Yellow River valley crops, dominated agriculture at Huoshiliang.

Stable isotope analyses on bone can reveal much about diet and living conditions of individuals (Larsen 1998). In this case, the human bones had low negative $\delta^{13}C$ values, which indicates that C4 plants, and possibly protein from animals which fed on C4 plants, were the mainstay of the diet. There has been no systematic study of the abundant animal bone at the site, although sheep bone fragments are relatively common. However, the human $^{15}N$ values (6-10‰) are low and suggest that very little animal protein was consumed. The sheep value may be inflated due to fertilising pastures or manuring. Millet agriculture originated in the Yellow River valley of China from about 8000 BP; these are C4 plants, while wheat, oats and barley are C3 plants. We surmise that millet was the underpinning mainstay of the human food chain at Huoshiliang around 2000 BC. The sheep bone had a more negative $\delta^{13}C$ value, suggesting part of its diet included C3 plants.

Several other studies in the Yellow and Wei river systems of northern and northeastern China, where millet agriculture originated, have measured similar $\delta^{13}C$ values in human bone samples and concluded that millets must have been the mainstay of the food chain to humans (Pechenkina et al. 2005; Hu et al. 2008). Barton et al. (2009) recently argued that the domestication of dogs and pigs in northern China was accompanied by a shift to less negative $\delta^{13}C$ values, which were associated with broomcorn and foxtail millet forming part of their diet.

The sheep bone from Huoshiliang has a more negative $\delta^{13}C$ value, but one that is less negative than C3 plants in general, suggesting its diet was a mix of C3 and C4 plant foods. Perhaps sheep protein was not a large part of the Huoshiliang women’s diet.

Skull No. 1 showed periodontitis. More than 50% of the tooth roots were exposed. For the left top jaw, P1, P2, M1 show abscess, and some corroded holes in the cheek side had diameters of 3.8 mm, 4.5 mm and 4.0 mm respectively. In Skull No. 2, all teeth had fallen out in the upper jaw. The alveolar had atrophied and closed completely. Both skulls thus show severe mouth disease and poor teeth health. This may be evidence of a narrow diet based on millet. Larsen argued some time ago (Larsen 1998) that the agricultural transition from hunter–gather society was probably associated with greater sedentism, narrower diet and a proneness to poor dental health and even earlier death.

Conclusion

The morphological characteristics of the two skulls from Huoshiliang show they are female and are representatives of the East Asia type of the Mongoloid race. The cluster analysis shows that the skull morphologies are similar to those of groups that were in China from the Neolithic to Han Dynasty times. The individuals and the archaeological site in which they were found have an age between 1860 BC and 2020 BC, and evidence of pottery, bronze and agriculture suggests they were part of a complex society with strong technological links to both western and eastern Asia. While the range of crops became more complex with the introduction of wheat, oats and barley, millets remain the dominant food plant, and this is reflected in the stable-isotope data obtained from the bones. The two individuals may have lived before a diversification of additional crops appeared at the site. The lack of animal protein and reliance on millet consumption may have contributed to poor oral health.
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Introduction

Considerable efforts have been made to find proxy indicators for humans at sites lacking direct archaeological evidence such as pottery, tools and the remains of built structures. Indirect evidence of human activity, such as charcoal and pollen records showing deforestation, continues to be equivocal (cf. Ellison 1994), although pollen of introduced crop and ornamental plants are an important exception (Macphail 1999; Macphail and Casey 2008; Prebble and Wilmshurst 2009). Buried seeds with gnaw marks of the introduced Pacific rat (*Rattus exulans*) provide equally reliable evidence for detecting initial human colonisation of islands in Remote Oceania (Wilmshurst and Higham 2004).

In this note, we describe and illustrate additional specimens of a microfossil species, *Cloacasporites sydneyensis*, which is strongly associated with that most basic of human activities, defecation. At present, the microfossil has only been recorded from historical archaeological sites in Sydney. Our twofold aim is to (i) alert the wider archaeological community to the existence of another proxy for humans, and (ii) thereby test whether the microfossil also occurs in archaeological sites elsewhere, in particular in non-European contexts.
Cloacasporites sydneyensis (Macphail and Casey 2008)

Description:
Monad, quasi-isopolar, subspherical to ellipsoidal; aperture if any obscured; laterally biconvex to concavo-convex, amb elliptical to subcircular; wall not stratified c. 0.8-4 µm thick; reticulate-rugulate, sculptural elements varying in width and thickness, c. 1-8 µm thick, weakly aligned longitudinally, coalescing to enclose subcircular to subangular lumina up to 16 µm in maximum diameter, or breaking down into irregular rugulae and verrucae; 52 (71) 84 µm x 30 (44) 56 µm (20 specimens measured).

Type specimens:
Geoscience Australia CPC 3987 (Holotype) and 39788-39789 (Paratypes).
Not for the squeamish: A new microfossil indicator for the presence of humans

**Derivation of Name:**
From Sydney, the capital city of New South Wales, where the microfossil was first recorded.

**Affinity:**
Unknown; presumed to be the egg case of an unidentified animal parasite.

**Discussion**

As well as fossil spores, pollen and algal cysts, most sedimentary deposits preserve numerous microfossils whose source(s) remain unknown (see Van Geel 2001:206). Nevertheless, some of these are useful for interpreting the past because of their strong empirical link with a particular depositional environment or archaeological context. *Cloacasporites sydneyensis* is an example of the latter.

This microfossil has been recorded in low to trace numbers at eight historical archaeological sites in Sydney (references in Macphail and Casey 2008). In almost all instances, the archaeological contexts are cesspits (Figure 1 A-C), drains used for the disposal of human sewage or other structures that are likely to have been contaminated with sewage. The latter include sediment infilling a well in the yard of a demolished terrace house near Wentworth Park – an area which is recorded as being flooded by sewage backing up along Blackwattle Creek during storms and high-tide surges into Blackwattle Bay during the late 19th century.

The specimens illustrated in Figure 1, D-F reinforce the association of *Cloacasporites sydneyensis* with Europeans, since these specimens were preserved in cesspits attached to demolished 19th century terrace houses on the Darling Walk Development Site, Darling Harbour (Casey & Lowe 2009; M.K. Macphail unpubl. data). The specimens illustrated in Figure 1, G-I extend the record of the microfossils even closer to the site of the first European settlement in Australia, since these specimens were preserved in mud mortar used in the foundations of a ca. 1840 building at 185-193 Gloucester Street, the Rocks (Archaeological & Heritage Management Solutions 2007; M.K. Macphail unpubl. data). Pollen of aquatic herbs and native shrubs occurs in the same samples and the combined data hint at sewage-pollution of the source of the water used to make the mud mortar, presumably the Tank Stream which had become one of Sydney’s ‘main sewers’ by 1835 (de Vries-Evans 1987).

As noted by Macphail and Casey (2008), *Cloacasporites sydneyensis* is highly unlikely to be of plant origin, but the source remains unknown. The microfossil is not present in every cess-fill, including some that preserve pollen of cereal and other edible plants eaten by the colonial inhabitants, e.g. the cesspit attached to the demolished 1830s Wool Pack Inn at Old Marulan on the Southern Tablelands south of Sydney (Macphail 2008). This indicates the microfossil is less likely to be the egg case of flies, e.g. the blowfly (Calliphoridae species), or other insects attracted to human faeces. Accordingly, we have proposed it is the egg case of a parasite living in the human gut or that the host was present in/on one of the many animals eaten by Europeans during the colonial period. Time will tell whether *Cloacasporites sydneyensis*, like *Rattus exulans*, was one of many human commensals inadvertently introduced into the southwest Pacific region.
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Introduction

The genus Acacia, as Peter Kershaw has often told us, may be widely present in the landscape, but its pollen is seldom found in any abundance. The pollen grains are heavy and probably not capable of long-distance transport, and even where they dominate the vegetation, their pollen is greatly under-represented. Compounding the problem, Acacia pollen tends to break up into individual units that are difficult to identify. However, as we hope to show in our contribution celebrating Peter’s work, the poor representation of acacias in palaeoenvironmental records is more than compensated by its dominating presence in what has been described as one of the longest running, most acrimonious debates in the history of botanical nomenclature (Brummitt 2011).

Few would imagine botanical nomenclature to be a hotbed of passion and intrigue, but the vociferous arguments and machinations of botanists regarding the rightful ownership of the Latin genus name Acacia give an extraordinary insight into the tensions that arise when factors such as aesthetic judgement, political clout and nationalist sentiments dominate the process of scientific classification. After much lobbying and procedural wrangling, on July 16, the last day of the 2005 International Botanical Congress in Vienna, botanists approved a decision to allow an exception to the nomenclatural ‘principle of priority’ for the acacia genus. With increasing demand by botanists to split apart the massive cosmopolitan and paraphyletic genus into several monophyletic genera, the Vienna decision conserved the name acacia for the members of the new genus from Australia. Normal application of the rules of priority would instead have kept the name acacia for a subset of the trees native to the Americas, Africa
and Asia. The Vienna decision was unprecedented in the number of species affected and in the amount of public indignation generated across the world. Many professional and amateur botanists, horticulturalists and naturalists, particularly those working in Africa, Asia and Central America (Luckow et al. 2005), were incensed by the decision. In eastern and southern Africa, where the iconic acacias dominate the savannah landscape, popular newspapers such as Nairobi’s *Sunday Nation* announced in a headline “Did you know it is illegal to call this tree acacia? Australia claims exclusive rights to the name” (Githahu 2006).

This essay argues that the ongoing debate and controversy over the acacia genus name is a reflection of a deeper crisis in botanical taxonomy and nomenclature arising from the use of molecular systematics in classification. The splitting of genera and the shifting of species from one genus to another have not only revived older debates in botany regarding classification systems, but also put a great deal of pressure on genus names themselves. We show how the acacia name debate reveals these tensions and contradictions arising from molecular systematics and how rhetoric centred on a variety of non-scientific and non-rational factors, such as aesthetic judgment, sentiments of belonging, territorial chauvinism and politics (lobbying, vote-rigging, etc), came to dominate the procedures of botanical nomenclature.

In the following sections, we offer a brief review of the history of the science and practice of botanical nomenclature, and show how there have been longstanding tensions between folk- or place-based classification systems and universal, scientific approaches to plant classification. After explaining the relevant conventions and rules set out by the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature, we describe how the controversy over the ownership of the genus name acacia has developed over the past two decades. We draw on arguments published in scientific journals and the popular media, on interviews with botanists and participant observation of the nomenclature sessions during the 2011 Melbourne IBC to show how sentiments, chauvinisms and egos have dominated the debate and prevented any ‘scientific’ resolution or compromise emerging from within the conventions of international botanical nomenclature. The essay concludes by arguing that the acacia name controversy and other potential naming crises emerging from molecular systematics can only be resolved by recognising and incorporating the social histories of attachment in plant names in processes of botanical nomenclature.

What’s in a name? Taxonomic debates over systems of classification

*Juliet: What’s in a name? That which we call a rose
By any other name would smell as sweet,
So Romeo would, were he not Romeo called,
Retain that dear perfection which he owes,
Without that title.*

*Romeo and Juliet, Act 2, Scene 2*

Notwithstanding Juliet’s impetuous claim, the tragic ending of this Shakespearian drama underscores the importance of names and lineages in ordering society and social interactions. Bowker and Star (1999:326) note that ‘seemingly purely technical issues like how to name things and how to store data in fact constitute much of human interaction and much of what we come to know as natural’. By naming and classifying things, humans construct a nature that is not just based on objective or observable characteristics, but which also reflects a variety of aesthetic sentiments, cultural traditions and place-based associations and attachments.

Names and categories matter a lot in botanical nomenclature and classifications. People in every part of the world have developed different systems for classifying the plants around them. These ‘folk’ classifications play a central role in providing a material and emotional sense of
particular places and regions (Dear 2006). Hence, plant names and their classifications can vary from one place to another, change over time, and vary from one perspective to another. In some cases, a particular plant species may have multiple names within a region depending on how it is used, and in other cases, many different plant species may be called by the same name. But as Gledhill (2008) points out, despite the cultural richness of common names for plants, their immense diversity can make it difficult for those who seek to identify plants according to some kind of larger or ‘universal’ order so as to compare their characteristics or catalogue their uses.

Going back over two millennia in European history, natural philosophers and botanists sought various principles and criteria that would reveal the hidden order of the rich diversity of plant life in nature. Pavord (2005) traces these efforts back to Theophrastus, a disciple of Aristotle, who attempted to define plants both in philosophical terms of their essential being and in terms of the physical characteristics that could be used to classify them. She notes that his collected works were repackaged in different forms by subsequent Roman scholars, taken up and expanded on by Arab scholars well into the 14th and 15th centuries, and further elaborated on by Italian, Swiss, German and English natural philosophers in the 16th and 17th centuries. Every period of enquiry raised the question of which physical characteristics or behaviours of plants could be used for classification. Scholars of medicine were among the first to draw on folk methods of classifying plants according to their uses as food, dyes, medicines, or poisons, and compiled them in volumes that were known as ‘herbals’. Such methods continue to be found today in various herbal reference and guide books for lay people (see, for example, Foster and Johnson 2006), or in classification systems based on the phytochemical properties of plants.

The discipline of taxonomy (derived from Greek, meaning ‘arrangement’) grew rapidly from the 16th century onwards, alongside the expansion of European maritime exploration, trade and colonialism in the Old and New World. Much of the biota of these regions was unknown to European naturalists. Sixteenth and seventeenth compilations of plants by physicians such as Garcia da Orta for India, Nicolás Monardes for the West Indies, and Cristobal Acosta and Jacobus Bontius for the East Indies described the plants they encountered in terms of their morphological features, such as leaf characteristics, fruit types or flower structure, along with details of their local environments and uses (Cook 2005; Pavord 2005). In doing so, they attempted to combine and adapt local systems of plant classification to similar systems followed in Europe.

As mercantile colonialism gathered pace during the 17th and 18th centuries, European countries were often in direct competition with one another to capture profits from trade in exotic or useful tropical plants that could be cultivated in their colonies. These pressures added to the motivations of naturalists to seek new methods for identifying and classifying plants that could be universally comprehended and applied in different places (Browne 1996). By the end of the 18th century, various naturalists in France, Germany and England had developed some common conventions of naming and ordering plants. Pavord notes that John Ray’s *Methodus plantarum emendata*, published in 1703, provided the six basic rules for classifying plants that ever since have underpinned the discipline of taxonomy: ‘Plant names should be changed as little as possible to avoid confusion and mistakes; the characteristics of a group must be clearly defined and not rely on comparisons; characteristics must be obvious and easy to grasp; groups approved by most plantsmen should be preserved; related plants should not be separated; the characteristics used to define should not be unnecessarily increased.’ (2005:392)

By the mid 18th century, botany and taxonomy underwent another radical change with the introduction of Carl Linnaeus’s classification system. Linnaeus’s botany sought, in effect, to develop systems of standardised information exchange that would serve as both a knowledge framework and an instrument for identifying plants of potential economic value to
European colonisers (Müller-Wille 2005). In this sense, his approach reflected the new levels of abstraction required by Europe’s emerging modern states with their imperial ambitions for control, communication and legibility across their territories (Scott 1998). Linnaeus developed what he called ‘artificial’ taxonomies of the natural world that reflected the social order and religious ideas of his times (Williams 1980; Dear 2006). God’s empire of nature was divided into three kingdoms – vegetable, animal and mineral. Life forms coming under the vegetable and animal kingdoms were hierarchically grouped into classes, orders, families, genus, species and varieties. Linnaeus proposed a binomial system of identification in Latin, with the genus name preceding the special descriptive name for the species (Koerner 1996). He went on to propose a new method, the ‘systema sexualis’, for grouping plants based on the number, size, arrangement and shape of reproductive organs (stamen and carpels) within in their flowers, and their sexual behaviour (Schiebinger 1996).

The binomial nomenclature system outlined in Linnaeus’s *Species Plantarum* was rapidly adopted during the 18th and 19th centuries as European states competed with each other to launch numerous scientific expeditions for collecting and documenting plants in new lands or in remote parts of their colonies and imperial territories. This was also the period when colonial territories around the world were used for large-scale commercial cultivation of plants as raw materials for burgeoning industrial production in Europe (Brockway 1979; Bonneuil 2002; Parry 2004; Schiebinger 2004; Schiebinger and Swan 2005). However, not all naturalists agreed with Linnaeus’s hierarchical ordering or sexual system of classification. Comte de Buffon claimed that Linnaeus’s hierarchically organised categories were not properly grounded in understandings of plants and animals in their particular environments. He argued that ‘species’ was the only category that could be given a clear philosophical definition, i.e. species could be defined on the basis of membership in a common breeding community. In contrast to Linnaean taxonomy, Buffon’s approach echoed the methods used in early compilations of plants by Portuguese, Spanish and Dutch physicians in the East and West Indies by focusing on the morphological description of species, their characteristic behaviours and habitats, and their uses to human beings (Cook 2005; Dear 2006). Spanish Creole naturalists in the Americas also opposed the Linnaean system imposed on them by metropolitan botanists, claiming that its abstract mode of classification disregarded important local conditions such as the plant’s location, flowering season, climatic requirements and soil characteristics. They asserted that plants needed to be identified and understood biogeographically in terms of their distinctive physical and moral climates, and criticised their imperial overlords for using Linnaean taxonomy to impoverish their colonial subjects by transferring plants to other parts of their territorial empire for economic exploitation (Lafuente and Valverde 2005).

Even though Linnaeus’s binomial system of naming plants is now accepted as the starting point for present nomenclature systems, his method of hierarchical ordering and grouping has been routinely criticised for its artificiality, focus on a few selected subsets of characteristics, and lack of contextual references. Pavord observes that ‘[f]rom Bentham and Hooker in 1862-63, to Cronquist in 1988, eight major systems of plant classification have been proposed in the last hundred years alone’ (2005:400). Following the acceptance of Darwin and Wallace’s ideas of evolution in nature, evolutionary taxonomy attempted to provide a historical reinterpretation of the Linnaean taxonomic system as a relatively stable and effective format for explaining and predicting genealogical similarity and variations among species. But this was challenged in

---

1 Müller-Wille (2005) notes that Linnaeus developed his nomenclatural reform and classification system at a time when Sweden had unsuccessfully attempted and later given up its ambitions to gain colonial territories in Africa, Asia, or the New World. However, Linnaeus was inspired by the political-economic ideology that Sweden’s prosperity depended on substituting imports with domestic equivalents, or by importing foreign plants and products and subsequently acclimatising them within Swedish territory.
the 20th century by several botanists who, like many critics of Linnaeus before them, argued against classifications based on similarities of some intuitively determined subset of characters and proposed a phenetic system that determined overall patterns of similarity and dissimilarity between species based on all characteristics. Phenetics, in turn, was accused of being too cumbersome and reliant on subjective choices of statistics for producing measures that give different classifications (Maclaurin and Sterelny 2008). Every proposal for a new system of classification offers different philosophical reasons for grouping species into new genera and for ‘lumping’ or ‘splitting’ them into large or small categories. And each of these classification systems then requires new names and combinations as species are moved from one genus to another or regrouped in new genera (Bonneuil 2002; Pavord 2005).

Over the past three decades, however, the emergence and widespread use of DNA analysis has enabled plant scientists to look beyond morphology and work out evolutionary relationships not visible through outward characteristics. Given the long history of debate over ‘subjective’ criteria for plant classification, DNA-based phylogenetics has been heralded by botanists as offering a more rigorous scientific basis for taxonomy. Molecular systematics or cladistics has become the dominant system used in plant classification (Winston 1999; Soltis et al. 2007; Maclaurin and Sterelny 2008). The system seeks to group plants based on monophyly or evolutionary descent from a common ancestry. Like other reformulations of classification systems, the use of genetic analysis to generate monophyletic trees gives rise to the rearrangement of species in different genera, tribes, sub-families and families.

Molecular systematics represents a monumental shift in both the philosophy and methods of plant classification because it fundamentally challenges the physical observations and experiences that most common or folk systems, as well as traditional botanical systems, have relied on and used. As Yoon (2009) observes, what may appear to most people as a naturally coherent grouping, such as fish, ceases to exist under this new system of classification because not all species show a clear evolutionary relationship. Classification systems based on physical observation may consider lotuses and waterlilies as closely related, but a molecular systematist would argue that they have little in common based on evolutionary relationships, and that lotuses are more related to proteas and plane trees than to waterlilies.

Reclassifications based on monophyly have given rise to substantial upheavals in the ordering and naming of species. Plant genus names are like human surnames, and provide a sense of familiarity and historical continuity of relationships with other species that carry the same genus name. Most people – and this cuts a wide swathe, including amateur naturalists and gardeners, plant breeders, foresters, ecologists and botanists who are used to associating particular Latin binomial names with species that they study, cultivate, use or sell – may find the changes to genus names unnecessary or objectionable and resist reclassification by insisting on retaining the older name.2

The current debate over the name acacia illustrates many of the tensions and contradictions that have arisen from taxonomy’s move towards abstract genetic-based science. While cladistics relies on the latest scientific advancements and technologies in molecular genetic analysis to justify the splitting of the acacia genus into new genera, the controversy over the resulting name changes reflects a range of concerns that some taxonomists regard as subjective and unscientific. These include aesthetics tastes, sensibility of place, territorial chauvinism and personal and institutional politics. In the following sections, we outline the rules of botanical nomenclature, followed by a description of the genesis and evolution of the acacia name war, and analysis of

---

2 As one botanist pointed out, ‘There is a whole other debate and gnashing of teeth whenever botanists change names. We are the villains to many horticulturists for example. Just listen to any gardening program or TV garden show when there is a difficult to pronounce botanical name or if a taxonomic change has been made. We encounter this resistance all the time’ (anon. interview 2009).
the arguments marshalled on either side of the debate.

**Nomenclatural rules and conventions**

Taxonomists usually follow a set of standardised rules in naming plants (Bailey 1933; Winston 1999; Spencer et al. 2007). These rules are recorded in a central register called the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature, which is maintained by the International Association for Plant Taxonomy. Each edition, which is published after the meetings of the International Botanical Congress in a series called *Regnum Vegetabile*, provides an update of these rules and decisions of changes to nomenclature that were proposed and endorsed by the Congress. For instance, the ‘Vienna code’, which was published in the series *Regnum Vegetabile* (Vol. 146, 2006), includes nomenclature decisions from the 2005 Congress.

The fundamental rule of nomenclature is that the first person to scientifically describe a species has the privilege of naming it. For plants, this involves placing a specimen in a herbarium (the ‘type’ specimen) and publishing a technical description of it (Gledhill 2008). The epithet given to a species may reflect distinctive physical characteristics – like *Acacia grandifolia* (for large leaves) and *A. microsperma* (for small seeds), and may also commemorate people, places and cultures. For example, *Acacia baileyana* memorialises the Australian botanist F.M. Bailey, *Acacia farnesiana* is named after the famous garden estate of Cardinal Farnese in Rome, and *Acacia koa* honours the indigenous Hawaiian name of the tree.

However, if a species has been officially described more than once with different names, or if two species described separately are later determined to be one and the same, then the rule specifies that the oldest name should be used. This is known as the *rule of priority*. For example, the black wattle from southeastern Australia was known for much of the 19th and early 20th centuries by names such as *Acacia decurrens* var. *mollis* or *A. mollissima*, based on specimens described in European herbaria (Brenan and Melville 1960). Now it is named after an American naturalist, Edgar A. Mearns, who collected naturalised specimens in Kenya between Thika and Nairobi while on a hunting and scientific safari with Theodore Roosevelt in 1909. Mearns, best known as an ornithologist, died in 1916, unable to process his findings (Richmond 1918), but his African botanical collection made its way to the National Botanical Gardens in Brussels. Here, the Director, Émile de Wildeman, published a description of the specimen in 1925 in *Plantae Bequaertianae*, honouring Mearns in the name of the plant he believed to be a new African species, *Acacia mearnsii* (de Wildeman 1925). De Wildeman’s species description, and hence Mearns’s name, later achieved taxonomic priority because the older scientific names for the black wattle were found to be invalid.³ Hence *A. mearnsii* was the oldest legitimate name available, and thus it took priority. Brenan and Melville (1960:38) lamented that this acacia from Australia ‘must bear the misconceived and not especially relevant name *mearnsii*’.

Following on from this rule, the next is that the name of a genus should be taken from the name given to one of its member species that has been designated as the ‘type’ representing the genus. The type species is designated by a botanist when publishing his or her conception and description of a genus; there are no rules as to which must be chosen, but in practice the type species is usually one that is well-known, is widespread, or holds an old, established name. For example, the type for the genus acacia is the widely known Afro-Asiatic thorn

---

³ Specifically, the name *A. mollissima* was rendered inappropriate as it was found that the herbarium specimen it described (its ‘type’) was actually *A. pubescens*. As far as *A. decurrens* var. *mollis*, this name is still technically legitimate but most botanists consider the black wattle a distinct species from *A. decurrens* (green wattle), not a variety (Brenan and Melville 1960).
tree, *A. nilotica*, described in 1753 by Linnaeus. As botanists examined samples of Australian wattles, they included them in the already existing acacia genus because of their morphological similarities to known African and American acacias. In contrast, when l’Héritier described gum tree specimens, he created a new genus *Eucalyptus* based on its uniqueness (Brooker and Kleinig 2007).

The above two rules would be sufficient were it not for the fact that the science of classifying living things is a difficult and continually evolving endeavour. On the one hand, obscure early publications on particular plants are sometimes rediscovered after alternative names have become commonly used. On the other hand, developments in taxonomy can lead researchers to propose different ways of grouping plants into species and genera. Both factors can contribute to a cascading effect of name changes. This then leads to a third rule of nomenclature called ‘conservation’.

Conservation is a special clause in the rules of nomenclature – an infrequently used exception to the rules of priority – that is used to protect certain botanical names (typically well-known ones) from being changed due to new developments in taxonomic science or due to the technicalities of botanical nomenclature. For example, in 1980 it was discovered that the widely used Latin name for wheat, *Triticum aestivum*, would have to change to *Triticum hbernum*. These two species were described separately by Linnaeus in 1753, but later became seen as just two varieties of a single species, widely called *T. aestivum*. However, as was rediscovered in 1980, the earliest person to merge the species had given the name *T. hbernum* to the combined species, and by strict application of the rule of botanical nomenclature, this name would achieve priority. In order to avoid changing the widely used botanical name of wheat, the name *Triticum aestivum* was ‘conserved’ at the 1987 International Botanical Congress in Berlin (Hanelt et al. 1983).

Technically speaking, ‘conservation’ applies to the name given to a particular herbarium specimen. That is, a particular name is permanently attached to a particular specimen, normally the ‘type’ specimen that defines a species and perhaps its genus. In cases where one conserves the name of a specimen that was previously not the type specimen, it is referred to as ‘retypification’.

According to the rules, the decision to conserve must be justified by establishing that it would serve the interests of maintaining nomenclatural stability and avoid disadvantageous name changes. Cases for conservation and rejection are made in the journal *Taxon*, and are considered by specialist committees of the International Botanical Congress’s Nomenclature Section before being approved by the congress as a whole.

A final convention in botanical nomenclature is that new species names resulting from the above procedures do not officially exist until they are published as ‘combinations’, that is, until a scientific publication appears with the combined new genus and species name. According to one plant taxonomist (anon. interview 2007), some botanists are hesitant to be the first to publish an unpopular new name, partly out of a sense of not wanting to step on another botanist’s turf, while others might see it as an opportunity to ‘get their names on combinations’. The peer-review process for scientific literature may serve different roles in these situations by either

---

4 The first specimen described was named *Mimosa scorpioides* by Linnaeus but is now universally accepted as a synonym of *Acacia nilotica*. Botanist Philip Miller formally adopted the genus name *Acacia* (already in wide use) in 1754, hence its notation as ‘Acacia Mill’. However, it was only in the 1800s that *Acacia* became widely accepted, following George Bentham’s broad definition of *Acacia* (for details, see Maslin et al. 2003a; Orchard and Maslin 2003).

5 This statement refers to the convention among botanists where the first published source of a new combination must be cited when establishing the ‘authority’ of a plant’s name in scientific publications.
retarding the appearance of unpopular new combinations or enforcing their use. It should also be noted that one may continue to use old names if one justifies the taxonomic reasons for doing so. For instance, if one insists that the acacia genus does not need to be split, one can continue using the name acacia in its broad sense (see Pedley 2004; Smith et al. 2006; Robin 2007).

The acacia genus, the Battle of Vienna and beyond

The modern acacia genus is a broad classification that emerged in the 1840s through the efforts of botanist George Bentham (Ross 1980; Maslin et al. 2003b). Thanks to his position at the Royal Botanic Gardens in Kew, Bentham was exposed to plants from around the world (Bentham 1842; Bentham and Mueller 1864). The broad genus he defined now includes more than 1300 species worldwide, of which approximately 1000 are found in Australia. It is classified in the Mimosoideae subfamily of the Fabaceae family, better known as the legume family for its seed pods.

Over the past few decades, botanists have argued that the acacia genus was too massive and not monophyletic, and hence needed to be split along the lines of sub-genera identified by Vassal (1972). Molecular genetic analysis added weight to these claims, showing, for example, how Australian wattles are more closely related to the tribe Ingeae (which includes the genera Albizia, Calliandra and Paraserianthes) than to other acacia sub-groups typified by Acacia nilotica or Acacia senegal (Figure 1; Clarke et al. 2000; Murphy et al. 2003; Jobson and Luckow 2007; Brown et al. 2008; Murphy 2008). Les Pedley (1986), of the Queensland Herbarium, first proposed a three-way split, which was later modified to include two minor new genera from the Americas (Figure 2; Figure 3). Because the type species for the old, broad genus was Acacia nilotica, the name Acacia was to be given to the subset of the old genus that contained A. nilotica and some 160 other pan-tropical acacias. This left the two new genera in need of names. Based on the rules of priority, Pedley recovered two genus names from the dustbin of botanical history for the remaining species: Senegalia, which applied to 200 tree species mainly from Africa, and Racosperma, for the roughly 1000 species mostly found in Australia and nearby islands. In his proposal, Pedley published 33 combinations for Racosperma and two for Senegalia, including Racosperma auriculiforme (for Acacia auriculiformis), Racosperma mearnsii (for Acacia mearnsii), and Racosperma koa (for Acacia koa).

The genus names suggested in Pedley’s original proposal were adopted in very few publications, an exception being the Flora of New Zealand (Webb et al. 1988), which used these to describe a number of introduced Australian wattles as Racosperma. Most botanists resisted using the new name, arguing that further evidence was needed from molecular research, but also out of some discomfort about the implications for name changes (Maslin et al. 2003a, b; Murphy 2008).

Even as molecular evidence accumulated to back Pedley’s proposed division of the genus, many botanists remained reluctant to accept the split. The main reason, it so happened, was the ungainly name Racosperma. Some called it an ‘abomination’ in comparison to the more elegant and euphonic name acacia (Woodford 2002; Pedley 2004:4). Some South African botanists gloated over the appropriateness of a harsh-sounding name for species that had been declared ‘alien invasive weeds’. Montgomery (2006) wrote in South African Gardening:

---

6 This was our personal experience with a previous publication in an ecological journal. A reviewer who had taken the Vienna decision on board wrote: ‘The authors have to take into account that the genus Acacia now only refers to Australian species. Other species have now been assigned to other genera.’ See also Boy (2005:27).

7 In a similar vein, an article in the South African magazine Veld and Flora plays on trans-Indian Ocean rivalries when titling an article about efforts to control invasive A. pycnantha ‘Golden wattle loses its lustre’. The first line mentions the tree’s status as Australia’s floral emblem; much of the rest describes efforts to control it by introducing a gall wasp from its home range (Hoffmann 2001:58).
Figure 1. A ‘genetic family tree’ created from DNA analysis of different acacia species and nearby genera. This tree demonstrates how different sections of the old acacia genus are closer to other species in the tribe Ingeae than to each other. Source: first published in Brown et al. (2008:741), reproduced with permission of authors.
Figure 2. The different names of the proposed divisions of the acacia genus.
Source: based on Maslin et al. 2003a.

Figure 3. The geography of acacia’s taxonomic revision: native distribution of different acacia subgroups.
Source: based on Maslin et al. 2003a.
It was further decided that Australian acacias should fall under the genus *Racosperma*. The local botanical community gave a chuckle. Twelve Australian acacias (wattles) including the black wattle (*Acacia mearnsii*) are designated Category 2 invasive alien weeds in this country. To give our unwanted cousins a new name (*Racosperma mearnsii*) was viewed locally as positive. (Montgomery 2006)

In contrast, Pedley's proposal to rename a different set of acacias *Senegalia* did not meet with the same resistance because the name sounded reasonably mellifluous and referred to a geographical area where the genus was widespread (interview anon. 2009).

Resistance to the use of the genus name *Racosperma* inspired Bruce Maslin, of the Western Australian Herbarium, together with Tony Orchard, of the government's Australian Biological Resources Study (ABRS), to propose an alternative solution that would maintain the familiar moniker acacia for the Australian species. Orchard and Maslin's (2003) proposal called for a retypification of the acacia genus. Specifically, the proposal called for the conservation of the name *Acacia penninervis* (commonly known as the hickory wattle), implying that it would become the type specimen for the genus that includes it. This species was chosen because it already served as the type for the *Acacia* sub-genus *Phyllodineae*. As a result, the consequences of splitting the acacia genus would be different: the name *Acacia* would apply to the mainly Australian part of the genus, while the group including the former type species, *A. nilotica*, would take on the oldest valid alternative name, *Vachellia*. *Senegalia* was to remain unaffected (see Figure 2).

While the Committee for Spermatophyta (a specialist committee of the Nomenclature Section of the IBC) was deliberating on Orchard and Maslin's proposal, Pedley (2003) published some 800 combinations for *Racosperma*, allegedly in reaction to the claim that very few *Racosperma* combinations had been made. While the secretary of the committee commented on the 'surprising' nature of Pedley's action (Brummitt 2004:828), some botanists regarded it as a gauntlet thrown down to challenge Orchard and Maslin's proposal. By this point, the issue was already entwined with egos and the lobbying of key individuals (interviews anon. 2011).

The case for retypification was debated at the 17th International Botanical Congress, held in Vienna in 2005. On 16th July, the last day of the Congress, the Nomenclature Section voted on the recommendation of specialist committees to change the type species of acacia from *A. nilotica* to *A. penninervis*. Following the complex procedural rules of nomenclature revision (Smith et al. 2006; van Rijckevorsel 2006; Moore 2007; Glazewski and Rumble 2009; McNeil and Turland 2010), a 60% supermajority vote was required to overturn this recommendation. The headings ‘Africa’ and ‘Australia’ were penned on a whiteboard as the vote was prepared, accentuating the geographic symbolism (Moore 2007:114). When votes were tallied, a majority (54.9%) of the Nomenclature Section members had voted against the recommendation, but this was short of the 60% supermajority requirement. Hence, the recommendation for retypification was allowed to pass and be ratified by the plenary session.

The result of the vote meant that the Australian plants would retain the name *Acacia* following a split of the genus, and many of the non-Australian species would be renamed *Vachellia*. Shortly after the decision, new combinations were published for several American species under the name *Vachellia* (Seigler and Ebinger 2006) as well as *Senegalia* (e.g. Seigler et al. 2006), and the names have begun to appear in Latin American floras (Maslin 2011).

The botanic community was sharply split, with one faction, described as ‘the tropical botanist community’, saying it was ‘immensely disappointed’ by the decision (Smith et al. 2006:225), a
sentiment also held by *Racosperma* promoter, Les Pedley (2004). The Vienna decision touched a sensitive nerve of botanists in eastern and southern Africa, who were outraged by the outcome. ‘So we won but we lost,’ said Dr Siro Masinde, Director of the East African Herbarium in Nairobi, referring to the supermajority needed to overturn the recommendation. Numerous members of the biological, forestry and environmental communities in South Africa expressed their indignation over the naming coup (interviews 2007). Opposition was also voiced by the Chairperson of the Botanical Society of Namibia (Hoffman 2006). *Veld and Flora*, the Journal of the South African Botanical Society, was overwhelmed by letters of protest. Likewise, *Swara*, the journal of the Kenya-based East African Wild Life Society, carried a detailed analysis, with the opening proclamation:

It is official: Africa no longer has any indigenous Acacias. The continent’s magnificent, archetypal thorn trees – which, as Acacias, have long been universally synonymous with Africa’s great savannahs and bush lands – have been formally stripped of their names (Boy 2005:25).

Media reports further sensationalised the news. In addition to the *Sunday Nation* article (mentioned in our introduction), the *Kruger Park Times* ran an article, ‘Africa to lose all its acacias’, and the *South African Gardening* magazine opined ‘Brand Acacia goes to Australia’ (Montgomery 2006). In Australia, in contrast, the mood was jubilant. The story appeared on the national broadcaster ABC’s popular radio program *Australia All Over*. The *Sydney Morning Herald* declared:

> September 1 has many names. Some welcome it as spring’s dawn, a time to celebrate nature’s renewal. For others it’s Wattle Day. But it will never be Racosperma Day. (Macey 2005)

In the aftermath of the Vienna decision, the opponents of retypification complained about ‘the way the Australians … conned the Vienna conference’ (Cameron 2006:51). Moore (2007:109) called it ‘an attempt at minority rule’ and blamed inconsistent and confusing implementation of procedural rules (see also Boy 2005; Smith et al. 2006; van Rijckevorsel 2006; Moore et al. 2010, 2011). Moore (2007: 112) noted that African and South American taxonomists – who formed the bulk of the opposition – were under-represented in the debate because of their relatively small presence at the Vienna meeting due to limited budgets for international travel. In contrast, he claimed, the pro-retypification lobby, which had ‘substantial backing from Australian botanists’, was prominent in meetings and in notice-board postings (see also Smith et al. 2006:225). African representatives accused Australian botanists of stacking the debate by mobilising various resources, including funding delegates to attend the meeting and vote in their favour. The editor-in-chief of *South African Gardening* commented on the...
Vienna decision saying: ‘It is an extraordinary tale of botanical intrigue… a team of Australian botanists pulled off the world’s greatest branding coup… with ferocious public support, massive documentation, and a superb public relations machinery.’ Referring to the sporting rivalry between the two countries (in cricket and rugby), she added: ‘the South Africans underestimated the Australians (again!)’ (Montgomery 2006).

O’Neill (2007), an Australian botanist, hailed the Vienna decision with relief for having escaped the ugly genus name Racosperma: ‘Had the proposal failed, Australia’s national floral emblem, the golden wattle, might now be Racospermum pycnantha’ [sic]. The unstated assumption for the supporters of retypification was that by celebrating the wattle as a national symbol, Australia had gained the right to retain the euphonic name Acacia for its species.

In the run-up to the following International Botanical Congress (held in July 2011 in Melbourne, Australia) these arguments and tensions resurfaced, many of them focused on perceived injustices in the procedures behind the Vienna decision.12 Gerry Moore, of New York’s Brooklyn Botanic Garden, led the charge in seeking to annul the decision made in Vienna (Moore et al. 2010, 2011). A website was dedicated to documenting support (www.acaciavote.com, last accessed 28 July 2011). Others defended the Vienna decision (Thiele et al. 2011). In what might be interpreted as a rearguard action, Bruce Maslin, of the Australian Systematic Botany Society and of the 50-year-old Acacia Study Group (member of the Association of Societies for Growing Australian Plants) to attend the Melbourne IBC and vote to maintain the Vienna decision. Some botanists outlined new, compromise, solutions to rename acacia (Brummitt 2010, 2011; Turland 2011; Table 1). Dick Brummitt, of Kew Herbarium, the ex-Chair of the Committee for Spermatophyta, said that while the Vienna decision was sound, the unprecedented uproar (and numbers of species affected) needed an unorthodox solution. In the event, the highly charged sessions of the Nomenclature Section of the Melbourne IBC did not overturn the Vienna decision nor accept any of the compromise proposals (Smith 2011).13 As Gideon Smith, of South Africa’s National Biodiversity Institute, remarked during the deliberations, the issue of which genus has the right to retain the name acacia will remain divisive and the controversy is unlikely to go away.

**Analysing the arguments: From rational criteria to rhetoric and politics**

The formal rules of botanical nomenclature are, in principle, set up to alleviate the tensions over names caused by the evolving science of taxonomy. According to L.H. Bailey, author of *How Plants Get Their Names* (1933), scientific decisions over taxonomy come first, and any consequences for names follow:

> The naming of plants under rules of nomenclature is an effort to tell the truth. Its purpose is not to serve the convenience of those who sell plants or write labels or edit books; it is not commercial. Serving the truth it thereby serves everybody. (p. 39)

---

12 B. Maslin and D. Brummitt, pers. comm. 2011, and email from Bill Aitcheson, leader of the Acacia Study Group, 10 May 2011. See also Maslin (2011) and acacia name change blog postings at christiankull.net (last accessed 28 July 2011).

13 The meeting started with a contentious discussion of the 60% supermajority rule. It then ratified the Vienna Code as printed using a card vote (373 yes, 172 no). The large ‘no’ vote reflected opposition to acacia retypification. Brummitt’s compromise proposal was considered both unconventional and unacceptable; Turland’s compromise proposal to create Protoacacia and Austroacacia (Table 1) was seen as a possible way out, but the name Protoacacia was questioned because it seemed to imply some evolutionary meaning. An alternative proposal by Paul van Rijckevorsel (Utrecht) accepted Acacia for the Australian species but sought a different name to replace Vachellia, tentatively Africacia, but this was critiqued, as the distribution of *Vachellia* includes Asia and Latin America (never mind that in this proposal ‘victory’ would remain with the retypification proponents). Brian Schrire (Kew) suggested, in the spirit of getting a compromise, a different alteration of Turland’s proposal, replacing Protoacacia with Acanthacacia (representing ‘thorns’). Turland’s proposal (with Schrire’s modifications) was, however, rejected by a 70% majority.
Christian A. Kull and Haripriya Rangan

Table 1. The naming consequences of different proposals to the IBC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>subgenus Phyllodinae (&gt;1000 species of wattles in and around Australia – typified by A. penniservis)</td>
<td>Racosperma</td>
<td>Acacia</td>
<td>Acacia for general use</td>
<td>Acacia (Racosperma) for specialist use</td>
<td>Australacacia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>subgenus Acacia (ca. 160 species of thorn trees in Africa, Asia, Latin America – typified by A. nilotica)</td>
<td>Acacia</td>
<td>Vachellia</td>
<td>Acacia for general use</td>
<td>Acacia (Vachellia) for specialist use</td>
<td>Protoacacia (amended at Melbourne IBC 2011 to Africacacia then Acanthacacia)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and, unaffected by the conflict, but not to be forgotten…

| subgenus Aculeirferum (>200 species in Africa, Latin America, Asia – typified by A. senegal) | Senegalia (as well as Mariosousa and Acaciella) | Senegalia (as well as Mariosousa and Acaciella) | Acacia for general use (except Mariosousa and Acaciella) | Acacia (Senegalia) for specialist use | Senegalia (as well as Mariosousa and Acaciella) | Senegalia |

Bailey’s views continue to be espoused by many professional botanists. As one remarked, ‘Botanists like me, in the end, typically just want the rules applied strictly. It is the science that matters, and the rules, and names, follow’ (interview anon. 2007). Yet the existence of procedures for the ‘conservation’ of names is an acknowledgement that inconvenience, even tension, may arise from strictly following the rules.

The official case for conservation of a name is meant to rest on objective evidence that such an action would minimise disruption and stabilise nomenclature for well-known, widely used names. In the acacia debate, both sides formally argued their case in the journal Taxon using arguments about numbers of name changes, the magnitude of inconvenience and the economic value of these well-known species. These rational arguments, however, were supplemented by particular kinds of rhetoric – centred on aesthetic judgements, place-based sentiments and feelings over process and politics – to bolster the claims for which genus should rightfully bear the name acacia. Below, we review both the more objective arguments, as well as the rhetoric and politics in the preparatory technical documents prepared before the Vienna meeting and in the flurry of commentaries and editorials that emerged afterwards, up through the re-hashing of the issue at Melbourne.

The formal case for retypification was laid out by Orchard and Maslin (2003, 2005). First, they argued that a change to Racosperma would create a high level of inconvenience. They pointed out that acacias were so dominant in the flora of Australia that fully 6% of all plant
species would need to change to *Racosperma* unless retypification took place. They pointed out that 1274 species would be subject to name changes were the acacia genus split into five new genera without retypification (Figure 2). By changing the type species from *Acacia nilotica* to *A. penninervis*, the number of name changes would be reduced to 392 species (231 names, for the new genera *Senegalia, Acaciella* and *Mariosousa*, would have to change either way). By retaining the genus *Acacia* for the more numerous Australian species, they argued that potential confusion and disruption would be minimised in terms of relabelling work for herbaria, nature walks, legislation, textbooks and databases around the world. They also made an issue of the grammatical impacts of using *Racosperma*: due to this word’s neuter gender, its use would necessitate spelling changes to numerous species names, replacing the suffix –*a* with –*um*, such as *Acacia pycnantha* to *Racosperma pycnanthum*, or *Acacia aneura* to *Racosperma aneurum* (Maslin and Orchard 2004a). The retypification lobby less convincingly added that it would be easier to have Africa, Asia and the Americas rename (nearly) all their acacias than only renaming some of them.

The opponents of retypification made their official case in an article co-authored by 37 botanists from around the world, with the central argument that ‘in a case as contentious and hotly debated as this one… simple priority should prevail’ (Luckow et al. 2005:515; see also Smith et al. 2006). They claimed that the case for retypification based on the magnitude of inconvenience was made on spurious grounds, and that instead of counting numbers of species, it was necessary to count the number of countries or the total human population in the zones affected by retypification of the genus. They pointed out that adopting the name *Racosperma* would only affect Australia’s 20-odd million people and people living in a few small Pacific islands. In contrast, retypification of the acacia genus to represent the Australian species would force name changes across several continents with a combined population of more than two billion people, and, as a result (among other things), necessitate updates to many more national floras and databases.

A second set of arguments focused on economic disruption. Retypification proponents pointed out that the commercial importance of Australian species far outweighs that of the rest. There are more than two million hectares of Australian acacia plantations outside Australia, with many species widely known in industrial forestry, in agroforestry and as ornamentals (Midgley and Turnbull 2003). They argued that ‘large scale name changes would not only burden the above industries and activities with large overhead costs, but would also take considerable time and effort’ (Maslin et al. 2003a:13).

In response, the critics of retypification accused the proponents of trying to monopolise the name ‘*Acacia* for a developed country at the expense of widespread changes across numerous developing countries’ that could least afford the cost of such name changes (Luckow et al. 2005:516; see also Boy 2005; Moore 2006b). They noted that non-Australian acacias had substantial economic importance both in terms of numbers of people using the trees for various subsistence, medicinal and commercial uses, and in terms of their symbolic value for the tourism sector in eastern and southern Africa (Luckow et al. 2005). Moore (2006b:72) pointed out that:

> the Acacias are charismatic trees of the African savanna,,, their silhouettes against the setting sun a savanna icon. It is a brand name, as valuable as champagne, and one that serves as the logo for one of Africa’s largest banks. It is a key marketing attraction for the entire African tourist industry.

References to symbolic value and iconic status indicate that the arguments surpassed mere discussions of nomenclatural stability and minimisation of disruption. Other rhetorical
arguments were made to bolster the claims. For example, the opponents of retypification asserted that since the Greek root word for acacia is *akis*, meaning thorn, it was ludicrous to retain this genus name for the largely thornless acacias of Australia (Moore 2006b). They also evoked the usage of common names, pointing out that Africa's thorny acacias are widely recognised and known as ‘acacia’ the world over, whereas the Australian acacias are commonly known as ‘mimosas’ in Europe and as ‘wattles’ in Australia. Why, they asked, should one conserve the genus name *Acacia* for the Australian species when few refer to them by that name? Instead, they pointed out, it would be simpler to use the genus name *Racosperma* for nearly all the native species in Australia and neighbouring countries (Pedley 2004; Luckow et al. 2005; Boy 2005; Moore 2006b).

Sentiments of place, merged at times with national or continental chauvinism, were also widely called on to justify both positions. Orchard and Maslin (2005) noted that acacia flowers were a prominent element of the winter and springtime landscapes of the populated parts of Australia, when their brilliant yellow blossoms provided spectacular splashes of colour against the rich green hues in pastures and eucalyptus forests. They pointed to the iconic status of wattles for the nation of Australia. As Libby Robin and Jane Carruthers have documented (Robin 2002, 2007, 2008; Carruthers and Robin 2009; Carruthers et al. 2011), the wattle was crowned as the floral symbol for the young federation of Australia that was seeking to establish its distinctive identity in relation to Britain. According to Robin (2008:3), wattles were seen to symbolise ‘a fair and equal Australia’ because of their widespread presence and distribution across the whole continent. The golden wattle, *Acacia pycnantha*, was finally formally named the national floral emblem in 1988 during bicentennial celebrations of European settlement. It appears on the national coat of arms (Figure 4), in the design of the Order of Australia medals, and in the green and gold colours of the national sports teams. The first day of spring (1st September) in Australia is called Wattle Day, when politicians pin sprigs of wattle bloom on their lapels (as they also did in October 2002 to commemorate the Australian victims of the terrorist bombings in Bali). All of these factors, proponents of retypification asserted, were additional reasons to justify keeping the Latin name *Acacia* for Australian species.

Their opponents made similar arguments. Botswana’s Andy Moore (2006a:51) noted that acacias are ‘the icons of the savannah’ and rhetorically stated: ‘Put out a picture of an acacia silhouette against a setting sun, and ask anyone to say whether this makes them think of Africa, Australia, or Antarctica.’ Similar assertions claiming the African-ness of acacia were made in *Swara* (Boy 2005) and in letters to *Veld and Flora* (Cameron 2006:51). As the exchanges between the two sides grew increasingly vitriolic, Pedley (2004) complained:

> the rest of the world will not only have to abandon the name *Acacia*, but will have to accept transfers of species to at least two genera, both with unfamiliar names. The lovely flat-topped trees of the African veldt will be *Acacia* no more, but *Vachellia*. About an equal number of African species will go to *Senegalia*. The situation in Asia is similar… the situation in the Americas is worse. (Pedley 2004:4)

By the time the Vienna meeting approached, the so-called rational arguments and application of rules for retypification of acacia had disintegrated into a slanging match over its iconic status in Africa and Australia and its association with a sense of national or continental identity. The secretary of the Committee for Spermatophyta accused both sides of chauvinism (Brummitt 2004). Pedley (2004:4) stated it bluntly, claiming that:

---

14 Continental Europeans use the common name ‘acacia’ to refer to an unrelated American tree (*Robinia pseudoacacia*). Acacias introduced to Australia tend not to be given the common name ‘wattle’: *Acacia nilotica* goes by the common name ‘prickly acacia’, while *A. farnesiana* is ‘mimosa bush’.
Preserving the name *Acacia* exclusively for Australian species smacks somewhat of jingoism, inverse colonialism, or a sort. Australia is in a great position. It is a rich country with a well educated botanical public that can absorb name changes with a minimum of fuss...

Bruce Maslin denied that his push for retypification was ‘just about jingoism’ (Woodford 2002:1). In their response to Pedley, Maslin and Orchard said the ‘emotive argument’ about the loss of flat-topped trees of the African veldt was ‘misleading’, and dismissed his accusations, saying ‘Such comments are inappropriate and serve no useful purpose and as such do not really warrant a response’ (2004b:10-11).

Despite accusing each other of being jingoistic or emotive about the retypification issue, neither party wanted to acknowledge that no amount of justification regarding species numbers, grammatical inconveniences, or economic value could truly make a case for one side or the other. As Dick Brummitt (2010:1925) commented, ‘there are strong practical (if not nomenclatural) arguments on both sides; the magnitude of changes required either way is unprecedented’. Hence, both sides invoked sentiments about euphonic, well-deserved names and place-based chauvinistic rhetoric to stand their ground and to gain the sympathy of non-scientific audiences. When the decision to retypify was passed in Vienna, the winners returned to their scientific high ground, saying that their case had been validated on rational criteria, while the opponents highlighted non-scientific biases in procedure, irrationality and unequal resources and power. As the altercations over acacia clearly reveal, not only is there tension in the science of classification, but sentiment – otherwise known as ‘subjectivity’ or ‘irrationality’ – played an

---

Figure 4. Australia’s current ‘1912’ coat of arms, with stylised golden wattle *Acacia pycnantha* under the shield, emu and kangaroo.

important role in framing the arguments over the naming, labelling and reclassification of the genus. While Brummitt (2010) called them ‘mere emotional outpourings’, they have had no mere role in initiating and fuelling the conflict.

Conclusion

First Bruce: This here's the wattle – the emblem of our land. You can stick it in a bottle or you can hold it in yer hand.

All: Amen! 15

The battles of Vienna and Melbourne reveal the welter of human sentiment that is invested in the name 'acacia'. More generally, they highlight the disgruntlements that can surface with each new scientific development in botanical taxonomy. They demonstrate how these feelings took on particular rhetorical forms in the acacia battles – about the euphony of words, senses of place, territorial chauvinisms and procedural and personal politics. Botanical nomenclature is much more than a set of rules and conventions; it reflects a particular institutional and ideological history about the classification and naming of plants, and it is permeated by sentiment. Although taxonomists say that the botanical nomenclature process accords names on the basis of objective rules, the reality, at least in the case of acacia, was far from being so. In the end, despite not being quite so simple or clear cut, the acacia name war was seen by many as an ambit by 'Australia' to claim the euphonic name acacia for its native wattles, with an outraged opposition, particularly 'African', defending the rules of priority to maintain acacia for its thorn trees.

Despite the decision in Vienna, several authors writing about African acacias have pointedly stuck with the broad genus name Acacia (e.g. Cameron 2006; Dharani 2006). Rupert Watson (2007:193) expresses the long-drawn resistance to altering the genus name Acacia in the acknowledgements to his book The African Baobab:

I end with a botanical footnote. I am well aware that Africa has lost the battle with Australia for the right to use the genus name of Acacia; however, I continue to use the old names for members of this genus, not through any sense of scientific stubbornness, but simply because these will continue in use in Africa for many years to come.

Watson's matter-of-fact assertion points to what Bowker and Star (1999:67-68) call the ‘fault line’ between scientific and folk classification. It is not a Great Divide, they say, but a fracture that is constantly being redefined and changing its nature as the plate of lived experience is subducted under the crust of scientific knowledge. This fault line is the ways in which temporal experiences – history, events, development, memory, evolution – are registered and expressed, by formal systems of classification. Taxonomic rules and procedures for naming plants may claim to be based on scientific objectivity and operate above the subjectivity of local and regional traditions, but they cannot avoid the sentiments of their own practising members. Taxonomists, it seems, are just as emotional as non-scientists when it comes to naming plants.

Debates surrounding the renaming of plants are bound to be fractious, messy and contradictory because of the experiences, memories and place-based sentiments that scientists, as people, and people as non-scientists (as ‘folk’ or ‘community’), bring to the taxonomical

---

exercise. Bowker and Star (1999:326) argue for flexible classifications ‘whose users are aware of their political and organizational dimensions and which explicitly retain traces of their construction... The only good classification is a living classification’. We would echo their view by saying that the only good name is a living name. As Helmreich (2005:119) points out in the case of naming the algae species found around Hawaii, ‘it is ironic that, through oral traditions, the Hawaiian names have been perpetuated and usually accurately applied to the individual species, whereas three-fourths of the scientific names have been changed in the past 90 years’. The living scientific name for *Acacia* is *Acacia* in its broad sense, regardless of whether it is properly classified according to the rules of cladistics or any other system.\(^{16}\) This, in part, is why the acacia name change has generated such furore and emotion among members of the international botanical community and generated outpourings of sentiment among non-botanists in Australia, Africa and many other parts of the world.

Brummitt (2010, 2011) noted that the unprecedented uproar over the acacia name required unconventional approaches to taxonomic rules so as to accommodate cases where particular plant names are associated with strong sentiments. While he suggests that bending the rules this one time would be ‘unlikely to impact on other names in the future’ (2010:1925), we suggest that aesthetic sentiments, territorial chauvinisms and personal agendas will always play a role in debates over classification and nomenclature. Molecular systematics has put many plant classifications, and hence names, under pressure, as have other scientific advances in the past and as others will no doubt do in the future. The crises that result, for acacia and other names, may only be truly resolved by finding ways to recognise and incorporate people’s feelings for sounds, places and traditions in plant names – even in Latin names. Denying their importance by invoking the pretext of ‘scientific objectivity’ will only undermine the ability of the International Code of Botanical Nomenclature to serve as a universal system into the future.
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Introduction

Our increased understanding of ‘Man’s Role in Changing the Face of the Earth’ (Thomas 1956) is one of the key scientific achievements of the second half of the 20th century. Human activities now appropriate more than one third of the Earth’s terrestrial ecosystem production, and between a third and a half of the land surface of the planet has been transformed by human development (Vitousek et al. 1997). Humans are inextricably embedded in all earth surface processes, and often dominate them. These findings are increasingly being recognised in political and policy spheres, most notably in contemporary debates about climate change (IPCC 2007). Peter Kershaw’s work has been an influential component of this achievement, particularly in alerting us to a much longer potential timeframe of human entanglement through hunter-gatherer use of fire. He has forced us to think differently about cultural landscapes, and his research findings have persistently challenged the ideal of pristine wilderness.

Although research now clearly shows the variety of ways in which culture and nature are closely embedded, to the point of challenging their constitution as separate entities, most Western jurisdictions still attempt to manage them separately. For example, environmental management frequently distinguishes between natural heritage, managed by biological scientists, and cultural heritage, managed by archaeologists and related professionals. There are many spatial implications of this dualistic approach: ‘sites’ or ‘reserves’ tend to be conceptualised
and managed separately from their broader landscape context; and cultural and natural heritage values tend to be opposed rather than complementary. Where humans are constituted as not belonging to nature, they and their activities are physically excluded from protected areas. This has had particular implications for indigenous peoples, who have often been excluded from their own country. The management challenges of the 21st century require us to take integrative approaches to landscape management, cognisant of both human and nonhuman activities and processes, and scales from local to global. An important but relatively undeveloped area of research is to understand how environmental managers in government bureaucracies are experiencing and negotiating these challenges.

In this paper, we focus on managers of the landscapes of the county of Skåne, southern Sweden. This is the most densely occupied part of Sweden and preserves a visible signature of human activity dating back thousands of years. It is the sort of place for which the term ‘cultural landscape’ was coined (Birks et al. 1988; Sporrong 1995; Emanualsson et al. 2002). Although it is somewhat contested, there is strong evidence in the environmental history of southern Sweden that these forests have developed in the past few thousand years, with agricultural activities, particularly grazing, as an integral part of them (e.g. Berglund 1969, 1991; Cooper 2000; Bradshaw 2004, 2005; Bradshaw and Lindbladh 2005). Environmental histories in this region are built up from a combination of palaeoecological work and historical geography using old maps. If dualistic approaches to the management of culture and nature persist in such a demonstrably hybrid context as the cultural landscapes of Skåne, it demonstrates their resilience, and it is extremely significant to wider international debates. On the other hand, if alternative approaches are being developed here, there may be lessons for other parts of the world that are still using a separationist paradigm.

The main methodological window we use in the paper is interviews with professional environmental managers employed by the County Administrative Board (Länsstyrelsen), bearing responsibility for the management of nature reserves and cultural heritage management. Although environmental managers are powerful agents in decision-making and policy about such landscapes, there has been little systematic analysis of their understandings and practices.

We first contextualise our approach using recent culture/nature debates in geography and related disciplines. We then provide more specific background to the Swedish environmental context. An outline of the legislative and bureaucratic context of environmental management in Sweden shows how the situation in which these environmental professionals work already imposes certain divisions on them.

We then explain our methodology and focus on the results of interviews in which we analysed how these professionals negotiate questions of nature and culture in their work. These negotiations occur differently in relation to different habitats/landscapes. We compare forests and traditional agricultural landscapes, showing that the attraction of the primeval remains strong in relation to deciduous forests.

**Dualistic and hybrid approaches to human-nature relations**

In parallel to the accumulating scientific evidence of pervasive human influences in earth system processes, there has been substantially increased interest within the humanities and social sciences in non-human worlds. Ideas of hybridity and networks are being utilised to more effectively understand interactions between culture and nature, or more specifically to dissolve the distinction between them. The most well-known recent elaboration of ideas of hybridity has been in the work of Haraway (1991), Latour (1993) and Whatmore (2002) and their attempts to break free of the binary categories of society and nature. A further influence
on these debates in the past few decades has been the increasing political voice of indigenous peoples. Indigenous people's struggle for representation has become an important influence on thinking about environment, nature and landscape (Howitt et al. 2006).

The clearest example of the critique of nature converging on a practical environmental issue is in the postcolonial reassessment of the wilderness ideal and associated environmental imaginaries (Cronon 1996; Head 2000; Braun 2002; Baldwin 2004). Definitions of wilderness have a long history of change, and a shift from negative to positive connotations. The 19th century romantic wilderness ideal – of timeless, unchanging and remote landscapes – underpinned conservation and national parks policy in frontier societies such as the United States and Australia over the past century. The challenge came from diverse lines of evidence, including palaeoecological and archaeological demonstrations of long histories of human occupation in changing environments, and indigenous voices for whom so-called wilderness areas are home (McNiven and Russell 1995; Langton 1998). In Australia, the settler encounter with indigenous understandings of land and country has profoundly challenged management frameworks (Howitt 2001; Adams 2004, 2008; Howitt and Suchet-Pearson 2006). One management response has been the development of ‘cultural landscapes’ as a land management category, as seen, for example, in the World Heritage listing process (Head 2010).

The power of the wild has been exerted not only in New World contexts where indigenous inhabitants could be conceptually and physically erased. Mels (1999, 2002) has shown how it informed the establishment of national parks in Sweden, with problematic consequences for both the Saami lands of the Arctic north (see also Beach 2001, 2004), and the forest parks of Skåne, such as Söderåsen. Mels argues that the concept of nature promoted in national parks and through the Swedish EPA1 is one heavily informed by biological science views that exclude humans. The park principle ‘remains committed to an image of parks as spaces of natural science rather than social convention’ (Mels 1999:174). Parks such as Söderåsen, Stenshuvud and Dalby Söderskog had long histories of cultural engagement and transformation, indeed were ‘to a substantial degree the product of human practices’ (Mels 1999:170). They responded in unexpected ways to management plans that fenced them and left them to take care of themselves.

How can the national parks of Skåne be defined as natural landscapes requiring careful protection from human intervention, while simultaneously needing active management to maintain a pastoral condition, to remove “unnatural” species and to provide unobstructed scenic viewpoints? Or should the parks and their nature be seen as cultural products? This alternative would bring about insurmountable problems for the park ideal, because its nature by definition is of a “natural”, not “cultural” kind. (Mels 1999:173)

Participants in our study work mostly with nature reserves rather than national parks, but there are a number of areas of comparison with Mels’ work. The national context, which he identified as extremely important, has also changed somewhat in recent years, with much habitat and species management now subject to European Union commitments such as Natura 2000.

It is beyond the scope of this paper to resolve the long-standing dualisms in Western thought. Rather, we explore how both the dualisms and challenges to them are being experienced and negotiated in the working lives of these environmental managers. As Castree argues:
The baroque jargon of academia may confidently declare that there never was a Maginot line dividing natural things from social things. But in several walks of life people continue to speak and act as though such a divide were self-evident… there is a continuing need for close analysis of nature-talk in any and all realms of society. (Castree 2004:191)

By focusing here on a particular set of ‘nature-talk’, we illustrate the practical challenges that lie ahead of all of us. We can also identify the organisational and disciplinary spheres of influence where separationist ideas remain spatially powerful.

The Swedish context

Legislation

There are two major sets of laws covering Swedish landscape management, Miljöbalken, the Environmental Code, and Kulturminneslagen, the Heritage Conservation Act. The conservation of areas, species of plants and animals are regulated by the Environmental Code, whereas the conservation of ancient remains, churches, other buildings, and place names are within the Cultural Heritage Law (for overviews in English see Ministry of the Environment, Sweden (2000); National Heritage Board, Sweden (2000)).

In the Environmental law section 2, chapter 7, Nature conservancy, there are three major instruments of spatial conservation.

• National parks (§2-3) are areas owned by the state for the purpose of preserving larger areas of a certain landscape type in their natural or in all essentials unchanged conditions.
• Nature reserves (§4-8) have the purpose of preserving biodiversity, conserving valuable nature areas or meeting the demands of outdoor recreation.
• Cultural reserves (§9) have the purpose of preserving valuable cultural landscapes.

Swedish legislation concerning nature conservation was established in 1909 with the formation of nine national parks, ranging from large remote mountain areas in northern Sweden to small deciduous woodlands in southern Sweden. All were supposed to be ‘natural’ areas, with no or only slight interference by humans, and were therefore not to be managed in any way. A new nature conservation law in 1965, Naturvårdslagen, introduced the concept of nature reserves, and in 1967 the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency (Naturvårdsverket) was formed.

In 1999, the parliament established 15 environmental objectives to emphasise the change from defensive politics against environmental threats such as pollution, to offensive politics, with the aim of handing over to the next generation a society where environmental problems had been solved. To reach these objectives, a large number of environmental laws were revised and brought together in the Environmental Code. Various national authorities are in charge of the objectives and the broader issues related to the objectives. The Swedish EPA is, for example, responsible for the ‘natural environment’, while the National Heritage Board is in charge of the ‘cultural environment’. And the Swedish Board of Agriculture rules the objective ‘A varied Agricultural landscape’, whereas the Swedish Forest Agency is responsible for ‘Sustainable    

---

2 Naturvård and naturskydd are two Swedish terms which both may be translated nature conservation. However, the word skydda, means ‘protect, shelter, defend’, while vårda means ‘take good care of’ (with a slightly more positive meaning than the word sköta, which means ‘manage’, ‘take care of’). Naturskydd is the older term from 1904, while naturvård did not come into use until 1958 (the Swedish National Encyclopedia).
Forests’. As most Swedish landscapes combine elements of several of these interests, for example where the forests have been part of the agricultural economy for thousands of years, there is considerable overlap between the interests of the conservation authorities. This overlap in responsibilities provides potential for both fruitful cooperation between managers of different backgrounds, and also conflict. A 16th objective, on biodiversity, was adopted in 2005 (SEOC 2006).

**Organisation**

Most of the policies of the national authorities are implemented at the regional level by 21 county councils. In county Skåne, this means that the issues of ‘natural’ and ‘cultural’ environment are handled within the Nature Conservation Section (Naturskyddsektionen) and the Culture Conservation Section (Kulturmiljösektionen) of the Environmental Department (Miljöavdelningen), while agricultural issues are managed by the Agricultural Department (Jordbrukssektionen). Forestry issues, including forestry measures within protected areas, are handled by the regional offices of the Swedish Forest Agency (Skogsstyrelsen), which are not located at the county councils.

When it joined the European Union in 1994, Sweden also signed EU nature legislation, including the Habitats Directive. Article 3 of this directive states that ‘a coherent European network of special areas of conservation shall be set up under the title Natura 2000’. There are now more than 60,000 km² of Natura 2000 sites in Sweden, from the alpine in the north, through the boreal zone, to the nemoral zone of southernmost Sweden. The Natura 2000 concept is presented to the public as follows:

> Not all kinds of habitats and species of Natura 2000 can be preserved in the same way. Management will vary depending on which kind of values are to be preserved. Sometimes active management or restoration is needed, sometimes no alterations should be made. The basic principle is that a meadow should remain a meadow through mowing, while the forest will continue to develop to natural forest by being excluded from forestry. (Naturvårdsverket 2003) (translated to English by JR)

This provides an interesting example of a theme that reappears in our interview material – how the open cultural landscape (grasslands) is contrasted to the supposedly natural forest landscape.

**Methods**

The now well-established tradition of qualitative research into environmental values and behaviours in cultural geography, anthropology and related disciplines has produced analyses of different, often conflicting understandings of nature (Harrison and Burgess 1994; Trigger 1997; Trigger and Mulcock 2005; Gill 2006) between groups, as well as wider societal discourses (Dekker Linnros and Hallin 2001). Important recent studies have examined environmentalists (McGregor 2004). Among the wide range of groups that can be considered stakeholders in environmental issues, managers and bureaucrats within government agencies are among the least studied. An important Nordic exception is Emmelin’s (2000) questionnaire study of professional cultures within Nordic environmental administrations.

Our interviewees came from the Nature Conservation Section (Naturskyddsektionen) and the Culture Conservation Section (Kulturmiljösektionen) of the Environmental Department (Miljöavdelningen). The initial research strategy was to compare the experiences and thinking of ‘nature’ and ‘culture’ professionals working in broader landscape management, where a
clear nature/culture delineation was likely to be problematic. We undertook semi-structured interviews with 13 people from these sections, identified by their section directors as having responsibility for landscape issues and reserve selection and management policy. Although small in number, this represents an almost complete sample of the designated group, the exceptions being several people who were on leave or otherwise unavailable during the interview period, the winter of 2005-06. Interviews were done in the offices of Länsstyrelsen in Malmö and Kristianstad.

Ten were seen by themselves and their colleagues as ‘nature people’, three as ‘culture people’, the proportion reflecting the dominance of nature people in issues to do with landscape management. (We excluded cultural heritage managers with exclusive responsibility for building conservation.) Nature people tended to have an educational background in biology, ecology and/or physical geography, while culture people had studied archaeology, history and/or cultural geography. A number of individuals had generalist backgrounds. There was a mixture of ages, from recent graduates to an imminent retiree, and nine men and four women. Such direct and full access was only possible with the support of the section directors, although they declined to be interviewed themselves. This unique research opportunity also created some dilemmas. For example, participants were aware that although they would be formally anonymous, their colleagues would likely be able to identify their opinions. All were happy to proceed on this basis, a number stating adamantly that they would stand by their statements.

Interviews were conducted in English by the two authors, who bring both outsider and insider perspectives to the Swedish situation in general, and to Skåne environments in particular. Initial questions covered participants’ training and background, their current job responsibilities, landscape management strategies, the ways the culture/nature distinction is important (or not) in their work environment, departmental organisation, and issues of scale (county/nation/EU). Questions about specific examples they had worked on were used to lead into more conceptual discussions. Interviewees were all well educated in English, but were encouraged to switch to Swedish when necessary. Interviews were transcribed in full, sections translated into English as needed, and analysed for the dominant themes, which are discussed below. The terms nature (natur) and culture (kultur) have more or less parallel meanings in Swedish and English, but a number of other terms needed conceptual as well as linguistic clarification. These are explained in the following sections as necessary.

**Nature and culture**

All interviewees expressed great passion for their work and commitment to the broader endeavour in which they were engaged. Most expressed frustrations of one sort or another at the bureaucratic and legislative barriers to effective work. A number had suggestions about how the organisation could work better to manage landscapes in a more integrated way. The widespread feeling of being understaffed, overworked and frequently reorganised would be shared in many similar organisations today. As one participant laughed when asked how his thinking had changed over time, ‘As I said, I haven’t had time to think.’

Many could trace their involvement in environmental work to childhood experiences of country life, and/or involvement in community environmental organisations. All recognised the complexities of nature/culture entanglements, with a variety of different positions on whether and where a line should be drawn between them. We outline the diversity of views here, and then show how they are expressed in particular environments.

**Nature nature and culture nature**

There was a strong sense among participants of the pervasive and long-term influences of
humans on the Skåne landscape. This has led to a vernacular expression that ‘there is no nature in Skåne’. While participants did not usually go to those lengths, most saw Skåne as having a different sort of nature. The relevant comparison was either spatial – the north of Sweden, where ‘real’ nature is considered to still exist; or temporal – a past time before significant human impacts. These distinctions were summarised by one participant as ‘nature nature’ (untouched nature, in the north), ‘nature culture’ (natur påverkad = impacted nature, nature formed by people) (this includes avenues, fields, grazing areas, meadows, stone walls, open ditches and earth walls, i.e. a variety of agricultural situations that have become important contexts for biodiversity preservation), and ‘culture culture’ (cultural heritage sites such as houses, buildings, churches, archaeological sites).

The middle ground idea of ‘nature culture’, or ‘culture nature’, had a variety of expressions in the interviews, coming from both nature and culture people:

all nature is human made, at least in this province… people have used the landscape during the… last 10,000 years.

a natural landscape doesn’t exist in this part of Sweden.

I don’t think there is so much nature in Skåne… I think you have to go to the north of Sweden to see nature… that doesn’t have as much impact from human beings… because all the woods are in some way cultivated.

In Skåne, where you have high cultural values, you also have high nature values, many times, they’re connected… also in the forest, not only in the grass land.

All made reference to the history of the landscape and its utilisation by people, first as hunter gatherers but more particularly as agriculturalists. One identified the enclosure period as the relevant temporal boundary, with traditional land uses before that time falling under the umbrella of ‘nature’. The relationship with humans can also change the status of non-humans, as in the example ‘horses are nature when grazing, but culture when someone is riding them’. Despite the acknowledged entanglements between nature and culture, only two participants mentioned the possibility of nature being in the city.

There was a widespread feeling that the difference between a naturreservat (nature reserve) and kulturrezervat (cultural reserve) is a purely administrative one, the only difference being that nature reserves rarely include buildings. Many argued that there should just be one type of reserve in which both natural and cultural features could be protected. For example, ‘it’s not necessary to point out whether it’s a nature reserve or a culture reserve, it’s just a reserve, because all nature is human made, at least in this province’. Differences arose, however, in the specifics of management, whether inside or outside reserves. The dilemmas of managing various aspects of the continuing human presence leads to a set of contested landscapes, the most contested of which are the deciduous forests:

beech forest, they’re cultural in Skåne… they are no more natural than rapsfält [a field of oil seed rape].

Although we did not pursue it in the interviews, and do not explore it further in this paper, it is worth noting that the ideal of a pristine, untouched nature in the north of Sweden is itself

3 Det finns ingen natur i Skåne.
a highly contested notion.

It’s a little bit different, between northern and southern Sweden, because in the north, I think… most land is nature in that case, but in the southern part, we have just a small piece of nature and the rest is the humanmade landscape.

For example, such views erase the presence and aspirations of Saami people (or include them in nature) (Beach 2001, 2004) and also ignore the agro-industrial nature of forestry in the boreal forests. This wilderness ideal can be seen to represent ‘a flight from history’ in much the same way as Cronon has argued for colonial societies such as the USA (Cronon 1996; see also McNiven and Russell 1995 for Australia).

The deciduous forests

The most contested of the landscapes under discussion are the deciduous beech and oak forests, confined in Sweden to the more temperate areas of the far south. For this and other reasons, including its time as part of Denmark, Skåne is often referred to as the most ‘continental’ part of Sweden. Preservation and restoration of these deciduous forests is high on the EU agenda given their decimation in most areas of continental Europe.

Despite a long history of human occupation, interaction and transformation, forests are more likely than open landscapes to be thought of, or managed, as ‘nature nature’. The main exception is the cultural heritage people, and some older ecologists with long practical management experience. This distinction was argued by one participant to be reinforced by the (northern) Stockholm perspective of Naturvårdsverket, under the influence of traditional understandings of biology:

Naturvårdsverket don’t see humans as a natural part…, especially not when it comes to forest habitats. The grassland, they understand that they do need to have humans that have cattle and so on, but they don’t see the human as a natural part of the dynamics of a forest.

Southern forests are seen as different to northern taiga ones because the human activities have led to the characteristics that are now valued:

[the northern ones have] been impacted but the values you have there are not dependent on the impact of humans, on the contrary.

The differences between the northern boreal and southern deciduous forests are further seen in the way people used the following terms. Urskog – old wood, untouched forest, primeval forest – was seen not to exist any more. Naturskog is natural forest, or a nature wood. ‘Nature wood is a wood that hasn’t been used by man for a very, very, very long time, but we don’t actually have those forests in Skåne, in Sweden maybe up in the mountains.’ Some of the study participants see themselves as trying to re-establish naturskog, forest with a minimum of human impact, in order to still have biologically interesting forest. Betesskog (wood pasture, or grazed forest) is seen as the most cultural type of forest, or as one person described it, a ‘fruit garden’ for pigs:

the main purpose with the beech trees was to produce acorns/mast [for] the pigs in the
forest...They were similar to a fruit garden, after the same principles the acorns were central in that type of management... timber, [was] not so important.

The idea of some forests as agricultural landscapes, as described above, is not widely favoured. The same participant showed us a cartoon he uses regularly in seminars that summarises the critique that he feels he has to defend against. The caption translates as '(Enclosed) wood pasture is a bad mixture of forest and pasture not good for either trees or cows'. Thus the conceptual purity of the forest is maintained by excluding both humans and their grazing animals.

*Fri utveckling*

The concept of *fru utveckling* (= free development = hands off management) is an important key to understanding the differences in attitude and practice. The concept is frequently used in the guidelines for the county councils regarding management plans for Natura 2000 areas. All deciduous-forest habitats of Sweden, with the exception of ‘9070 Fennoscandian wood pastures’, are supposed to be managed by the hands-off regime (*Naturvårdsverket* 2007), sometimes, however, with the somewhat contradictory advice to clear areas around light-demanding large oaks.

In contrast to detailed discussion of the forest history, where both the long continuity of human influence in the forest and late 19th and 20th century changes in the forested landscape (such as the introduction of forestry and the decline in forest grazing) are described, free development is more promoted than discussed. A specific example of this lack of discussion, when linking the description of former land use and biological values to the proposed management style, is the management plan of the national park Söderåsen. After saying that 45% of the area contains cultural elements such as ancient fields, clearance cairns and stone walls (p. 10), the first stated aim of the national park is to protect the ‘natural vegetation’ for ‘free, natural development’ (p. 11) (*Naturvårdsverket* 2003).

Invoking the currency of biodiversity, plant ecologists among our sample were particularly keen to allow mixed deciduous forests to develop without human interference. The pressure to do this came not only from *Naturvårdsverket* but from the requirements to fulfil Natura 2000.

We have to leave a lot of forest for internal dynamics or free development, sort of. To come closer to a natural state of forest... no large extraction of wood, increasing the dead wood in the area, and having a forest with several layers... so going from one farm-like forest to... what could be emerging to be a natural forest.

The opposite view, held mostly by the cultural heritage people, is that beech forest left to its own devices will change to something else. If you want it to stay as a beech forest, it is necessary to actively intervene, through grazing, for example. They see the biologists as denying the long cultural history of the forests. The cultural heritage people want to preserve the history of the forests, but increasingly feel they have to use the language of biodiversity to support their arguments. Thus they talk about a different suite of species being protected under a managed forest regime. The National Heritage Board has also promoted the concept of ‘biological culture-heritage’ (*biologiskt kulturarv*) during recent years to include the biological remains of former land-use meadows, pollards and grazed forest (e.g. Emanuelsson 2003).

In fact, even those who were in favour of re-establishing multi-aged forest stands recognised that *fru utveckling* was not, in fact, free or hands off, but another type of management:
In the main part of Sweden you get most of the values if you leave the [spruce and pine] forest alone, but down here it’s not so, it’s not that easy to decide what will be the best in the long run, because you have the hands of man all over the landscape, and it’s been so for a very long time.

A number of participants felt that, whatever the rhetoric, fri utveckling was in fact favoured because it is cheaper.

**Preserving the past vs hurrying up nature**

Although several of the ‘nature tribe’ refer in an almost romantic sense to forests before people, there is, as discussed in the introduction, little empirical support for this view in the environmental history of southern Sweden. In fact, the cultural people were more likely to invoke the past in forest management discussions, with the plant ecologists more often referring to the present and the future. In a context where environmental issues are understood as urgent, the ecologists see a need to reestablish this biodiversity more quickly than the several hundred years it might otherwise take. Thus they talk about the ‘fast development of the natural’ and ‘hurrying up nature’. In another instance, an ecologist said that she did not think often of the people who had lived there in the distant past. ‘I’m more interested in animals and the present, I see more than I see … the people before.’

There is also debate over whether this means a new sort of nature is being created.

The grazing disturbance is very important I think, because if you are leaving it to free development, you are creating [a] type of landscape of which we know very little, and [which] is completely new. The grazing disturbance has a very, very long continuity, and I think it’s very important from many aspects.

For another nature person, the establishment of such new communities was important because Skåne is the only part of Sweden where climatic conditions allow the possibility of deciduous forest:

To keep some areas, we would like to suggest that the areas should develop freely, with[out] any management plan, and that would be…natural forest, not a virgin forest, because we don’t have virgin forests in this part of the country…

(Interviewer) Is it creating something new then…?

Yes, no it’s quite new, well it existed during the Bronze Age, perhaps, but not later, because it has been used by people during all the time…We have to start from the beginning.

Restoration work was seen as urgent, and also as requiring investment in areas of currently low biological value but with great potential.

We have to start with forests that today are used for forestry, and… with very low biodiversity, to be able to create a high diversity, because it takes about one hundred years to reach that stage of forest development, but we can’t wait until, let’s say, until 2015 to start with that work, because in that case those areas that we today are interested in are gone, or have developed in another direction.
They acknowledged a need to educate the public about what would become a multi-aged forest, since more open, managed and tidy forests are very popular for recreational purposes, particularly in spring.

Sometimes we get in conflict with friluftslivet because we want to have a lot of dead wood for the insects and the bugs and we need to have big old trees lying everywhere but people that are going out with the dog for a walk… they like a beech forest with high nice trees and so on, and the sun.

Time in the job and lengthy field experience influenced nature people towards favouring more hands-on management.

The people that work with the management of nature reserves … outside… see what happens… they think that it should be managed much more than those that are working with the plan… but I think it’s changing.

The traditional agricultural landscape

The more obviously agricultural landscape is the area referred to as culture nature or nature culture. We distinguish here between two parts of this landscape: first, grazing areas and meadows, in which there is widespread acceptance of the need to maintain traditional practices, or some proxy thereof, in order to maintain biodiversity; and second, human constructions such as stone walls, which have become sites of biodiversity maintenance and are contested in terms of whether they should be managed for this or for cultural heritage.

Grazing lands and hay meadows

There is widespread recognition among ecologists that traditional management, or some replica thereof, is important to biodiversity conservation in the so-called semi-natural grasslands.

The main reason for restoring these man-made grasslands is their exceptionally high species richness at small spatial scales… A prerequisite for keeping high species richness is to continue grazing, as the number of species drastically decline on grasslands when abandoned. (Lindborg 2006:957)

These open environments were the least contested among our participants, who all acknowledged the integral role of cultural activities. For example, one passionate animal ecologist with current responsibility for developing the management plan for a nature reserve in coastal grasslands talked of the importance of maintaining grazing in order to prevent reed encroachment on important bird habitat.

… apart from all the plants that you wouldn’t have there because the reed is so tall… the waders are dependent on… the short grass for foraging and… breeding… This marsh land here is so special, so like the highest values is for… when it comes to animals, the waders, to keep them.

---

4 Outdoor recreation.
**Cultural remains as biodiversity habitat**

More contested are avenues, stone walls, open ditches, earth walls and pollarded trees, all of which are obviously the outcome of past human activity. Because of their longevity in the landscape they have become, or protect, habitats supporting biodiversity. The cultural people consider the nature people to be appropriating these remains to the domain of nature, with insufficient recognition of their cultural heritage value. One of our discussions concerned the example of avenues of trees (*allés*).

You have the trees, they are planted by man... with one purpose... it's a very big part of an open landscape, you see them [from a] long [way]. But these trees are not part of culture any more, it's nature now... When we want to preserve this avenue or... take down some trees, we can say OK, we think you should have that kind of tree and not that one, we are all the time on... nature's area, we have to play with the nature people, but they are making the final decision.

For this participant, responsibility for failing to recognise these more vernacular parts of the cultural landscape lay with the government who, in the laws of *biotopskydd* (biodiversity protection), had designated such remains important for biodiversity. By the same token, their cultural significance is not necessarily recognised in the cultural heritage law, which focuses on buildings and archaeological sites more than 100 years old.

An ecologist recognised the cultural remains (earth walls, pollarded trees, mounds) in the landscape she was responsible for, but 'those things don't really have to be managed in any different way, they are just kind of there'. These remains were contentious not only in what should be done about them, but in an organisational sense, with both nature and culture people accusing the others of neglecting or not understanding them.

The different attitudes to forested and open agricultural landscapes have some parallels in two societal discourses of resistance to the Öresund Link between Sweden and Denmark (Dekker Linnros and Hallin 2001), suggesting they are indicative of more widely entrenched understandings. The first, referred to as ‘Fertile Earth’, emphasises ‘conservation of soil as an important resource for future agriculture’ (Dekker Linnros and Hallin 2001:394). It is grounded in a vision of Skåne ‘where small-scale, dispersed patterns of settlement and a ‘balanced’ relationship with nature are the prerequisites for achieving a ‘Good Society’ (p. 396).’

The second, ‘Protect Nature’, calls for the protection of original, untouched nature. Although this discourse does not include a narrative about society, it is reinforced by the (human) spatial practice of ‘being out in nature’. For example, some of the strongest advocates of this discourse are members of the Field Biologists. Although Dekker Linnros and Hallin were discussing these discourses in relation to Skåne as a whole, rather than particular environments within it, it is clear that the forest continues to be a site, perhaps the last possible site, where the Protect Nature discourse has purchase.

**Currencies**

Knowledge and understanding of environmental history was not in itself broadly accepted as a basis for future management strategies, so several people commented that they had to make their arguments for continuation or reestablishment of historical practices using the currency of species protection. For example, one advocate of grazing practices explained how his argument for putting cattle in a nature reserve was strengthened by the discovery that the beetle
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5 In Swedish, the same word *jord* is used for both ‘soil’ and ‘earth’.
läderbagge (*Osmoderma eremita*) was favoured in other areas by more open forest conditions. In these situations, it could often become a contest between different suites of species. Plant communities were considered to be treated as more important in the management plan process than a holistic view of landscape, and more important than ancient remains.

Cultural people felt they had no equivalent of IUCN red-listed (threatened) species, nor of quantitative measures of significance. While a large number of buildings are protected by the law of ‘bygadsminne’ (built heritage), there was argued to be a lack of strong laws ‘in the middle’, for the cultural landscape.

When you’re dealing with a cultural landscape, you’re not dealing with objects, one there and one there, you have communication between all parts of the landscape… I think it’s easier to just pick one tree or one forest or something like that but we have to deal with the structures and the… process in the landscape.

This was also connected to what was seen as the greater political power of nature and the nature people, which went along with greater funding.

On the other hand, nature people saw themselves as having considerably broadened their perspective in the past decade or so. Whereas in the past they had focused on flora and perhaps birds, today’s understanding of biodiversity was seen as much broader, considering ‘sites that are important to beetles, butterflies, birds, mushrooms, lichens, mosses’ as well.

Species are also the currency of labour allocation, since each endangered species needs an action plan. One participant said with some weariness, ‘in Skåne, we are privileged with approximately 100 of these action plans’. While this is seen as an advance over times in the past when biodiversity values were not recognised at all, it would sometimes be preferable ‘to look at a higher level at the landscape’:

If you look at meadows, you have a vast diversity of species that are threatened, each of these gets their own action plan instead of the habitat getting an action plan. So if you are trying to save one species, you are threatening another. For example, we have *Crex crex*, ‘kornknarr’ which is a bird that likes meadows in a degenerating phase, but in the same place you have a lot of plants, that need yearly management, so they have a problem!

Conclusions

Dualistic conceptions of nature and culture remain firmly entrenched in the management of Skåne landscapes, although there are also sources of challenge.

Sweden’s legislation and administrative organisation provides the setting for significant divisions between nature and culture. Both ‘nature people’ and ‘culture people’ demonstrated an understanding of Skåne as a hybrid landscape that has experienced human entanglement and influence over many thousands of years. In contrasting this with the north of Sweden, both groups demonstrated the power of an ideal, untouched (or less touched) nature existing somewhere else. Culture people and nature people differed most obviously in how they used the past and invoked time. Culture people tended to value the past for its own sake and as a guide to future activity. Nature people’s temporal reference was more often the future, including when this involved creating new landscapes and forests. In this respect, they recognised and acknowledged the role of humans in contemporary management practice. None of our participants was a passionate advocate of *fri utveckling*, if this is understood as completely ‘hands off’ management. Where they were in favour of a version of it, they recognised it as a
managed process involving considerable human investment. Those who opposed it included
nature people whose many years of field experience had led them to see human activities in
forests as essential to both biodiversity and cultural protection. Each group was inclined to
categorically appropriate contested areas such as stone walls for their respective ‘sides’, but in
practical management terms, the barriers to working together on these sorts of sites do not
seem insurmountable. Their perspectives have been shown to be influenced by educational
background, childhood experiences and grappling with practical issues on the ground in the
course of their work.

However, there are differences in the way different landscapes are understood. There is
greater resistance to recognising the reality of the human role in forests and wooded landscapes
than open landscapes such as grasslands and meadows. The ideal of the primeval virgin forest
so powerful in popular culture holds sway among science-oriented environmental managers
beyond what can be argued on the historical evidence. We speculate that it is connected to
the power of trees in both scientific and popular imaginations (Jones and Cloke 2002), and
to the more visible connection between open landscapes and human activity in the form of
agriculture.

The historical power of ‘nature’ as traditionally understood within the biological and
ecological sciences, relative to culture history, has been exacerbated by EU agreements such
as Natura 2000, which focus on the (non-human) species as the relevant currency. This is
a somewhat paradoxical outcome given that traditional understandings of nature are often
argued to set humans apart from and above the rest of the natural world. The intention of
such agreements has been the protection of vulnerable species in rapidly changing landscapes
across national and other boundaries. It would be counterproductive, however, if increasingly
separationist approaches to particular species’ protection occurred at the expense of dynamic
and resilient total landscapes.

To return to the theme of the volume, Peter Kershaw’s work has been influential in
international thinking about peopled landscapes and the challenges of the Anthropocene.
Given the importance of disciplinary background as an influence on our research participants,
one clear implication is on how we train future managers. It is increasingly recognised within
ecology that past frameworks and conceptual understandings need revision to meet the
challenges of the future (e.g. Hobbs et al. 2006, 2009). A variety of disciplinary perspectives
will continue to be important, enhancing the capacity of students to approach wider cross-
cultural issues. There are no simple solutions to these challenges, but understanding how they
play out in different organisational settings will continue to be an important complement to
cultural ecological research.
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**Introduction**

Understanding tropical sensitivity and its link with higher latitudes is a major issue for both climatologists and climate modellers. Moreover, changes in the floristic composition of tropical forests through time are of interest to ecologists wanting to understand the evolution of tropical biodiversity. Araucariaceae is a very ancient family of conifers dating to the Triassic. Its maximum diversity was reached during the Jurassic and Cretaceous periods, becoming extinct in the Northern Hemisphere at the end of the Cretaceous. Today, the genus *Araucaria* includes 19 species, 13 of which are endemic to New Caledonia, with another six distributed across Norfolk Island, eastern Australia, New Guinea, Argentina, Chile and southern Brazil (Enright and Hill 1995; Kershaw and Wagstaff 2001).

Among these 19 Southern Hemisphere species of *Araucaria*, five are endangered and three have a vulnerable status (UICN). All species have restricted distributions, which are relics of a past expansion. These large trees, with a massive central trunk that commonly reach heights of 30-60 m, are mainly restricted to moist forests in the wet cool tropics (Figure 1) with a mixed lower strata of angiosperms. When temperatures are high, as in New Guinea or near Rio de Janeiro in Brazil, *Araucaria* grows at higher elevation (Kershaw and McGlone 1995).

*Araucaria* forest expansion and contraction during the last glacial/interglacial cycle is recorded in three long pollen records, all at a similar latitude: southern Brazil, northeastern Australia and New Caledonia. In northeastern Australia, at Lynch’s Crater on the Atherton Tableland, the pollen record extends back 230,000 years and shows that rainforest expansion took place during wetter interglacial periods, replaced by drier rainforest and sclerophyll vegetation during drier glacial periods. The expansion of *Araucaria* forest is seen twice in this record, from ca. 190,000-130,000 years ago and from 80,000-45,000 years ago (Kershaw et al. 2007).
The disappearance of *Araucaria* at 45,000 years ago, synchronous with an increase in fire and a shift towards open sclerophyllous forest or savanna, was attributed to the burning activities of people in the absence of any significant change in global climate cyclicity (Kershaw 1978, 1986). The comparative marine record off the northeast Australian coast, ODP 820, illustrates that at a regional scale there was a trend of decreasing *Araucaria* pollen and increasing pollen from sclerophyll taxa over the past 250,000 years (Moss and Kershaw 2007), and attests to the continuous presence of fires in the broader landscape for the past 250,000 years. It is thought, therefore, that what are seen at 45,000 years ago in the Lynch’s Crater record are changes that were already in motion before the human colonisation of Australia, but that these changes were accelerated by human impact (Kershaw et al. 2007). Detected in both the Lynch’s Crater record and the ODP 820 record is a non-Milankovitch 30,000-year frequency that is present in most major attributes and dominates both gymnosperm and charcoal records (Kershaw et al. 2003, 2007; Moss and Kershaw 2007), and which is thought to represent a modification of the precessional signal due to ENSO variability (Beaufort et al. 2003).

A long comparative record from New Caledonia contains a similar disappearance of *Araucaria* forest (Stevenson and Hope 2005). However, as this island was only colonised by people 3000 years ago, human disturbance is not a factor in explaining this vegetation change, nor is fire a component of *Araucaria* decline. As human impact is excluded until the late Holocene, the ENSO hypothesis was retained in spite of some chronological matching uncertainties.

In the pollen record from Colônia Crater in southern Brazil, neither human impact nor ENSO can be inferred to explain the disappearance of *Araucaria*; human arrival on the South American continent is estimated to be around 12,000 years ago and the site is located on the Atlantic side of the continent where the ENSO phenomenon is weak and dependent on other intrahemispheric linkages (Lau and Zhou 2003). Indeed, a spectral analysis performed on arboreal pollen frequencies from the Colônia Crater record shows only one signal, that of precession (Ledru et al. 2009). In addition, fire was not a driving factor, as no charcoal particles were recovered from the sediments. Consequently, a change in Southern Hemisphere climate is the remnant hypothesis to explain the decline of *Araucaria* at all three sites 45,000 years ago. In this paper, we explore this phenomenon in an attempt to establish the major contributing factor to *Araucaria* expansion and contraction during the late Quaternary.

**Figure 1.** Modern distribution of Araucaria in the Southern Hemisphere (from Enright and Hill 1995), trajectories of the polar advections (black arrows) towards the continents, northern and southern extent of the Inter Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) and localities of the palaeorecords: 1) Lynch’s Crater, 2) Lake Xere Wapo, 3) Colônia Crater.
Climate control on the modern distribution and composition of *Araucaria* forests

**Australia**

In Australasia, five species of *Araucaria* are observed today; one species is restricted to Norfolk Island, two species are restricted to New Guinea, and two species are in northeastern Australia (*A. bidwillii* and *A. cunninghamii*). Both species are found in the cooler subtropical forests of southeast Queensland, but apart from a few isolated occurrences of *A. bidwillii*, all of the araucarian forest patches further north, extending as far as New Guinea, are dominated by *A. cunninghamii* (Kershaw and McGlone 1995; Kershaw and Wagstaff 2001; Kershaw and Walker 2007). While found in the cooler tropical forests of the northeast Queensland’s mountain tops, where the short dry season is attenuated by fog and cloud interceptions, *A. cunninghamii* is also an important emergent in the lowland dry vine forests of southeast Queensland and northern New South Wales, as well as growing on a number of islands off the northeast Australian coast (Enright 1995). The habitat of the species is therefore highly diverse, growing on a variety of substrates both in Australia and New Guinea, under average annual rainfall regimes varying from 850 mm to >4000 mm and in locations with mean annual temperatures from as low as 11°C to as high as 26°C (Enright 1995).

The Australian climate is dominated by the seasonal migration of the subtropical high system, the synoptic scale manifestation of the descending limb of the Hadley circulation (Hobbs 1999). During austral winter, the subtropical highs occupy their most northerly position over the Australian continent. This directs dry easterly trade winds over the north of the continent, while frontal lows embedded within the mid-latitude westerlies are directed over southern Australia, which experiences its wet season. During austral summer, the subtropical highs migrate towards the pole, occupying a position near Australia’s southern margin. The subsiding air beneath the anticyclone brings primarily dry summer weather to southern Australia, as the westerlies are pushed south of the continent (Gentilli 1972). At the same time, the Inter Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) moves south of the equator, bringing monsoon rains to Australia’s north.

The Atherton region in northeastern Australia has a tropical climate, with an average annual rainfall of 1420 mm. The majority of Atherton’s rainfall occurs during summer between December and March, a time when the monsoon trough is close to this region, with the intensity and frequency of rainfall attributed to the location of the ITCZ and the South Pacific Convergence Zone (SPCZ). Eighty percent of the region’s precipitation falls during the summer and less than 10% in winter. However, frequent cloud and drizzle at higher elevations like Lynch’s Crater mean that moist conditions are maintained throughout the year. The climate of the Atherton Tableland region is also affected by the ENSO phenomenon, with El Niño phases inducing a strong decrease in seasonal rainfall due to changes in the position of the convergence zones: the ITCZ and the SPCZ.

The prevailing winds at Atherton are east to southeasterly, with the strongest winds (excluding cyclones) occurring during April and August. During the winter months, the region can experience incursions of cooler air brought by westerly winds (Baines 1980) (Figure 1).

**New Caledonia**

Of the 13 species that grow in New Caledonia today, 11 grow in the southern massif (22°S), where topography and altitude ensure that annual rainfall is in excess of 1500 mm (Jaffré 1995). The southern massif is dominated by an ultramafic geology, resulting in a landscape with a characteristic vegetation type known locally as the maquis minier; a sclerophyllous, evergreen, light-demanding formation associated with ultramafic rocks. This formation can be composed of either shrubs or a woody-herbaceous combination with a dense layer of sedges that may be
locally dominated by an arborescent stratum of *Araucaria* spp. or *Agathis ovata*. However, within this landscape and on this same substrate can be found complex evergreen rainforest, which also has a significant *Araucaria* component; Figure 2 illustrates the altitudinal and vegetation associations of the New Caledonian *Araucaria*. The bulk are found between 100 m and 1300 m altitude (seven species), with four restricted to below 200 m, and only one between 1500 m and 1600 m (Figure 2, Jaffré 1995).

The climate of New Caledonia is controlled primarily by the southeast trade winds, which in conjunction with the island’s topography result in a wet east coast (annual rainfall >3000 mm) and a much drier west coast (annual rainfall <1500 mm) (Renson 1981). From November to April, the winds become more easterly and tropical depressions from the north dominate. The wettest months are during the warm season, January to March, which receive 57% of the annual precipitation. The remainder is distributed more or less equally over the other nine months. During the cool season (April to July), precipitation is irregular and occasional intrusions of cold polar air from the westerlies are observed (see Figure 1). These strong, cold winds come up through the Tasman Sea and lead to cool winter rainfall.

**South America**

Two species of *Araucaria* grow today in South America, as opposed to 17 in Australasia (Enright and Hill 1995). In South America, the two species of *Araucaria* are majestic trees that attain a height of 50 m and a diameter of 2 m. In southern Brazil, the *Araucaria angustifolia* forest is located in an area influenced by polar advection, providing moist and cool conditions throughout the year (Ledru 1993). In Chile, *Araucaria araucana* almost disappeared due to the intensive logging of past decades, and now shows a patchy distribution (Veblen et al. 1995). However, it grew in the cool and moist temperate regions of central Chile, at high elevations near the coast or deeper inland. No pollen records have ever been studied within the Chilean araucaria forest. This zone is under the influence of the westerlies from the southwestern Pacific, bringing regular precipitation throughout the year (Figure 1) (Garreaud 2000).

Cold surges are among the most energetic influences on the tropical circulation by the extratropics, with surface topography playing a central role, as shown by both observation and simulation (Markgraf 2001). In the absence of a significant mountain range, as in southern South America, cold surges are not deflected equatorward, but rather continue eastward. Key elements in the South American scenario are the cold anticyclones that move from the southeastern Pacific into southern Argentina and a centre of low pressure that deepens in the
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**Figure 2.** Distribution of the species of *Araucaria* in New Caledonia.
southwestern Atlantic. The advance of the cold-air incursion along the subtropical Andes is set up by the topographic blocking of the synoptic-scale flow. The strong temperature gradient produces the acceleration of the low-level winds parallel to the Andes range. This is a well-known extreme and abrupt event in Brazil that can damage the coffee plantations (Hamilton and Tarifa 1978).

In Brazil, *Araucaria angustifolia* grows at high elevations between 1500 m and 1800 m near Rio de Janeiro (20°S) or between 500 m and 900 m in the tropics, latitude 25°S to 30°S. In the subtropical area, an expansion of *Araucaria angustifolia* occurred during the past 1000 years from refugia located either in the gallery forest or in the vicinity of a water source (Behling 1997a, b; Bitencourt and Krauspenhar 2006). The existence of these forests in southern Brazil is determined by the modern climate and the mean position of the polar fronts during winter, providing permanent drizzle (Ledru et al. 1994). The climate of this area is characterised by the absence of a dry season due to frequent and intense shifts of the polar fronts, providing regular fog and cloud cover in winter, along with low temperatures and occasional frost (Ledru 1993; Marengo et al. 1997; Garreaud 2000, 2001). While in the mountain range near Rio de Janeiro, the same phenomenon is induced by the uplift of warm Atlantic Ocean moisture, leading to almost continuous cloud cover and cooler temperatures, with the *Araucaria* forest assimilated into a cloud forest formation at higher altitude.

**Araucaria forest expansion during the last glacial**

**Lynch’s Crater, northeastern Australia**

Lynch’s Crater (17°37’S, 145°70’E) lies at an altitude of about 760 m above sea level (asl). Human activities over recent decades have destroyed much of the swamp surface and the main vegetation in the crater today is composed of introduced pasture species. A complex rainforest dominates the regional landscape with different facies according to location, drainage and rainfall distribution – from mesophyll vine forest, mesophyll palm forest, *Melaleuca* open woodland, to notophyll and microphyll vine forests. More open woodland with species of *Eucalyptus* is related to edaphic and topographic conditions. The crater is approximately 500 m in diameter, with recovered deposits extending to 64 m in depth and consisting mainly of lake muds, except for the top 14.5 m, where they are replaced by fresh to oxidised swamp sediments.

The pollen record contains two glacial-interglacial cycles, with 11 major changes in the composition of the rainforest (Kershaw 1978, 1986; Moss and Kershaw 2000; Kershaw et al. 2003, 2007). Although not illustrated in Figure 3, *Araucaria* frequencies are high between ca. 170,000 and 130,000 years ago. From 130,000 to 80,000 years ago, they are low, with a median value of less than 2%. During this time, rainforest angiosperms dominate the pollen spectrum, with the pollen of *Araucaria* virtually disappearing just before 80,000 years ago. High values are once again observed between ca. 80,000 and 45,000 years ago, with a median of 9.5% over 42 samples. The pollen frequency of *Araucaria* then suddenly declines at 45,000 years ago, coincident with an increase in charcoal particles. A short and weak return of *Araucaria* pollen to the record is observed at around 17,000 years ago.

**Lake Xere Wapo, New Caledonia**

Lake Xere Wapo (22°17.5´S, 166°58.5´E), at an altitude of 220 m, is located on the Plaine des Lacs, within the southern massif, where the average annual rainfall is 3000 mm. Here, the local climate is controlled largely by topography, with rain or fog occurring above 200 m on most afternoons, even during the dry season.

This shallow lake is approximately 0.85 km² in area and surrounded by gentle slopes that are mostly covered in a ferritic soil mantle, in places characterised by an iron pan crust known as
‘sols cuirasse’. The surrounding vegetation is a bushy maquis dominated by either *Gymnostoma deplancheanum* or *Dacrydium australioides*. Five species of *Araucaria* are found at this altitude within the Plaines des Lacs, but not in the immediate vicinity of the lake.

Establishing a robust chronology for Lake Xere Wapo has been difficult, but based on the best model produced so far, three main phases are observed in the *Araucaria* pollen frequencies (Stevenson and Hope 2005). Between ca. 130,000 and ca. 80,000 years ago, the landscape moved between forest and maquis fairly frequently, with fire important in both landscapes, although more abundant during times of maquis dominance. The median value of *Araucaria* during this period is 2.2%, with two outliers of 12% and 8%.

From ca. 80,000 years ago to possibly 45,000 years ago, the pollen frequencies suggest *Araucaria* was more dominant in the landscape surrounding Lake Xere Wapo, however fire remained an integral part of the ecology. The median value of *Araucaria* pollen during this time is 7.5%, with four of the 13 samples being above 10%.

The most profound changes in the record occur after 45,000 years ago to the present, when *Araucaria* pollen frequencies fall to their lowest levels, with a median value of 0.9% and with only three of the 19 samples having values greater than 2%. Of note is that this change occurred in the absence of fire, suggesting that other forms of disturbance, such as an increase in climate variability, may be responsible (Stevenson and Hope 2005).

**Colônia Crater, Brazil**

Colônia is a meteor crater 3.5 km in width, filled with 392 m of organic sediments, located within the city of São Paulo (23°52'S, 46°42'20''W, 900 m asl). Its location near the Atlantic Ocean and in the Sierra do Mar mountain range means the vegetation is highly sensitive to changes in sea level and temperature. Today, the climatic features of the Colônia region are characterised by a mean annual precipitation of 1700 mm, a mean winter temperature of ca. 15°C and a three-month winter dry season.

There are no *Araucaria* forests in the vicinity of Colônia today. Only single trees of *Araucaria angustifolia* are observed in a landscape dominated by semi-deciduous Atlantic rainforest. Compared with the *Araucaria* pollen frequencies of Australia and New Caledonia, here the frequencies that indicate *Araucaria* within the landscape are an order of magnitude lower. This is in part related to *Araucaria* being, in general, a low pollen producer with large grains that are poorly dispersed. Consequently, pollen remains mostly in the vicinity of the source, leading to relatively low frequencies in pollen records, with pollen rain studies revealing ratios of up to 80% under the tree cover, to a single grain in the middle of a mire surrounded by *Araucaria* forest (Ledru 2002).

The Colônia record, as illustrated in Figure 3, has four main pollen zones in *Araucaria* pollen frequency. All eight samples from the tail end of MIS 6, 135,000-130,000 years ago, contain *Araucaria* pollen; these are also the highest frequencies for the entire record. Only two out of the next 10 samples that cover the last interglacial, 130,000-120,000 years ago, have *Araucaria* pollen, and from ca. 120,000 to 80,000 years ago, only 15 samples, out of a total of 56 samples, contain *Araucaria* pollen grains. However, there is a shift between ca. 80,000 and 60,000 years ago, with 22 samples out of a total of 35 containing *Araucaria* pollen grains. From 60,000 to 40,000 years ago, the presence of Araucaria declines, with only seven samples out of 35 containing *Araucaria* pollen grains. The past 40,000 years record the lowest frequencies of arboreal pollen in general, along with the disappearance of *Araucaria*. Only two samples out of 73 have Araucaria pollen – between ca. 18,000 and 16,000 years ago, at the end of the glaciation (Ledru et al. 2009).
Figure 3. Changes in Araucaria frequency and charcoal accumulation for the past 130,000 years at Colônia Crater, Lynch’s Crater, Lake Xere Wapo against the records of temperature change recorded at Vostok (Antarctica) and NGRIP (Greenland) (Dansgaard et al. 1993; Petit et al. 1999).
Discussion

Between 85,000 and 60,000 years BP, a drastic drop in temperature is observed in Antarctic ice cores (Figure 3), characterised by ice expansion and lowering of sea level by 70 m (Shackleton 1987; Petit et al. 1999). Climatic reconstructions for this period suggest that moisture in the tropics was provided by a steep temperature gradient between the southern latitudes and the equator (Vimeux et al. 1999; Delaygue et al. 2000), with the overall result being, relative to present, a change in the frequency and intensity of northward moving polar advections, which induced a shift of the winter rainfall zone.

The modern climate in the three studied areas (northeastern Australia, southwestern Pacific and southeastern Brazil) is primarily directed by the seasonal interplay between the subtropical high-pressure cells and the migration of the easterlies associated with the ITCZ, resulting in summer rainfall and a dry winter. However, the dry winter season can be attenuated by a northward shift of the westerlies, with the three study regions today subjected to abrupt, short and extreme climatic events brought about by this equatorward migration of polar air masses (Garreaud 2000, 2001). The signature of the cold surges over the tropics is much clearer in South America than in Australia, mainly as a consequence of favourable topography, specifically the narrow and tall Andean Cordillera, which extends continuously from south to north, providing an ideal barrier to channel these surges northward. In Brazil and Chile, the location of Araucaria forest today is defined by the intensity and frequency of these polar advections, and where winter rainfall is reliable.

The chronology of the three pollen records presented here is limited by the range of the radiocarbon method (ca. 40,000 years). Where possible, empirical methods of chronological reconstruction were used, such as precession-cycle-based tree maxima at Colônia Crater, or matching with nearby marine cores, such as at Lynch’s Crater. Therefore, the time intervals that are discussed are not definitive and are subject to refinement with improvements in dating methods and/or the increase of comparable palaeoclimatic records. While taking this qualification into account, the changes in Araucaria at this stage appear synchronous (Figure 3). These three sites in three different regions of the Southern Hemisphere show the same directional change. Before 130,000 years ago, Araucaria frequencies are high, falling as the record enters the last interglacial (130,000–120,000 years ago). Between 120,000 and 80,000 years ago, Araucaria pollen frequencies decreased or were not represented at all in samples. Between 80,000 and 40,000 years ago, a full expansion of Araucaria forest is observed around Lynch’s Crater and Lake Xere Wapo, with a more consistent presence recorded in the Colônia Crater record between 80,000 and 60,000 years ago. The pollen record of Colônia shows a progressive contraction of Araucaria forest after 60,000 years ago until its disappearance shortly after 40,000 years ago, while in Australia and New Caledonia, the frequencies of Araucaria pollen started to decrease shortly before 40,000 years ago. A last short increase in Araucaria pollen frequencies is observed during the late glacial at Colônia and at Lynch’s Crater, while they remain low and constant up to the present at Lake Xere Wapo. The higher pollen frequencies between 80,000 and 40,000 years ago at Lynch’s Crater and Lake Xere Wapo suggest that Araucaria was dominant in these landscapes, and that the summers were cooler and winters moister than in the preceding time interval.

Keeping in mind the uncertainty of the chronology, the major expansion phase of Araucaria between ca. 80,000 and 60,000 years ago may be linked to the corresponding cold stage 4 (76,000–62,000 years ago). While the role of human activity in the subsequent decline of Araucaria at 45,000 years ago at Lynch’s Crater may still be under debate, we know that with the late arrival of people in South America (ca. 12,000 years ago) and New Caledonia (ca. 3000 years ago), human impact is not a component in these landscapes. Consequently, global
climatic change is the most likely driver of this common feature in the three records.

Indeed, studies from Brazil suggest that the westerly storm track migrated northward several times during the late glacial, each time favouring the development of *Araucaria* at a different latitude. These records lack the time depth of Colônia Crater, but suggest that *Araucaria* forests were modified several times over the past 20,000 years. For instance, *Araucaria* forest is observed at 19°S at 15,000 years ago (Ledru 1993), at 23°S at 17,000 years ago (Ledru et al. 2009), and at 25°S today (Ledru et al. 1994). These shifts have been interpreted as a response to increased winter precipitation and a strongly reduced winter dry season (Ledru et al. 1994). The mechanism for this has been put forward as the weakening and poleward shift of the westerlies, giving more space to the tropical easterlies and the ITCZ seasonal shifts, which results in a summer rainfall regime (Ledru et al. 1994).

The phases of expansion and retraction of the *Araucaria* forests of the Southern Hemisphere provide a good bio-indicator for detecting change in the tropical hydrological system. In other southern regions where no *Araucaria* forests are observed today, palaeorecords that cover late MIS 5 to MIS 3 with a similar length and resolution are scarce. However, in South Africa, hyrax middens and a marine record, MD962094, attest to a cooler and moister climate between late MIS 5 and MIS 4. The increase in precipitation observed in these records has been related to Antarctic ice expansion and a northward shift of the westerlies band track between ca. 86,000 and 59,000 years ago (Stuut et al. 2002; Chase and Meadows 2007; Chase 2010). The termination of this moist and cool phase has been interpreted as a poleward shift of the westerlies, with an associated decrease in winter precipitation (Chase 2010). Further evidence in support of this scenario comes from the interior of Australia. Research into the palaeohydrology of Australia’s mega-lakes, in combination with other palaeoclimatic proxies, has concluded that while there were multiple sources of precipitation leading to the formation of these lakes over the last glacial cycle, Southern Ocean sources of precipitation were an integral component until 47,000 years ago (Cohen et al. 2011). After this time, there is evidence from shoreline data and other palaeoclimatic data for increasing aridification and a decreasing contribution of moisture from Southern Ocean sources (Cohen et al. 2011), the time period that sees the contraction of *Araucaria* in the Lynch’s Crater record.

In the equatorial Pacific, changes in sea surface temperatures of between 2°C and 3.5°C at 70,000 years ago precede change in Northern Hemisphere ice volume by 3000 years (Lea et al. 2006). In addition, deuterium analyses of an Antarctic ice core (Vostok) showed that the obliquity cycle, which controls insolation at 60°S, induced strong changes on both atmospheric and ocean circulations during cold stages 2, 4 and 6 (Vimeux et al. 1999).

Therefore, we suggest that during MIS 4, the rainfall environment that favoured an expansion of *Araucaria* in the southern tropics might have contributed to the observed increase in snow accumulation in Antarctica. This hypothesis is further supported by models (NASA/GIS AGCM) which show that present-day moisture sources for Antarctica originate in the subtropics and mid-latitudes of the Southern Hemisphere and from the intertropical zone during the Last Glacial Maximum (Delaygue et al. 2000). Our results suggest that this moisture was mostly distributed from the latitudinal band comprising (at least) 16°S to 23°S during stage 4 and the early part of stage 3. This was made possible because of a northward shift of the westerlies band track over the southern tropics, with the progressive decline in *Araucaria* after 45,000 years ago most likely the consequence of a reorganisation of the ocean-atmosphere forcing in the Southern Hemisphere.
Conclusion

In spite of the difficulties in establishing reliable chronologies, the simultaneous presence and expansion of *Araucaria* within the three study areas, located between 19°S and 23°S, and composed of two fragments of Gondwana, attest to a similar response to Southern Hemisphere climatic changes and an equal reaction of the species to the installation of a permanent cool and wet climate between at least 80,000 and 40,000 years ago. Consequently, we suggest a Southern Hemisphere climatic cause, rather than a human or ENSO-based scenario, to explain the decline of the *Araucaria* at these three sites.

It is hypothesised that the strong cooling in Antarctica that induced the shift of the westerly band tracks towards the equator between 86,000 and 60,000 years ago strengthened the winter rainfall system and thus lead to an expansion of *Araucaria* across the Southern Hemisphere subtropics. However, after 40,000 years ago, the poleward shift of the westerlies band, in combination with the intensification of the northern circulation and the ITCZ seasonal shifts on the distribution of precipitation in the tropics, resulted in weaker and less frequent northward polar advections, which had profound consequences for *Araucaria* forests in northern Australia, New Caledonia and Brazil. The consequent dominance of an easterly circulation system induced a drier winter season at low latitudes, causing the decline of *Araucaria* in the tropics, with forests only surviving in regions where cloud cover or winter rains meet their needs. In some southern tropical regions, a last tropical expansion of the *Araucaria* was observed during the late glacial, approximating the Antarctic Cold Reversal, when abrupt and short climatic changes were induced by strong differences between Northern and Southern Hemisphere temperature gradients (Broecker 1998; Stocker 2003).

While this synthesis illustrates how vulnerable this ancient tree is without suitable protection of its current remnant distributions, it also provides an interesting hypothesis for the past importance of the westerlies in the Southern Hemisphere hydrologic cycle, a topic of interest to fully understand the potential impacts of climate change on tropical environments and of the contribution of southern low-latitude moisture on Antarctic ice-expansion phases.
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Introduction

‘Mistletoe’ is the common name for a diverse group of hemi-parasitic shrublets that grow attached to and within the branches of trees and shrubs. Vidal-Russell and Nickrent (2008a) and Nickrent et al. (2010) infer that the mistletoe habit has evolved five times in the sandalwood order Santalales. The first of these clades is the family Misodendraceae, which is endemic to southern South America and whose species grow mainly on *Nothofagus*. The habit evolved three times within the Santalaceae – in the cosmopolitan tribe Viscceae, which includes the ‘archetypal’ European Mistletoe *Viscum album*, in tropical American species of Santaleae that were formerly placed into a separate family, the Eremolepidaceae, and in the tropical tribe Amphorogyneae. The third clade comprises all members of the Loranthaceae except the early diverging genera *Nuytsia* and *Atkinsonia*. The family is restricted to the Southern Hemisphere except for a few
Two mistletoe clades are extant in Australia. These are (1) Viscaceae (three genera including *Viscum*), which is restricted to rainforests, monsoon forests and woodlands along the northern and eastern margins, and (2) Loranthaceae (12 genera), which is widely distributed across mainland Australia, with hosts ranging from coastal mangrove forests to mulga (*Acacia aneura*) woodlands in the arid zone (www.anbg.gov.au/abrs/online-resources/flora/redirect.jsp). Two Australian species are root parasites and for this reason give the appearance of being stand-alone shrubs or small trees – *Nuytsia floribunda*, which is endemic to southwest Western Australia, and *Atkinsonia ligustrina*, which is confined to exposed habitats in the Blue Mountains of NSW (Barlow 1984).

No mistletoes now occur in Tasmania. The reason(s) for this remain obscure given the wide ecological tolerance of many genera within the Loranthaceae and their observed dispersal over long distances by birds (www.anbg.gov.au/mistletoe/remote-islands.html). In this review, we illustrate and discuss the implications of Loranthaceae-type pollen recovered from a range of offshore and onshore sites around Tasmania (Figure 1). Unlike many fossil angiosperm pollen types, the morphology of these specimens is sufficiently distinctive to allow them to be assigned to this family and one of the six fossil species possibly to an extant genus.

![Figure 1. Location of sites mentioned in the text.](image-url)
Loranthaceae pollen

Modern Loranthaceae pollen comprise two morphologically distinct types, one of which is a ±convex triangular, oblate grain with simple to complex tricolpate (rarely syncolpate) apertures, e.g. *Alepis*, *Amyema*, *Amylotheca*, *Decaisnia*, *Peraxilla* and *Nuytsia*, and the other of which is a sphaeroidal, triporate to tricolporoidate grain ornamented with stout spines (baculae, echini), e.g. *Lepidoceras* (Chile) and *Tupeia* (NZ) (see Erdtman 1966; Heusser 1971; Moar 1993; Beug 2004).

Pollen produced by Loranthaceae genera (Figures 2a–o) are easily distinguished from other Australian pollen morphotypes. Key characteristics are: (a) the oblate, triangular to lobate shape, (b) thin exine except across the poles where distinctively sculptured or thickened exine may form a bluntly triangular ‘bridge’ (Pocknall and Mildenhall 1984) or ‘apical cushion’ (Mildenhall and Pocknall, 1989), respectively, and (c) apertures (colpi) located at the tips (angles) of rounded to truncated apices. The usually gaping, colpate to decolpate apertures vary in length from relatively short, in, for example, *Amylotheca*, to extremely long, in, for example, *Amyema* and *Peraxilla*. Ornamentation in the mesocolpial regions varies from psilate-scabrate to verrucate-baculate. In some genera, the tectum breaks down to form a pseudo-ricetulum, e.g. *Nuytsia*; more rarely, the colpi are bordered by very short parallel rods (striae) that may extend close to the poles, e.g. *Alepis* and *Peraxilla*.

Australian fossil pollen types displaying the same morphological characteristics have been assigned to, or compared with, six formally described species: *’Amylotheca’ pliocenica* Cookson 1957, *Gothanipollis bassensis* Stover and Partridge 1973, *Gothanipollis gothani* Krutzsch 1959, *Gothanipollis perplexus* Mildenhall and Pocknall 1984, *Tricolpites simatus* Stover and Partridge 1973, and *T. thomasii* Cookson and Pike 1954. Examples of these morphospecies and their time distribution in southeast Australian sedimentary basins are shown in Figure 3 and Table 1, respectively. Additional specimens are illustrated in Stover and Partridge 1973, Martin 1978, Pocknall and Mildenhall 1984, Hill and Macphail 1985, Mildenhall and Pocknall 1989, Macphail et al. 1994 and Macphail 1999.

*Cranwellia striata* (Couper) Srivastava 1966 and a related but more coarsely striate morphospecies, *C. costata* Mildenhall 1978 are assumed to be fossil Loranthaceae, e.g. by Askin (1990). The relationship has yet to be confirmed. However, in terms of amb shape and ornamentation, we note that both morphospecies more closely resemble pollen of Krameriaceae, a monogeneric family that is endemic to the southwest United States, Argentina and Chile (see Plate 31: Figure 378 in Heusser 1971).

Table 1. First (FA) and Last (LA) appearance of Loranthaceae-type morphospecies in southeast Australian sedimentary basins (north to south). 1 Data from Macphail (1999), 2 Data from Partridge (1999), 3 Data from Partridge (2002).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fossil species</th>
<th>Murray 1</th>
<th>Gippsland 2</th>
<th>Bass 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tricolpites simatus</strong></td>
<td>middle Eocene</td>
<td>late Miocene</td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tricolpites thomasii</strong></td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
<td>early Oligocene</td>
<td>late Mid. Eocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cranwellia striata</strong></td>
<td>middle Eocene</td>
<td>late Miocene</td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gothanipollis bassensis</strong></td>
<td>middle Eocene</td>
<td>late Miocene</td>
<td>late early Eocene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gothanipollis cl. gothani</strong></td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
<td>late Miocene</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gothanipollis perplexus</strong></td>
<td>late Miocene</td>
<td>early Pliocene</td>
<td>not recorded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>’Amylotheca’ pliocenica</em></td>
<td>late Miocene</td>
<td>early Pliocene</td>
<td>late Pliocene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A comparison with pollen produced by extant Loranthaceae genera indicates that *Gothanipollis bassensis* has no close living analogue in Australia due to its very small size (<20 µm) and strongly lobate shape. Nevertheless, this species and its larger relative *Gothanipollis cf. gothani* bear a general resemblance to pollen produced by extant species such as *Amyema congener* and *Dactyliophora novae-guineae* (Figures 2b, f): The same qualification applies to *Tricolpites simatus* and *T. thomasii*. Ornamentation on the latter species includes coarse striae bordering the colpi (cf. *Decaisnina signata* and *Peraxilla colensoi*: (Figures 2e, m) but, as far as we are aware, no living Loranthaceae produce pollen with medium to coarse reticulate sculpturing of exine in the mesocolpial regions. The largest morphotypes found in southeast Australia, *Amylotheca pliocenica* and *Gothanipollis perplexus* closely resemble pollen of *Amylotheca*, and *Peraxilla*, respectively (cf. Martin, 1978; Pocknall & Mildenhall, 1984). The latter genus is endemic to New Zealand.

**Chronostratigraphic distribution of fossil Loranthaceae pollen in Tasmania**

At present, there are no records of Loranthaceae at Tertiary or Quaternary sites in southeast Tasmania, and the selection of fossil Loranthaceae pollen types illustrated in Figures 4a-o comes from sites in the north and west of the state: The stratigraphic distribution of these species in...
Table 2. Chronostratigraphic distribution of Loranthaceae pollen in the Bass and Sorell Basins and onshore sites in Tasmania.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin/Area</th>
<th>Well/locality</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Morphospecies</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bass</td>
<td>Chat-1</td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
<td>middle Eocene</td>
<td>Nothofagidites asperus, Tricolpites simatus, T. thomasi</td>
<td>Partridge et al. 2002</td>
<td>data from Morgan 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cormorant-1</td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
<td>middle Eocene</td>
<td>Nothofagidites asperus, Tricolpites simatus, T. thomasi</td>
<td>Partridge et al. 2002</td>
<td>data from Stover 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dondu-1</td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
<td>middle Eocene</td>
<td>Nothofagidites asperus, Tricolpites simatus, T. thomasi</td>
<td>Partridge et al. 2002</td>
<td>data from Stover 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kon Kon-1</td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
<td>middle Eocene</td>
<td>Nothofagidites asperus, Tricolpites simatus, T. thomasi</td>
<td>Partridge et al. 2002</td>
<td>data from Stover 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Narimba-1</td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
<td>middle Eocene</td>
<td>Nothofagidites asperus, Tricolpites simatus, T. thomasi</td>
<td>Partridge et al. 2002</td>
<td>data from Morgan 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pelican-5</td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
<td>middle Eocene</td>
<td>Nothofagidites asperus, Tricolpites simatus, T. thomasi</td>
<td>Partridge et al. 2002</td>
<td>data from Morgan 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toolka-1</td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
<td>middle Eocene</td>
<td>Nothofagidites asperus, Tricolpites simatus, T. thomasi</td>
<td>Partridge et al. 2002</td>
<td>data from Stover 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yolla-1</td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
<td>middle Eocene</td>
<td>Nothofagidites asperus, Tricolpites simatus, T. thomasi</td>
<td>Partridge et al. 2002</td>
<td>see Partridge et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yurongi-1</td>
<td>late Eocene</td>
<td>middle Eocene</td>
<td>Nothofagidites asperus, Tricolpites simatus, T. thomasi</td>
<td>Partridge et al. 2002</td>
<td>data from Stover 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coal Head</td>
<td>late Quaternary</td>
<td>core samples at 22.0-44.7 m, 61.0-61.5 m, 22.0 m.</td>
<td>Gothanipollis bassensis, G. cf. gothani and perplexus</td>
<td>M.K. Macphail, unpubl.</td>
<td>reworked specimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Coast</td>
<td>Darwin Crater</td>
<td>middle Pleistocene</td>
<td>Regency site at 0, 15, 40, 60 cm</td>
<td>Gothanipollis bassensis, G. cf. gothani and perplexus</td>
<td>M.K. Macphail, unpubl.</td>
<td>collected by G. Jordan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>King River</td>
<td>middle Pleistocene</td>
<td>Regency site at 0, 15, 40, 60 cm</td>
<td>Gothanipollis bassensis, G. cf. gothani and perplexus</td>
<td>M.K. Macphail, unpubl.</td>
<td>reworked specimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lowana Road</td>
<td>late Early Eocene</td>
<td>Proteacidites asperopolus</td>
<td>Gothanipollis bassensis, G. cf. gothani</td>
<td>M.K. Macphail, unpubl.</td>
<td>collected by G. Jordan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Regatta Pt.</td>
<td>early Quaternary</td>
<td>Tubulifloridites pleistocenica</td>
<td>Gothanipollis bassensis, G. cf. gothani</td>
<td>Hill and Macphail 1985</td>
<td>reworked specimen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strahan</td>
<td>late early Eocene</td>
<td>Proteacidites asperopolus</td>
<td>Gothanipollis bassensis, G. cf. gothani</td>
<td>Macphail et al. 1993a</td>
<td>Macquarie Harbour Beds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Zeehan</td>
<td>Oligo-Miocene</td>
<td>Proteacidites tuberculatus</td>
<td>Gothanipollis bassensis, G. cf. gothani</td>
<td>M.K. Macphail, unpubl.</td>
<td>collected by M. Pole</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the Bass Basin and onshore sites in Tasmania is given in Table 2. We emphasise that absence of evidence is not necessarily evidence of absence. Reasons are small morphospecies such as *Gothanipollis bassensis* are easily overlooked, especially if partly obscured by plant detritus, and also can be lost during processing if the organic extracts are filtered through 10 to 20 µm sieve cloth. Nevertheless, the records are adequate to confirm (numerical ages after Ogg et al. 2008):

1. The oldest reliable records of Loranthaceae species in Tasmania (and probably Australia as a whole) are specimens of *Gothanipollis bassensis* and *Tricolpites simatus* preserved in the late early Eocene (ca. 50.5-51.5 million years ago) Macquarie Harbour Formation at Regatta Point and Lowana Road near Strahan, western Tasmania. Specimens of *Tricolpites simatus* in the same sections appear to pre-date the first appearance of the species in the offshore Bass and Gippsland Basins in Bass Strait, although the converse applies to *Gothanipollis bassensis*. Both species grew in complex evergreen mesotherm to megatherm (subtropical-tropical) rainforest communities (Macphail 2007) that began developing at relatively high (60-65oS) palaeolatitudes in southern Australia following rapid greenhouse warming of the planet by 5°C to 10°C at the Paleocene/Eocene boundary ca. 55.8 million years ago (Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum) and subsequent hyperthermal events that characterised the Early Eocene (see Dickens 2009). Presumed reworked specimens occur in Quaternary deposits at Coal Head in Macquarie Harbour and in cuttings along the road between Strahan and Lowana Road, e.g. behind the Cool Store at Regatta Point (Macphail et al., 1993a)

2. Except in the offshore Bass Basin and onshore extensions in northern Tasmania, middle to late Eocene deposits are rare (see Macphail 2007) and there is insufficient evidence to determine whether Loranthaceae species such as *Tricolpites thomasii* ever extended southwards into the mountainous interior or along the west or east coasts. Stratigraphic evidence from the Bass Basin indicates *Tricolpites thomasii* became extinct at about the major cooling event that marks the Eocene/Oligocene boundary at 33.9 million years ago (references in Wei 1991; Exon et al. 2004). *Tricolpites simatus* is recorded in early Oligocene sediments at Leonthyme Creek (see Plate 5, Figure D in Macphail and Hill 1994): *Gothanipollis bassensis* survived into Oligo-Miocene time in western Tasmania and also in the Bass, Gippsland and Murray Basins in mainland southeast Australia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin/Area</th>
<th>Well/locality</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Morphospecies</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northeast</td>
<td>Loch Aber</td>
<td>mid late Eocene</td>
<td><em>Nothofagidites asperus</em></td>
<td><em>Gothanipollis cl. bassensis</em></td>
<td>M.K. Macphail, unpubl.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cradle Mt.</td>
<td>recent</td>
<td>core LDGS at 7, 33, 38 cm</td>
<td><em>Loranthaceae</em></td>
<td>Dyson 1995</td>
<td>Dove Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lake St. Clair</td>
<td>late Pleistocene</td>
<td>core GG at 320 cm</td>
<td><em>Loranthaceae cl. Amyema</em></td>
<td>F. Hopf, unpubl.</td>
<td>Narcissus Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tyndall Ranges</td>
<td>Holocene</td>
<td>-</td>
<td><em>Gothanipollis cl. gothani</em></td>
<td>Macphail and Colhoun 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wilmot Dam</td>
<td>early Oligocene</td>
<td><em>Proteacidites tuberculatus</em></td>
<td><em>Gothanipollis bassensis</em>, <em>Gothanipollis cl. gothani</em></td>
<td>Macphail and Hill 1994, HEC DDH 4558</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. *Gothanipollis perplexus* and a complex of morphotypes centred on this species and *G. gothani* first appear in the early Oligocene in Tasmania, with the majority of records coming from the disputed glacial sequences at Lemonthyme Creek and Wilmot Dam in the Mersey-Forth Valleys (cf. Paterson 1965; Paterson et al. 1967; Fitzsimons et al. 1993; Macphail et al. 1993b; Macphail and Hill 1994). These and other records from correlative sediments from the Lea River and near Zeehan provide compelling evidence that at least three species of Loranthaceae were growing in *Nothofagus* warm temperate rainforest in western Tasmania during the late Paleogene: It is possible that the host trees included *Nothofagus* spp. (cf. Vidal-Russell and Nickrent 2008b).

4. *Gothanipollis perplexus* may have reached Tasmania by trans-oceanic dispersal, since the morphospecies first occurs in late Eocene deposits in New Zealand (Pocknall and Mildenhall 1984) but is absent in late Eocene deposits at Prydz Bay, East Antarctica (see Macphail and Truswell, 2004; Truswell and Macphail 2008). The Lemonthyme Creek records of *Gothanipollis perplexus* and *G. cf. perplexus* are significantly older than the earliest records of *Gothanipollis perplexus* in the epicontinental Murray Basin in southeast Australia (Table 2). It is unlikely any of the currently known *Gothanipollis* species evolved in Tasmania, although the migration route(s) are unknown.

5. Fossil Loranthaceae pollen have not been recorded in the late Oligocene-early Miocene cool-climate flora at Monpeelyata (920 m elevation) on the eastern Central Plateau (Macphail et al. 1991), nor at the one known late Pliocene site in western Tasmania, the Linda Valley palaeosol (Macphail et al. 1995).

6. At least one fossil species, *Amylotheca pliocenica*, which first appears in the late Pliocene in eastern Australia and New Guinea, did not extend as far south as Tasmania or the Bass and Gippsland Basins (Macphail 1997, 2007). The reason for this may be cool to cold climates, since *Amylotheca* is restricted to coastal rainforest from central NSW northwards into southern New Guinea (Barlow 1966; Henty 1995). Fossil specimens are found in late Pliocene assemblages from the northwest Murray Basin (Scotia Province) to the Atherton Tableland in northeast Queensland Australia (Kershaw and Sluiter 1982; Macphail 1999).

7. Specimens belonging to the complex of morphotypes centred on *Gothanipollis basensis*, *G. gothani* and *G. perplexus* are rare but ubiquitous in (1) the middle Pleistocene Regency interglacial sequence in the King River Valley near Queenstown (Fitzsimons et al. 1990), and (2) in the lower ca. 40 m of a 62 m thick sequence of lacustrine clays at Darwin Crater in the Andrew River Valley ca. 20 km east of Macquarie Harbour (Howard and Haines, 2007). The lake sediments show normal magnetic polarity, the Brunhes-Matuyama Boundary is not recorded (Barton 1987) and, based on K/Ar fission-track dates of 0.82 million years ago on a siliceous impact glass (Darwin Glass) associated with the crater, are middle to late Pleistocene (Lo et al. 2002): The section has yet to be fully pollen-analysed, but Loranthaceae pollen commence at 22.0 m and are consistently present down to 44.7 m, and occur between 61.0 m and 61.5 m, immediately above the contact with poorly sorted, coarser crater fill deposits (Colhoun 1988; Colhoun and Van der Geer 1998; E.A. Colhoun and M.K. Macphail, unpubl. data). The section between 44.7 m and 61 m has not been analysed but we anticipate Loranthaceae pollen will be present.

8. The Regency sequence represents the replacement of montane scrub rainforest by *Nothofagus cunninghamii*-Podocarpaceae cool temperate rainforest. Specimens of *Gothanipollis perplexus*
and G. cf. perplexus occurring between c. 22 m and 45 m in Darwin Crater mostly occur in cool temperate rainforest pollen assemblages dominated by Huon Pine \textit{Lagarostrobos franklinii}, associated with lesser amounts of Subantarctic Beech (\textit{Nothofagus cunninghamii}) and Celery-top Pine (\textit{Phyllocladus asplenifolius}) and occasional tree-fern (\textit{Cyathea} spp.) spores, but are absent in assemblages representing lower, more open vegetation types such as wet scrub/heath and herbfield (E.A. Colhoun, unpubl. data). A not unreasonable interpretation is that mistletoes were growing on trees at the margins of temperate rainforest during the middle Pleistocene and the host species may have included \textit{Lagarostrobos} and \textit{Nothofagus}.

9. The top 20 m of sediment in Darwin Crater is believed to have accumulated between Oxygen Isotope Stages (OIS) 1 to OIS 7, indicating that Loranthaceae became locally? extinct in the Andrew River Valley sometime before the OIS 7 Interglacial, i.e. before 0.24-0.25 million years ago.

10. Four specimens of Loranthaceae pollen have been found in last glacial and postglacial sediments in western Tasmania – at Lake St. Clair on the Central Plateau (F. Hopf, unpubl. data), at Dove Lake, Cradle Mountain (Dyson 1995), and at an unnamed tarn on the summit of the Tyndall Ranges (Macphail and Colhoun 1982). Since the two Dove Lake specimens occur in near surface muds, it is equally likely that the Lake St. Clair and Tyndall Ranges specimens have been long-distance transported, e.g. by migrating birds.

\section*{Discussion}

All mistletoe lineages within the Santalales appear to have evolved from root parasite ancestors (Vidal-Russell and Nickrent, 2008a, b). Molecular dating of genera divergence times indicates that the ‘aerial’ parasitic habit evolved in the Misodendraceae sometime between ca. 80 and 25 million years ago (early Campanian to late Oligocene), in the Viscaceae between ca. 72 and 43 million years ago (late Campanian to middle Eocene), in the Santalaceae between ca. 46 and 53 million years ago (middle to early Eocene), in Amphorogyneae between ca. 53 and 22 million years ago (early Eocene to early Miocene), and the Loranthaceae at ca. 27 to 28 million years ago (mid Oligocene). On this model, \textit{Amylotheca\text{ p}liocenica} and \textit{Gothanipollis perplexus} are likely to represent hemi-parasitic shrublets growing on and within the branches of trees and shrubs: Whether the Eocene parent plants of \textit{Gothanipollis bassensis}, \textit{G. gothani}, \textit{Tricolpites simatus}, \textit{T. thomasii} and early Oligocene parent plant(s) of \textit{G. cf. perplexus} were root parasites or represent wholly extinct clades of aerial parasites is unknown, and likely to remain so without macrofossil evidence.

Pollen evidence for the origins of the Loranthaceae per se is complicated by the uncertain evolutionary relationship of the family to late Cretaceous taxa, in particular \textit{Cranwellia}, a morphogenus that first occurs in the Campanian in Antarctica (Askin 1990), and \textit{Aquilapollenites}, one of many extinct angiosperm genera within the \textit{Triprojectacites} group that dominated Northern Hemisphere Maastrichtian palynofloras (see Farabee 1993). However, there is compelling pollen evidence in the form of an extinct morphospecies of \textit{Anacolosa\ (Anacolosidites acutullus) Cookson and Pike 1954} that one clade within the Santalales was present in northwest Australia during the late Cretaceous (see Macphail 2007). The \textit{Anacolosidites} species that most closely resembles modern Loranthaceae pollen (\textit{A. sectus} Stover and Partridge 1973: Figure 3a) does not appear before the middle Eocene in southeast Australia. Whether late Cretaceous morphotypes assigned to \textit{Cranwellia} and \textit{G. gothani} ssp. \textit{plicus} in China (see Plate 150: Figures 1-3 in Song et al. 2004) are in fact fossil members of the Loranthaceae has yet to be confirmed.
Phylogenetic and fossil evidence (Vidal-Russell and Nickrent 2008a, b) suggests that the family evolved in East Gondwana (Australia-New Guinea, New Zealand, South America) during the late Cretaceous, with relatively late dispersals into Africa, India, Asia and Europe. For example, the genus *Loranthus* occurs in Europe and Asia, but the split between it and *Cecarria* (a monotypic genus spanning Australia to the Philippines) has been estimated at less than 30 million years ago. Several other clades of similar or younger age have members in both Australasia and Asia (Vidal-Russell and Nickrent 2008a, b). Nevertheless, morphospecies resembling modern Loranthaceae pollen have been recorded from early to late Eocene deposits in northern and central Europe, the United States and China (references in Muller 1981; Song et al. 2004) and it is possible that the family first appeared in both hemispheres about the same time but the ancient Northern Hemisphere lineages subsequently became extinct. Whether such speculations are an artefact of inadequate fossil data is unknown. However, it is tempting to hypothesise that the migration of birds and possibly bats (see Archer et al. 1991:137, 230) across the equator is a plausible reason for the near-contemporaneous first appearance of Loranthaceae in both hemispheres. This conjecture is reinforced by records of Loranthaceae pollen at sites where alternative modes of transport are improbable. Examples are the now-submerged Ninetyeast Ridge in the remote Indian Ocean where *Gothanipollis cf. gothani* occurs in Oligocene sediments (see Kemp and Harris 1975), and the summits of mountains in tropical South America, where Loranthaceae pollen has been recovered from modern snow samples (see Reese et al. 2003).

The data demonstrate that fossil species of Loranthaceae in Tasmania were tolerant of megatherm and then mesotherm climates during the Paleogene. For example, macro- and microfossils from late-early Eocene deposits at Regatta Point and Lowana Road confirm that the tropical mangrove palm *Nypa* lined tidal channels within Macquarie Harbour (Partridge 1976; Pole and Macphail 1997). Other mesotherm-megatherm rainforest taxa associated with *Tricolpites simatus* and *Gothanipollis bassensis* include *Anacolosa*, Arecaceae (*Arecipites*, *Dicolpopollis*), Ascarina, Cunoniaceae, *Dysoxylum*, *Freycinetia*, *Ilex*, Meliaceae and Strasburgeriaceae (*Bluffopollis scabratus*). In contrast, Loranthaceae pollen (*Gothanipollis bassensis*) found at the middle-late Eocene Loch Aber site in northeast Tasmania (M.K. Macphail, unpubl. data) grew in floristically diverse, warm temperate rainforest dominated by *Nothofagus* and gymnosperms that are now extinct in Tasmania. For example, trees included a species similar to *Nothofagus moorei*, now endemic to NSW, Podocarpaceae, including *Acmopyle*, now endemic to New Caledonia and Fiji, and an extinct *Araucaria* belonging to the South American section *Columba*: Average leaf lengths in the Loch Aber fossil flora are smaller than in early Eocene floras, consistent with a general decrease in mean air temperatures from the early to middle Eocene (Hill and Carpenter 1991; Carpenter et al. 1994).

Major global cooling that followed subsidence of the South Tasman Rise and Drake Passage to abyssal depths and formation of the Circumantarctic Current during the Eocene-Oligocene transition meant Loranthaceae species growing in cool temperate rainforest in the Mersey-Forth River Valleys had become resilient to even cooler (microtherm) conditions by about 33 million years ago (see Macphail et al. 1993b; Exon et al. 2004). Whether Loranthaceae were continuously present in Tasmania from the early Oligocene to Early Miocene and Quaternary is unclear due to lack of tightly dated palynosequences south of the offshore Bass Basin. Clusters of *Gothanipollis* spp. found in the basal 40 m of lake sediments in Darwin Crater and in the Regency Interglacial deposit indicate that Loranthaceae were growing in cool temperate rainforest in western Tasmania during the middle Pleistocene. We note that these rainforest communities differed from modern *Nothofagus cunninghamii*–Podocarpaceae closed forest in Tasmania in two minor aspects only – the tree-fern stratum was dominated by *Cyathea* spp., not *Dicksonia antarctica*, and in some areas the subcanopy stratum included several small tree
and shrub genera that are now restricted to mainland Australia (Haloragodendron, Quintinia, Symplocos).

A number of taxa now endemic to South America became established in Tasmania during the Paleogene. Examples (fossil species in parentheses) are: Nothofagus subgenus Nothofagus (Nothofagidites flemingii) in the earliest Paleocene, Embothrium (Granodiporites nebulosus Stover and Partridge 1973) in the earliest Oligocene, and the tribe Mutisieae of the Asteraceae (Mutisiapollis patersonii Macphail and Hill 1994) and the ground fern Lophosoria quadrapinnata (Cyatheidites annulatus Cookson 1947) by the early Oligocene. Given the observed close relationship of Misodendron with Nothofagus in southern South America, it seems reasonable to speculate that this mistletoe family also could have been present in Tasmania in the past.

Misodendron pollen are distinctive due to their sphaeroidal shape and apiculate sculpture. However, confirming the presence of this genus in Tasmania will be difficult since the small size (19-22 µm) of its pollen grains means specimens can be easily lost during processing, or overlooked, or the pollen type may have evolved after the split between Misodendron and other Santalaceae. Fossil specimens are likely to be assigned to the morphogenus Compositoipollenites Potonié ex Potonié 1960 (see Macphail and Cantrill 2006). At present, the only species of Compositoipollenites that has been formally described in Australia is C. tarrogoensis Truswell and Owen 1988 from a middle Eocene palynosequence at Bungonia on the Southern Tablelands of NSW (cf. Plate 38, Figure 462 in Heusser 1971, Figure 8 H-U in Truswell and Owen 1988). Similar morphotypes occur in late Maastrichtian sediments in the Bonaparte Basin in northern Australia, an early Eocene sample from the Cape Sorell-1 petroleum exploration well in the offshore Sorell Basin (Figure 1), and a probable early Eocene deposit in the Styx River Valley in southeast Tasmania (Macphail 2007). Viscum pollen are easily recognised by the presence of stout spines (see Tafel 35: 1-4 in Beug 2004), but, as yet, no fossil specimens have been recorded in Australia (G.S. Hope pers. comm.).

Reconstructing and interpreting the history of mistletoes in Tasmania will continue to depend on the slow accumulation of microfossil evidence, often from sites that have been uncovered by chance events (see Hill 1987). We consider it unlikely that palynologists working on Tertiary to late Quaternary deposits will have mis-identified or overlooked larger morphospecies such as Gothanipollis perplexus or ‘Amylotheca’ pliocenica, and therefore conclude that, even when establishment was successful, Loranthaceae always were rare elements in the Tasmanian flora. Why this should be so is more likely to be a consequence of cool/wet climates than a lack of suitable host species. If correct, then any climatic barriers that have prevented the establishment of mistletoes during the Holocene may well be breached through warming/drying of Tasmania in the near future.

Acknowledgements

We express our thanks to Dr Mike Fletcher (Department of Archaeology and Natural History, Australian National University) for his useful comments on an earlier draft of the paper.

References


When did the mistletoe family Loranthaceae become extinct in Tasmania? Review and conjecture.


When did the mistletoe family Loranthaceae become extinct in Tasmania? Review and conjecture

Record 2002 [CD 3 of 7].


Wind v water: Glacial maximum records from the Willandra Lakes

Jim M. Bowler
School of Earth Sciences, University of Melbourne, Victoria
jbowler@unimelb.edu.au

Richard Gillespie
The University of Wollongong, NSW, and The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT

Harvey Johnston
New South Wales Office of Environment and Heritage, Buronga, NSW

Katarina Boljkovac
The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT

Introduction

Using lakes and dry basins for discerning the patterns of climatic change faces a number of challenges. Study of the Willandra basins (Figures 1 and 2) involves reconstruction of their environmental history and its relationship to controlling climatic change. The various methods for data interpretation and hydrologic reconstruction have been discussed elsewhere (Bowler 1971, 1998). In early evaluation, the history of the Willandra Lakes was summarised in terms of three major stratigraphic units, each related to a major cycle of hydrologic change. The units Golgol, Mungo and Zanci were designated as responsible for the major stratigraphic events in the history of the system (Bowler 1971). The Zanci drying phase was directly related to the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), the period of maximum ice extent in the Northern Hemisphere (Clark et al. 2009), glacial ice on Kosciuszko (Barrows et al. 2001) and lowest sea level (Lambeck and Chappell 2001). In later revisions, Bowler (1998) defined an Arumpo unit between Mungo and Zanci, and a final Mulurulu unit to account for evidence of late-stage filling especially in Lake Mulurulu. Coincident with the LGM (ca. 25,000-17,000 years ago), the Zanci phase, and the assumed aridity it represents, is of
special significance for the glacial age environmental history of inland Australia.

Questions of age, although of great importance, frequently remain difficult to assess because age estimates are constrained by limitations resulting from difficulties in field settings, and analytical constraints often with inevitably large errors (Bowler et al. 1970; Gillespie 1997; Bowler 1998). The application of radiocarbon dating to organic carbon remains frequently failed to provide consistent and reliable results, whereas dating freshwater mussel-shell carbonate proved much more reliable (Bowler 1998; Gillespie 1998). With the advent of accelerator dating enabling the analysis of very small samples, new opportunities arose; application to fish otoliths (ear stones) has provided new levels of information, shedding light particularly on the latter part of the chronology relating to the last glacial period (Gillespie 1997; Kalish et al. 1997; Boljkovac 2009). This information provides a new understanding of the patterns of lake-dune behaviour at this important time of changing climate regimes.
Despite the importance of age evaluation in assessing field data, that evaluation must be consistent with field evidence. In the Willandra chain of inter-connected basins, a response to any particular climatic effect involving change in the hydrologic balance will be felt and expressed differently across different basins in the same region. Responses will vary between basins, depending on shape, size and especially position in the drainage chain (see Figure 3).

Basins close to catchments will retain much more water for longer periods than those in more distant regions further down the chain. Basins close to uplands, regions of most available water, or supplied by major rivers remained full even under conditions of glacial maximum aridity. As examples, the lake basins of Urana (Page et al. 1994), Kanyapella on the Murray River (Bowler 1967, 1978) and Nekeeya near the Grampians (Bowler 1999) all remained at high levels during the glacial maximum due entirely to the efficient nature of the catchments which supplied them. Similarly, glacially wet conditions pertained in glacial age catchments in the Flinders Ranges (Williams et al. 2001). Basins more distant from effective water supply, between or away from streams, responded more sensitively to the onset of aridity (Nanson et al. 1992; Kershaw and Nanson 1993; Magee et al. 1995; Hesse et al. 2004).

As an inter-connected but descending basin chain, the Willandra Lakes can be explained by a ‘stairway’ analogy, in which relative positions impose limitations on hydrologic behaviour. Basins adjacent to each other and especially those connected by overflow, one supplying the other, will vary according to individual thresholds of wetting and drying. The application of these principles to basins in the Willandra chain of lakes has not been previously addressed.
This paper reviews new evidence and revises previous interpretations, with special relevance to a new understanding of glacial maximum environments and their expression within the Willandra system.

**Principles of lake-dune response**

The simple rise and fall of a major wet to dry cycle is complicated by fluctuations at intermediate levels in the rising and falling stages. These are particularly important in providing opportunity for episodic or intermittent periods of lake floor exposure resulting from seasonal or secular discharge variability (see Figure 4A).

When the flood trend reaches an overflow level, short-term oscillations disappear. Maximum level permits generation of gravels and beach sands, providing material for foreshore dune development (quartz sand dunes, QSD). On the drying trend, the basin acts as a terminal system and salinity increases. The threshold for clay dune development (PCD) is reached when oscillations in the shallowing lake expose the dry lake floor. Basin floor 1, highest in the drainage line, first receives the floodwaters and is last to dry. Basin floor 2, further down the drainage line, receives waters later and has a much shorter duration of lake-full environment. While the long wavelength water's rise and fall defines the major cycle, smaller high-frequency oscillations of a seasonal or secular nature impose important complications. Critical elements are twofold.

Firstly, the maximum water level in the Willandra basins is controlled by the overflow outlet level connecting each basin to the next in the chain (Figure 3). At that point, production of high wave energy, with consequent deposition of beach sands and shoreline gravels, defines this important status in the lake-full regime. At overflow level, it remains in through-flow status; any accumulating salts are flushed downstream. Simultaneously, under conditions of sufficient sand supply, substantial sandy beaches provide the materials on which wind action operates, with the construction of foreshore dunes derived from well-sorted beach sands (Bowler 1973). Identified as quartz sand dunes (QSD), although often with a significant shell component, these identify a process dramatically different from the aeolian mantles composed of pelletal clay materials, the pelletal clay dune facies (PCD). As long as the level remains at overflow, seasonal-secular oscillations are suppressed by annual recharge.

Secondly, as soon as water level falls below the outlet, the basin begins to act as a terminal system. Evaporation exceeds combined precipitation and inflow, resulting in increasing salt
Figure 4. Detailed evaluation of different responses to lake level changes between three interconnected basins. (A) Idealised major wet to dry lake level oscillation, showing differences in response between basins depending on locations on drainage chain. Second-order seasonal to secular oscillations are superimposed on major rise and fall of levels. Horizontal lines depict arrival times on the basin floors, showing duration of the flood events for three basins (1 Mulurulu, 2 Mungo and 3 Outer Arumpo). On the rising trend, items I, II, and III depict water arrival time at each basin. Foreshore quartz dune development (QSD) may follow arrival and stabilisation at maximum water level. On falling trend, A-A', B-B' and C-C' define times of lake floor drying, setting the scene for major clay dune development (PCD).

(B) Outer Arumpo: Pattern illustrates relatively short-term lake-full stage. Quartz dunes generated from high lake level. On falling stage, early exposure of lake floor (A) permits development of clay dunes (PCD1). With further development of drying (A to A'), clay dune deposition accelerates. As saline surface waters contract, groundwaters nourish pools of higher salinity within inner lake floor depressions. Crystallisation of salts generates next phase of gypseous clay dunes inside outer basin perimeter (PCD2), with generation of Chibnalwood, Inner Arumpo and Bulbugaroo lunettes (Figure 5).

(C) Mungo: Longer period of permanent water permits development of beach sands and foreshore dune facies (QSD). In falling stage (B-B'), period of clay dune development follows evolution of water to moderate salinity, no gypsum. This develops simultaneously with PCD2 dune growth at Chibnalwood.

(D) Mulurulu: Longer period of water retention permits extensive development of beach sands and foreshore dunes. Frequent flushing by overflow prevents build-up of salts. Final drying under conditions of low salinity permits deflation of dust (clay and silt) from lake floor, but lacks effects of salt crystallisation characteristic of other basins when in terminal phase.
concentrations as water levels progressively fall. With shallowing, the situation is reached when seasonal oscillations expose at least part of the lake floor to drying. That immediately opens the possibility for wind action to begin the process of erosion, with deposition of sand-sized clay pellets (or silt-clay components) on the lunette. Thereafter, the drying process is dominated by production of the clay dune facies. This may progress to a high-salinity-groundwater phase in which seasonal groundwater discharge affects the lower parts of the drying system. Such saline groundwater may then produce high gypsum dune content, in contrast to earlier lower-salinity deflation clays. This occurred to the inner gypseous basins in the contracting phase of Outer Arumpo. Clay dune deposition was then terminated by either a successive return of lake water, or a fall in groundwater levels, followed by vegetation recolonisation.

These idealised events take on additional significance when applied to different basins on the drainage chain, situations in which differences in the sequence dictate different levels and different response times. We take examples from three basins, examined in a two-stage process:

1. Evaluate responses to a specific wetting and drying event.

2. Extend to the larger region over the long-term stratigraphic record.

**Major wetting and drying event**

A general pattern of different basin responses to changes in controlling discharge is summarised diagrammatically in Figure 4. The example chosen relates to the final major episode of water in the Willandra system, that which preceded and led to final drying. Responses of three basins (Outer Arumpo, Mungo and Mulurulu) to a major phase of lake filling and drying have been chosen as representatives to illustrate the nature of changes that applied throughout the system. On the drying trend, critical levels A-A’, B-B’ and C-C’ are levels at which basin floors begin exposure, while lengths of basin floor levels represent the relative duration of waters in Mulurulu, Mungo and Outer Arumpo respectively.

The Outer Arumpo basin (Figures 1, 3 and 4B), farthest from Mulurulu, is last to fill but first to dry. With a reduction in water level, short-term oscillations would provide early exposure there of the lake floor, permitting development of clay dune building.

**Basin flood phase**

At the onset of a high lake phase, until water reaches the basin overflow level, little distinctive sedimentary record is preserved until stabilisation at overflow level results in the deposition of high-energy beach sands and gravels. Depending on the sand supply, this sets the scene for the production of foreshore quartz dunes, QSD. The duration of this phase varies between lakes. It is shortest in the downstream system of Outer Arumpo compared with those upstream.

**Drying phase**

With progressive reduction in discharge associated with regional drying, when the level of the supply basin (Leaghur in this case) falls below outflow level, its downstream neighbour Outer Arumpo begins to act as a terminal system. Deprived of inflow with reduced levels, the terminal basin will eventually permit seasonal oscillations to expose the lake floor. This triggers the onset of local clay dune production on the outer ridge, the location of the former shoreline. Meanwhile, the upstream basins Mungo and Mulurulu remain within the quartz dune production phase.
In Outer Arumpo, as water level falls and salinity progresses to the salt accumulation phase, an important change occurs. Local depressions on the basin floor become sites for the next dune production phase. With final loss of surface water, saline groundwater upcrops on the lowest levels where salt crystallisation breaks clays, forming a soft ‘fluffy’ pelletal surface. Strong winds do the rest, resulting in the production of a new generation of saline clay dunes with high gypsum content. This is exactly the sequence in the Outer Arumpo–Chibnalwood lunette.

**Figure 5.** Schematic diagram to show relationships of LGM Zanci phase lake-dune units in three basins, Outer Arumpo, Mungo and Mulurulu. The diagram emphasises contrasts in the nature of final Zanci aeolian units between groundwater control at Chibnalwood, thin pelletal clay on Outer Arumpo, thicker at Mungo, in contrast to dominantly quartz sand control at Mulurulu. Lake bed units are discriminated on the basis of disconformities on the floor of Outer Arumpo (Long Waterhole) and Mungo (JC trench). Relatively uniform clay on Mulurulu floor does not permit direct unit discrimination.

Stratigraphic units: **G:** Golgol **M:** Mungo **A:** Arumpo **Z:** Zanci.
complex, where final groundwater control was isolated to within depressions on the basin floor within the confines of the outer shoreline, and the resultant gypseous ridges accumulated on the margins of those depressions. The inner basin lunettes of Chibnaloowood, Arumpo and Bulbugaroo (Figure 1) are the result of that final wind-controlled groundwater deflation phase. A second generation of gypseous dune building followed the earlier drying trend expressed in clay dunes on the Outer Arumpo shoreline, thus defining the basin-in-basin pattern of the Outer Arumpo-Chibnaloowood complex (Figures 1, 2 and 5).

At Mungo (Figures 4C and 5), where the dry threshold was not crossed until later, the trigger phase for clay dune development was reached after the initial clay dune phase at Outer Arumpo had already begun. It would have occurred contemporaneously with the gypsum dune development of the inner basins at Chibnaloowood and Arumpo. Meanwhile, Mulurulu upstream (Figures 4D and 5) remained under water influence for much longer, the high lake preserving longer conditions for quartz dune formation.

**Chronology and stratigraphic revision**

*Shell and otolith ages*

A comprehensive list of radiocarbon ages for Willandra Lakes lacustrine shells and fish otolith (see Figures 7 and 8) is presented in Table 1. These details were accumulated by RG from a range of published (including Bowler et al. 1970; Clark 1987; Gillespie 1997; Kalish et al. 1997; Bowler 1998; Johnston and Clark 1998; Boljkovac 2009) and unpublished sources, brought together for the first time in the context of specific basin origin. Some samples are from controlled archaeological excavations, for example by Harry Allen and Wilfred Shawcross; many others were collected from the surface of actively eroding lunettes. Among the shell ages (n = 39), many are based on fragmented multi-shell samples, pretreatment was usually simple

![Radiocarbon ages for shells and otoliths.](http://example.com/radiocarbon-ages.png)

**Figure 6.** Radiocarbon ages for shells and otoliths.

A. Summed probability distributions (2σ calibrated) for 39 shell and 49 fish otolith radiocarbon ages, calculated with the atmospheric data in Reimer et al. (2009), using OxCal 4.1 (Bronk Ramsay 2009).

B. Location-based probability distributions of calibrated pooled mean ages for groups of shells and otoliths through the LGM. Peak height is approximately proportional to the number of samples (1-10) in each group, lake-bed shells excluded.
Figure 7. Shell-midden collection of mussel, *Velesunio ambiguus*, dated to near 18,000 years cal BP. L. Mulurulu. Photo from Bowler’s LAKE MUNGO CD: *Window to Australia’s Past*.

Figure 8. Lunette erosion, L. Mungo Tourist Site (Location 2, Figure 1). Keryn Walshe (left) and the late Peter Clark examine extensive fish hearth, with more than 500 otoliths on a single bedding plane in pelletal sandy clays. Inset: Golden Perch otoliths. Organic carbon date >39,000 cal BP. Photo from Bowler’s LAKE MUNGO CD: *Window to Australia’s Past*.
Table 1. Radiocarbon ages for shell and fish otolith carbonate from the Willandra lakes, with location of samples (dash = unknown). Calibration of raw ages using IntCal09 atmospheric data from Reimer et al. (2009), showing median probability and one sigma uncertainty from OxCal 4.1 (Bronk Ramsey, 2009). Otolith number (brackets) in Material column from Kalish et al. (1997). Laboratory codes: ANU (radiometric, ANU); N (radiometric, RIKEN); SANU (SSAMS, ANU); OZ (AMS, ANSTO).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin Location</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Material dated</th>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>(^{14}C) Age (BP)</th>
<th>1 sigma (years)</th>
<th>IntCal09 Age Cal BP (median)</th>
<th>1 sigma (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mulurulu</td>
<td>ME1</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-880A</td>
<td>15,120</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>18,290</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muliuru</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>N-2036</td>
<td>15,400</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>18,630</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muliuru</td>
<td>ME1</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-880B</td>
<td>15,450</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>18,870</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muliuru</td>
<td>OF-04</td>
<td>otolith</td>
<td>SANU-8823</td>
<td>15,500</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>18,710</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muliuru</td>
<td>ME3</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-948B</td>
<td>15,560</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>18,870</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muliuru</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>otolith (7)</td>
<td>OZB-608</td>
<td>15,700</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>18,920</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muliuru</td>
<td>OF-12</td>
<td>otolith</td>
<td>SANU-8819</td>
<td>15,720</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>18,860</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muliuru</td>
<td>OF-11</td>
<td>otolith</td>
<td>SANU-8820</td>
<td>15,750</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>18,980</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muliuru</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>otolith (23)</td>
<td>OZB-624</td>
<td>16,150</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>19,260</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muliuru</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>otolith (11)</td>
<td>OZB-612</td>
<td>16,200</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>19,320</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muliuru</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>otolith (9)</td>
<td>OZB-610</td>
<td>16,250</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>19,400</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muliuru</td>
<td>ME3 m3/3</td>
<td>otolith (29)</td>
<td>OZB-630</td>
<td>18,350</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>21,890</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muliuru</td>
<td>ME8</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-1914</td>
<td>29,360</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>33,860</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>otolith (5)</td>
<td>OZB-606</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>17,640</td>
<td>710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>otolith (4)</td>
<td>OZB-605</td>
<td>14,800</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>17,990</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>otolith (1)</td>
<td>OZB-602</td>
<td>14,900</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>18,200</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>otolith (6)</td>
<td>OZB-607</td>
<td>15,050</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>18,220</td>
<td>440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>otolith (3)</td>
<td>OZB-604</td>
<td>15,150</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>18,310</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>otolith (30)</td>
<td>OZB-631</td>
<td>15,250</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>18,530</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung</td>
<td>Intensive</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>OZB-609</td>
<td>15,400</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>18,640</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung East</td>
<td>GS4</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-266</td>
<td>15,400</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>18,630</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung/Leaghur GL1</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-373B</td>
<td>15,480</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>18,700</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung/Leaghur GL13</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-2210</td>
<td>16,050</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>19,170</td>
<td>210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung/Leaghur GL13</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-1913</td>
<td>16,100</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>19,210</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung/Leaghur GS10</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-2969</td>
<td>25,600</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>30,370</td>
<td>460</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung/Leaghur GL24</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-2206</td>
<td>30,300</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>34,890</td>
<td>920</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garnpung/Leaghur GG19</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-2163</td>
<td>31,600</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>36,110</td>
<td>930</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaghur</td>
<td>LN7</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-461</td>
<td>15,690</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>18,900</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaghur West</td>
<td>LW20</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-2769</td>
<td>16,360</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>19,520</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaghur West</td>
<td>LW5</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-2203</td>
<td>16,700</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>19,860</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaghur Peninsula</td>
<td>LP3</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-372B</td>
<td>27,160</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>31,690</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaghur Peninsula</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>OZJ716</td>
<td>41,100</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>44,740</td>
<td>920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willandra Ck</td>
<td>TH B1,</td>
<td>otolith (26)</td>
<td>OZB-627</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>19,150</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basin Location</td>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Material dated</td>
<td>Lab. No.</td>
<td>(^{14}C) Age (BP)</td>
<td>1 sigma (years)</td>
<td>IntCal09 Age (median) Cal BP</td>
<td>1 sigma (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willandra Ck</td>
<td>TH B1, Leaghur Outflow</td>
<td>oolith (27)</td>
<td>OZB-628</td>
<td>16,400</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>19,550</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willandra Ck</td>
<td>WCU13, Upstream Shell Tank, 1.5m</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-2238</td>
<td>16,800</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>19,950</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo Lakebed</td>
<td></td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>N-1656</td>
<td>14,500</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>17,640</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC7(152)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-2967</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>19,140</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>BMLM-158</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8817</td>
<td>16,070</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>19,190</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>LAC-9009</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8816</td>
<td>16,090</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>19,210</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface</td>
<td>oolith (22)</td>
<td>OZB-623</td>
<td>16,100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface</td>
<td>oolith (13)</td>
<td>OZB-614</td>
<td>16,150</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>19,260</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface</td>
<td>oolith (16)</td>
<td>OZB-617</td>
<td>16,150</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>19,260</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface</td>
<td>oolith (15)</td>
<td>OZB-616</td>
<td>16,200</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>19,310</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface</td>
<td>oolith (18)</td>
<td>OZB-619</td>
<td>16,200</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>19,320</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface</td>
<td>oolith (20)</td>
<td>OZB-621</td>
<td>16,250</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>19,380</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface</td>
<td>oolith (10)</td>
<td>OZB-611</td>
<td>16,350</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>19,510</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface</td>
<td>oolith (21)</td>
<td>OZB-622</td>
<td>16,450</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>19,630</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface</td>
<td>oolith (17)</td>
<td>OZB-618</td>
<td>16,550</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>19,720</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface</td>
<td>oolith (19)</td>
<td>OZB-620</td>
<td>16,600</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>19,750</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>BMLM-011</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU8825</td>
<td>16,710</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>19,860</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface</td>
<td>oolith (24)</td>
<td>OZB-625</td>
<td>16,800</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>19,960</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>LAC-9004</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8813</td>
<td>16,820</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>19,990</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>BMLM-008</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8821</td>
<td>16,980</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20,170</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface, square D19</td>
<td>oolith (25)</td>
<td>OZB-626</td>
<td>17,000</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>20,180</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>BMLM-010</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8824</td>
<td>17,020</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20,220</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>BMLM-007</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8805</td>
<td>17,060</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20,260</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>BMLM-211</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8806</td>
<td>17,120</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20,320</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>OZJ372</td>
<td>17,160</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>20,390</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>LAC-9001</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8811</td>
<td>17,190</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20,400</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>BMLM-121</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8807</td>
<td>17,240</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>20,460</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>LAC-9008</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8814</td>
<td>17,510</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20,840</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>LMB1-01</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8810</td>
<td>20,580</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>24,580</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>BMLM-156</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8818</td>
<td>21,030</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>25,090</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC7(151)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-2205</td>
<td>32,400</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>37,080</td>
<td>1060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC7(152)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-331</td>
<td>32,750</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>37,510</td>
<td>1410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>LMB3-81</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU-8809</td>
<td>33,090</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>37,790</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface</td>
<td>oolith (14)</td>
<td>OZB-615</td>
<td>33,200</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>37,940</td>
<td>1120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC7(151)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-2204</td>
<td>33,700</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>38,510</td>
<td>1370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>Mungo B Shawcross Pit 1.1m</td>
<td>oolith (28)</td>
<td>OZB-629</td>
<td>39,500</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>40,900</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>WOC1, surface, square D20</td>
<td>oolith (12)</td>
<td>OZB-613</td>
<td>36,100</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>41,030</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo Lakebed</td>
<td>ML 21</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-4134</td>
<td>37,200</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>41,960</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mungo</td>
<td>LAC-9002</td>
<td>oolith</td>
<td>SANU8812</td>
<td>41,740</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>45,190</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1: Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basin Location</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Material dated</th>
<th>Lab. No.</th>
<th>$^{14}$C Age (BP)</th>
<th>1 sigma (years)</th>
<th>Cal BP (median)</th>
<th>1 sigma (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outer Arumpo</td>
<td>TH1 H3 area, surface, square B6</td>
<td>otolith</td>
<td>CAMS-1930</td>
<td>21,190</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>25,330</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Arumpo</td>
<td>TH1 (OA4)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>N-1664</td>
<td>22,600</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>27,230</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Arumpo</td>
<td>OA1</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-2207</td>
<td>23,600</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>28,400</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Arumpo</td>
<td>TH2 (OA5)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU 2586</td>
<td>33,100</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>37,920</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Arumpo</td>
<td>TH1 (OA4)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-1692</td>
<td>34,450</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>39,280</td>
<td>1560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Arumpo</td>
<td>TH3 (OA6)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>N-2032</td>
<td>35,100</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>39,810</td>
<td>1760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Arumpo</td>
<td>TH3 (OA6)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>CAMS-1925</td>
<td>35,590</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>40,530</td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Arumpo</td>
<td>TH3 (OA6)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>N-1665</td>
<td>35,600</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>40,310</td>
<td>1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Arumpo</td>
<td>TH1 (OA4)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-1692</td>
<td>36,170</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>41,040</td>
<td>1050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Arumpo</td>
<td>TH1 (OA4)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-1470</td>
<td>36,400</td>
<td>3825</td>
<td>40,380</td>
<td>3510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer Arumpo</td>
<td>TH3 (OA6)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>ANU-306</td>
<td>38,500</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>42,740</td>
<td>2240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prungle South Lakebed</td>
<td>TH3 (OA6)</td>
<td>shell</td>
<td>OJ717</td>
<td>44,100</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>47,380</td>
<td>1260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Non-finite dates

| Mungo Lakebed | Shell Tank, basal | shell | N-1657 | >37,800 |
| Mungo Lakebed | - | shell | N-2033 | >37,800 |
| Mungo Lakebed | - | shell | N-1658 | >37,800 |
| Outer Arumpo Lakebed | - | shell | N-1663 | >37,800 |
| Prungle South Lakebed | - | shell | N-1662 | >37,800 |

hand-scraping with weak acid etching, and the oldest results were close to maximum age limits for the available Liquid Scintillation Counting (LSC) technology. All fish otolith ages (n=49) were measured using Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) on single otolith samples, often with better decontamination procedures, stable isotope correction and smaller uncertainties.

We assume here that all shell and otolith radiocarbon ages listed in Table 1 are Conventional Radiocarbon Ages as defined in Stuiver and Polach (1977); they were calibrated with OxCal 4.1 (Bronk Ramsey 2009) using IntCal09 atmospheric data from Reimer et al. (2009). Two sigma calibrated age range (95.4% confidence interval, rounded to 10 years) and mean calibrated age with two sigma uncertainty (rounded to the nearest 100 years) are given in Table 1; non-finite ages were not calibrated. The very similar patterns of summed probability distributions for all shell ages and all otolith ages are shown in Figure 6A.

Several groups of shell and otolith ages in our date list, particularly at the younger end of the age range, were found to be statistically identical using the two sigma significance test in CALIB 6.0 (Stuiver et al. 2010). Pooled mean ages for these groups were calibrated as above, and these location-based probability distributions are shown in Figure 6B, where peak height is approximately proportional to the number of samples (1-10) in each group. The predominance of results at the younger end of the age range should be seen as a consequence of taphonomy, site destruction and opportunistic sample collection (Surovell et al. 2009; Johnson and Brook 2011).

Where measurements are available from both scintillation counting and AMS for midden shells, all results overlap at one standard deviation, for example from Outer Arumpo: Top
Hut 1 (OA4) midden has three dates with pooled mean age 40,600 ± 900 cal BP, and Top Hut 3 (OA6) midden has four dates with pooled mean age 40,700 ± 600 cal BP. There are otoliths (and emu eggshells) from the Mungo lunette with ages similar to these Outer Arumpo middens, and luminescence dates for the Lower Mungo to Upper Mungo transition and the Mungo I and III human burials (Bowler et al. 2003) in the same age range (40,000 ± 2000 cal BP). These observations suggest that the oldest Outer Arumpo and Mungo shell midden results may not be significantly in error, while the 47,300 cal BP lake-bed shells from Prungle South probably give some indication of the true age for shell samples previously reported as >37,800 BP (Bowler 1998).

Although not always from stratigraphically controlled contexts, the association of the samples with particular basins provides new information on the presence of water at particular times. The pattern of lake changes requires that we discriminate between longer climatic change events and short-term high variability flood events (as in Figure 4). Major changes in climate will register phases of long duration compared with changes in flood regime. By reason of the time required to establish the fish and shell populations, most aquatic samples will be associated with the former. In the distribution of age samples, the cluster around a modal peak helps discriminate between long-term and short-term changes in water level. Lake-full events of longer duration are more likely to generate clusters of related ages. Short-term flood events may be represented by outlier ages from main clusters.

Within the LGM timeframe, ca. 25,000-17,000 years ago, relationships between ages and source basins assist in defining patterns of change. Age patterns between Outer Arumpo, Mungo and Mulurulu are taken here as key indicators. Leaghur and Garnpung, falling between Mungo and Mulurulu, add little that is not already evident in the other two. The modal clustering of age groups relevant to the LGM period is particularly important – they provide the basis for a new data set defining this important period.

In addition to their value as reliable ‘clocks’, otoliths act as the most valuable archives retaining the isotopic signature of water chemistry and temperature during the life of the fish. Its secretion of a daily bank of aragonite permits high-resolution chronology within the life of the fish, separable on a seasonal, monthly or even daily basis (Kalish et al. 1997). Preliminary results of in situ $\delta^{18}$O, Sr/Ca and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr measurements on Macquaria ambigua (Golden Perch) otoliths (Boljkovac 2009) have already begun to yield such data, shown in Figure 9.

**Stratigraphic revision**

Sampling constraints impose controls on the overall age distribution patterns. While many dating samples originate on erosion surfaces, ages from stratigraphically controlled sites inform some aspects of origins. Older samples, such as the otolith (OZB629, 40,900 years ago) from the Mungo B excavation (Shawcross 1998) and an organic sample from a substantial fish hearth at
the Walls of China visitor area (ANU-2964, >34,500 BP) are important in the early sequence. While the latter represents meal remains, the results of human harvesting, many otoliths represent assemblages from fish mortality. Indeed, there is often a correlation between the two. In the Walls of China fish hearth example (Figure 8), hundreds of fish remains lie on, and were covered by, a blanket of dune pelletal clay. That fish feast was the result of increased salinity in the drying lake, and was an opportunistic recovery of hundreds of fish by people living on the shoreline at that time, providing a prototype example of human response to drying conditions as described earlier (Bowler 1998).

The relevance of otolith origins from mass mortality events is further enhanced by differences in modal age patterns between Mungo, 19,200–20,500 years ago, and Mulurulu, 18,800–19,300 years ago (Figure 6). If dominantly the result of human harvesting, similar ages might be expected between basins experiencing mutually similar aquatic environments. The evidence points more towards otolith age patterns reflecting later drying in the upstream basin.

In this sense, a strong bias exists between human behaviour and expressions of environmental change. The onset of drying with diminishment of regional resources goes hand in hand with increased pressure on diminishing aquatic resources. In an opportunistic sense, the occasion of fish populations made groggy by effects of rising salinity would immediately focus human harvesting on that occurrence.

A further link between otolith abundance and a period of increased salinity is suggested by overlapping ages in both otoliths and PCD development. Mungo otolith ages in the 19,200–20,400 year ago range are synchronous with ages for PCD development at that time, dated on charcoal or carbonates (ANU-312, 19,700 years ago and ANU-319, 19,400 years ago from Mungo; ANU-320, 19,900 years ago from Chibnalwood). Lacking fine time-scale resolution (to decades or even centuries) we cannot discriminate between ages of these mutually exclusive events. Within the limitations of dating accuracy, the disappearance of fish ca.19,000 years ago is entirely consistent with, and supportive of, evidence for increased salinity leading to dune building commencing about that time.

**Arumpo**

Detailed construction of wet-dry oscillations during Arumpo time remain poorly constrained both in terms of accurate ages and the detailed nature of oscillations. However, the reality of the main transgression is clearly defined by high-level gravels at Joulni disconformably overlying sediments of Upper Mungo age (Figures 7 and 10 in Bowler 1998), and in Outer Arumpo by layered sands in Long Waterhole, characterised there by frequent limnaeid shells, algal tubules and organic staining (Level D, Figure 11 in Bowler 1998). These three identical components occur in sandy clays of equivalent age at the toe of the Mungo lunette at the Walls of China Tourist Area, evidence of high carbonate precipitation, with molluscs grazing on microbial communities on aquatic plant surfaces.

The Arumpo lake phase is registered in Outer Arumpo by dates in the 27,600–25,300 year ago range (ANU-2207, N-1664, CAMS-1930). The absence of aquatic ages between 24,500 and 22,000 years ago is consistent with clay dune accretion on the Outer Arumpo dune at that time. This break in lake deposition is represented by the disconformity above the limnaeid-rich shell bed with microbial (algal) carbonates in Long Waterhole gully (Level E, Figure 11 in Bowler 1998).

High carbonate production would favour relatively warm conditions preceding descent into later cold conditions of the glacial maximum. Ages in the 24,500–27,000 year ago range are consistent with otolith and shell samples from Mungo and Outer Arumpo (Table 1, Figure 6B). This phase is tentatively assigned to 34,000-24,500 years ago, followed by subsequent
drying and clay dune accretion both at Mungo and on the main lunette at Outer Arumpo. The return of water heralded the next wet phase (Lower Zanci).

**Zanci**

The onset of Zanci flooding occurred before 20,000 years ago (OZB626, OZJ372). This event is represented at Long Waterhole by the final transgressing, with beach sands containing *Sphaerium* shells (Level F, Figure 11 in Bowler 1998) disconformably overlying Arumpo deposits. Equivalent events are registered on the floor of Lake Mungo, where sands with *Sphaerium* overlie soil-cracked clays 1 m below the present surface, evident in a 3 m deep trench (JC Trench, Figure 5). Shells dated from Shell Tank on the lake floor (N-1656, 17,600 years ago) probably suffer from soil carbonate contamination, providing a minimum age only. Unionid shell dates from Tysons Lake near Hatfield (N-1655, 20,300 years ago; N-2034, 22,000 years ago) help define the last arrival of freshwater in the wider region ca. 22,000-20,000 years ago. The filling stage of the Zanci cycle at Mungo is consistent with that chronology.

**Dune building**

While ages of lacustrine environments are defined by dates from fish and shellfish, dune-building ages must rely on organic or carbonate radiocarbon ages supported by OSL, as defined from earlier publications (Bowler 1971, 1998; Bowler et al. 1972, 2003). Important independent confirmatory evidence is now appearing from otolith isotope analyses. Results of in situ δ¹⁸O, Sr/Ca and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr measurements on five Golden Perch otoliths recovered from both surface middens and the lake floor suggest frequent drying out of Lake Mungo 19,200-20,400 years ago, and a major δ¹⁸O increase of 5‰ over nine years (Figure 9) indicates a rapid increase in salinity on a drying trend (Boljkovac 2009).

The close of the Zanci lacustrine phase set the trigger for the next phase of pelletal clay dune building (PCD). A midden on the northern Mungo lunette (OZJ372, 20,400 years ago) represents shell harvesting approaching the closing stage of the lake (Figure 10). The overlying clay dune layer is stratigraphically continuous with the uppermost unit at Joulni, dated there on charcoal to 19,700 years ago (ANU-312). That estimate is further consistent with the shell/otolith age clusters in the Mungo record (19,200-20,400 years ago), where out of 26 samples, only the probably contaminated Shell Tank date is younger than 19,000 years ago. A simultaneous date on carbonate from the gypseous dune at Chibnalwood (ANU-320, 2009).

![Figure 10. Schematic diagram showing notional association between LGM Zanci age disconformably cut into Arumpo unit, with frequent limnaeid shells and microbial carbonates. Lower Zanci quartz dune deflated from beach sands (20,000 years ago) overlain by pelletal clay drying phase (19,000 years ago), as identified at Mungo. Mussel shell (midden) and fish harvesting appear closely associated with the transition, wet to dry at close of lake-full phase.](image)
19,800 years ago) would confirm contemporaneous dune formation between basins at that time (Figures 5 and 10).

Upstream, freshwater remained longer, leading to final drying of Mulurulu at 18,800 years ago. Deflation of sands from the eastern beach contributed to deposition of a final quartz sand cover contemporaneously with pelletal clay at Mungo and gypseous pelletal clay at Chibnalwood, Arumpo and Bulbugaroo, the inner basins within the Outer Arumpo system.

Lacking salts necessary for pelletal clay formation, the final drying at Mulurulu did not produce the pelletal clay cover more characteristic of other lakes.

**Diachroncity**

The distribution of shell and otolith dates suggests drying of Lake Mungo at 19,000 years ago, compared with Garnpung at 18,400 years ago, with Lake Mulurulu and Leaghur in between. The drying relationship between Outer Arumpo, Mungo and Mulurulu is shown diagrammatically in Figure 11. The new data set permits a revision of the previous account, with construction of a new water level curve; the critical element involves the disappearance of water in Mungo by 19,000 years ago, coincident with dune building there, while water was clearly retained in Garnpung and Mulurulu until considerably later. The implications are two-fold: Firstly, this requires revision of the water level-dune building environmental synthesis curve. Secondly, nomenclature previously applied to events at this time requires modification.

**Water level curve**

This evidence requires amendment to the previous version of the Willandra Lakes water level oscillation curve. The general pattern of this curve, modified from Bowler (1998) and shown in Figure 12, retains the Mungo, Arumpo and Zanci major cyclic events. The style of diachronic response identified here for the final drying cycle may well have had expression...
between basins during earlier cyclic changes. However, the current resolution of field and age data do not permit specification of those changes. The emphasis here remains on clearer understanding of glacial maximum conditions.

The G notation in Figure 12 identifies the presence of specific gravels, indicators of high lake stand long enough to accumulate significant deposits. New stratigraphic analyses modify earlier usage in Bowler et al. (2003), the revision suggesting only a brief high lake phase coincident with Mungo I and III burials, an event insufficient to deposit an identifiable gravel signature. The event identified as G3 in Bowler et al. (2003) is now incorporated into the latter part of the G2 high lake phase.

In the construction of that curve, ages of early elements Lower to Upper Mungo were established by data drawn from earlier publications (Bowler 1971; Bowler et al. 1972). Some details of the younger Arumpo phase are clarified by the new data set. The main differences lie in two areas.

Firstly, in the time range 40,000–25,000 years ago, uncertainties remain in dating events of the Outer Arumpo lake and dune phases. In the version presented here, onset of the high lake phase represented by Level D in Long Waterhole gully (Figure 11 in Bowler 1998) and in the clays with carbonate tubules and limnaeids at Mungo Tourist site is moved towards the 30,000–28,000 year ago range. Until further work resolves these dating uncertainties, this correlation remains tentative.

Secondly, the more important revision to the curve involves the representation of the now complex and diachronic behaviour between basins in the final drying stage. This involves modification of the Zanci-Mulurulu anomaly. The tighter chronology presented here permits resolution of that period into discrete basin phases, with clay dune building progressing upstream, earliest at Outer Arumpo and later at Mulurulu (Figure 12). Although separated in time, these remain expressions of the same hydrologic event.
Nomenclature revision

The availability of new dates, especially from a range of otolith sites, has clarified an important aspect of the chronology. In so doing, it defines a new interpretation of events coinciding with, and to a large extent controlled by, climates of the Last Glacial Maximum.

In earlier interpretations, the drying of events at Mungo and Outer Arumpo were relegated to the Zanci unit, the period of major and final lunette construction. Dated to near 20,000 years ago, this event predated ages of midden shells at Mulurulu, implying a later lake filling event in that basin. Designated the Mulurulu Unit (Bowler 1998), that interpretation implied a complex response to glacial maximum conditions, major drying at Mungo on the one hand, followed immediately by filling of Mulurulu on the other, perhaps an unlikely combination of events during that climatic phase.

New dates now resolve the dilemma; there are no longer two discrete wet-dry events. Instead, they form part of a single complex, one in which controls are exerted as much by variations between basins as in the overall trend towards aridity.

In the Willandra system, the nature of the connected chain of lakes imposes a differential time control of filling and drying of each basin. This results in a diachronic relationship between specific events (Figure 11). The diagrammatic water level curve (Figure 4) with seasonal-secular oscillations affects the three basins in different ways at different times.

The early Zanci high lake phase is followed by drying and clay dune development, the original Zanci dune building. In this revised interpretation, the two-stage wet-dry environment previously attributed to the Zanci dry and Mulurulu wet events are seen as evolutionary aspects of a single evolving phase. The reality now defines a single lateral change proceeding upstream, with different timing between basins. Thus, while Mulurulu was still full, the drying dune generation at Outer Arumpo occurred simultaneously with upstream flooding. Meanwhile, at Mungo, midway between the two, equivalent events occurred at intermediate times (Figure 12).

In this context, the former definition of a separate Mulurulu wet phase post-dating Zanci drying is no longer relevant. The wet-dry event is now seen as a continuum of a single cyclic change, a change coincident with events of the Last Glacial Maximum. The processes of drying and dune building were diachronic between basins rather than separate discrete events, as previously interpreted. A revision of the Zanci terminology is offered as the most appropriate amendment to the stratigraphic system (see Figure 12). The validity of the sandy Mulurulu Unit as a discrete mappable deposit lapses in favour of its incorporation as a member of the wider Zanci Unit, which, by precedence, is retained.

Climatic implications

A number of constraints limit the value of the Willandra data as a basis for quantitative climatic reconstructions (Williams et al. 1991). Firstly, this was an overflow system, thereby unable to define actual discharge volumes. Secondly, the Willandra Creek is just one of several distributary channels of the Lachlan downstream from Hillston. Despite that qualification, to simply maintain lake levels at overflow stage (an area exceeding 1000 km²) at present evaporation rates would require diversion of the entire Lachlan River. Although providing minimum discharge records only, the Willandra flood history provides the best record of changes from major streams draining the eastern highlands, an area critical to our understanding of southeastern Australia's hydrologic and climatic change. In drying trends, episodes of lunette building, if correlating with regional linear dune expansion, have climatic implications beyond the confines of the Willandra system.
Aridity paradox: Lakes v desert dunes

The paradox of flooding in the northern basins simultaneously with clay dune building involving massive transfer of dust and salt to the east raises important questions on the nature of glacial age aridity (Williams et al. 2001, 2009). While evidence of locally permanent water bodies is secure, the Willandra also registers regional evidence for simultaneous aridity. Two lines of evidence register the response of surrounding dunefields to major changes in water balance in regions away from major drainage systems. The first is the record of desert dust, Wüstenquarz – records visible in microscopic analyses of Mungo lunette sediments. The second involves advances of Mallee dunefields and their encroachment across lake margins on to basin floors, advances that not only involve reactivation of the now fossil dunefields, but equally, the loss of water in the lakes necessary to permit dune advance.

In published records from the Joulni area, the sequence of lake drying and dune development records a substantial increase in the Wüstenquarz component near 45,000 years ago (Bowler et al. 2003). Wüstenquarz is virtually absent from sediments in the 60,000–45,000 years ago range, remaining uniformly high from 45,000 years ago through Upper Mungo time, to near 32,000 years ago. This would indicate at least some reactivation of desert dunes upwind coincident with the reduction of water availability in the drying basins. In that sense, two independent measures of aridity are consistent, lending confidence to a picture of substantial environmental change at that time.

Approaching the glacial maximum, the evidence for accelerated dune activation becomes more visible. In the regional setting, large areas of mallee dunes extend from the Darling River in the west to lake shorelines in the east. As extensions of inland arid landforms, evidence of past expansion exists today on the western shoreline of several Willandra basins.

On the northwestern margin of Outer Arumpo, a substantial lobe of mallee dunes has transgressed across the cliffed margin to extend some 2.5 km on to the lake floor (Figure 2). The leading edge retains its irregular advance outline and shows no sign of trimming by waters. This event clearly occurred after drying of Outer Arumpo, an event associated with the Upper Zanci drying near 20,000 years ago. The advance of mallee dunes was then synchronous with the major clay and salt deflation involved in the production of the Chibnalwood, Inner Arumpo and Bulbugaroo lunettes (Figure 1). Simultaneously, on the western shoreline of Lake Garnpung, another lobe of broad dunefield also encroached a similar distance (ca. 2.5 km) across the shoreline but, unlike the Outer Arumpo example, this advance has been trimmed and cut back, a function of water in the basin. Dune encroachment across the western shorelines implies at least a low water level or dry basins. The trimming of advancing dunes in Garnpung offers two alternative explanations.

Firstly, short alternations of dry to wet lake conditions are consistent with the progressive but intermittent drying after 20,000 years ago. A brief dry interval with dune advance may then have been interrupted by a final pulse of water while Mulurulu was in final drying phase.

Alternatively, dune advance after final drying of Garnpung may have been trimmed by much later return of restricted floodwaters in post-glacial times.

In neither case did the Garnpung waters extend south to Outer Arumpo levels, where dune lobes retain their original advancing forms. In both cases, dune advance has been arrested on drying lake floors. Water and wind have combined to preserve this interaction between competing processes.

In summary, the lakes’ history provides a measure of time control on regional dune activity. Dune advance involves the loss of woodland vegetation cover, permitting massive erosion in mobile sands. In topographic form, the dunefield west of the Willandra, like those south of the Murray in Big Desert, retains patterns of advancing forms, now preserved as a virtually fossil sand sea. Now entirely stabilised by mallee vegetation, the genuine desert nature of these glacial
maximum environments remain difficult for us to visualise today.

**LGM paradox: Wind v water**

While defining relative ages of lake and dunefield changes on the one hand, the data appear to be in conflict on the other. Desert dunes and saline gypseous lunettes advanced at 20,000-19,000 years ago while people upstream feasted on fish and shellfish. That paradox requires further analysis of controlling factors.

The two main actors evident on this LGM climatic stage, wind and water were operating, both modified by the further controlling agent temperature. While the role of wind and water is clearly evident in lake and dune behaviour, details of local temperature remain contentious.

Coincidence of maximum ice volume with lowest sea level would argue for major temperature reductions, a reconstruction consistent with 19,000 years ago Kosciuszko glacial advance (Barrows et al. 2004). Meanwhile, the abundance of fish and shellfish at Mungo (20,000 years ago) and Mulurulu (19,000 years ago) remains paradoxically inconsistent with locally cold temperatures.

In pointing to temperature constraints on fish spawning, Allen (1972) identified populations of Golden Perch surviving through the LGM as evidence that otolith abundance would seem to favour at least seasonally warm conditions. Coincidentally, distribution of mussel shells (*Velesunio ambiguus*) is dependent on transport by fish at the embryonic or glochidial stage. Isotopic analyses of 19,000 years ago Mulurulu mussel shells (Bowler in Shackleton's lab, Cambridge, 1975) and by Douglas (1996) defined average changes of 3-4‰ between Mulurulu and modern shells of the same species collected upstream from Lake Cargelligo. If due to temperature alone, that magnitude would involve a temperature depression of some 9-12°C. Until the effects of glacial-age lake water composition can be uncoupled from the temperature effect, such isotopic data remain to be validated. From evidence at Lake George near Canberra, Galloway (1965) proposed glacial-age summer temperature reduction of as much as 9°C below present, an estimate in line with later analyses using eggshell racemization (Miller et al. 1997) approximating that implied by the isotopic evidence.

On the other hand, given the high Southern Hemisphere insolation at LGM time (Berger and Loutre 1991), the prospect of relatively warm inland temperatures coincident with glacial and periglacial environments in southern high altitudes remains a possibility inviting further evaluation. High carbonate production, as in the microbial carbonates of Arumpo time (30,000-25,000 years ago), would favour relatively high temperatures despite proximity to the LGM.

Decreased temperature, especially on montane catchments, exerted major controls on complexities of the LGM stage. Its influence on periglacial highland extent, increased catchment efficiency, amplified by vegetation loss and by its controls on evaporation and soil moisture balance had widespread effects. Competing agents, wind and water, operated under its overall influence. Clarification of that influence poses a major challenge for future workers.

**Basin drying and occupation: Age differences**

The diachronous relationship in drying between the archaeologically barren Chibnalwood lunette and that of Mungo is further reflected in two different aspects of sedimentology.

Firstly, episodic variations in exposures of lake floors during drying leads to the development of the pelletal clay phases (PCD 1 and PCD 2 in Figure 4). In Lake Mungo, the Upper Zanci dune unit consists of highly variable laminated sands and sandy clays with less frequent clay-rich laminae. This variability reflects expression of the transitional nature from lake-full to dry basin, a progressive rather than abrupt change in hydrologic status (Figure 11). Such variability reflects the shallow oscillations in A-A’ field of the water level model, Figure 4A.

Secondly, a major difference is reflected in the variation between the aeolian units of Mungo
and Chibnalwood. While Mungo continued to receive pulses of surface inflow, Chibnalwood, isolated within the confines of the already dry Outer Arumpo, was subject to discharge by saline groundwaters only.

The upper dune at Mungo is highly variable in mineralogy and texture. By contrast, the Chibnalwood sediments are dominantly gypseous clay, with a relatively low percentage of quartz sand, and maintain relatively uniform textures throughout. Isolated from the high variability of surface inflow, this is a reflection of the final, relative uniform nature of groundwater control.

The thickness of the Chibnalwood gypseous clay is additionally important in consideration of rates and times between events. With total relief from floor to crest of 30 m, the thickness on the depositional margin of the pelletal mantle exceeds 10 m (Figure 5). Assuming a depositional rate of 1 cm per year, a relatively rapid rate of erosion and deposition, the 10 m deposit would involve some 1000 years. Although only approximate, this is consistent with the inferred interval between drying of Mungo and Mulurulu. Thus, while the Chibnalwood and Mungo dunes were building, people continued to harvest on the shores of Mulurulu throughout that 1000-year interval, from near 19,000 to 18,000 years ago (Figure 11). This provides at least a notional estimate of the time involved in changing human patterns of occupation and exploitation of the final Willandra resources.

The dramatic emergence of human footprints on Garnpung’s western shore (Webb et al. 2005) has added new perspectives to the LGM occupation of the Willandra. Here, a small group of people left their footprints running and walking through saline mud ca. 19,000-23,000 years ago. The new picture reflects a regional movement, a progressive adaptation in which people may have followed the retreat of freshwaters up the drainage chain. This adaptation to the progressive drying trend reflects an earlier expression, with parallels in the records of the Mungo burials some 20,000 years earlier. The association there with increasing occupational density at the close of the Lower Mungo freshwater phase was interpreted as evidence for increased reliance on aquatic harvesting at times of regionally reduced surface waters (Bowler 1998). Corresponding to times of shallow water and increased salinity, these were precisely the environments favouring ease of fish and shellfish harvesting. This is the situation represented by the midden and otolith abundance in the closing stages of the Willandra lake environments.

Human Adaption

The people of the Willandra area occupied a region of natural system complexity, unparalleled by comparison with any other across southern Australia. The dominance of westerly winds, together with the array of dunes, lunettes and salt deflation they controlled, stood in direct competitive interaction with the dynamics of large stream discharge emanating from the snow-fed highland catchments in the southeast. Changing climatic balance at one time favoured massive stream discharge. At another, the balance gave way to colder drier climates and strong westerlies. If glacial maximum temperatures were reduced to anything like the 9°C suggested earlier, the effects on ecosystems and humans would be devastating. With much-reduced local rainfall, cold climates would be associated with extensive frost, and a much-reduced growing season, with impacts on both aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. Golden Perch, the most frequent source of otoliths, favouring temperatures greater than 23°C (Lake 1967), would have been near their lowest limits of tolerance.

Human occupants, exposed to near freezing winters associated with strong winds in areas of little protective cover, were subject to very high levels of stress. Protective clothing and shelter construction would have been essential. Such harsh living conditions were perhaps ameliorated only by access to permanent water. It implies an adaptive ability difficult for us today to comprehend.
The new evidence presented here qualifies some previous interpretations of human adaptation to the peak of Zanci aridity. The disappearance of water was formerly interpreted as cause for people abandoning the area and moving towards rivers as a more permanent water source (Bowler 1998). The absence of any archaeological material in the dozens of gullies on Chibnalwood lunette supported movement away from locally inhospitable deflating basins; however, the absence of occupation dates between 18,000 years ago and 21,000 years ago was also interpreted as limited sampling rather than an abandonment of the region (Johnston and Clark 1998).

The 14C dates presented demonstrate an absence of archaeological evidence associated with freshwater exploitation between 20,500 years ago and 25,000 years ago, followed by renewed fishing and mussel collection from 20,500 years ago to the final drying stages of the Willandra at 17,500 years ago. Locally, comparisons with the archaeological record from lakes associated with the Darling River (Balme and Hope 1990; Balme 1995), ca. 100-150 km to the west and northwest of the Willandra Lakes, are revealing. Similar although somewhat earlier patterns of commencement and abandonment of lacustrine resources have been documented at Lake Tandou, where small freshwater shell middens date from 26,500 to 31,300 years ago. At the Teryawynia Lakes, Balme and Hope (1990) found Holocene middens 6800 to 8400 years ago followed a similar pattern to that now established for the Willandra: middens at the south of the drainage system were older than those upstream. Occupation of the lakes moved upstream as the system progressively dried. The concept of opportunistic exploitation of systems under stress finds support from independent lacustrine environments.

In other areas of Australia, the LGM witnessed archaeological patterns that indicate a restriction of foraging ranges, avoidance of high-risk environments and concentration on locally available resources within refuges (Smith 1989; Veth 1989; Hiscock 2008): territorial reorganisation (Hiscock 2008) was essential in the face of changing resource availability. In this national context, the Willandra Lakes may be viewed as a complex and variable refuge rather than a high-risk and abandoned environment; it offered watered environments that contracted north over time, particularly through the latter part of the LGM. Fishing people and mussel collectors were forced to follow the contracting waters. While we may speculate that people completely abandoned the dry and deflating lakes and their surrounds, this is difficult to confirm. Further investigation of the non-lacustrine archaeological record is required.

We have now a new and somewhat paradoxical cameo of people in a rapidly changing environment, one in which glacial cold was associated with increasing aridity. It was one in which downstream drying with massive deflation blasted clouds of dust and salt into the atmosphere. Meanwhile, simultaneously further upstream, fish and shellfish were being caught and eaten. It provides a new picture of glacial maximum environments, one in which wind and water interacted to display a new dynamic of environmental change, one in which land and people were involved in a whole mosaic of new accommodation. People moved upstream responding to progressive drying. They were adapting to changing patterns of ice-age environments with their feet.

**Conclusions**

1. The new account of flooding and drying, refined by new levels of dating precision, demonstrates the continuity of change across hydrologic gradients within this interconnected basin system. In so doing, the justification for the previous two-stage climatic subdivision (Zanci, Mulurulu) is resolved into a single Zanci stage where differential lag effects in a single drying cycle affect different basins at different times.
2. The data significantly amplify the nature of LGM environments. Widely regarded as the
time of maximum aridity, that view must be modified to include two critical observations:

(a) In southeastern Australia, montane catchments affected by glacial or periglacial
processes, despite reduction in rainfall, carried significant water volumes even
through the maximum phase of glacially reduced temperatures. Meanwhile, areas
distant from or in dry downstream reaches of such streams underwent major
instability, with intensive reactivation of both continental and lacustrine dune systems.

(b) Despite the major reduction in available surface waters, groundwater levels remained
high. This acted as a trigger accentuating erosive instability, especially in low-lying
areas where seasonal groundwater discharge fuelled processes of salt crystallisation and
instability.

3. The Willandra evidence provides a new window into human response to the adversity
of diminishing resources. The picture is one of selective adjustment, small migrations
upstream following the pattern of disappearing lakes. It further suggests opportunistic focus
on those pockets, where falling water levels, associated with increased salinity, provided
increased availability to shell and fish harvesting. Throughout the at least 1000 years that
people survived upstream, massive erosion with clouds of dust and salt were blowing from
downstream basins of Chibnalwood, Arumpo, Bulbugaroo and their equivalents elsewhere
across huge regions of southern Australia.

4. Contemporaneously with glacial age depressed temperatures, accentuated by clouds of dust
and salt from westerly winds, the fishing men and women of Mungo to Mulurulu stand as
exemplary survivors of massive environmental change, a cameo not without relevance to
humanity today.
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Introduction

Vegetation forms the major living characteristic of a landscape that solicits inquiry into the history of its changes during the late Quaternary and the major factors that have influenced the changes. Early studies considered ecological factors would cause vegetation to develop until a stable climatic climax formation was attained (Clements 1936). The concept of an area developing a potential natural vegetation in the absence of humans was similar (Tüxen 1956). Both ideas held that the vegetation of an area would develop to a stable condition that would change little. However, the vegetation of a region never remains in stasis, but develops dynamically through time, influenced by changing dominant factors (Chiarucci et al. 2010).

The structure of a major vegetation formation is usually dominated by a limited number of taxa of similar physiognomy. Although many taxa are identified at most sites studied for pollen in Tasmania, the major percentages in the records are represented by fewer than 10 pollen taxa. These are widely dispersed taxa, local taxa usually being under-represented in the records (Macphail 1975). The structures of fossil pollen-vegetation formations are interpreted with regard to modern vegetation even though abiotic and biotic conditions rarely remain the same through time, and identical replication is not expected. During the late Quaternary in Tasmania, the most important abiotic changes affecting vegetation were temperature and precipitation, and the most important biotic change was the impact of Aboriginals using their major cultural tool, fire. The advent of people to a region adds another dimension to palaeoecological reconstructions and frequently reveals inconsistencies between the expected vegetation before and the extant vegetation after human occupation (Willis and Birks 2006).
During the past 35 years, pollen records have been obtained from many lake and swamp deposits located mainly in western Tasmania and more sparsely in eastern Tasmania. Until recently, the results have been used to interpret vegetation history with reference to present extensive vegetation formations defined by the major pollen components represented in site diagrams, from which former climate changes have been inferred (Table 1). Published records refer to one or at best a few sites, except for the early work of Macphail (1979), which gave a regional representation for western Tasmania, and maps by Kirkpatrick and Fowler (1998), who used pollen records to model vegetation distribution at the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM). During the past 10–15 years, pollen records at several sites have revealed that humans prevented the development of ‘climax’ forest during the postglacial period and produced cultural disclimax vegetation associations, especially in southwest Tasmania (Fletcher and Thomas 2007a, 2010a).

The density of analysed pollen sites in Tasmania is greater than for other mid-latitude Southern Hemisphere areas. This provides an opportunity to reconstruct the palaeoecology of major vegetation formations and associations at different times, and to evaluate the results in relation to inferred climate changes and human impacts (Table 2). In this paper we:

1. Use eight regionally distributed pollen taxa to represent broad-scale vegetation patterns on a series of time-slice maps using relative pollen data from 52 sites.

2. Discuss changes in late-Quaternary vegetation from 125,000 years ago to 1000 years ago, shown by the patterns on the maps and reference to original publications.

3. Consider the influence of climate changes on the vegetation plus human modifications not evident from the patterns on the maps.

Table 1. Referenced and acknowledged sources of pollen data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Name</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Adamsons Peak (Macphail 1979)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Beatties Tarn (Macphail 1979)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Big Heathy Swamp (Thomas 1992)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Blakes Opening (Colhoun and Goede 1979)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Broadmeadows Swamp (van de Geer et al. 1986)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Brown Marsh (Macphail 1979)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Camerons Lagoon (Thomas and Hope 1994)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Coal Head (A. Fowler 1993 pers. comm.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Crotty Road (Colhoun and van de Geer 1987)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Crown Lagoon (Sigleo and Colhoun 1981)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Dante Rivulet (Gibson et al. 1987)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Darwin Crater (Colhoun and van de Geer 1988)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Den Plain A, B, C (Moss et al. 2007)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Dublin Bog (Colhoun et al. 1991b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Eagle Tarn (Macphail 1979)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Forester Marsh (Thomas 1996)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Governor Bog (Colhoun et al. 1991a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Hazards Lagoon (Mackenzie 2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Henty Bridge (Colhoun 1985a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 King River (van de Geer et al. 1991)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Lake Dove (Dyson 1995)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Lake Fidler (K. Harle 1993 pers. comm.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Lake Johnson (Anker et al. 2001)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Lake St Clair (Hop et al. 2000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Lake Selina (Colhoun et al. 1999)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Lake Tiberias (Macphail and Jackson 1978)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Lake Vera (Macphail 1979)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Mathinna Plains (Thomas 1996)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Melaleuca Inlet (Thomas 1995)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Mowbray Swamp (van de Geer et al. 1986)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Newall Creek (van de Geer et al. 1989)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Newton Creek (Colhoun et al. 1993)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Ooze Lake (Macphail and Colhoun 1985)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Pedder Pond (Fletcher and Thomas 2007a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Pieman Dam (Augustinus and Colhoun 1986)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 Pipe Clay Lagoon (Colhoun 1977a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 Poets Hill Lake (Colhoun 1992)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 Pulbeena Swamp (Colhoun et al. 1982)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 Remarkable Cave (Colhoun 1977b)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 Rocky Cape (Colhoun 1977c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Smelter Creek (Colhoun et al. 1992)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Snow Hill Marshes (C. Becker 2000 pers. comm.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 S036-75L (van de Geer et al. 1994)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Stoney Lagoon (Jones 2008)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Tarn Shelf Mt Field (Macphail 1979)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Tarraleah (Macphail 1984)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 Tullabardine (Colhoun and van de Geer 1986)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 Tyndall Range Tarn Shelf (Macphail 1986)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 Upper Lake Wurawina (Macphail 1986)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Upper Timk Lake (Harle 1989)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Waterhouse Marsh (Thomas 1996)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Yarlington Tier (Harle et al. 1993)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tasmanian environment and vegetation

Tasmania, located at 40–43°S and 144–149°E, has a complex topography. The west coast is backed by a low sloping plateau that rises from around 100 m near the coast to over 500 m at the foot of the West Coast Range. Rivers are deeply incised into the low coastal plateau. Inland of the 1000 m-high West Coast Range, north–south trending mountain ranges attain 1000–1200 m altitude and consist of Precambrian and Palaeozoic siliceous rocks with limestone in many deep valley floors. The mountains reach 1300–1500 m in the Central Highlands, where numerous peaks have caps of Jurassic dolerite that overlie siliceous rocks. The dolerites extend eastwards as a high Central Plateau that descends from around 1200 m on its western margin to 1000–900 m on its eastern and southeastern margins. Midland Tasmania is a rift valley that connects the northern coastal plains and Tamar Trough with the lower Derwent Valley of the southeast. Within the rift, late Palaeozoic and Mesozoic mudstones and sandstones underlie Tertiary sediments and basalts. Eastern Tasmania is an area of dissected hills and plateaus formed of similar siliceous sediments capped by Jurassic dolerite that attain 600–900 m. The northeast is dominated by the 1200–1500 m-high dolerite plateau of Ben Lomond and by extensive coastal plains mantled with sandy Quaternary-age sediments (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Topography of Tasmania.
Situated between the Australian continental high-pressure system and the Southern Polar Front, Tasmania experiences much changeability between sub-tropical continental and cool temperate oceanic weather systems. Strong continental effects occur during summer, while oceanic effects can occur in all seasons. The maritime airflows provide 3600-1200 mm precipitation annually to the western mountains. Precipitation decreases eastwards and northeastwards across the Central Plateau, which receives 1800-900 mm. The Midland Valley lies in a rain shadow and receives only 700-550 mm, while the Eastern Uplands receive 800 to more than 1200 mm, with a significant proportion coming from the east.

In winter, frost and snow are frequent above 500 m, particularly in the centre and west. Snow only blankets the terrain for short periods. Coastal areas are mild and generally snow-free, with mean temperatures of 11°C at Queenstown in the west and 12.4°C at Hobart in the southeast. Central highland Tasmania is relatively cold, with a mean temperature of 8°C at Lake St Clair. Most of central and northeastern alpine Tasmania has July mean minimum temperatures below 0°C. Nocturnal temperatures as low as –20°C may occur on high peaks and severe glazing storms occur frequently in winter. In summer, adiabatically warmed airflows descend from the plateau, bringing warm dry winds and extreme temperatures of 35-40°C, particularly to the Midlands and southeast.

During glacial times when sea level was 60-120 m lower, Tasmania was connected to Victoria, and at maximum lowering the exposed land area was double its present size. The increase in continentality reduced precipitation in the central, eastern and northern areas leeward of the mountains, and mean temperatures throughout Tasmania were also reduced (Colhoun 1991).

The vegetation of Tasmania is determined by steep environmental gradients associated with precipitation, temperature, altitude, geology and soils (nutrient availability), and by fire (Bowman and Jackson 1981; Jackson 1981a, b, c; Kirkpatrick 1982; Kirkpatrick and Dickinson 1984; Reid et al. 1999; Harris and Kitchener 2005). These factors have resulted in complex patterns of major vegetation formations and communities, as determined by the Tasmanian Vegetation Mapping Program (Figure 2). Key characteristics of the formations are described by Harris and Kitchener (2005: See for details at the community level). The modern vegetation patterns in Tasmania differ in detail from the broader patterns shown by our pollen synthesis because of:

1. The more detailed classification used for the TASVEG Mapping Program,

2. The generalised patterns resolved by our selection of regionally important pollen taxa for the time periods mapped, and

3. The impact of Aboriginal occupation and burning on the vegetation during the past 35,000 years, which has altered/prevented Holocene forest recovery in the southwest (Allen 1996; Fletcher and Thomas 2007a, 2010a, b).

The local variability of climatic influence on the vegetation is indicated by the treeline, which approximates a mean temperature of 10°C for January. The treeline rises from 750 m in the southwestern mountains to 1400 m in the northeast. However, due to local ecological and environmental factors such as topographic situation, exposure to frost, effects of wind, and lack of protection from fire, the treeline is fragmented and varies over about 200-300 m altitude. Above the treeline the vegetation consists of alpine heaths, herbfields and coniferous shrubberies with numerous endemic taxa, notably the shrub conifers

Microstrobos niphophilus, Microcachrys tetragona and Podocarpus lawrencei.
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Western Tasmania is climatically suitable for cool temperate rainforest (cf. rainforest and related scrub, Figure 2), but its distribution is limited to less than half its potential area. Rainforest is dominated by the Southern Beech *Nothofagus cunninghamii* and Celery-top Pine *Phyllocladus asplenifolius*, with lesser amounts of *Atherosperma moschatum* and *Eucryphia lucida*, plus the native conifers Huon Pine *Lagarostrobos franklinii* and King Billy Pine *Athrotaxis selaginoides* (Jarman et al. 1999). However, in many areas, the rainforest is impure or absent because of soils with poor nutrient status, bad drainage or burning. Over extensive areas, rainforest taxa and *Eucalyptus* spp. combine to form wet mixed forests. At altitude, rainforest and wet mixed forests become diminutive in form and diverse in associated species, including some species distinctive of subalpine environments such as *Nothofagus gunnii*. Rainforest also occurs extensively in valleys and on the mid slopes of mountains, as in northeastern Tasmania surrounding Ben Lomond Plateau below the zone of alpine vegetation.

Extensive areas of western Tasmania are poorly drained, have acid soils (pH 4-5.5) and have vegetation that has been extensively and frequently burned. The vegetation of drier sites is dominated by ephacridaceous heathlands and locally by regenerating myrtaceous shrublands, but on wet sites lowland peatlands are dominated by the tussock-forming buttongrass sedge *Gymnoschoenus sphaerocephalus* and cord rushes Restionaceae. Blanket moorland comprised of these species may extend upslope on to ridges and plateaus (Figure 2).

The ecotonal zone between the rainforests of the west and the dry sclerophyll forest and woodland of much of eastern Tasmania (cf. dry eucalypt forest/woodland, Figure 2) is dominated
by wet sclerophyll *Eucalyptus* spp. forest and woodland (cf. wet eucalypt forest/woodland, Figure 2), which occurs in a belt extending from west-northwest to east-southeast across west-central Tasmania as far as the southeast coast, and on the slopes of the northeastern highlands. Wet sclerophyll forests are largely the product of burning during the Holocene, which favoured the dominance of *Eucalyptus* spp. Their understoreys are characterised by regenerating rainforest taxa and several mesic broadleaved shrub and small tree taxa, including notably *Pomaderris apetala* (Jackson 1981c). Above about 700 m the wet sclerophyll *Eucalyptus* forests become subalpine *Eucalyptus* woodlands that include a diversity of small tree and shrub taxa.

Leeward, in the rain shadow of the western mountains, dry sclerophyll *Eucalyptus* spp. forest and woodland is dominant. The formation is extensive between Lake St Clair and the eastern margin of the Central Plateau, and throughout southeastern and eastern Tasmania. Species of *Eucalyptus* are dominant, with the greatest diversity in the southeast. Understoreys consist of drought-tolerant shrubs, grasses and sedges in nutrient-poor, nutrient-rich and poorly drained areas (Duncan and Brown 1985). Sclerophyll forest and woodland also extended along much of the northwest coast region before European land clearance, with isolated areas extending as far as Cape Grim.

Native grassland occurred mainly on the driest southeastern lower parts of the Central Plateau, middle Derwent Valley and Midlands before European settlement. Lowland grasslands comprise species of *Poa, Themeda, Austrodanthonia* and *Austrostipa*. Highland grassland occurs on plain areas and valley floors on the Central Plateau, and extends northwest to Middlesex Plains north of Cradle Mountain National Park. The dominant grasses *Poa gunnii* and *Poa labillardierea* form tussocks. Much of the lowland native grassland was associated with sparse trees that would have given a savanna-like or parkland aspect to the environment before their removal on settlement (Harris and Kitchener 2005).

**Late-Quaternary vegetation map reconstruction**

The vegetation maps have been reconstructed using pollen records from 52 sites (Table 1 and Figure 3a-i). The maps reflect the broad-scale patterns of vegetation formations and associations within Tasmania during the past 125,000 years, as shown for the time-slices oxygen isotope stages (OIS=MIS) 5e, 4, 3 and 2, and for 12,000, 9000, 6000 and 1000 radiocarbon years. The time slices 12,000, 9000 and 6000 would be slightly older than shown on the maps, with calibrated ages of approximately 13,500, 10,000 and 6800 years ago respectively. The plotted data on the maps represent what the climatic climax potential natural vegetation was prior to 35,000 BP or would have been afterwards in the absence of Aboriginal impact. Some known areas with disclimax vegetation associations due to human impact and reflected in the pollen diagrams are discussed in the text.

Map reconstruction is based on eight pollen taxa that are the major components in the regional pollen rain which best represent regional vegetation formations (Macphail 1975). The taxa selected are: *Nothofagus cunninghamii, Phyllocladus aspleniifolius, Lagarostrobus franklinii, Pomaderris apetala, Eucalyptus* spp., *Allocasuarina* spp., *Asteraceae* (tubuliflorae) and *Poaceae*. These taxa account for much of the pollen represented in full pollen diagrams, and most are widely distributed. Full pollen counts at the majority of sites used a sum of around 300 grains of tree, shrub and herb taxa sufficient for identification of vegetation associations using the modern analogue technique (MAT) in which a limited number of major taxa combined with a sum of 150 grains is considered adequate (Lytle and Wahl 2005). The pollen counts of the eight taxa extracted from the full counts have been normalised to 100% for classification of the pollen-vegetation formations and associations. Four major Vegetation Formations can be defined (the headings in Table 2). The limited number of taxa used, though biased against local
Late-Quaternary vegetation history of Tasmania from pollen records

Wet Sclerophyll Forest
Lowland Rainforest
Savanna & Grassland
Steppe
Wet Mixed Forest
Dry Sclerophyll Forest
Dry Sclerophyll Woodland
Subalpine Sclerophyll Forest

Figure 3. Late-Quaternary vegetation maps.
taxa of limited distribution, is suitable for highlighting the major composition of much of the regional vegetation.

The formations are subdivided into 12 associations using a combination of the normalised percentage representation of the regionally important taxa, indicator species for the associations, reference to full spectra of dated and relevant age-interpolated horizons on pollen diagrams, and ecological knowledge. The mapped pollen-defined vegetation associations are thus a broad-scale interpretive model of late-Quaternary vegetation that can be compared with modern vegetation (Table 2). However, a few caveats are necessary. First, pollen transport is generally from west to east across Tasmania, and wet forest taxa, especially *N. cunninghamii* and *P. apetala*, appear consistently in small quantities in records from Midland and northeastern Tasmania and may cause the association to be classified as wet sclerophyll forest or woodland when other evidence clearly indicates a dry sclerophyll association. In such cases, the long-distance transport component has been deleted before classification. Second, at locations where the major pollen input is from locally dispersed taxa, the classification will be biased against revealing the local
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Interpretation of the vegetation associations from the pollen record requires recognition not only of the taxa contributing to the regional pollen rain, but also the degree to which they are over-, proportionately- or under-represented. Fletcher and Thomas (2007b) have analysed modern pollen from western Tasmania and shown that of the eight taxa used in this study, *N. cunninghamii*, *P. asplenifolius* and *P. apetala* are over-represented, *Eucalyptus*, Poaceae and *Allocasuarina* are proportionately-represented, and Asteraceae and *L. franklinii* under-represented. They have also been able to differentiate rainforest, moorland and alpine vegetation from a limited number of major pollen taxa. They show that rainforest (cf. Association 1) is characterised by *N. cunninghamii* and *P. asplenifolius*, and frequently

Table 2. Pollen-defined late-Quaternary vegetation associations for Tasmania.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation associations</th>
<th>Major criteria</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wet Forests: Rainforest taxa (<em>N. cunninghamii + P. asplenifolius + L. franklinii</em>) &gt;30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Lowland rainforest</td>
<td>Rf taxa &gt;70%, <em>Eucalyptus</em> &lt;5%</td>
<td><em>Atherosperma moschatum, Eucryphia lucida, Anodopetalum biglandulosum</em>, treeferns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Wet mixed forest</td>
<td>Rf taxa &gt;70%, <em>Eucalyptus</em> &gt;20%</td>
<td>* Allocasuarina, Dicksonia antarctica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Subalpine rainforest</td>
<td>Rf taxa &gt;70%</td>
<td><em>Nothofagus gunnii</em>, ± <em>Athrotaxis</em> spp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Subalpine sclerophyll forest</td>
<td>Rf taxa 30-70%, <em>Eucalyptus</em> &gt;30%</td>
<td>* Allocasuarina, P. asplenifolius, Nothofagus gunnii, Microstrobos niphilus*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sclerophyll Forests and Woodlands: <em>Eucalyptus</em> &gt;30% (forest), 10-30% (woodland)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Wet sclerophyll forest</td>
<td>Rf taxa 5-30%, <em>P. apetala</em> &gt;2% (<em>Eucalyptus</em> &gt;30%)</td>
<td><em>Dicksonia antarctica</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Wet sclerophyll woodland</td>
<td>Rf taxa 5-30%, <em>P. apetala</em> &gt;2% (<em>Eucalyptus</em> 10-30%)</td>
<td><em>Dicksonia antarctica</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Dry sclerophyll forest</td>
<td>Rf taxa &lt;5%, <em>P. apetala</em> &lt;2% (<em>Eucalyptus</em> &gt;30%)</td>
<td>Dry indicator taxa (e.g. <em>Dodonaea viscosa</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Dry sclerophyll woodland</td>
<td>Rf taxa &lt;5%, <em>P. apetala</em> &lt;2% (<em>Eucalyptus</em> 10-30%)</td>
<td>Dry indicator taxa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grasslands: Non-woody taxa (<em>Poaceae + Asteraceae</em>) &gt;80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Savanna and grassland</td>
<td><em>Eucalyptus</em> &gt;10% (savanna) <em>Eucalyptus</em> &lt;10% (grassland)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Steppe</td>
<td><em>Eucalyptus</em> &lt;10% and Chenopodiaceae &gt;10%</td>
<td><em>Plantago</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpine: Alpine shrub and herb taxa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Alpine heath and scrub</td>
<td>(Poaceae + Asteraceae) &lt;50%</td>
<td><em>Microcachrys tetragona, Microstrobos niphophilus, Diselma archeri, Athrotaxis</em> spp., Epacridaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Alpine grassland and herbfield</td>
<td>(Poaceae + Asteraceae) &gt;50%</td>
<td><em>Astelia alpina, Plantago</em> and alpine herbs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
contains *L. franklinii* and *Eucryphia* spp. They also show that most species in the alpine zone (cf. Associations 1 and 2), except for Poaceae, are under-represented. These include the herb *Astelia alpina* and the coniferous shrubs *Microcachrys tetragona*, *Microstrobos niphophilus*, the deciduous beech *Nothofagus gunnii* and Epacridaceae. Thirdly, they have identified moorland, which is and was widespread in southwest Tasmania at least during the Holocene (*vide infra*), but is not represented in our broad-scale classification of associations. The moorland is shown to be identifiable from a combination of the under-represented taxa Ericaceae, buttongrass *Gymnoschoenus sphaeocephalus*, *Melaleuca* and *Leptospermum*, but it also includes some pollen of well-represented Poaceae, *Eucalyptus* and over-represented *N. cunninghamii* and *Phyllocladus*.

Some of Tasmania’s pollen sites occur in alpine sites above the modern treeline in southwestern Tasmania. The records contain significant quantities of *N. cunninghamii* and *P. aspleniiifolius*, which have wide dispersal ability (Fletcher and Thomas 2007b). Other species are much less widely dispersed. A study of modern *Eucalyptus* pollen transport from a sharp woodland-edge eastwards across Lianwenee Moor on the Central Plateau shows that *Eucalyptus* accounted for 50-70% of total pollen beneath the woodland canopy and concentrations of only 5% outside the woodland on the treeless Moor (Shimeld and Colhoun 2001).

Before interpreting the mapped data, it is necessary to comment on the major taxa represented in the modern vegetation and how the pollen is likely to be represented in the analogue associations outlined in Table 2. Of particular importance is the dispersal of the pollen quantitatively assessed by Fletcher and Thomas (2007b), but also qualitatively apparent from the representation of pollen in many pollen diagrams obtained from diverse geographic locations. The time slices represented on the maps are also of limited precision and the time periods they represent need qualification.

Southern Beech *N. cunninghamii* is the dominant species of lowland rainforest. Celerytop Pine *P. aspleniiifolius* is also widespread, while Huon Pine *L. franklinii* occurs locally in river valleys and as subalpine mountain stands. Each taxon is an abundant pollen producer. In mountainous western Tasmania, *N. cunninghamii* and *Phyllocladus* can be transported in quantity upslope into adjacent alpine areas (Macphail 1975, 1979). In addition, given the prevalent westerly winds, the pollen can be transported in small quantities (2-3%) eastwards across the entire island. *Lagarostrobos* is much less widely dispersed, though occasional grains do travel far. Spores of the main treefern *Dicksonia antarctica* can be widely distributed, especially by water, and may occur in abundance at riparian sites.

In contrast, Leatherwood *Eucryphia lucida*, Sassafras *Atherosperma moschatum* and Horizontal Scrub *Anodopetalum biglandulosum* are generally sub-canopy trees of wet forests that are insect pollinated. *Atherosperma* and *Anodopetalum* do not flower abundantly and the pollen is deposited within the forest. *Eucryphia* may occur extensively in riparian situations, where it flowers abundantly, but the pollen is also deposited locally. Hence, these indicators demonstrate presence of wet forest, and with a predominance of *N. cunninghamii* and *Phyllocladus* and <5% *Eucalyptus*, indicate lowland rainforest. Pure rainforest without *Eucalyptus* is of limited occurrence in Tasmania. The Forestry Commission recognises that rainforest can contain a *Eucalyptus* component of up to 5% (Hickey pers. comm. 2003). Allowing for other trace pollen of regional origin, we define the lowland rainforest as having >70% *Nothofagus* + *Phyllocladus* + *Lagarostrobos* pollen. With more pollen of *Eucalyptus* and less of rainforest taxa, the forest is defined as wet mixed forest. *Pomaderris apetala* forms an understory tree in wet forests and is especially evident where the forest has been periodically burnt. The pollen can be widely dispersed in small amounts (ca. 1%) but where it occurs in larger amounts, usually considerably exceeding 2%, it indicates wet sclerophyll *Eucalyptus* forest. At altitudes above 500-700 m, subalpine rainforest may contain significant quantities of Native Pines, *Athrotaxis* spp. and the dwarf Deciduous Beech, *N. gunnii*. Both species can produce relatively abundant pollen, with
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that of the pines being more widely dispersed than the beech, which is deposited locally.

*Eucalyptus* is insect pollinated and there appears to be a close relationship between tree cover and quantity of pollen produced. A division has been made between the subalpine, wet and dry sclerophyll forests and woodlands where normalised *Eucalyptus* pollen values between 10% and 30% infer regional woodlands and greater than 30% infer regional forests. This approximates Specht’s (1970) woodland and forest structural forms. Unlike *Eucalyptus*, the pollen of *Allocasuarina* spp. is wind dispersed. It can vary considerably in abundance, be transported widely and occur in small quantities at sites far beyond its source area. It can also occur abundantly in association with coastal communities.

The vegetation of non-wooded environments is dominated by pollen of Poaceae and Asteraceae. Pollen from isolated *Eucalyptus* trees, which can be locally abundant, plus up to 5% of other long-distance transported pollen, make separation of the dry savanna-like vegetation and grassland difficult, which at the broad scale would probably form a mosaic. Here we use >10% *Eucalyptus* pollen as indicating savanna-like vegetation.

Chenopodiaceae are recorded by a few pollen grains in many spectra at Tasmanian sites. Macphail (1979) suggested the Chenopodiaceae pollen may have been transported from southern mainland Australia, but high values associated with native *Plantago* spp. suggest they are likely to indicate local steppe vegetation, especially during drier conditions in the last glaciation.

The vegetation of alpine areas consists either of alpine heath and scrub or alpine grassland and herbfield often in a complex mosaic pattern. In addition to Poaceae and Asteraceae, the heath may contain one or more species of coniferous shrub taxa and numerous species of Epacridaceae. In areas of alpine grassland and herbland, pollen of grass genera (not differentiated) is abundant and is probably over-represented in the pollen assemblage relative to its source plants occurrence in the field. Pollen of Asteraceae is also abundant, and although in Tasmania there is an abundance of alpine Asteraceae shrub spp., it is not possible to separate the pollen of herbs and shrubs. The Pineapple Grass *Astelia alpina* is a consistent indicator of wet alpine vegetation, while pollen of native *Plantago* spp. is consistently represented in alpine herbaceous vegetation.

The time slices on the maps represent broad but not overlapping periods that have been selected to detect major temporal changes in the vegetation. The radiocarbon dating of pollen-sediment sequences in Tasmania has been undertaken over several decades. Assays have been made by various laboratories and until recently reported only in radiocarbon years. Many of the sequences have been taken from alpine and subalpine lakes where sediments particularly of pre-Holocene age are low in organic carbon. In order to obtain dates, some of the core samples from which carbon has been extracted are 5-10 cm long. Other dates mainly of Holocene age have been obtained from individual wood and charcoal fragments, and from small samples of organic lake mud and peat. Much of the dating is not precise and standard error values can be large. In addition, residual traces of humic acid have affected some of the older pre-Holocene samples, making their ages appear younger than they really are. Due to constraints on the precision of the dates, original determinations have been used rather than calibrated ages, except where the latter are specified. It is estimated that errors may be up to around ± 1000 years for Holocene dates, but may be greater for older dates that are here allocated broadly to isotope stages as reflected by the pollen curves.

Unfortunately, limited financial resources have resulted in most Tasmanian pollen diagrams not being closely dated, and the chronologies of many depend on only a few dates. Thus, in this reconstruction, the ages of many pollen spectra are linearly interpolated between dated samples assuming uniform sedimentation rates, which is rarely the case. In addition, two to three pollen spectra may be combined to represent the interpreted vegetation assemblage of designated
time slices. The time slices 1000, 6000, 9000 and 12,000 BP were selected to represent pre-Eu-
ropean, mid-Holocene, early-Holocene and late-glacial-Holocene transition vegetation,
which they reasonably do. Radiocarbon calibration indicates the 6000 and 9000 BP time slices
are approximately 1000 years older (6800 and 10,000 cal BP) and the 12,000 BP slice 1500
older (13,500 cal BP), but given the overall limitations of the dating, the difference is of little
significance.

The vegetation associations represented in Figure 3e for OIS 2 are derived from pollen
spectra dated to or interpolated to have occurred around the LGM between ca. 18,000 and
25,000 BP. Those on Figure 3d for OIS 3 are derived from average pollen values from spectra
over the period interpolated as 30,000-55,000 BP, neglecting the fact that OIS 3 has had short
warmer and colder phases of climate. Several marked fluctuations of vegetation that may reflect
these climate changes have been averaged out for the map. The vegetation maps Figures 3c and
3b for OIS 4 and OIS 5e have no absolute dating but are derived from pollen spectra obtained
from sediment sequences attributed stratigraphically to these isotope stages.

The late-Quaternary vegetation maps

Only a limited number of pollen-vegetation records exist before the Holocene (Figures 3b-
3f), whereas many records have been obtained for the Holocene (Figures 3g-3i).

Last interglacial

Figure 3b represents the last-interglacial vegetation for central-western Tasmania probably
during OI Substage 5e. Only one site at Lake Selina (Figure 4) has a complete vegetation
record for Substages 5e to 5a (Colhoun et al. 1999). Records from other sites are attributed to
Substage 5e on palynological grounds, but some might belong to interstadial Substages 5a or
5c. Assuming their attribution to Substage 5e is correct, then the last-interglacial vegetation
in the west-coast mountain region of Tasmania consisted predominantly of wet mixed forest
in which *N. cunninghamii* was dominant, with *Phyllocladus, Allocasuarina, Lagarostrobos* and
*Eucalyptus* present in quantity.

The break in the Lake Selina record indicates the section obtained from a short surface
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**Figure 4.** Summary pollen diagram for Lake Selina.

core and the section from the longer main core. There is no time break in the pollen sequence.
The radiocarbon dates have been calibrated using Calib Rev 6.0 (Stuvier and Reimer 1993).

In the north, the vegetation at Pieman Damsite (Reece Dam) closely resembled modern
cool temperate *Nothofagus* rainforest, though there was a strong riparian element represented by
*Eucryphia* and *Anodopetalum. Eucalyptus* values averaged 5% (Colhoun 1980). At Lake Selina,
Late-Quaternary vegetation history of Tasmania from pollen records

Rainforest taxa peaked during OI Substages 5e, c and a, but were reduced by around two-thirds during OI Substages 5b and d (Figure 4). Eucalyptus was scarcely represented, though Allocasuarina varied from 10% to 15%, which may reflect local presence around the lake. Lake Selina is the only site in Tasmania with pollen records for OI Substages 5d and 5b. Both are characterised by greater amounts of Epacridaceae, Poaceae, Asteraceae and Microstrobos than 5e, 5c and 5a, which points to the occurrence of heath and herbaceous vegetation, and colder conditions.

Further south in the King Valley, the wet sclerophyll forest of the interglacial deposits at Smelter Creek had 58% Allocasuarina, which probably reflects a riparian rather than regional aspect of the vegetation (Colhoun et al. 1992). In the adjacent Andrew Valley, the last-interglacial vegetation at Darwin Crater had abundant N. cunninghamii and Phyllocladus, with Lagarostrobus, which is still abundant adjacent to the crater (Colhoun and van de Geer 1998).

North of Tasmania, a site at Yarra Creek on southeast King Island contains lagoonal peat and organic sand beds within 1.6 m of the modern cobble beach. The sandy peat 30-50 cm above the beach was dated by thermoluminescence to younger than 120,000 ± 7000 BP, and the organic deposit which extended to 1.7 m above the beach has been suggested to be of Substage 5e age. The vegetation was dominated by cool temperate rainforest and wet sclerophyll forest taxa, with 57-67% Phyllocladus and 12-19% Eucalyptus. N. cunninghamii, Cyathea and Dicksonia are well represented. The data are consistent with wet forest vegetation extending northwards to King Island during the last interglacial (Porch et al. 2009), but the deposit occurs well below the level attained by the last interglacial marine transgression on King Island of 20-21 m (Jennings 1959), and must have been formed after sea level began to retreat. It thus must be of late 5e age or belong to Substage 5c or 5a.

Of particular biological, temporal and probable stratigraphic significance is that at Pieman Damsite and Darwin Crater, the spores of Cyathea australis were more abundant during the last interglacial than those of Dicksonia Antarctica. Throughout the Holocene, D. Antarctica is the more dominant in wet forests.

Early last glaciation

Figure 3c represents the early last glaciation vegetation of OIS 4, which is recorded at five sites. At Lake Selina, abundant pollen of Poaceae, Asteraceae, Apiaceae, Chenopodiaceae and Microstrobos indicates alpine grassland and herbfield with alpine shrubs occurred around 516 m altitude on the northwestern mountains (Colhoun et al. 1999). Further south, at an altitude of 180-200 m at Darwin Crater, the vegetation is marginally classified as dry sclerophyll (subalpine) woodland. However, the abundance of pollen of Epacridaceae, Gramineae, Asteraceae, Astelia, Plantago, Ranunculaceae, Scrophulariaceae and Apiaceae in the full spectra showed that the sparse subalpine woodland of Eucalyptus and Allocasuarina species probably occurred locally within or adjacent to alpine grassland and herbfield vegetation, the latter of which was extensive in mountainous western Tasmania (Colhoun and van de Geer 1998).

The pollen in marine core SO36-7SL west of Macquarie Harbour indicates the extensive occurrence of subalpine sclerophyll forest in central-western Tasmania during OIS 4. This site would have received pollen from many vegetation communities in the catchment of the Gordon River and on the mountains of the southern West Coast Range. Apart from 6-7% pollen of Eucalyptus and of Allocasuarina, most pollen is Asteraceae, Poaceae and Chenopodiaceae, indicating widespread herbaceous vegetation, but there are also alpine shrub and herb species (van de Geer et al. 1994).

Pollen diagrams from Pulbeena and Mowbray swamps in northwest Tasmania are dominated by Poaceae with Asteraceae and Cyperaceae, and contain only 5% Eucalyptus pollen. The regional vegetation had the structure of a savanna or grassland, with sparse trees, while the
swamps were covered with sedges (Colhoun et al. 1982; van de Geer et al. 1986).

**Mid last glaciation**

The mid last glaciation of OIS 3 extended from approximately 59,000 to 24,000 BP (Martinson et al. 1987). During this period, there was some variation in the vegetation at several sites. The vegetation associations represented in Figure 3d are based on average values for spectra in the age range 30,000-55,000 BP.

The most complete sequence for western Tasmania is from Lake Selina, where alpine grassland and herbfield occurred during most of OIS 3. The vegetation consisted predominantly of Asteraceae, Poaceae and Apiaceae, with 10% *Microstrobos*. The vegetation for most of the period was closer in composition to the glacial-age vegetation of OIS 4 and OIS 2 than to interglacial wet forests. Of particular interest are three fluctuations, with the largest peak at the beginning of OIS 3 when significant amounts of *Microstrobos* and *N. gunnii* indicate an alpine heath component, and seem to indicate that vegetation and climatic conditions varied between alpine and subalpine.

Subalpine sclerophyll woodland was probably widely distributed. At Newton Creek in the West Coast Range at 550 m altitude, a sequence is dated basally to 34,000 BP. The pollen record for the lower part comprised high quantities of *Athrotaxis-Diselma* (similar pollen forms) and some *Astelia*, indicating subalpine-alpine vegetation, but the upper part was dominated by the sclerophyll taxa *Allocasuarina* and *Eucalyptus*, and the rainforest taxon *Phyllocladus* (Colhoun et al. 1993). Offshore in Marine Core SO36-7SL, *Allocasuarina* with *Eucalyptus* and *Phyllocladus* are the most important taxa, but *Athrotaxis*, *Microstrobos* and *Astelia* confirm extensive subalpine-alpine vegetation in west-central Tasmania (van de Geer et al. 1986).

Further south in western Tasmania, the vegetation at Newall Creek and at Darwin Crater is classified as dry sclerophyll woodland, although the climate was probably wet. In both cases, the vegetation was likely to have been subalpine in composition. At Newall Creek, in addition to dominant Poaceae and Asteraceae, *Eucalyptus* averaged 30% and pollen of rainforest species was negligible. Several alpine indicator taxa were present, the most important being *Astelia* and the bolster plant *Donatia novae-zelandiae*. At Darwin Crater, the record is difficult to interpret due to a bed of gravel causing a break in pollen sedimentation. The record indicates a co-dominance of Poaceae and Asteraceae, with around 10% *Eucalyptus*, little pollen of rainforest taxa, and significant quantities of *Astelia*, *Plantago* and Apiaceae, probably indicating alpine conditions (van de Geer et al. 1994; Colhoun and van de Geer 1998).

During OIS 3, the vegetation of the northwestern plains was dry sclerophyll woodland and forest. *Eucalyptus* with abundant *Leptospermum* (probably on the surface or adjacent to the swamps) and lesser amounts of *Melaleuca* and Poaceae were the most important taxa. Alpine herb and shrub taxa were notably absent at Pulbeena and Mowbray swamps (Colhoun et al. 1982; van de Geer et al. 1986). At Rocky Cape, further east on the northwest coast, organic horizons within alluvial fan gravels mainly contained pollen of *Eucalyptus* and *Allocasuarina* with Poaceae and Asteraceae, suggesting open dry sclerophyll forest (Colhoun 1977a). These northwestern sclerophyll woodlands and forests reflected their more continental location, the result of glacial lowering of sea level and draining of Bass Strait.

In southeastern Tasmania, the vegetation consisted of dry sclerophyll forest at Blakes Opening in the middle Huon Valley, where *Eucalyptus* was the most important taxon for most of the period (Colhoun and Goede 1979). Similarly, *Eucalyptus* forest occurred at Pipe Clay Lagoon in the 5000-year period that preceded the LGM (Colhoun 1977c). Wet sclerophyll forest occurred on the southern part of Tasman Peninsula, where in a gully at Remarkable Cave the dominant *Eucalyptus* pollen is accompanied by 1.4-13.2% *Pomaderris* and by up to 5% *N. cunninghamii* and 4% *Phyllocladus* (Colhoun 1977b).
Last Glacial Maximum

The Last Glacial Maximum vegetation of Figure 3e has been reconstructed for the period 18,000-24,000 BP during OIS 2. Alpine grassland and herbfields dominated all valley and mountain sites from Tullabardine Creek to Newall Creek in the west and extended as far as Lake St Clair in the interior. Poaceae and Asteraceae pollen are dominant. There is usually less than 10% Eucalyptus and negligible amounts of rainforest taxa, but traces of alpine taxa occur widely. Offshore, Marine Core SO 36–7SL indicates the widespread presence of alpine grassland and herbfields, with very high quantities of Poaceae, Asteraceae and Chenopodiaceae pollen. Eucalyptus and Allocasuarina are about 10% each, while Astelia, Athrotaxis and Microstrobos are well represented (Colhoun 1985a; Colhoun and van de Geer 1986; van de Geer et al. 1989, 1994; Colhoun et al. 1999; Hopf pers. comm. 2011).

At Dante Rivulet in the upper King Valley, bolsters of Donatia novae-zelandiae occur on the surface of a fossil alpine soil at 230 m altitude some 750 m below the modern treeline. The bolster is dated to 18,800 BP (22,300 cal BP) and is buried by outwash sediments deposited by the LGM ice advance. Asteraceae and Poaceae are the most important pollen types, but the bolster also contains numerous local Cyperaceae and Epacridaceae, spores of Gleichenia, plus small amounts of a wide range of alpine herbs and subalpine shrubs (Gibson et al. 1987; Colhoun et al. 2010). At Ooze Lake cirque in the highly oceanic mountains of southern Tasmania, the vegetation comprised subalpine rainforest of Lagarostrobos, N. cunninghamii and Phyllocladus that extended to 880 m around 18,000 BP immediately after the cirque glacier had melted. Most of the Lagarostrobos pollen is immature, indicating severe environmental stress (Macphail and Colhoun 1985).

In the lowlands of northwestern Tasmania, the vegetation was savanna and dry sclerophyll woodland. At Mowbray Swamp, the vegetation was almost exclusively Poaceae, with small amounts of Eucalyptus and Leptospermum. Pollen of rainforest taxa is negligible and none is present for alpine-subalpine taxa. The vegetation at Pulbeena Swamp was a savanna, with Poaceae and Asteraceae very abundant, and less than 5% Eucalyptus. No rainforest, subalpine or alpine pollen are present (Colhoun et al. 1982). On Hunter Island adjacent to northwest Tasmania, pollen from the archaeological site at Cave Bay Cave for the period dating 23,000-14,750 BP contains abundant Poaceae and Asteraceae, with Eucalyptus being the only significant tree species represented. Such a cave site preferentially represents regional over local pollen, and the assemblage is compatible with that at Pulbeena, indicating the vegetation of northwest Tasmania at and following the LGM was savannah-like grassland, which probably extended from the Adelaide region to Bass Strait and represented colder, drier conditions than present (Hope 1978).

The vegetation history of Midland and coastal eastern Tasmania during OIS 2 is restricted to records from three sites. At Crown Lagoon in the dry eastern Midlands, a 2 m sediment core, though undated, is thought to extend from earlier than 25,000 BP until it was drained during European settlement. The pollen record suggests the vegetation varied from savanna or open woodland with Eucalyptus and Allocasuarina before OIS 2, to grassland or steppe at the peak of glacial dryness, with Poaceae, Asteraceae, abundant Chenopodiaceae and less than 5% Eucalyptus (Sigleo and Colhoun 1981).

On the east coast at Freycinet Peninsula, an old glacial-age deflation hollow that now forms the coastal Hazards Lagoon provided a 157 cm sediment record. The record extends to earlier than 18,000 BP (21,000 cal BP), and includes the peak of the LGM. At that time, the
vegetation comprised a steppe to grassland vegetation, with Poaceae and Asteraceae dominant. Chenopodiaceae and Epacridaceae pollen were also abundant (Mackenzie 2010).

In coastal southeast Tasmania, peaty sediments formed in a pond on the floor of a deflation hollow at Pipe Clay Lagoon are dated to 20,000-22,000 BP. The pollen record indicates the occurrence of dry sclerophyll forest of *Eucalyptus*, with Poaceae and Asteraceae (Colhoun 1977c).

At the three Midland and east-coast sites, pollen of rainforest taxa is negligible and none is present for alpine-subalpine taxa. There was a sharp north-northwest to south-southeast-trending boundary between the wet alpine and subalpine associations of the west and the dry sclerophyll woodland/forest-grassland-steppe associations of the east during the LGM. Similarly, the vegetation of northwestern Tasmania, then connected to Victoria by a reduction in sea level and exposure of Bass Strait, consisted of dry sclerophyll woodland, savanna and grassland.

**Late last glaciation**

The vegetation shown in Figure 3f represents the later part of Termination 1 when glaciers finally melted from the highlands of western Tasmania around 15,000-14,000 BP and early changes in the vegetation from glacial to interglacial conditions occurred (Colhoun et al. 2010). The vegetation can be regarded as of late-glacial age up to 12,000 BP but age calibration would indicate that the changes at some sites commenced a few millennia earlier. During this late-glacial period, alpine vegetation was still very extensive in upland western Tasmania and wet forests were restricted to lower altitudes.

Marine Core SO 36-7SL and sites at 180 m at Governor Bog and 200 m at Smelter Creek in the King Valley show that a transition from non-forest to wet mixed forest occurred in the valleys and on the lowlands, and extended to over 516 m at Lake Selina during the period 14,000-10,000 BP (earliest at Lake Selina cal age 16,700-17,100 BP, Figure 4) (Colhoun et al. 1991a, 1992, 1999; van de Geer et al. 1994). However, wet mixed forest was not widely distributed in the mountains, as alpine grassland and herbfield still remained at higher altitudes, together with alpine shrubs, as at Poets Hill in the West Coast Range, Lake Vera, Lake Wurawina and Mt Field before post-glacial expansion of forest vegetation. At Lake St Clair in the centre and Dove Lake at Cradle Mountain further north, subalpine rainforest occurred, while further east at Brown Marsh the vegetation was mainly tussock grassland with alpine herbs. At Dublin Bog in the Mersey Valley, *Eucalyptus* wet sclerophyll forest replaced grassland by 13,000 BP (Macphail 1979, 1986; Colhoun et al. 1991b; Colhoun 1992).

In mountainous southeastern Tasmania, subalpine rainforest had already expanded to 880 m at Ooze Lake. However, at 960 m at nearby Adamsons Peak the vegetation was subalpine sclerophyll forest with slightly over 30% *Eucalyptus* pollen, and significant quantities of pollen of alpine herbs and shrubs, notably *Astelia* and *N. gunnii* (Macphail and Colhoun 1985; Macphail 1986).

On the northwestern plains, as far as dating and pollen zone correlation allow, the vegetation was savanna at Broadmeadows Swamp and dry sclerophyll woodland at Mowbray and Pulbeena swamps (Colhoun et al. 1982; van de Geer et al. 1986).

In eastern Tasmania, steppe-grassland vegetation was still present in the dry Midlands at Crown Lagoon, with high values for Poaceae, Asteraceae and Chenopodiaceae, and under 10% *Eucalyptus* (Sigleo and Colhoun 1981). At nearby Stoney Lagoon, dry sclerophyll forest with 81% *Eucalyptus* pollen plus mainly Poaceae and Asteraceae is recorded first around 12,000 BP. At Hazards Lagoon on the east coast, *Eucalyptus* pollen had increased from the LGM to around 40-50%, and Poaceae and Asteraceae had decreased to below 15%. The vegetation was dry sclerophyll forest with a grassy understorey (Jones 2008; Mackenzie 2010).
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Early Holocene

The early-Holocene vegetation pattern shown in Figure 3g dating to around 9000 BP represents the time post-dating the last glaciation when forest expansion was occurring in much of Tasmania. Wet mixed forests of *N. cunninghamii*, *Phyllocladus*, *Eucryphia*, around 10% *Eucalyptus*, and pollen of mesic shrubs occurred throughout the West Coast Ranges except at high altitude. Wet mixed forest also occurred at Upper Timk Lake in the southeast, where pollen of Poaceae, Asteraceae and alpine taxa were negligible. However, at high altitude, as at Lake Johnston in the west, Lake Dove in the northwest, Lake St Clair in the centre, and Adamsons Peak and Ooze Lake in the south, the vegetation was subalpine rainforest located close to treeline, which in addition to a dominance of rainforest taxa also contained indicator alpine shrub and some herb taxa, *N. gunnii* and *Astelia* being present at all sites. At around 1000 m at Beatties and Eagle tarns at Mt Field, *Eucalyptus* and *Pomaderris* pollen were abundant, indicating that wet sclerophyll forest was well established on the mountains below the tarns which occurred in a subalpine environment close to treeline. At higher altitude (1158 m) on Tarn Shelf, alpine grassland and herbfield still occurred (Macphail 1979; Macphail and Colhoun 1985; Harle 1989; Dyson 1995; Hopf et al. 2000; Anker et al. 2001).

Further east in west-central Tasmania, wet sclerophyll forests began to be established at lower altitudes. At 440 m in a deep river valley at Tarraleah, *Eucalyptus* increased strongly to 60-90%, with an accompanying rise in the main indicator taxon *Pomaderris*. Small quantities of pollen from *Ziera arborescens*, *Phebalium squameum*, *Monotoca glauca* and *Bauera rubioides* typically associated with wet forests, and *Bursaria spinosa* and *Dodonaea viscosa* associated with dry forests and woodlands suggest that the site was located towards the eastern part of the wet sclerophyll forest zone. Still further east at an altitude of 750 m at Brown Marsh, *Eucalyptus* was increasing with *Pomaderris*, while Poaceae and alpine herb and shrub taxa were decreasing, and the forest on the lower southeastern part of the Central Plateau was still relatively open (Macphail 1979, 1984).

At 650 m on Yarlington Tier west of Colebrook, a similar stand of *Eucalyptus* wet sclerophyll forest was established, with Poaceae and Asteraceae decreasing and *Pomaderris* increasing. Of particular significance is the occurrence of 6-11% pollen of *N. cunninghamii*, which is more than expected by transport from western forests. Local occurrence is confirmed by a small stand of *Notofagus* on the site. Around 9000 BP, an increase in *Pomaderris* with *Atherosperma*, *Phyllocladus* and *Dicksonia* indicates change from dry to wet sclerophyll forest at the end of the glacial period. The presence of *Notofagus* and *Atherosperma* at Yarlington raises the question of whether these species had expanded further eastwards during the early Holocene than they occur today, or whether they survived throughout the last glacial despite regionally dry and cold conditions by virtue of being located in a suitable topographic and hydrologic habitat. The latter explanation has been preferred (Harle et al. 1993).

Further north at Dublin Bog in the Mersey Valley, wet sclerophyll forest of *Eucalyptus* with *Pomaderris* had been established by 13,000 BP, with very few ancillary shrub and herb taxa except for a small rainforest component of *N. cunninghamii* and *Phyllocladus* and the treefern *Dicksonia*. The forest remained similar in composition throughout the early Holocene (Colhoun et al. 1991b).

In northwest Tasmania, the savannah and dry sclerophyll forests of 12,000 years ago were now largely replaced by wet sclerophyll forest at Mowbray and Broadmeadows swamps, though Pulbeena Swamp was little different but classified as dry sclerophyll forest. At all sites, *Eucalyptus* was the major regional component, with abundant *Melaleuca* and *Leptospermum* locally adjacent to or on the swamps (Colhoun et al. 1982; van de Geer et al. 1986).

The vegetation of Midland and eastern Tasmania during the early Holocene is represented by three sites. At Lake Tiberias in the southern Midlands, the vegetation was dry sclerophyll
forest with 75% *Eucalyptus*, around 5% *Allocasuarina* and 10% Poaceae. *Pomaderris* attained 5-15% and represents abundant transport from western forests, along with 5% *N. cunninghamii*. At nearby Stoney Lagoon, dry sclerophyll forest is dominated by 66% *Eucalyptus* with 12% Poaceae and 4% Asteraceae, and by 9% *Pomaderris* and 5% *Phyllocladus*, which, like at Lake Tiberias, was transported from the west.

At Hazards Lagoon, the vegetation was also dry sclerophyll forest with 50% *Eucalyptus* and 30% *Allocasuarina*. Rhamnaceae (*Pomaderris*) was 5-10% and Poaceae and Asteraceae both less than 5%. *N. cunninghamii* pollen was negligible. The transport of pollen types from western forests was less than in the Midlands and the *Allocasuarina* probably reflects near coastal influences (Macphail and Jackson 1978; Jones 2008; Mackenzie 2010).

In northeast Tasmania, a coastal site at Waterhouse Marsh has 20-40% *Eucalyptus* and 10-15% *Allocasuarina*, plus 20-30% Poaceae and 10% Asteraceae. There is a significant wet forest component of *Pomaderris, N. cunninghamii* and *Phyllocladus* and spores of *Dicksonia* and *Cyathea* that would have been derived from wet forests in the valleys and on the mountain slopes of the uplands to the south. This rainforest component results in the site being classified marginally as wet sclerophyll forest, though the local vegetation of the plain was almost certainly dry sclerophyll forest (Thomas 1996).

In southwest Tasmania, a sediment core taken at Pedder Pond on the outwash plains east of Lake Pedder and west of the foothills of Mt Anne showed that from the beginning of the Holocene record at 10,350 BP until after 9000 BP, the most important taxa were moorland species that included *Gymnoschoenus sphaerocephalus*, which is usually very under-represented by pollen but abundant in the vegetation, Restionaceae and Epacridaceae. Pollen of *Eucalyptus* slightly exceeds 10% and Poaceae attains about 10%. Pollen of *N. cunninghamii* averages about 5% and *Phyllocladus* 5-10%. The high amount of pollen of moorland taxa and low amounts of rainforest taxa combined with high quantities of charcoal led Fletcher and Thomas (2007a) to conclude that during the postglacial period moorland vegetation had always occupied the Lake Pedder area and that rainforest had not colonised it. Here, the vegetation is classified by the regional pollen types as subalpine sclerophyll forest, which differs from that based on local taxa, which would indicate presence of moorland-heathland.

**Mid Holocene**

The mid-Holocene vegetation pattern of about 6000 BP (Figure 3h) represents postglacial optimum forest development (Macphail 1979), though maximum rainforest developed at different times in different locations (Colhoun 1996). At the regional scale, there is little difference between the 9000 and 6000 BP patterns in western Tasmania because the major divide between wet forest vegetation in much of the west, and dry forest vegetation over most of the Midlands and east had been established by 9000 BP (Figure 3g).

In western Tasmania, regionally distributed pollen types indicate that at 6000 BP, wet mixed forest dominated by *N. cunninghamii* and *Phyllocladus* with 5-10% *Eucalyptus*, plus *Bauera rubioides* and *Dicksonia* occurred at most sites. Cool temperate lowland rainforest occurred only at a few sites adjacent to major rivers as at Newell Creek and Lake Fidler, or at higher altitude as at Lake Vera or Upper Lake Timk where the montane rainforest was protected from fire (Macphail 1979; Harle 1989; van de Geer et al. 1989; Harle et al. 1999).

At several sites where regional pollen representation classes the site as wet mixed forest as at Poets Hill west of Lake Margaret, King River Railway Bridge, Governor Bog and Smelter Creek in the King Valley, abundant local taxa including Epacridaceae, *Leptospermum*, *Melaleuca* and Restionaceae indicate high inputs from either local bog surfaces or mosaics of vegetation communities (Colhoun et al. 1991a, 1992; van de Geer et al. 1991; Colhoun 1992). In the centre at Lake St Clair and towards the north at Lake Johnston and Lake Dove,
subalpine rainforest persisted. In addition, subalpine rainforest persisted at high altitude at Adamsons Peak and Ooze Lake in the southeast (Macphail 1979; Macphail and Colhoun 1985; Dyson 1995; Hopf et al. 2000; Anker et al. 2001).

Further east in central Tasmania, wet sclerophyll forest occurred at Tarraleah. However, at higher altitude, around 1000 m at Eagle Tarn and Beatties Tarn at Mt Field, the vegetation comprised subalpine sclerophyll forest in which around 30-50% *Eucalyptus*, 1-10% *Pomaderris* and 1-10% *N. gunnii* complemented *N. cunninghamii* and *Phyllocladus*. At higher altitude (1158 m) on Tarn Shelf, *Eucalyptus* decreased to 20% and alpine taxa including *N. gunnii*, *Microcachrys* and *Astelia* amounted to 5-10% each. The vegetation was alpine heath, and scrub and forest did not expand to Tarn Shelf during the Holocene. Similar vegetation occurred at Upper Lake Wurawina at 1040 m in the Denison Range, with 20-30% *Eucalyptus*, 10% each for *N. cunninghamii* and *Athrotaxis-Diselma*, and 10-30% *Astelia* (Macphail 1979, 1986).

At Pulbeena, Mowbray and Broadmeadows swamps in lowland northwest Tasmania, the regional vegetation at 6000 BP was wet sclerophyll forest and there was also widespread swamp forest. At Pulbeena, there was a marked increase in *Eucalyptus* plus *Melaleuca*, very small increases in *Monotoca*, Rhamnaceae (*Pomaderris*) and traces of rainforest taxa, indicating change from dry to wet sclerophyll forest between 9000 and 6000 BP. At Mowbray, *Eucalyptus* and *Melaleuca* increased and small quantities of *Monotoca* and *Acacia* (probably Blackwood *Acacia melanoxylon*) occurred. At Broadmeadows, *Eucalyptus* and *Melaleuca* also increased and there was more *Monotoca* than at Mowbray (Colhoun et al. 1982; van de Geer et al. 1986).

At Yarlington Tier (650 m altitude) west of Colebrook adjacent to the southern Midlands, there was very little change in the *Eucalyptus* wet sclerophyll forest between 9000 and 6000 BP, with only a slight reduction in Poaceae and increase in *Pomaderris* (Harle et al. 1993).

On the dry eastern part of the Central Plateau at Camerons Lagoon (1100 m) on Liawenee Moor, the regional vegetation at 6000 BP was dry sclerophyll woodland, with about 29% *Eucalyptus*, 40% Poaceae and 15% Asteraceae. The *Eucalyptus* would have grown on the surrounding dolerite ridges, while the Poaceae and Asteraceae would have covered a grassy upland plain.

At Lake Tiberias in the eastern Midlands, about 10% of both *N. cunninghamii* and *Pomaderris* transported from wet forests to the west is present. *Eucalyptus* (70-75%) is the dominant taxon and the forest was dry sclerophyll forest with 10% Poaceae and 5% *Allocasuarina*. Similar dry sclerophyll forest occurred at nearby Stoney Lagoon. Dry sclerophyll forest also extended to Hazards Lagoon in the Freycinet Peninsula, which by the middle Holocene was a coastal site and had around 25-30% pollen of *Allocasuarina* (Macphail and Jackson 1978; Jones 2008; Mackenzie 2010).

In northeast Tasmania, the regional vegetation at Waterhouse Marsh remained dry sclerophyll forest when the long-distance-transported rainforest component is excluded. Forester Marsh (1000 m) in the upper Forester River catchment is dated to 4400 BP and shows the mountain vegetation contained the same rainforest and treefern taxa as recorded at Waterhouse Marsh, but here it was local. *Eucalyptus* was the dominant taxon, with over 30% pollen, and the vegetation was wet sclerophyll forest (Thomas 1996).

In southwest Tasmania at Pedder Pond, the regional pollen gives a maximum signal for rainforest taxa, with about 10% *Eucalyptus* at 6000 BP, which classes the vegetation as wet mixed forest in Figure 3h. However, high local inputs of Epacridaceae and Restionaceae plus other shrubs and the buttongrass *Gymnoschoenus* indicates the vegetation was moorland rather than forest (Fletcher and Thomas 2007a). At Melaleuca Inlet, virtually no pollen of rainforest taxa and *Eucalyptus* is recorded, but local pollen of Epacridaceae, *Melaleuca squamea*, *Monotoca* and Restionaceae are abundant and indicate shrubby moorland occupied this most southwesterly corner of Tasmania (Macphail et al. 1999). Similar results from Thomas (1995) show that
Gymoschoenus moorland and wet scrub occupied the area for at least the past 12,000 BP, and the continuous presence of charcoal indicates the vegetation association was maintained by the occurrence of frequent burning.

**Pre-European settlement**

The pre-European settlement vegetation pattern in Figure 3i is represented by pollen spectra of about 1000 BP age. The regional pollen indicates no significant change for lowland rainforest and wet mixed forest in central and northwestern Tasmania. The distribution of lowland rainforest is analogous to that mapped for modern vegetation (Kirkpatrick and Dickinson 1984; Harris and Kitchener 2005; Figure 2). Subalpine rainforest occurs at altitude in the western mountains and extends to the southern mountains. Alpine vegetation is confined to higher than 1000 m. A sharp north-northwest south-southeast-trending divide occurs between northwestern and central Tasmania, where the dominant forest was wet sclerophyll forest with subalpine sclerophyll woodland at higher altitude. This boundary has not moved westwards since it was established before 9000 BP, and there was no change in the wet sclerophyll forest of northwest Tasmania between 6000 and 1000 BP (Figures 3h and 3i).

Wet mixed forest and wet sclerophyll forest expanded in the highlands of northeastern Tasmania during the Holocene, as indicated at Forester Marsh and Mathinna Plains (950 m) where abundant pollen of *N. cunninghamii*, *Phyllocladus* and treeferns, and over 10% *Eucalyptus* indicates that during the past millennium wet forests were widespread (Thomas 1996). Chloroplast DNA studies show that *N. cunninghamii* survived within northeast Tasmania and was not dispersed from western Tasmania across the relatively dry northern Midlands after deglaciation. Although one haplotype (C1) is the most common in western Tasmania, another (NE1) is only found in the uplands of northeastern Tasmania (Worth et al. 2009). It is likely other wet-forest species survived locally.

There is a marked contrast between Figures 3h and 3i with the expansion of dry sclerophyll forest and contraction of wet sclerophyll forest southwestward during the late Holocene. Macphail (1979) first observed from sites at Mt Field and Adamsons Peak that after 6000 BP floristically simple *N. cunninghamii* rainforests and scrubs were replaced by open subalpine *Eucalyptus* woodlands and alpine communities. He attributed the retreat of the montane rainforest communities from their alpine limits to increases in drought and frost. Structural change also occurred in the wet sclerophyll forest at Tarraleah during the late Holocene, with strong decreases in *N. cunninghamii* and *Pomaderris* and an increase in *Eucalyptus* from less than 30% to more than 50% (Macphail 1984).

Near the eastern boundary of the wet sclerophyll forest there is a decrease in *Pomaderris* and an increase in *Allocasuarina* and Poaceae at Yarlington Tier, suggesting drier conditions. A marked rise in *Allocasuarina* at Lake Tiberias also indicates increased dryness. Further north at Camerons Lagoon on the Central Plateau, *N. cunninghamii* and *Pomaderris* decreases and *Eucalyptus* increases. The pollen data indicate westward decreasing precipitation, which also extended to Den Plain in the Mersey Valley during the late Holocene. In the eastern Midlands, *Eucalyptus* and *Allocasuarina* increases at Stoney Lagoon, while at Hazards Lagoon there is a very strong increase of *Allocasuarina* in the dry sclerophyll forest, which reflects its coastal location (Macphail and Jackson 1978; Harle et al. 1993; Thomas and Hope 1994; Moss et al. 2007; Jones 2008; Mackenzie 2010).

In southwest Tasmania, there is a marked contrast between the vegetation of the deeply incised river valleys, the inland basins and the lowland plains. In the lower Gordon Valley, lowland rainforest, with *N. cunninghamii*, *Phyllocladus*, *Lagarostrobos*, *Eucryphia* and *Anodopetalum*, is well developed. In contrast, at Pedder Pond on Huon Plains adjacent to the eastern end of Lake Pedder, any rainforest that may have developed has been supplanted by moorland dominated
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by Restionaceae, Epacridaceae and Gymnoschoenus, with shrubs of Leptospermum and Melaleuca. Similar shrubby-sedge moorland occurs around Melaleuca Inlet in the far southwest (Thomas 1995; Harle et al. 1999; Macphail et al. 1999; Fletcher and Thomas 2007a).

The influences of climate and people on the vegetation changes

The major driving influence on late-Quaternary vegetation changes was climate with its two main components, temperature and precipitation (Jackson 1968; Macphail 1980; Colhoun 2000). When the Tasmanians crossed Bass Strait 34,000–35,000 BP (38,000–39,000 cal BP), new pressures were exerted on the vegetation by the hunting of Red-necked wallaby Macropus rufogriseus and extensive use of fire, which caused the development of disclimax associations detectable in numerous pollen records (Cosgrove et al. 1990; Allen 1996; Cosgrove 1999; Fletcher and Thomas 2010a).

Western Tasmania receives 3500 to 1800 mm precipitation per annum and sustains rainforest where there is more than 1200 mm per annum and more than 50 mm in all months. Wet sclerophyll forests occur where there is more than 1000 mm per annum, with more than 25 mm in the driest month (Jackson 1983). During the late Quaternary, only temperature reduction and altitude would have limited the wet forest associations unless summers were much drier. This is unlikely because the southward expansion of the Australian continental high-pressure system and northern extension of Antarctic sea ice would have compressed and strengthened onshore westerly winds, bringing more moisture to western Tasmania than at present. Thus, western Tasmanian late-Quaternary vegetation changes were primarily controlled by temperature.

Estimating temperature change is difficult on land because the modern analogue technique (MAT) of comparing fossil pollen-vegetation associations with modern vegetation from one site may not fully represent regional limiting values for the associations. Snowline estimates in complex mountain topography are also limited by relatively large errors but are an independent proxy (Table 3; Colhoun 1985b; Colhoun et al. 1999).

Nevertheless, the Lake Selina record (Figure 4) is currently the best resolved late-Quaternary pollen sequence of vegetation changes for western Tasmania, and closely compares with the sequence of δ Deuterium changes in the Vostok ice core, indicating that Lake Selina records both regional and hemispheric climate signals (Colhoun et al. 1999; Petit et al. 1999).

First estimates of temperature changes for western Tasmania were based on calculations of reduction of the Stage 2 snowline of the West Coast Range glacial system from the mean atmospheric freezing level, using data from western meteorological stations and a lapse rate of 0.63°C/100 m, determined from a transect between sea level at Hobart and the summit of Mt Wellington (Nunez and Colhoun 1986) (Table 3). A value of 6.5°C for mean temperature depression was obtained. A higher value has been determined inland using mean summer freezing level for Stage 2 at Mt Field of 7.4°C. Unfortunately, mean lapse rate is site specific and makes determination of regional lapse rates and temperature comparisons difficult. The variations in values in rows 1 and 2 of Table 3 reflect variations between maximum values based on snowline estimates and minimum values of the pollen-vegetation associations at Lake Selina using the MAT (Colhoun 1985b; Nunez 1988; Colhoun et al. 1999, 2010; Mackintosh et al. 2006).

A regional picture that provides lower average values has been obtained using 26 pollen sites from western Tasmania plus a transfer function model (Fletcher and Thomas 2010a). These results in row 3 of Table 3 are comparable with results from marine sediment cores using alkenones and faunal assemblages on the East Tasman Plateau and South Tasman Rise, shown in rows 4 and 5. Together, they indicate temperature changes during the late Quaternary were...
Table 3. Temperature estimates for Tasmania. a: Mean 4 fluctuations b: STF was south of Core GC07 in early Holocene.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature depression based on</th>
<th>5e</th>
<th>5d</th>
<th>5c</th>
<th>5b</th>
<th>5a</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated from present by variation in amplitude of inferred treeline curve in Lake Selina summary pollen diagram calibrated to 6.5°C temperature depression at LGM based on glacial snowline estimates</td>
<td>+1-2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>&gt;5</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>+1-2 early 0 late</td>
<td>Colhoun 1985b; Colhoun et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum temperature depression from present inferred from the pollen-vegetation zones in the Lake Selina summary curve</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.2-3.5</td>
<td>0.6-2.2</td>
<td>2.2-3.5</td>
<td>0.6-2.2</td>
<td>&gt;3.5</td>
<td>2.8-3.5</td>
<td>&gt;3.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.6 &gt; 0 (?) 0 late</td>
<td>Colhoun et al. 1999; Table 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modern pollen data from 26 sites in western Tasmania calibrated by transfer function model for mean annual temperature reductions</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0-91-3.9</td>
<td>3.7-4.2</td>
<td>+0.4-0.84 at 7200-8300 BP 0 late</td>
<td>Fletcher and Thomas 2010a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkenone palaeothermometry of Core FR1/94-GC3 (44°15'S, 149°59'E) East Tasman Plateau</td>
<td>+2.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>+0.9 early 0 late</td>
<td>Pelejero et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkenone palaeothermometry for Core GC07(45°09'S, 146°17'E) on South Tasman Rise</td>
<td>+2-3</td>
<td>3.9-5.2</td>
<td>0.4-2.4</td>
<td>4.6-5.4</td>
<td>+4 at 11,000 BP 0 late</td>
<td>Sikes et al. 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some features of the temperature records in Table 3 should be highlighted. The mean temperature for Substage 5e when both rainforest and wet mixed forest developed in western Tasmania was 1–3°C warmer than during the late Holocene and slightly greater than values representing the early-Holocene thermal maximum. The mean temperature of 1.9°C below present and greater seasonal range recorded for Yarra Creek on King Island (Porch et al. 2009) seems not to support a Substage 5e age for the site, and a 5c or 5a age is more likely.

The sequence of Substages 5a to 5d is only recorded by pollen at Lake Selina. The estimates of temperature change are slightly greater than those derived by the Fletcher and Thomas model, while the values in the marine record of Pelejero et al. (2006) for Substages 5a and 5c are less, and are as warm as the Holocene. At Lake Selina, Substages 5a and 5c were cooler than 5e, as indicated by montane rainforest with *Phyllocladus* more important than *Nothofagus*. Substages 5b and 5d were significantly colder than 5a and 5c, as indicated by the mosaic of subalpine rainforest, shrubland and heathland.

All temperature estimates suggest Stage 4 was almost as cold as Stage 2 and the values compare with sea surface temperatures (SSTs) obtained for the southern Tasman Sea, the South Tasman Rise and west of Tasmania of a decrease of between 2°C and 5°C for the LGM (Barrows et al. 2000, 2007; Pelejero et al. 2006; Sikes et al. 2009). The vegetation at Lake Selina was alpine grassland and herbfield during both stages. That a temperature depression of at least 4.5–4.7°C (based on lapse rates of 0.6 and 0.63°C/100 m altitude) occurred immediately preceding the peak of the LGM is supported by the fossil *Donatia* bolster at 230 m at Dante Rivulet, which today occurs at over 1000 m (Gibson et al. 1987; Colhoun et al. 1999).

During Stage 3, temperature depression was more variable due to the occurrence of three equivalent on land and sea (Pelejero et al. 2006; Sikes et al. 2009).
marked fluctuations in Stage 3 and a fourth at the beginning of Stage 2, as the overall trend was downward towards the LGM (Table 3). Some fluctuations were sufficiently cold for the formation of glaciers in Stage 3 as well as Stage 2. The climate fluctuations were also not local, as similar changes occur in marine records as far apart as Core SO 136–GC3 taken off the west coast of South Island, New Zealand, and others in the South Atlantic (Kanfoush et al. 2000; Mackintosh et al. 2006; Pelejero et al. 2006; Colhoun et al. 2010).

At Lake Selina, the vegetation of Stage 3 was predominantly herbaceous including some alpine herb taxa, but there were also considerable shrub taxa including abundant *Microstrobos*. Pollen of rainforest was negligible. The pollen-vegetation assemblage suggests climate during most of the stage was closer to glacial than interglacial.

During Stage 2, the massive reduction of rainforest pollen over western Tasmania indicates little rainforest or wet mixed forest was present. As modern treeline in the West Coast Range occurs at 1100 m and snowline was reduced by 1000 m, there would have been little continuous forest higher than 100 m above sea level at the LGM. Such an inferred lowering of treeline reflects a 6°C reduction in mean temperature compared with today during Stage 2, even though greater than values in the broader regional and marine records. Areas of wet forest and woodland would have survived in scattered local refugia in protected sites from which they expanded during the deglaciation period. The process is represented in the West Coast Range, where Huon Pine *Lagarostrobos* is thought to have survived Stage 2 near Lake Johnston and expanded to an altitude of 1040 m immediately succeeding melting of the cirque glacier (Anker et al. 2001).

The most notable factor that drove re-expansion of wet forests throughout much of western Tasmania during the late glacial and early Holocene was a marked rise in temperature. Radiocarbon dates from marine Core GC07 which occurs on the South Tasman Rise close to southern Tasmania indicate the LGM ended at 18,700 cal BP. Alkenone data show SST rose gradually from 19,000 cal BP until 16,000 cal BP, when it exceeded late-Holocene values by about 1°C, after which it fell by 1°C between 16,000 cal BP and 14,000 cal BP during the Antarctic Cold Reversal. Temperature then rose to a maximum between 13,400 cal BP and 11,000 cal BP, when it increased by 1-2.8°C, before it rapidly declined by 4°C as the Sub Tropical Front (STF) moved north across the South Tasman Rise (4°C is the difference between the SST of subantarctic water south of and subtropical water north of the STF). GC07 occurs north of the STF in summer and the present alkenone SST is 16-17°C (Sikes et al. 2009).

A study of chironomids at Platypus and Eagle tarns at Mt Field also indicates deglaciation by 15,700-15,200 cal BP and rise in temperature of the summer quarter to 0.7°C above modern between 15,000 cal BP and 13,000 cal BP, followed by a decline of 1.8-2.5°C to a minimum between 11,100 cal BP and 10,000 cal BP. The earliest forest expansion in western Tasmanian pollen diagrams generally commences around 13,000 BP (14,700 cal BP), but becomes widespread after 11,000 BP. The forest expansion has been suggested to relate to increases in winter temperature and precipitation rather than summer temperature (Markgraf et al. 1986; Rees and Cwynar 2010).

Maximum climatic warmth in the early Holocene occurred between around 11,000 and 7500 BP and was followed by a late-Holocene cooling. This is consistent with widespread survival of alpine and subalpine vegetation associations in central and northernwestern Tasmania until 12,000 BP (13,500 cal BP), as shown on Figure 3f and regional development of wet mixed forest, as shown on Figure 3g by 9000 BP (10,000 cal BP). Although the alkenone data indicate a marked SST downturn of 4°C after 11,000 cal BP as the STF moved northwards, it did not inhibit expansion of lowland rainforest of *Nothofagus* over central-western Tasmania during the early to middle Holocene (Figure 3g). The chironomid data from Mt Field indicate...
there was a sharp increase in temperature to a maximum of 10°C by 9300 cal BP at Eagle Tarn and 9.4°C by 9800 cal BP at Platypus Tarn (modern temperature of warmest quarter 9.5°C). Macphail (1979) recognised a mid-Holocene thermal maximum from 8000 BP to 5000 BP (8900-5700 cal BP), after which a retreat of rainforest and expansion of sclerophyll vegetation occurred at high altitude, probably due to the combined effects of cooling, drying and burning. Chironomid-inferred temperatures at Mt Field also generally decrease during the late Holocene (Rees and Cwynar 2010).

During the late Quaternary, only valley heads and high slopes of the mountains of northeast Tasmania preserved extensive areas of *Nothofagus* temperate rainforest. Elsewhere, wet-forest vegetation was confined to gullies and sites where water was concentrated to provide local wet habitats. East of the western mountains, the lower eastern Central Plateau was relatively dry, the Midlands were heavily rain-shadowed and very dry, and the Eastern Highlands were also drier than western Tasmania. The vegetation of this area was dominated initially by grassland and steppe during the termination of Stage 2, after which sclerophyll woodland and forest expanded over most of the area, as represented on Figures 3e-3i and already discussed.

While variations in the vegetation associations were partly (vide infra) a response to drought under the cold glacial conditions, there is little evidence that permits quantification of how dry it was. Most evidence is based on geomorphology rather than palaeoecology. At Newdegate Cave in southeast Tasmania on the margin of the wet climate of southwest Tasmania, stalagmite growth was reduced from 16.1 mm/1000 years to 0.3 mm/1000 years at 116,700 BP, signalling a very rapid change to aridity between Substages 5e and 5d (Zhao et al. 2001). Though there is no record of vegetation in eastern Tasmania at this time, the rapidity and apparent strength of this change may be reflected in the rapidity of change from wet mixed forest to subalpine forest at Lake Selina (Figure 3b).

Eastern Tasmania was very much drier during the LGM than present, as indicated by extensive linear dunes dated by OSL to 23,800-16,800 BP on the northeastern coastal plain. In addition, a crescentic dune, the Dunlin Dune, was formed by two phases of aeolian activity that occurred from before 29,000 cal BP until after 14,500 cal BP, with an interval between 21,000 cal BP and 16,000 cal BP when a podzolised palaeosol was formed. Aeolian activity during and immediately after the LGM extended to southern Tasmania, where at Southwood Road a 1.9 m-thick dune was deposited around 19,100-18,700 cal BP. Extensive lagoon and lunette systems also occur in the northeast, Midlands and southeast, and one lunette at Rushy Lagoon in the northeast was formed after 9600-9300 cal BP. There are also extensive source-bordering river dunes along the Derwent River in which the sediment was derived from streams loaded with glacial outwash (Nicolls 1958; Cosgrove 1985; Duller and Augustinus 2006; McIntosh et al. 2009). In addition to this widespread evidence for aeolian activity during and succeeding the LGM, McIntosh et al. (2009) have presented extensive geomorphological evidence of landscape instability and erosion below 600 m, which they convincingly demonstrate largely resulted from Aboriginal burning of vegetation after 35,000 BP. Thus, the development of vegetation throughout eastern Tasmania during at least the period of occupation in the middle to late Holocene after postglacial sea level rise (Brown 1986, 1991; Kee 1990, 1991) must have been strongly influenced by aboriginal burning as well as climate change.

When the first Tasmanians crossed the Bass Plain around 40,000 years ago, they moved southwards into the northern valleys, into the central Florentine Valley, throughout the southwestern valleys from the Weld River in the southeast to the Franklin and Mackintosh rivers in the west, and into the southeastern Central Plateau and Derwent Valley. Their major pursuit was hunting the Red-necked wallaby using their main cultural tool, fire, which would have had a major impact on the vegetation (Murray et al. 1980; Kiernan et al. 1983; Stern and Marshall 1993; Cosgrove 1995, 1996; Allen 1996; Allen and Porch 1996; Stone and Everett
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Numerous pollen diagrams dating to the period after about 35,000 BP reveal the impact of aboriginal burning on the vegetation.

In northern Tasmania, the Forth Valley was occupied from 34,000 BP, as indicated by the archaeological site at Parmeener Meethaner and the adjacent Mersey Valley to the east from before 10,900 BP at Warragarra Rockshelter (Cosgrove 1995; Allen and Porch 1996). The pollen record at Dublin Bog in the Mersey Valley reveals that during the past 13,100 BP, charcoal was abundant and the vegetation was *Eucalyptus* forest. Climatically, the valley should have had rainforest during the Holocene, yet rainforest pollen occurs only in small amounts that can be regarded as background values. It seems highly probable that burning of the vegetation by Aboriginals favoured establishment of sclerophyll forest and prevented the postglacial expansion of rainforest. Further north at Den Plain, *Eucalyptus* forest was dominant throughout the past 3000 years until European settlement 200 years ago when grass and herbs became more abundant (Colhoun et al. 1991b; Moss et al. 2007).

No pollen sites have been recorded from the Florentine Valley by which to judge aboriginal impact on the vegetation. However, at Mt Field to the east, Macphail (1979) attributed the decrease of rainforest and expansion of sclerophyll woodland at high altitude partly to the effects of aboriginal burning. Further south at Ooze Lake, a high-altitude community of grassland and sedgeland-heath probably resulted from aboriginal burning between 16,500 and 13,500 BP, before the expansion of rainforest and wet forest vegetation (Macphail and Colhoun 1985).

In western Tasmania, the core region of lowland cool temperate rainforest and wet mixed forest as discussed, it is notable that at some sites wet forests expanded much less than would have been expected during the Holocene. At Governor Bog in the King Valley, a sequence shows that after 13,000 BP, small trees and shrubs comprised ca. 60% of the total pollen sum and included Epacridaceae, *Melaleuca*, *Leptospermum*, *Monotoca* and *Bauera* and only 30% of rainforest species. Charcoal is abundant throughout the sequence. A mosaic vegetation of heath, shrub and forest was suggested. Similar sequences with abundant charcoal occurred at Smelter Creek and King River Railway Bridge (Colhoun et al. 1991a, 1992; van de Geer et al. 1991). It is suggested that the occurrence of heath-scrub vegetation in the King Valley rather than *Nothofagus* rainforest is a result of aboriginal occupation and burning (Thomas 1995).

Figure 2 shows the vegetation of large areas of far southwestern and parts of northwestern Tasmania are moorland that consist mainly of sedges including the buttongrass *Gymnoschoenus* and heath with many small Epacridaceae and Myrtaceae shrubs. The pollen records from Pedder Pond and Melaleuca Inlet indicate that much of this region has been moorland throughout the Holocene and the area was not extensively invaded by the postglacial expansion of rainforest. The modern vegetation is regarded as a cultural artefact resulting from aboriginal burning of the landscape that maintained moorland vegetation established in this superhumid lowland landscape during glacial times (Fletcher and Thomas 2007a, 2010a, b). The moorland vegetation in this region may have been particularly shaped by the cold wet climate as well as human impact, as suggested by both macrofossil and microfossil evidence from Melaleuca Inlet for predominantly wet scrub and sedgeland-heath vegetation of at least 38,800 years' age (Jordan et al. 1991).

While the evidence for modification of vegetation by aboriginal burning during the Holocene in southwestern Tasmania is clear, the question of to what extent they may have modified late-Pleistocene vegetation is more difficult to assess due to few available sites and more rigorous temperature conditions acting on the vegetation. It has been indicated here that Stage 4 was almost as cold as Stage 2 and that during both, the vegetation of the mountains of western Tasmania was mainly alpine grassland and herbfield. The archaeological evidence indicates that the region could not have been occupied until the final part of Stage 3 and Stage
2, so the alpine grassland and herbfields of Stage 4 and most of Stage 3 would have reflected climatic influences alone.

Four sites at Tullabardine Creek, Henty Bridge, Newell Creek and Lake Selina all show strong Poaceae peaks during and succeeding the peak of the LGM, with associated alpine herbs and shrubs plus heathland shrubs and sedges. Charcoal was only counted at Lake Selina. It is equally abundant in Stage 2 as in Stage 4, is greater than during Stage 3, but is much less abundant than during the Holocene. It is not possible to determine whether any of the herbaceous peaks during Stage 2 at these sites were produced by aboriginal burning rather than representing the vegetation of the cold glacial climate, but it is a possibility.

At Lake Selina, a whole-core NRM analysis showed that for all colder periods (5d, 5b, 4, late 3 and 2), the NRM values were higher than at other times, especially during Stages 5e and 1 (see Colhoun et al. 1999: Figure 5). The NRM values reflect the amount of minerogenic sediment in the core vis a vis the organic sediment, and represent the amount of catchment erosion. As Stage 2 had three times the NRM values of Stage 4 and climatic conditions were similar, there appears to have been much more erosion during the LGM than during Stage 4. If Aboriginals had inhabited the region during this period, it is possible they contributed to the erosion by burning of the catchment, but there is no independent evidence.

Conclusion

During the late Quaternary, there were major changes to the vegetation of Tasmania that were primarily climatically driven. The changes occurred mainly in response to variations in temperature in the west and to temperature and precipitation in the east. After the arrival of the Tasmanians around 35,000 BP, their hunter-gatherer mode of life utilising fire impacted strongly on the vegetation to produce disclimax communities which can be detected in numerous pollen diagrams. Cultural modification of the vegetation is most noticeable in southwest Tasmania, where maintenance of moorland from glacial times prevented Holocene expansion of Nothofagus cool temperate rainforest.
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Introduction

The Wet Tropics region of northeastern Australia has been the focus of palynological research into the late Quaternary history of climate, vegetation and human environmental impact for a number of years (Moss and Kershaw 2000, 2007; Kershaw et al. 2007, 2003a, 2003b; Kershaw 1994, 1986). Numerous palynological records covering the Holocene period have been examined, but they have either been concentrated within the core rainforest area due to the availability of volcanic crater sites on the Atherton Tableland (e.g. Kershaw 1983, 1975, 1971, 1970; Walker and Chen 1987; Chen 1988; Walker 2007); and/or situated in coastal areas where successional processes in mangroves have tended to mask more regional signals (e.g. Grindrod and Rhodes 1984; Grindrod 1985; Crowley et al. 1990; Gagan et al. 1994; Crowley and Gagan 1995). Recently, there has been a focus on high-resolution records that investigate
the response of the Wet Tropics ecosystem to rapid climate change and human impact for the Late Quaternary period. A key record, located within the modern mesophyll rainforests of the Atherton Tableland region, was taken from Lake Euramoo (Haberle 2005; Figure 1). This 8.4 m core covers the past 23,000 years and provides a high-resolution record (i.e. centennial to decadal scale) of vegetation change and fire history for the region. Five pollen zones were identified, with dry sclerophyll woodland dominating from 23,000 to 16,800 cal BP; changing to wet sclerophyll forest with patches of mesophyll rainforest from 16,800 to 8600 cal BP; followed by expansion of mesophyll rainforest from 8600 to 5000 cal BP; slight contraction in mesophyll rainforest from 5000 to 70 cal BP; and degraded mesophyll rainforest with an increase in fire and invasive species from 70 cal BP to the present. The process of rainforest expansion was thought to be at least partly controlled by changes in insolation (dominated by the precessional component at equatorial latitudes), although local factors (i.e. biomass change associated with forest development) and human impact, particularly fire use, have been suggested to also have played significant roles (Haberle 2005).

Archaeological research within the Wet Tropics suggests that people have occupied the region for at least the past 40,000 to 30,000 years (Kershaw 1986; Cosgrove et al. 2007). However, it appears that human occupation occurred at a very low intensity until around 2500 cal BP, peaking after 1500 cal BP, when the exploitation of toxic nut varieties and the development
of permanent occupation in the rainforests of this region occurred (Haberle and David 2004; Cosgrove et al. 2007). It has been suggested that fire, El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) activity and shifting vegetation played a significant role in the history of permanent human occupation within the region (Haberle and David 2004; Cosgrove et al. 2007). This implies significant linkages between environmental alterations from the region and human cultural responses.

A broader picture of environmental change for the Wet Tropics region is provided through palynological analysis of sediment cores taken from Witherspoon Swamp. These records are some of the first to examine a significant portion of the Holocene period (i.e. past 8000 years) from the sclerophyll communities located to the west of the Wet Tropics region, and as such, provide a complementary site to the existing records taken from the rainforest communities of the region. In particular, the Witherspoon Swamp records provide an assessment of changes in the rainforest-sclerophyll boundary, as well as possible insight into human impacts on the sclerophyll communities of the Wet Tropics region.

**Regional setting and methods**

A key characteristic of the Wet Tropics region is the substantial topographic variation that has a significant influence on the area's climate and vegetation (Tracey 1982; Figure 1). Marked climatic gradients are associated with the variable physiography, with mean annual temperatures exceeding 24°C along the coast, falling below 21°C on the Atherton Tableland, and to below 17°C on the highest peaks. The southeasterly trades dominate the region's weather patterns and produce much of the rain, although this is supplemented by monsoonal northwesterlies and occasional cyclones. Most precipitation falls in summer (November to April) but almost continuous cloud cover maintains moist conditions throughout the year on the higher ranges. The region is also highly sensitive to ENSO variability, with significant reductions in rainfall during El Niño years when the activity of the trade winds is much reduced, and significant increases in precipitation during La Niña years when there are stronger southeasterlies, which is also augmented by the more southerly movement of the Inter Tropical Convergence Zone, as well as increased cyclone activity (Nichols 1992). Vegetation distribution is closely linked to the climatic and topographic variability. Rainforest, including floristically similar swamp communities on the poorly drained coastal lowlands, occupy the high rainfall (>1500 mm Mean Annual Precipitation (MAP)) parts of the region, which extend from the coast to the western edge of the Atherton Tableland. Sclerophyll communities, most frequently dominated by eucalypts, generally replace rainforest in areas that receive fewer than 1500 mm of MAP, which include the western areas of the study region, as well as a large patch in the rain shadow to the west of the Eastern Highlands (see Figure 1). Mangrove communities are found in low-energy coastal embayments, such as Trinity Inlet near Cairns, Mutchero Inlet at the mouth of the Russell and Mulgrave Rivers, at the mouth of the North and South Johnstone Rivers and at the mouth of the Tully River.

Witherspoon Swamp (17°49’S, 145°24’E, elevation 652 m) is located in the southwestern corner of the Atherton Tableland (commonly referred to as the Evelyn Tableland) within the sclerophyll woodland communities of the Wet Tropics region, and is around 5 km to 10 km from the nearest rainforest communities (Figure 1). The swamp itself is situated within a small depression and consists of an area of relatively permanent water with numerous reeds, sedges and other aquatic plants growing on the swamp surface (Figure 2). It is surrounded by a large area of *Melaleuca* forest to the north and east, and the regional vegetation is characterised by open eucalypt forest with a grassy understorey.

Precipitation is around 800 mm to 1000 mm per year and the dominant land-use at present
is cattle grazing. The cores obtained from this site are the first ones to be taken from a modern sclerophyll community from the region. Two cores were obtained from the site, the first (WS 1) was collected in October 2004 from the edge of the swamp and is 1.4 m in length, while the second (WS 2) was collected in November 2006 from the centre of the swamp and is 1.9 m long.

The Witherspoon Swamp samples were prepared for pollen analysis using the technique developed by van der Kaars (1991). This involved using sodium pyrophosphate to disaggregate the sediments, which were then further processed by using sodium polytungstate (specific gravity of 2.0) to float the lighter organic fraction from the heavier minerogenic component. The samples then underwent acetolysis to darken the pollen grains, remove extraneous organic matter and improve their visibility under a light microscope. All samples were mounted in glycerol. Pollen identification and counting was undertaken using a light microscope at x 400 magnification and the pollen sum consisted of a minimum of 300 dryland pollen taxa. Charcoal analysis involved counting all black angular particles above 5 mm in diameter as carbonised particles across three transects, and exotic *Lycopodium* spores were also counted to allow for the calculation of charcoal concentrations or carbonised particles per cubic cm (Wang et al. 1999).

Pollen diagrams were produced using TGView (Grimm 2004) and the pollen diagrams for WS 1 and WS 2 include both pollen and charcoal counts (see Figures 3 and 4). The pollen diagrams are divided into zones based on the results of a stratigraphically constrained classification undertaken by CONISS (Grimm 1987, 2004) on taxa contained within the pollen sum. Age control was based on AMS radiocarbon dating of bulk sediments from both cores, with two radiocarbon dates from WS 1 (determined by the University of Waikato radiocarbon laboratory) and two radiocarbon dates from WS 2 (undertaken by the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation). The Hughen et al. (2006) chronology was used to
provide calibrated ages for both records and all dates are presented in Table 1. In addition, sediment samples for Witherspoon WS 2 underwent Loss-on-Ignition analysis, which involved heating the samples at 490°C for 12 hours to remove the organic fraction from the samples. Figure 4 presents the results of the analyses (as percentage ash content or inorganic fraction per dry weight).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record</th>
<th>Top (m)</th>
<th>Bottom (m)</th>
<th>Age BP (14C years)</th>
<th>Cal BP (median)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WS 1</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1709 ± 122</td>
<td>1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS 1</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>4259 ± 35</td>
<td>4919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS 2</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1980 ± 40</td>
<td>2287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS 2</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>6830 ± 60</td>
<td>7889</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1. Radiocarbon dates for the WS 1 and WS 2 cores.**

The Witherspoon Swamp pollen records

Both of the cores (WS 1 and WS 2) taken from Witherspoon Swamp are dominated by sclerophyll taxa and relatively low rainforest gymnosperm and angiosperm values are recorded (Figures 3 and 4). In addition, both cores provide a record of vegetation change that extends back to the early to mid-Holocene period. The base (140 cm) of WS 1 dates to ca. 5000 cal BP, with an age of ca. 2000 cal BP at 45 cm, while the base (190 cm) of the longer WS 2 core dates to ca. 7800 cal BP, with an age of 2300 cal BP at 60 cm. The key difference between the cores is in terms of representation of wetland taxa, with higher aquatic values, particularly Cyperaceae in WS 2, while WS 1 shows higher *Selaginella* values from 140 cm to 100 cm. This difference between the two pollen records may reflect the location of the cores within the swamp. WS 1 is located closer to the swamp edge and the higher representation of *Selaginella* may therefore reflect this taxa growing on the relatively exposed swamp edge. In contrast, WS 2 was taken in the centre of the lake and the higher aquatic values represent sedges and other aquatic taxa (e.g. *Polygonum* and *Nymphoides*) that are growing within the swamp itself.

The classification of the pollen undertaken on the cores identified six zones in both records. The zones are categorised by the depth values as it was thought that two radiocarbon ages per record were insufficient for the development of a reliable age model. The six zones in both records are described in more detail below:

**Core WS 1**

*Zone WS 1A (140 cm to 130 cm)*

This zone is characterised by the highest representation of rainforest gymnosperm and angiosperm values in the core (particularly at 140 cm). Poaceae dominate the dryland taxa and Casuarinaceae is the most significant sclerophyll arboreal taxon. *Selaginella* occurs in low abundances, while Cyperaceae, *Polygonum* and *Nymphoides* are the dominant aquatic taxa. Charcoal values maintain a low representation in this zone.

*Zone WS 1B (120 cm to 100 cm)*

A significant increase in Poaceae is observed in this zone, while sclerophyll arboreal taxa, rainforest gymnosperms and rainforest angiosperms decrease. Aquatic taxa and pteridophytes, particularly the fern ally *Selaginella*, reach their highest abundances in this zone. The highest charcoal values in the record are observed in this zone at 100 cm.
Figure 3. The Witherspoon Swamp core (WS 1) taken from the edge of the swamp. The solid lines reflect the vegetation zones derived from the classification of the pollen taxa.
Figure 4. The Witherspoon Swamp core (WS 2) taken from the centre of the swamp. The solid lines reflect the vegetation zones derived from the classification of the pollen taxa.
Zone WS 1C (90 cm to 80 cm)

This zone is characterised by an increased representation of sclerophyll arboreal taxa, particularly Casuarinaceae. Poaceae values initially decrease at 90 cm but increase again at 80 cm, mainly at the expense of rainforest gymnosperms and rainforest angiosperms, which completely disappeared from the record at this depth. Aquatic and pteridophyte values, particularly Selaginella (which do not return in any significant values in the rest of the record) sharply decrease and are also absent from the 80 cm depth. Charcoal values decrease sharply in this zone.

Zone WS 1D (70 cm to 45 cm)

A decrease in Poaceae values is seen in this zone, while there is an increase in sclerophyll arboreal taxa, particularly Casuarinaceae, Eucalyptus and Melaleuca. In fact, the Myrtaceous taxa reach their highest values in the record at 45 cm. Rainforest gymnosperm and rainforest angiosperm values increase, as do aquatic and pteridophyte values. Charcoal values increase towards the top of this zone.

Zone WS 1E (40 cm to 10 cm)

This zone is characterised by an increased representation of Poaceae, while sclerophyll arboreal taxa, rainforest gymnosperm and rainforest angiosperm values decrease sharply. Pteridophyte abundances decline, while aquatic values, particularly Polygonum, initially increase from 40 cm to 30 cm and then sharply decline. Charcoal values are consistent with the previous zone, but there is a peak (the second highest in the record) at 20 cm.

Zone WS 1F (0 cm)

Sclerophyll arboreal taxa abundances increase sharply in this zone. Poaceae values decline and there is a sharp increase in representation of Asteraceae (Tubilifloreae) pollen. In addition, there is an increased representation of rainforest angiosperm, ferns and aquatics in this zone, but very low charcoal values are observed.

Core WS 2

Zone WS 2A (190 cm)

Poaceae dominates in this zone, with low representation of rainforest taxa and sclerophyll arboreal taxa. Relatively low aquatic values are seen in this zone, with Polygonum being the dominant taxa. Charcoal values are low and ash content is ca. 60% and maintains this value until the top of zone WS 2D (60 cm).

Zone WS 2B (180 cm to 140 cm)

This zone is characterised by a significant increase in rainforest gymnosperm, rainforest angiosperm (particularly Arecaceae) and sclerophyll arboreal taxa, particularly Casuarinaceae. Poaceae values initially decline but then increase towards the top of the zone. Aquatic values increase, with Cyperaceae dominating from 180 cm to 160 cm, then decrease from 150 cm to 140 cm, being replaced by Polygonum and Nymphoides. There is a peak in monolete fern spores at 180 cm, as well as a peak in charcoal at 160 cm.

Zone WS 2C (130 cm to 120 cm)

Poaceae values sharply decline in this zone and there is a significant increase in Casuarinaceae representation. There is a peak in rainforest gymnosperm and angiosperm values at 120 cm. Aquatic values decline in this zone and there is a peak in charcoal at 130 cm.
Zone WS 2D (110 cm to 50 cm)

This zone observes a significant increase in Poaceae representation, a sharp decline in Casuarinaceae values and a peak in Arecaceae values, particularly at 100 cm. There is also increased representation of *Melaleuca* and *Eucalyptus* at 70 cm to 50 cm. Aquatic values, particularly Cyperaceae, increase in this zone and there is a peak in *Polygonum* and monolet fern spores at 70 cm. Charcoal maintains relatively low representation in this zone, except for a peak at 90 cm. Ash content values are around 50% to 60% from 110 cm to 70 cm and then decline to 40% at 60 cm, suggesting an increase in organic content at this depth.

Zone WS 2E (40 to 30 cm)

Poaceae abundances increase in this zone and there is a decrease in Casuarinaceae and Arecaceae values. *Melaleuca* has a peak at 40 cm and there is a peak in *Eucalyptus* at 30 cm. *Callitris* and Cyperaceae observe their highest values in the record in this zone and there is a peak in monolet fern spores at 30 cm. Charcoal values are relatively low in this zone as well as the next one (WS 2F). There is an initial peak at 40 cm in ash content (ca. 70%), which sharply declines to ca. 20% at 30 cm.

Zone WS 2F (20 cm to 0 cm)

This zone is characterised by continued high values of Poaceae, as well as an increase in the sclerophyll arboreal taxa. There is also a sharp increase in Asteraceae (Tubiflorae) abundances and the disappearance of *Callitris* in the top sample. Cyperaceae values decrease and there is a peak in *Polygonum* values at 20 cm and 0 cm. Ash content is lowest in this zone ranging from 50% to 20%.

Holocene rainforest-sclerophyll boundary stability

One of the key features of the Witherspoon Swamp records is the relative stability in the rainforest-sclerophyll boundary in the Wet Tropics region during the Holocene period. In general, both the WS 1 and WS 2 cores are dominated by sclerophyll trees, particularly Casuarinaceae, and grasses. Peaks in rainforest gymnosperms and angiosperms may reflect minor expansions in the rainforest boundary (which is within 5 km to 10 km of the site). Significant rainforest taxa in both records (e.g. palms) can be typical of swamp communities rather than a reflection of the presence of extensive mesophyll rainforest (i.e. as would be high values of Cunoniaceae and *Elaeocarpus*). In addition, Witherspoon Swamp is fed by the Blunder Creek catchment, which originates from the nearby rainforest-covered escarpment to the east of the swamp and probably responsible for the long-distance transport of rainforest pollen to the site during flood events. A key consequence of these results is that there have been no significant climatic alterations (e.g. significantly wetter phases) that have facilitated the further expansion westward of rainforest. That is, once fully established between 9500 and 7500 cal BP (Haberle 2005), the rainforest-sclerophyll boundary was highly stable, with only minor fluctuations at the fringe of this boundary. These alterations at the fringe could be associated with slightly wetter and drier phases linked to ENSO variability, particularly during the late Holocene, as well as human use of fire influencing the boundary over the length of the Holocene period (Ash 1988).

Although it does not reveal a significant expansion of rainforest during the Holocene period, the Witherspoon Swamp records do suggest some significant climatic alterations (generally associated with precipitation changes) that reflect broader regional Holocene patterns. The WS 2 core suggests a drier environment around 8000 cal BP, possibly indicating that mesophyll rainforest did not reach its modern-day distribution until ca. 7500 cal BP, as suggested by the
Lake Euramoo record (Haberle 2005). In fact, a wetter phase, characterised by an increase in sedges and sclerophyll arboreal taxa and a decline in grass, is seen in the WS 2 record from 180 cm to 140 cm. This suggests higher precipitation during this early to mid-Holocene period, which is further supported by relatively high rainforest values in the WS 1 core at the base of this record (ca. 5000 cal BP). In addition, a general drying trend is observed in the WS 1 record with the decline and virtual disappearance of Selaginella, as well as lower representation of Nymphoides at 100 cm, probably reflecting a shift to lower water levels in the swamp. These results are similar to findings by Shulmeister and Lees (1995) from Groote Eylandt, Northern Territory, and by Luly et al. (2006) for the eastern shores of Cape York, Queensland, which suggests that these drying and wetting trends are a general feature of the sclerophyll forests and woodlands of northern Australia.

Some climatic variability is suggested by periodic increases in grass representation and charcoal values, alternating with increased values of rainforest taxa and sclerophyll arboreal taxa in both records. This vegetation alteration may be linked to the onset of ENSO conditions in the region ca. 5000 cal BP (i.e. a combination of El Niño and La Niña events) (Haberle and David 2004; Shulmeister and Lees 1995; Donders et al. 2007). A key change in the record appears to occur sometime after ca. 2000 cal BP (ca. 60 cm to 40 cm in both WS 1 and WS 2), with a return to generally drier conditions, seen through the expansion of grass values at the expense of the sclerophyll arboreal taxa. There is an increase in ash content variability (values ranging from 30% to 70%) from 60 cm in the WS 2 record, and this may reflect increased climatic variability beginning around 2000 cal BP. These alterations in ash content may represent changes in fluvial inputs of lithogenic sediments from the surrounding areas, with higher rainfall increasing inorganic content, and lower precipitation increasing organic values through less mineral matter transported to the swamp. Finally, a return to wetter conditions, lower grass values and higher sclerophyll arboreal representation is observed at the top of both records (0 cm in WS1 and 20 cm in WS 2), although this may also be associated with European disturbance. Generally, these alterations are consistent with similar changes in the Lake Euramoo record (Haberle 2005), which suggest that climatic variability associated with ENSO activity affected rainforests, sclerophyll forests and woodlands in a comparable fashion.

Implications for human occupation of the sclerophyll communities of the Wet Tropics region

The Witherspoon Swamp record has a number of implications for understanding potential human impacts on the sclerophyll communities of the Wet Tropics region of northern Australia. The presence of extensive swamp deposits extending back to at least the past 8000 years from Witherspoon Swamp suggests that this site may have formed a good camping area, with a source of permanent water and extensive food resources located within and around the swamp. To date, no archaeological surveys have been conducted around Witherspoon Swamp and further research should be undertaken to investigate whether this site did form an important resource for Aboriginal communities during the Holocene period. However, the pollen and charcoal record does not provide any clear evidence of Aboriginal impacts on the surrounding landscape through anthropogenic burning. Nearby records from the rainforest communities, including Lake Euramoo (Haberle 2005) and Lynch’s Crater (Hiscock and Kershaw 1992), suggest – through increased burning, expansion of disturbance indicators (i.e. Macaranga/ Mallotus) and destruction of swamp forest at Lynch’s Crater – that greater occupation of the region occurred over the past 5000 years. There are slight peaks in charcoal in the Witherspoon Swamp records at ca. 2000 cal BP that may reflect increased human impacts, but as suggested
by Haberle and David (2004), it is extremely difficult to disentangle the relative roles of human impact and climate in landscape change for the humid tropics region.

Increased climatic variability through increased ENSO intensity and frequency around 2000 years ago may have facilitated a significant change in Aboriginal subsistence strategies. Gagan et al. (2004) suggest that the most intense period of ENSO activity occurred from 2500 to 1700 years ago, with a reduction in rainfall in the order of 20% to 40%, as well as precipitation becoming highly seasonal. Cosgrove et al. (2007) suggest that this significant climatic event made the surrounding sclerophyll woodlands and forests more marginal for human subsistence and facilitated the development of the Aboriginal rainforest culture based on toxic nut cultivation and processing. As discussed previously, the WS 2 record observes an increase in ash content variability from ca. 2000 years ago and this may reflect increased climatic variability associated with increased ENSO activity. In addition, the WS 1 record indicated an increase in rainforest and sclerophyll arboreal taxa around 50 cm to 45 cm, which then declines significantly at 40 cm, associated with a corresponding increase in grass. This decline has been directly dated to around 2000 years and also suggest highly variable climates associated with increased ENSO activity. These results support Cosgrove et al.'s (2007) suggestions that alterations in ENSO activity may be a key driver of subsistence changes for the Aboriginal people of the Wet Tropics region. However, further research is required to verify these results in terms of high-resolution palynological analysis of the Witherspoon Swamp record and examination of other sites within the sclerophyll communities of the region, as well as direct evidence, through artefacts, of human occupation changes around the margin of the swamp. Finally, both the WS 1 and WS 2 records provide evidence of European occupation (Zones WS 1F and WS 2F), with an increase in Asteraceae (Tubliflorae) pollen, decreased representation of grass, increased sclerophyll arboreal taxa values and decreased burning. These alterations most likely reflect activities associated with cattle grazing, particularly the imposition of fire suppression, and support similar findings in the Lake Euramoo record (Haberle 2005).

Conclusion

Landscape changes observed within both the sclerophyll and rainforest communities of the Wet Tropics region of northern Australia during the Holocene period suggest a significant amount of environmental variability. Key alterations include: a dry early Holocene; an early to mid-Holocene climatic optimum phase; and onset of drier, more variable environments, with greatest variability occurring around 2500 to 1700 cal BP, most likely associated with enhanced ENSO activity from the mid-Holocene (from 5000 years ago onwards). These natural climatic alterations may have had a profound impact on human activity within the region, and as suggested by Cosgrove et al. (2007), this increased climatic variability from 2500 to 1700 cal BP may have played a key role in the development of the unique indigenous rainforest culture of the region. However, the Witherspoon Swamp record, along with other sites from the modern rainforest communities of the region (particularly Lake Euramoo, Haberle 2005), also suggest that once established, the rainforest-sclerophyll boundary was highly stable during the Holocene period and that landscape alterations occurred within each community, rather than resulting in a significant expansion/contraction of these communities. These results also suggest that complex interactions between natural climate change and human impacts played a key role in shaping the northern Australian Wet Tropics environment during the Holocene period.
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Introduction

New Zealand treelines have been well studied over the past few decades. Peter Wardle carried out extensive observational and experimental studies of their ecology and suggested that the length and warmth of summer was critical in permitting alpine trees to make sufficient growth to survive winter (Wardle 1985a). He also showed that New Zealand treelines were low when compared with global treelines, in particular with those of southern South America, and that exotic Pinus contorta could grow up to 300 m above the indigenous treeline (Wardle 1985b, 2008). A later global study demonstrated that warmth of the growing season was the one universal factor controlling position of treeline (Körner and Paulsen 2004). Both Wardle (2008) and Körner and Paulsen (2004) suggested New Zealand alpine trees were incapable of persisting under the cool growing season temperatures typical of treeline sites in most other regions, a possible result of having insufficient time to evolve cool-climate adaptations.

The 20th century trend towards warmer temperatures has intensified interest in treelines, as, being temperature-sensitive, they should be responding to the ca. 1°C increase in mean annual temperatures since 1900 AD. New Zealand investigations have shown there has been little or no response (Wardle and Coleman 1992; Cullen et al. 2001), and a global synthesis (Harsch et al. 2009) indicated that, while many treelines were rising, almost as many were static.

Despite this interest, and the large extent of alpine terrain in New Zealand, relatively little palaeoecological work has been reported from sites above, at, or close to treeline. In contrast, Tasmania and southeastern Australia, in part because of Peter Kershaw’s long-standing interest, have many intensively investigated subalpine to alpine sites and a wealth of information on
their treeline history (e.g. Kershaw and Strickland 1988; McKenzie 1997, 2002; Kershaw et al. 2007).

Central western districts of the Southern Alps are of great interest from both ecological and biogeographic perspectives because they lie in the western ‘beech’ gap of the Southern Alps. This extensive region lacks Nothofagus, arguably because of its exclusion by ice during the Last Glacial Maximum (McGlone et al. 1996). As the vast majority of New Zealand treelines are of Nothofagus, the history of a non-Nothofagus treeline gives a valuable additional perspective. The work presented here consists of several pollen profiles from a west-central Southern Alps alpine site. A summary diagram from this site with a line of accompanying text was included in a review of New Zealand postglacial vegetation history (McGlone 1988). Given the inherent interest in treelines, the renewed importance of understanding them in the context of climate changes, and the paucity of palaeoecological sites at treeline in New Zealand, it was thought appropriate to fully document the site and discuss it in the context of Australasian alpine results.

Environmental setting

Topology and geology

The Cropp River drains an alpine–montane basin of some 28.5 km², centred on 43°05’S, 170°58’E, on the western flanks of the central Southern Alps. This section of the Southern Alps has extremely high annual precipitation and high erosion rates. The basin is 8 km by 3.5 km and has a predominantly east–west orientation, ranging in elevation from 2140 m (Mount Beaumont) to 240 m at the confluence of the Cropp and Whitcombe Rivers (Figure 1).

![Location map, Cropp Valley, west-central Southern Alps, New Zealand. Inset: South Island, New Zealand.](image-url)
The basin is composed of high-grade metamorphic rocks of green schist to amphibolite facies. The rocks are highly fissile and commonly intensely fractured. As it lies 5–8 km southeast of the Alpine Fault, the basin is close to the maximum uplift zone of the central Southern Alps, with an estimated uplift rate of 12 mm a⁻¹ (Little et al. 2005).

Regional glacial chronology indicates that the Cropp Basin was filled with ice until about 16,000 cal BP (calibrated calendar years before 1950 AD; Gellatly et al. 1988). More recent dating of tills on the eastern side of the Southern Alps shows ice retreated after ca. 13,000 cal BP, following a late-glacial cooling during the Antarctic cold reversal between 14,900 and 12,900 cal BP (Kaplan et al. 2010). Till dated at 11,986 ± 12,1000 cal BP from the middle reaches of the basin is interpreted as a subsequent late-glacial readvance (Basher and McSaveney 1989) and gives a maximum age for the postglacial surfaces. U-shaped cirques are present at the head of the Cropp River and its larger tributaries, but most of the glaciated landscape has been modified by postglacial fluvial and mass movement erosion. Slopes are steep (30–60°), valley profiles v-shaped, and stream grades steep and uneven. Regolith is thin (generally ≤2m). A wide range of soils occurs, including yellow-brown earths, podzols, gley podozols, gley recent soils, gley soils and organic soils. Soils are generally shallow, strongly leached and infertile.

Climate

The western front ranges of the Southern Alps are characterised by frequent, heavy rainfall (>100 mm/day), annual precipitation in excess of 10,000 mm and persistent cloudiness. Mean annual rainfall of the Cropp Basin is estimated at 10,800 mm a⁻¹ (Griffiths and McSaveney 1983). Rainfall is usually well distributed throughout the year. Snow falls are frequent and may occur at any time during the year. Snow cover persists for about three months at treeline. Mean annual air temperature at Cropp Hut (865 m above sea level; 1982-1985; Tonkin and Basher 2001) is estimated at 5.5 ± 0.5°C, with a mean monthly range of 0–10.5°C, and a mean annual soil temperature (at 0.5 m depth) of 6.0 ± 0.5°C. Mean daily temperature ranges from 15°C to –5.5°C, while the absolute range is from 23.4°C to –10.1°C. Frosts may occur at any time of the year but are most common and severe in June and July.

Vegetation

Land cover of the basin ranges from permanent snow and ice to dense montane forest, and the high, often torrential, rainfall promotes extensive landslides and soil erosion in the upper reaches. Vegetation descriptions of the valley follow Norton (1983) and our own observations (Basher 1986). Below 350 m to 400 m asl, dense, mixed conifer–angiosperm forests predominate, with tall conifers (Dacrydium cupressinum, Prumnopitys ferruginea and Podocarpus hallii) emergent over an angiosperm canopy dominated by Weinmannia racemosa. From 400 m, the tall conifers gradually decline and Weinmannia racemosa and Metrosideros umbellata low forest extends up to 600 m. A Metrosideros umbellata belt with emergent Libocedrus bidwillii continues to 800 m, grading into a Libocedrus bidwillii forest without Metrosideros umbellata that interfaces with a low subalpine forest dominated by tall asterads (Olearia colensoi, O. ilicifolia, O. lacunosa, ) and heaths (Archeria traversii, D. longifolium, Dracophyllum traversii) and other angiosperm small trees and shrubs (Coprosma pseudocuneata, Griselinia littoralis, Myrsine divaricata, Pseudopanax colensoi). Tall conical emergents of Libocedrus bidwillii and lower stature Podocarpus hallii, Phyllocladus alpinus and Halocarpus biformis occur in this association. This subalpine forest grades into alpine shrubland between 900 m and 1000 m asl, with a range of asterad and heath-dominated communities, Halocarpus biformis on old, leached soils, and Hoheria glabrata on young debris soils. Alpine grasslands replace shrubland at elevations between 900 m and 1400 m asl, depending on aspect, exposure and soil depth and age. Chionochloa spp. tussock grasses dominate well-drained sites, and species-rich communities of sedges, reeds, herbs and
prostrate shrubs and cushion plants occur on poorly drained sites. These include the creeping podocarp *Lepidothamnus laxifolium* and low-growing *Podocarpus nivalis*.

There are numerous definitions of treeline in the literature but here we use the definition of Körner and Paulsen (2004): “the connecting line between the uppermost groups of upright trees of at least 3 m in height”. By this criterion, treeline in Cropp Valley lies at about 1000 m asl. The regional treeline in this section of the western Southern Alps lies between 1200 m and 1150 m, with annual average temperatures of 5.8-6.2°C (Ellen Cieraad pers. comm. 2011). The Cropp Valley treeline is therefore somewhat lower and colder than the regional treeline, probably because of cold-air drainage from the high reaches of the basin.

**Sites and methods**

Site locations are given in Figure 1, site stratigraphies in Figure 2, and radiocarbon date information in Table 1. All sites were sampled from exposed faces in pits or stream exposures.

**Table 1.** Sample locations and radiocarbon date details. Radiocarbon calibrations were calculated using the software CALIB v 5.0.1 (1) using the Southern Hemisphere Calibration dataset SHCal04 (2) (Stuiver and Reimer 1993; McCormac et al. 2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Grid ref. (NZMS1)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Cm below surface</th>
<th>¹⁴C age BP</th>
<th>Cal BP (median)</th>
<th>¹⁴C Lab code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site 1. Cropp Hut: 895 m</td>
<td>J34/439903</td>
<td>Peat</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1053 ± 87</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>NZ6881</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peat</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2851 ± 85</td>
<td>2917</td>
<td>NZ6878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peat</td>
<td>88-90</td>
<td>7065 ± 90</td>
<td>7837</td>
<td>NZ6115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 2. Tarkus Knob: 950 m</td>
<td>J34/444904</td>
<td>Peat</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>1748 ± 38</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>NZ5367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>J34/441905</td>
<td>Peat</td>
<td>160-162</td>
<td>7381 ± 70</td>
<td>8134</td>
<td>NZ5369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Peat</td>
<td>170-180</td>
<td>8390 ± 76</td>
<td>9337</td>
<td>NZ5368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site 3. Steadman Creek: 820 m</td>
<td>J34/446902</td>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>See text</td>
<td>10295 ± 120</td>
<td>12098</td>
<td>NZ6576</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Site 1: Cropp Hut** (field sample number: X8404)

A concave basin peat has formed in the distal zone of a prominent high-level alluvial cone of the north bank of Cropp River slightly upstream of the site of the former Cropp Hut (destroyed by a debris flow). The site has an elevation of 895 m and is on a forest-free subalpine valley floor. The plant communities of the general area are grassland (*Chionochloa pallens* and *C. rubra*) or grassland-low shrub on gently sloping surfaces, while steeply sloping surfaces are covered with subalpine scrub (*Coprosma spp.*, *Dracophyllum uniflorum*, *Olearia lacunosa*, *Phyllocladus alpinus*, *Podocarpus nivalis*, *Sencio bennettii*) and forest (*Archeria traversii*, *Dracophyllum longifolium*, *Libocedrus bidwillii*, *Halocarpus biformis*). The peat surface is covered with *Chionochloa rubra*, *Carpha alpina*, *Celmisia glandulosa*, *Donatia novae-zelandiae* and *Oreobolus pectinatus*, and with substantial stands of the creeping podocarp, *Lepidothamnus laxifolium*. Ten samples were taken for pollen analysis and pollen results are given in Figures 3a and b.

**Site 2: Tarkus Knob** (field sample number X8207)

Opposite the former Cropp Hut is a prominent ice-smoothed bedrock promontory informally named Tarkus Knob (elevation at site: 950 m). A hollow along the northern margin of this feature has been in-filled by alluvium which contains two buried peat layers and is capped by a peaty soil. Three radiocarbon-dated samples for pollen analysis have been taken from two exposures along the hollow (Figure 2). The present vegetation is *Chionochloa rubra* grassland-cushion bog (*Carpha alpina*, *Celmisia glandulosa*, *Donatia novae-zelandiae*, *Lepidothamnus laxifolium*) and low-growing *Podocarpus nivalis*. There are numerous definitions of treeline in the literature but here we use the definition of Körner and Paulsen (2004): “the connecting line between the uppermost groups of upright trees of at least 3 m in height”. By this criterion, treeline in Cropp Valley lies at about 1000 m asl. The regional treeline in this section of the western Southern Alps lies between 1200 m and 1150 m, with annual average temperatures of 5.8-6.2°C (Ellen Cieraad pers. comm. 2011). The Cropp Valley treeline is therefore somewhat lower and colder than the regional treeline, probably because of cold-air drainage from the high reaches of the basin.

**Sites and methods**

Site locations are given in Figure 1, site stratigraphies in Figure 2, and radiocarbon date information in Table 1. All sites were sampled from exposed faces in pits or stream exposures.
laxifolium, Schoenus pauciflorus). Surrounding vegetation on well-drained slopes is as described for the Cropp Hut site.

**Site 3: Terminal moraine, Steadman Creek (field sample X8512)**

This site (grid reference NZMS2690 J34/446902; altitude 820 m) is described in Basher and McSaveney (1989). A 30-40 m high terrace of the Cropp River consists of angular to sub-rounded boulders of schist, some with surface striations, in a sandy matrix. Decomposed twigs and logs (up to 20 cm in diameter) were found tightly embedded in a matrix of angular clasts towards the base of the section just above the bed of the river. A piece of wood was dated (10,295 ± 120 BP) and the matrix surrounding the wood sampled for pollen analysis.

**Site 4: Surface sample sites (field samples X8621a, b and c)**

Surface samples were taken from the surface of the Tarkus and Cropp Hut sites and are included as the upper levels in those pollen diagrams. A further surface sample was taken as far down the valley as could be reached on foot, at the confluence of the Cropp River and Reckless Torrent at an altitude of 820 m. The site was a patch of wet Chionochloa rubra grassland within subalpine scrub approximately 1.5 km from tall montane forest.

Pollen and spore analysis followed standard extraction procedures (dehumification in 10% KOH, digestion in 40% HF, followed by acetolysis and mounting in glycerine jelly for light microscope examination). A terrestrial pollen sum of >250 grains was used and results are presented as a percentage of the terrestrial pollen sum.

**Results and discussion**

*Modern pollen representation (see Figures 3 and 4)*

Alpine and subalpine sites derive a large proportion of their pollen rain from wind-
pollinated trees in lower altitude forests (Moar 1970; McGlone 1982; Pocknall 1982). The two uppermost sites sampled from subalpine grasslands derive ca. 35% of their pollen rain from lowland tree podocarps (*Dacrycarpus dacrydioides, Dacrydium cupressinum, Prumnopitys ferruginea, P. taxifolia*), whereas the lower surface sample site (Site 4, Reckless Torrent), in dense, low subalpine forest, derives only 21% of its pollen from that source. *Metrosideros* and *Weinmannia*, the primary canopy species of the high montane forest in the lower reaches of the basin, make up <5% of the pollen rain. Although virtually absent from the upper altitude forests, two trees, *Acarina lucida* and *Quintinia serrata*, and a liana, *Ripegonum scandens*, are also registered. Grass and subalpine scrub species (asterads, *Coprosma, Dracophyllum, Halocarpus, Myrsine, Phyllocladus*) average ca. 30% for the two upper sites, but ca. 65% for the lower. Although never making up a substantial proportion of the pollen rain, upland herbs, cushion plants and prostrate shrubs are represented. Although *Libocedrus bidwillii* is prominent in the upland low forest of the basin and wind-pollinated, it contributes surprisingly little to the pollen rain, averaging only ca. 1%.

**Steadman Creek, till exposure (Figure 4)**

The Cropp till at 850 m is attributed to a late-glacial advance at ca. 12,100 cal BP, during which a glacier occupied most of the upper basin (Basher and McSaveney 1989). The wood was incorporated into the advance as the ice moved down valley. The pollen is derived from silt associated with the wood fragments and, as it was reworked by or deposited directly on the ice, is not directly comparable to that from the peat sequences. Exceptionally high levels of *Hoheria* (22%) and abundant *Coprosma*, asterads and grass indicate an open low subalpine forest-shrubland, typical of what might be expected on disturbed sites within the basin at the present day. *Hoheria glabrata* currently does not extend more than about 200 m above the altitude of the till exposure and we conclude that subalpine low forest must have been close to its current limit during the advance. Nevertheless, a number of present-day components of the basin vegetation are missing from the pollen spectrum, including *Dracophyllum, Halocarpus* and *Libocedrus*, and lowland conifer forest trees make up only 6% of the pollen rain, rather than the 30% average for the Holocene sites. *Metrosideros* and *Weinmannia* are at trace levels only. Two broad conclusions can be made.

First, the Cropp glacier must have advanced down valley at a time when mean annual temperatures were close to those of the present. *Hoheria glabrata* forest does not extend higher than 1050 m in central Westland (Wardle 1991) and thus the maximum depression in mean annual temperature relative to the present can have only been of the order of 1.2°C. However, as the wood was included within the till and not over-ridden, it is likely the *Hoheria* trees grew up-slope of the site. Given the steep gradient of the river, the *Hoheria* forest is likely to have grown at 900-1000 m altitude, that is, at its current limit.

The second conclusion is that the lowland to montane forest conifer emergents that provide more than 30% of the pollen rain at present must have been very much reduced in extent. This inference is supported by the pollen results from the extensive Ōkarito Pakihi mire at 70 m asl approximately 63 km to the southwest of the Cropp Basin (Newnham et al. 2007), where emergent conifers made up ca. 30% of the pollen rain at 12,000 cal BP, versus 95% during the Holocene. We therefore have the somewhat paradoxical results that woody vegetation was growing close to or at its present limit, while the upper limit to montane forest appears to have been lower and conifer forest in general much less abundant than now.

**Cropp Hut-Tarkus Knob peat sequences (Figures 3, 4 and 5)**

Cropp Hut and Tarkus Knob peats are in close proximity and both are currently in grassland vegetation, differing in altitude by 50 m. Their pollen percentages at matched time intervals are
Cropp Hut (Site 1): Trees and tree ferns

Figure 3a. Cropp Hut (Site 1). Percentage pollen and spore diagram: trees and tree ferns. Pollen sum: dryland trees, shrubs and herbs.
Figure 3b. Cropp Hut (Site 1). Percentage pollen and spore diagram: shrubs, herbs, fern and fern allies. Pollen sum: dryland trees, shrubs and herbs.
Tarkus Knob (Site 1), Steadman Creek (Site 3) & Reckless Torrent surface sample (Site 4)

Figure 4. Surface samples: Reckless Torrent, (820 m); Tarkus Knob (950 m). Three dated peat samples: Tarkus Knob (Site 2) buried peats; Steadman Till (Site 3), silt associated with *Hoheria* wood fragments. Percentage pollen and spore diagram (major types only). Pollen sum: dryland trees, shrubs and herbs.
close, and they can be for most purposes treated as a single record. To get a generalised view of changes, a composite diagram for the arboreal pollen has been constructed from the Cropp Hut, Tarkus Knob and Steadman sequences (Figure 5).

Local vegetation cover appears to have been remarkably uniform throughout the Holocene. Grass pollen, dominated by *Chionochloa*, asterads, *Coprosma Dracophyllum*, *Myrsine*, and sedges and alpine herbs are consistently present at levels suggesting little or no change in the local vegetation structure from the current day (Figures 3 and 4).

The oldest sample (Tarkus Knob site; 9337 cal BP) is ca. 2500 years younger than the till. Independent confirmation of the age of this sample is provided by the trace levels of *Nothofagus* versus the 1–5% levels for those younger than 9000 cal BP. *Nothofagus* became the dominant forest cover between 8200 and 7500 cal BP in the Cass Basin, 70 km to the east (McGlone et al. 2004), and this is the only likely source for this pollen type. The basal sample from the Cropp Hut peat section is younger than 8000 cal BP and has a significant *Nothofagus* representation.

The contribution of lowland conifer pollen rose from 13% to 20–25% by 7500 cal BP and reached present day levels of 30–40% by 6000 cal BP (Figure 5). High-altitude montane conifer pollen rises to 50% by 4000 cal BP. There is a distinct rise in lowland conifer pollen from 13% at 12,000 cal BP to 30–40% by 6000 cal BP, followed by a steady decline to 10% by 2000 cal BP. (Figure 5). This suggests a shift from montane to lowland coniferous forest.

![Figure 5. Summary composite percentage pollen diagram (Cropp, Tarkus, Steadman). Lowland pollen types: *Dacrycarpus dacrydioides*, *Dacrydium cupressinum* and *Prumnopitys* spp. Upland pollen types: *Libocedrus*, *Phyllocladus*, *Podocarpus*, *Halocarpus*, *Metrosideros*, *Weinmannia* and *Quintinia*. Note: lowland pollen types are those from emergent wind-pollinated trees that dominate the lowlands; the upland types are those that are known to occur either near the Cropp sites or in the upper montane forest (regardless of whether they also occur further downslope).](image-url)
Holocene vegetation change at treeline, Cropp Valley, Southern Alps, New Zealand

Forest types expanded from 8% to 12% between 9500 and 4000 cal BP, but did not reach current levels of 18% until 2400 cal BP, which is when Libocedrus bidwillii became abundant within the basin. Tree-fern spores are dominated by Cyathea smithii type (which includes the short subalpine C. colensoi) at levels which suggest wind dispersal from montane forests but its percentage representation does not change in the course of the Holocene.

Quintinia is the last of the montane forest species to be registered at the site (between 1600 and 900 cal BP). This canopy tree, characteristic of infertile soils in central Westland, is confined to scattered patches in the south of the district, and does not occur in south Westland. Wardle (1988) suggested that its distribution reflects a slow movement south from refugia in north Westland at the end of the last glaciation. However, it was present at Bell Hill, north Westland, before 10,400 cal BP (Moar 1971), but even there did not become abundant until ca. 3500 cal BP (Pocknall 1980). A 7000-year migration lag for local spread seems improbable. It is far more likely that Quintinia was present throughout its current range at the end of the Last Glacial Maximum, as suggested by its trace occurrences then at Okarito Pakihi (Newnham et al. 2007), but remained uncommon until some aspect of the climate or soils became more favourable for the tree in the course of the late Holocene.

Climate inferences

As discussed in regard to Quintinia, there is no reason to suspect any of the dominant tree species were affected by migration lags: Weinmannia, Metrosideros, Quintinia and Libocedrus have small, wind-dispersed seeds and the podocarp trees have bird-dispersed fleshy fruits. While soil requirements may have been a constraint for some species, under the mild, high-rainfall environment of Cropp Basin soils mature and become podozolized extraordinarily quickly, and landslides caused by heavy rain or earthquakes are a constant source of rejuvenated surfaces within the basin (Tonkin and Basher 2001). We therefore argue that the most probable explanation for the Holocene vegetation changes is the direct or indirect consequences of changing climate. Given that the Cropp Basin is directly in the path of the rain-bearing westerlies and has an enormous surplus of well-distributed precipitation far beyond the growth requirements of the vegetation, it further seems unlikely that changes in rainfall would have major effects on the vegetation cover. For that reason, we focus on changes in temperature and seasonality.

A marine-core alkenone sea-surface temperature record 200 km west of the Cropp Basin shows that temperatures peaked in the later late glacial, and were about 1.5°C warmer than present at 12,000 cal BP (Barrows et al. 2007). Pollen-based transfer functions show mean annual temperatures in South Island sites to have been warmer than now from about 12,000 cal BP (Wilmshurst et al. 2007). The occurrence of tree-sized Hoheria glabrata high within the Cropp catchment at 12,000 cal BP, close to its upper limit, implies that temperatures during the growing season were within 1°C of those of the present. Warmer than present climates in the Cropp Basin by 12,000 cal BP therefore seem highly probable.

Nevertheless, some observations do not fit a scenario of summer temperatures at or above current values. In the cloudy, high-precipitation, mild climates of the western flank of the Southern Alps, winter cold is not a significant factor except in valley bottoms exposed to cold-air drainage (Leathwick et al. 2003). It follows that regional alpine treelines are controlled by growing-season warmth, as demonstrated by Körner and Paulsen (2004). If summer temperatures were 1.5°C warmer than now, vegetation zones should have been some 250 m higher and the upper limit to closed montane forest at ca. 850-1000 m asl. Forest would have surrounded the Cropp sites. The absence of montane forest trees, and conifers in particular, from the catchment in the early Holocene demonstrates this did not happen. New Zealand glaciers in the central Southern Alps are much more responsive to summer ablation than...
Cool summers but higher than present mean annual temperatures necessarily imply much warmer and shorter winters. In temperate latitudes, warm winters are important in the lowlands as they permit frost-sensitive species to flourish. In contrast, at treeline, warm winters are largely irrelevant or even detrimental, as warm temperatures may break dormancy and increase frost. Cool summers and warm winters create an intensely oceanic environment which is understood to further limit tree growth through restricting photosynthesis in summer and increasing metabolic energy expenditure in winter (Stevens and Fox 1991; Crawford 2000). Tall podocarps were less common than now during this intensely oceanic phase in the nearby lowlands at Okarito (Newnham et al. 2007) and it is possible that they were also restricted by cool summers. We conclude that in the late glacial and early Holocene, a warm-winter/cool-summer regime depressed altitudinal forest zones and may have had a disproportionate influence on tall conifer trees.

The Holocene vegetation sequence within the Cropp Valley (Figure 5) is best interpreted as a response to steady increases in summer temperature. By 8200 cal BP, upper montane forests were present in the lower reaches of the valley. By 2500 cal BP, *Libocedrus bidwillii* had occupied the upper part of valley, and lowland-forest pollen rain peaked about the same time, suggesting that upslope movement of forest zones had not reached current altitudes until then. Other New Zealand sites near treeline or in montane settings support the scenario that summer temperatures have been rising in the course of the Holocene. Pollen and macrofossil evidence from subantarctic Auckland and Campbell Islands indicates that forest did not reach current extent until 6000 cal BP, and may have continued to extend upslope into the late Holocene (McGlone 2002; McGlone et al. 2010). In the Garvie Mountains (Central Otago), high-altitude grassland was abruptly replaced by coniferous forest at 8300 cal BP (McGlone et al. 1995). Pollen sites close to treeline in northwest Nelson suggest late-Holocene spread of subalpine *Nothofagus* forest into low woody vegetation and grassland (Shulmeister et al. 2003). In the North Island, in an altitudinal sequence of organic soil pollen sites across treeline (1140–1470 m asl) on the isolated massif of Mount Hauhungatahi, Tongariro, low conifer forest rose to dominance at ca. 9700 cal BP, but the current tall *Nothofagus–Libocedrus* forest spread only from ca. 8000 cal BP, and was not dominant until several thousand years later (Horrocks and Ogden 2000).

A forest ecosystem process model has been used in inverse mode to explore early Holocene climate scenarios based on pollen and macrofossil data from Cass, an intermontane site 70 km east of the Cropp Valley at 600 m altitude (McGlone et al. 2011). Best fit between early Holocene vegetation and climate was obtained when summers were no warmer than present but winters substantially warmer. If early Holocene summers were set at 0.5°C cooler than present, and compensated for by much warmer winters to achieve an overall warmer climate (+1.5°C), suitable matches could also be made. Annual ocean-surface warmth and terrestrial summer cooling in the early Holocene can thus be reconciled.

The mountain ranges of Tasmania and the southeastern coast between 43°S and 30°S provide the closest comparable sites in Australia to Cropp Valley. Changes in Australian upland regions are complicated by major alterations in rainfall patterns which result in asynchronous vegetation change between sites (Donders et al. 2007). Nevertheless, there is agreement that summer temperatures and forest cover peaked in the mid Holocene, as early recognised by Kershaw (1988). At the northern edge of the temperate forest zone in New South Wales, at high-altitude (1200–1500 m asl) sites on Barrington Top, current forest was established by 10,000 cal BP and vegetation typical of warmer and moister conditions was widespread over
the plateau between 7500 and 4000 years ago (Dodson et al. 1986). In Kosciuszko National Park in New South Wales, sites at treeline had grassy alpine herbfields until ca. 12,500 cal BP and *Eucalyptus* increased to form similar communities to those at current treeline by 7500 cal BP. Subalpine woody communities reached maximum development between 6000 and 3000 cal BP (Martin 1986, 1999). It is possible that drier conditions earlier in the Holocene may have delayed this maximum. In south-central Victoria, forest reached current levels at several high-altitude sites after 11,500 cal BP (McKenzie 1997, 2002; Kershaw et al. 2007), but wet *Eucalyptus* forests and *Nothofagus* did not achieve maximum extent until ca. 7000 cal BP (McKenzie 2002). Changes after ca. 4500 cal BP are complicated by the onset of ENSO and changing precipitation, but the decline in moisture-loving *Nothofagus* may have resulted from the transpiration stress of increasing summer temperatures. In Tasmania, maximum treeline altitude and warmest growing-season temperatures appear to have been achieved by 7000 cal BP (Anker et al. 2001; Fletcher and Thomas 2007).

In summary, Australian results are consistent with those from the Cropp Valley in that they show treelines were lower than now before 11,500 cal BP and current treelines mostly formed only after 7000 cal BP. Schaefer et al. (2009) note that Holocene glacier advances in the central Southern Alps of New Zealand diminish in volume towards the present. They suggest this is largely due to increased summer ablation, consistent with our claim that treelines have risen and summers warmed in the course of the Holocene. It follows then that ocean-surface temperatures, which fall during the mid-to-late Holocene as treelines rise (Barrows et al. 2007), must reflect substantial winter cooling.

**Conclusions**

The latter part of the late glacial and early Holocene in New Zealand was characterised by low treelines relative to the present. In the upper Cropp Valley, although shrubs and small trees extended up to current treeline, the current montane-alpine forest was absent, including the conifer *Libocedrus*. Similar patterns of change are seen at near-treeline sites throughout New Zealand, usually involving the late spread of *Nothofagus* forest into early Holocene tall shrubland. We conclude that highly oceanic environments during the late glacial-early Holocene period, characterised by warm winters and cool summers, disproportionately affected trees in the montane to alpine zones. There is a great deal of similarity in timing between the New Zealand montane-alpine vegetation sequences over the late glacial and Holocene, and those of the mountainous areas of Tasmania and southeastern Australia. This probably reflects a common response to a hemispheric temperature trend. Although increasing precipitation after a drier early Holocene is often suggested as a contributory factor to changing treelines at Australian sites, this is far less likely to have been an issue at New Zealand alpine sites.

We suggest that during the latter half of the late glacial and the early Holocene, cool summers, warm winters and generally drier than present conditions prevailed in southeastern Australia and New Zealand. Summers shortened but became warmer, while winters lengthened and cooled in the course of the Holocene. As a consequence, apparently contradictory trends emerged in the mid-to-late Holocene: lowland forests changed character, losing frost-sensitive components as winters became cooler, while alpine trees began to replace previous low-growing shrublands in response to warmer summers. The decline from peak summer warmth in montane-alpine regions noted in Australia after 5000-4000 cal BP is not as obvious in New Zealand records.
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Introduction

Palaeoenvironmental research can provide useful perspectives about the vulnerability and resilience of ecosystems to future climate change by documenting ecosystem response to past natural and human-induced environmental change (e.g. Dearing 2008, 2011). Such information is important since instrumental records for all but a few localities are non-existent, or are temporally short relative to ecological timescales (Smol 2008) and because ecosystem changes are, or will soon be, beyond the magnitude of anything witnessed in the historical period (Hansen 2005).

Between 1997 and 2010, lakes in western Victoria responded to prolonged hydrological deficit in a range of ways. Many dried out, while the vast majority underwent substantial changes in water quality and ecology (Leahy et al. 2010). Recent research has shown that a number of lakes once thought to be permanent landscape features are now subject to drying, while many...
other lakes are projected to be seasonally dry by the end of the 21st century (Leahy et al. 2010). The present water quality and ecology of Western District Ramsar-listed lakes (lakes Beeac, Bookar, Colongulac, Corangamite, Cundare, Gnarpit, Milangil, Murdeduke and Terangpom) bears little resemblance to their conditions when listed under the Ramsar agreement in 1982, and most have been at least seasonally dry over the past decade. Given that there has been a very substantial reduction in the number of western Victorian lakes, including those recognised as nationally and internationally significant, ecosystem preservation in the remaining lakes is paramount. Of the numerous lakes in western Victoria, a small number of deeper sites (>5 m deep) will persist throughout the 21st century, even with marked hydrological deficit (Kirono et al. 2009). Given that deep lakes will be important landscape refugia over this century, prudent management is important. Although the limnology of western Victorian lakes is relatively well studied (e.g. Timms 1976; De Deckker 1983; De Deckker and Williams 1988; Tibby and Tiller 2007), there is still considerable uncertainty about their likely future behaviour. With the aim of enhancing understanding of its possible future behaviour, this study focuses on the environmental history of one such lake: Lake Purrumbete, the deepest natural freshwater lake in Victoria.

Previous palaeoenvironmental studies at Lake Purrumbete have been undertaken by De Deckker (1982) and Yezdani (1970). However, these studies were based on records that were undated and also, in the case of Yezdani (1970), short. Yezdani (1970) briefly described the pollen and algae (including diatoms) at a coarse resolution from a core collected near the edge of the lake. All the samples were post-European, as indicated by the presence of Pinus pollen throughout the record. De Deckker’s (1982) macrofossil record for Purrumbete was not radiocarbon dated, however the 580 cm record was suggested to cover about 6000–7000 years, an inference largely consistent with the results herein. De Deckker (1982) inferred a constantly fresh lake with water depth >35 m throughout the record. However, the proxies used by De Deckker did not allow fine-scale estimation of palaeoclimatic changes.

Lake Purrumbete has one of the longest records of continuous water-quality monitoring in Victoria (Mitchell and Collins 1987; Tibby and Tiller 2007). These data are important to the management of this and other lake systems. In particular, such data can be used to predict future responses to climate change through coupling with dynamic climate modelling such as the Kirono et al.’s (In press) modelling of salinity response to predicted climate in the western Victorian lakes Bullenmerri, Gnotuk and Keilambete. Although valuable, such exercises are limited because modelling of climate-driven biological responses is considerably more difficult than predicting physical responses (such as changes in lake depth, salinity or stratification). Climate projections for southwestern Victoria consistently predict increased temperature, while rainfall estimates are somewhat more variable (i.e. while most model predictions infer future declines in rainfall, some suggest there may be rainfall increases, CSIRO and BOM 2007). However, the combined effect of temperature increases and changes in rainfall is likely to result in reductions in effective precipitation (Kirono et al. In press). Combining future climate scenarios with the observed behaviour of lakes makes it possible to hypothesise about the response of lakes to future warming, although it is axiomatic that such models are calibrated over short time periods relative to often lengthy ecosystem responses to climate change.

Building on the earlier research of Mitchell and Collins (1987), Tibby and Tiller (2007) analysed the relationship between Lake Purrumbete water quality and climate for the period 1984–2000. In line with expectations, they demonstrated that lake salinity (measured as electrical conductivity) increased in response to reduced effective moisture and that water temperature was strongly correlated with air temperature. In addition, they also showed that there was a strong negative relationship between air temperature and nutrient concentrations (specifically total phosphorus) in the water column ($r^2 = 0.61, p < 0.005, n = 165$). Tibby and Tiller (2007)
suggest that periods of increased temperature lead to lengthened periods of water column stratification. This, in turn, results in nutrients being depleted from the epilimnion through the uptake of phosphorus by algae, which eventually sink, sequestering nutrients to the sediments. 

Based on a combination of these observations about future warming and Lake Purrumbete’s relationship to measured climate, it appears that future change may be expected to increase water temperature and salinity, while decreasing average water-column nutrient concentrations. In order to assess this scenario, therefore, we utilised a long-term record of environmental change preserved in Lake Purrumbete sediments to examine the nature of the Lake’s response to (past) climate change, with a view to more fully understanding the possible nature of future change. This approach arose from observations that the diatom records from this and other western Victorian lakes including Lake Surprise (Tibby et al. 2006) and Tower Hill Lake (D’Costa et al. 1989) exhibit very marked shifts during the Holocene, suggesting lacustrine conditions that are very different to those recorded in even relatively long monitoring time series.

Our analysis focuses predominantly on the pollen and diatom record derived from a 6 m Mackereth core extracted from Lake Purrumbete in the late 1990s. In addition, pollen data are derived from an associated frozen spade core, representing an undisturbed record of the most recently deposited sediments. We utilise the record of precipitation-evaporation ratio (P:E) derived from nearby Lakes Keilambete, Gnotuk and Bullenmerri (Jones et al. 1998, see Figure 1 for site locations) as a means of interpreting the response of the aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems in Lake Purrumbete and its surrounds to climate variability. Although there are differing interpretations of the precise timing of changes in these records (particularly from the most intensively studied Lake Keilambete), there is a coherent record of effective precipitation inferred by a number of authors using a variety of proxies including sediment grain size and composition, ostracod composition and shell chemistry, and pollen (Dodson 1974; Bowler 1981; De Deckker 1982; Chivas et al. 1985; Jones et al. 1998), which, importantly, have been observed in other lakes in the region (Gell 1998; Tibby et al. 2006). While it is not possible to de-couple the separate effects of precipitation and evaporation in Jones et al.’s (1998) record, it nevertheless provides a quantitative estimate of effective moisture through the Holocene. Hence, we use the P:E record as a reference point to examine how water quality and vegetation in and around Lake Purrumbete responded to arid and humid phases during the Holocene. Importantly, the inferred effective moisture history from western Victoria can be compared with the inferred effective moisture history predicted for the region (Kirono et al. 2009), allowing future likely changes to be placed in context.

**Study site**

Lake Purrumbete is a large, fresh, clear-water, alkaline, eutrophic maar crater (see Table 1 for summary water-quality information). It has a maximum breadth of more than 2.8 km, a maximum depth of 45 m, a surface area of more than 5.5 km² and a volume of 157 x 10⁶ m³ (Timms 1976). Vegetation surrounding the lake, as for the region in general, is heavily modified by recent land use. Remnant native vegetation can be broadly classified as grasslands or open grassy woodlands (Kershaw et al. 2004), with strong edaphic controls on vegetation apparent, particularly with respect to the distribution of soils weathered from basalt (D’Costa et al. 1989).

Ecological studies of Lake Purrumbete began in the late 1960s (Hussainy 1969; Yezdani 1970; Timms 1976). Both Hussainy (1969) and Yezdani (1970) noted the presence of spring blooms of *Melosira granulata* (=*Aulacoseira granulata*) over a period of three years. Gasse et al. (1997) showed that the dominant planktonic diatoms in the centre of Lake Purrumbete sampled in sediment traps, and to a lesser extent phytoplankton sweeps, were *Cyclotella meneghiniana*.
and *Aulacoseira granulata*. Interestingly, they also noted that, in summer phytoplankton sweeps, the epiphytic diatom *Cocconeis placentula* is the most abundant diatom, despite the substantial distance of their study site from the shoreline.

Despite the relative longevity of ecological study at Lake Purrumbete, palaeoecology can provide additional information to facilitate better lake management. For example, aquatic macrophyte surveys in the late 1960s (Yezdani 1970) did not detect the current dominant macrophyte in the lake *Vallisneria americana var. americana* (EPA Victoria, unpub. data). In the late 1960s, *Myriophyllum* spp. were dominant (Yezdani 1970). Mitchell and Collins (1987) detected *Vallisneria gigantea* (= *Vallisneria americana var. americana*) in Lake Purrumbete by 1984. From the perspective of lake managers, it is not clear whether the current dominance by *Vallisneria* is related to recent shifts in climate, the lake nutrient status, or part of natural variability.

**Methods**

Sediment coring was undertaken from an anchored floating platform close the centre of the lake. A near 6 m long core, 5 cm in diameter, was collected with a Mackereth sampler.
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As the corer tends to disturb or fail to collect very unconsolidated surface sediments, material from the topmost 1 m was retrieved intact on a frozen spade (Neale and Walker 1996). Samples of 1 cm³ were taken at 10 cm intervals (n=59) from the Mackereth core and prepared for diatom analysis using a modification of Battarbee (1986), where three-hour treatments in 10% HCl and 10% H₂O₂ were used to remove carbonate and organic matter, respectively. Following these treatments, samples were rinsed repeatedly in distilled water, and they were then mounted using Naphrax mounting medium. Diatoms were identified using 1000x magnification with a Zeiss Axioskop fitted with differential interference contrast, with Krammer and Lange-Bertalot (1986, 1988, 1991a, b) the main source of taxonomic information. A minimum of 300 valves were counted per sample, with diatom abundances calculated using the microspheres method described in Battarbee (1986).

Separation cells of *Aulacoseira granulata* were identified, as Gomez et al. (1995) have shown that *A. granulata* forms a larger number of separation cells during periods of stratification, so that its filament (or “chain”) is shorter, rendering it easier for such chains to remain suspended in poorly mixed water columns.

Samples of 2 cm³ of wet sediment were extracted from the core and the frozen spade for pollen analysis, with sampling resolution increasing from a maximum of 0.4 m below 3.0 m depth to a minimum of 0.04 m in the frozen-spade sample, which represents the upper 0.6 m of the pollen record (n=37). The Mackereth core and the frozen-spade sample were correlated using the first appearance of introduced *Pinus* pollen in both records as a biostratigraphic marker. The samples were prepared following the method of van der Kaars et al. (2000) and pollen grains counted at 400x magnification with an Olympus CH-2 microscope. Pollen counts ranged between 133 and 406, with an average of 259 palynomorphs per sample. Taxonomy was based primarily on an extensive modern reference set in the School of Geography and Environmental Science, Monash University. Pollen and spore counts are expressed as abundance relative to a standardised dryland pollen sum for southeastern Australia (D’Costa and Kershaw 1997). *Banksia* was excluded from the standard pollen sum in order to avoid distortion in the data set due to extreme over-representation of this taxon at 0.4 m depth in the core. Pollen and diatom relative abundance data (excluding *Banksia* and taxa <1% respectively) were classified into zones using a stratigraphically constrained cluster analysis with Euclidean distance as the similarity metric (Grimm 1987). Pollen and diatom zone boundaries were established at arbitrary thresholds of 0.6 and 3.0 respectively.

Four pollen concentrates (prepared following Regnell 1992) were submitted for accelerator mass spectrometry radiocarbon dating (Fink et al. 2004). Radiocarbon ages (BP) were calibrated (cal BP) using the INTCAL09 dataset (Reimer et al. 2009) in the programme CALIB 6.0 (Stuiver and Reimer 1986). The resulting calibrated ages (Table 2) have a near-linear relationship with depth (r²=0.992), and a simple linear regression was used as a basis for the chronological model used in Figures 2 and 3.

**Results**

Diatom and pollen results are presented in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. Diatom zone 4 is characterised by the highest relative abundances of non-planktonic taxa in the record, although the planktonic *Aulacoseira granulata* is the species with the highest individual relative abundance. Of the non-planktonic diatoms, the major taxa are *Fragilaria aff. zeilleri*, *Pseudostaurosira brevistriata*, *Staurosirella pinnata* and *Staurosira elliptica*. The relative abundance of non-planktonic diatoms, both in total and individually, is lower in Zone 3. Zone 2 is dominated by *Aulacoseira granulata* and the concentration of diatoms is lowest in this zone. In Zone 1,
Table 2. Results of AMS radiocarbon analysis on pollen concentrates. $^{14}$C ages are calibrated using the program CALIB 6.0 (Stuiver and Reimer 1986) with the INTCAL 09 dataset (Reimer et al. 2009). Ages are reported at $\sigma$ (68.3%) and 2$\sigma$ (95.4%) confidence, and the relative area of the probability distribution for each intercept of the calibration curve is given in square brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>Lab code</th>
<th>$^{14}$C age BP</th>
<th>% modern C</th>
<th>Cal BP (1$\sigma$)</th>
<th>Cal BP (2$\sigma$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>64-65</td>
<td>OZG077</td>
<td>450 $\pm$ 35</td>
<td>94.55 $\pm$ 0.37</td>
<td>494-526 [1]</td>
<td>340-347 [0.013] 459-540 [0.987]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275-278</td>
<td>OZG076</td>
<td>3660 $\pm$ 40</td>
<td>63.38 $\pm$ 0.31</td>
<td>3903-3991 [0.771] 4041-4072 [0.229]</td>
<td>3865-4088 [1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405-408</td>
<td>OZG075</td>
<td>4970 $\pm$ 40</td>
<td>53.84 $\pm$ 0.26</td>
<td>5652-5746 [1]</td>
<td>5606-5758 [0.849] 5822-5885 [0.151]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>575-577</td>
<td>OZG074</td>
<td>7160 $\pm$ 50</td>
<td>41.03 $\pm$ 0.24</td>
<td>7880-7887 [0.061] 7932-8003 [0.939]</td>
<td>7848-8026 [1]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

Discostella stelligera is the dominant diatom in every sample, although its abundance is lower in the upper four samples. The concentration of diatoms is highest in Zone 1.

The pollen record has been divided into three zones based on stratigraphically constrained cluster analysis. Zone 3 (580-170 cm depth; ca. 7600-1700 cal BP) is consistently dominated by Poaceae pollen, averaging 40% of the pollen sum. Common sclerophyll woodland taxa (Eucalyptus, Casuarinaceae) are strongly represented with some variability but no clear trend. Cyperaceae and Myriophyllum are the most commonly recorded aquatic pollen types in this zone, but their abundance is low and highly variable. The common dryland pollen types are stable throughout Zone 2 (160-36 cm; ca. 1500-150 cal BP), with a slight decline in the relative abundance of Causarinaceae pollen as depth decreases. The very high values for Banskia recorded at 40 cm depth are likely a result of over-representation, perhaps due to the interment of flower parts in the sediment, and are not taken as indicative of a change in catchment vegetation. Cyperaceae pollen becomes more abundant, with some variability, through Zone 2, reaching a maximum at 44 cm depth (190 cal BP). Zone 1 of the pollen record (32-0 cm depth; 130 cal BP-present) is characterised by a dramatic increase in the relative abundance of Poaceae pollen (to an average of 83% of the pollen sum), and concomitant decreases in all other dryland pollen taxa in the sum. Pollen from exotic plants (Pinus, Plantago lanceolata, Cupressaceae) appears for the first time in the record at 40 cm depth (ca. 170 cal BP) and increases dramatically to a maximum relative abundance at 16 cm depth (ca. 50 cal BP). Myriophyllum pollen increases at the Zone 2/Zone 1 boundary, to reach a maximum value in Zone 1 at 16 cm depth (ca. 50 cal BP), the strongest representation of that taxon for the entire record. This pattern is not apparent in any of the other aquatic plants in the record (Triglochin, Ruppia, Potamogeton). Cyperaceae, most probably occupying a narrow littoral margin of the steep-sided crater, is poorly and irregularly represented in Zone 1.

**Diatom and inferred water-quality response to Holocene climate variability**

There have been substantial and dramatic changes to the Lake Purrumbete diatom community since 8000 cal BP, with some species completely absent from the early or late part of the record and rapid shifts in the abundance of the dominant and sub-dominant taxa. In Zone 4, from approximately 8000 to 5500 cal BP, non-planktonic diatoms, particularly taxa in the Fragiliariaceae, are more numerous in total than planktonic species, although the planktonic diatom Aulacoseira granulata is the single most abundant species. Aulacoseira granulata, although variable, declines through this period. Of the non-planktonic species, Fragilaria aff. zeilleri is the most abundant. However, the taxonomic and therefore the ecological affinity of this taxon is uncertain, apart from the likelihood that, similar to other chain-forming Fragiliariaceae
(Bennion et al. 2001; Sayer 2001), it is not planktonic. The second most abundant non-planktonic diatom is *Pseudostaurosira brevistriata*, which peaks in the middle part of this zone. *Pseudostaurosira brevistriata*, *Staurosirella pinnata* and *Staurosira elliptica* are abundant in shallow lakes (<5 m deep) in Australia (e.g. Gell et al. 2002; Tibby et al. 2007) and elsewhere (Bennion et al. 2001; Sayer 2001).

Given that Lake Purrumbete is currently >40 m deep and that De Deckker (1982) suggests that there is little evidence for marked lake level changes in the lake, the high relative abundance of non-planktonic diatoms 8000-5500 cal BP is intriguing, since it would tend to indicate, *a priori*, a lake depth considerably shallower than 40 m. This is particularly the case since other palaeoenvironmental records from the region (e.g. Bowler 1981; D’Costa et al. 1989) and Jones et al.’s (1998) P:E record indicate that this period was one of maximum water availability (with P:E up to 1.1 during this period). The abundance of *Aulacoseira granulata* in combination with the Fragiliariaceae provides a possible insight into this conundrum since *Aulacoseira granulata* is a diatom that requires turbulent mixing to remain suspended in the water column (Bormans and Webster 1999). Hence, it is likely that the high relative abundance of this colonial taxon, as opposed to solitary planktonic species such as *Discostella stelligera* and *Cyclostephanos dubius*, represents times when wind-generated mixing is elevated (see Wang et al. 2008). Thus, it is possible that the relatively high representation of the Fragiliariaceae may result from these taxa being transported to the central lake environment by the same mixing that advantaged *A. granulata*. Notably, Gasse et al. (1997) report that a large proportion of diatoms they inferred to be derived from the littoral zone were found in centre-lake sediment traps from Lake Purrumbete.

From approximately 5500 cal BP to 4000 cal BP (Zone 3), the dominant diatom is the planktonic species *Cyclostephanos dubius*, which generally increases in relative abundance through this zone (Figure 2). This taxon is abundant during a period of decreased effective moisture where the precipitation:evaporation ratio was between 0.89 and 0.94 (Jones et al. 1998) (see Figure 2). Indeed, the dominance of *Cyclostephanos dubius* commences concurrently with an inferred step change in regional moisture at 5500 cal BP (Jones et al. 1998). *Cyclostephanos dubius* is commonly found in lakes with elevated nutrient concentrations (Bradshaw and Anderson 2003). In northwest European lakes, it has a total phosphorus optimum of 176 µg l⁻¹ (Bradshaw and Anderson 2003), while Tibby (2004) derived an optimum of 76 µg TP l⁻¹ for *Cyclostephanos aff. dubius* (maximum relative abundance < 9%), which may be closely related to *C. dubius*, in southeast Australian water storages. *C. dubius* has not been recorded in modern Australian lake sediments at abundances as great as in the Lake Purrumbete record, including in western-Victorian diatom calibration data sets (Gell 1997; Barr 2010).

Given Tibby and Tiller’s (2007) observation that water-column nutrient concentrations decrease in Lake Purrumbete as a result of persistent stratification during extended warming, it might be expected that the decreased effective moisture experienced from 5500 cal BP to 3500 cal BP would be associated with decreased, rather than increased, nutrient concentrations. Despite this, the increasing abundance of *C. dubius* in the mid Holocene mirrors the decreased moisture witnessed in Lakes Keilambete, Bullenmerri and Gnotuk. As a result, while it could be expected that nutrients would be removed from the water column as a result of longer periods of stratification associated with higher temperatures, and/or less nutrients being delivered to the lake via rainfall, epilimnetic nutrient concentrations in Lake Purrumbete remained high through this period.

There was a relatively rapid turnover of species between 4000 cal BP and 3500 cal BP, with *Cyclostephanos dubius* giving way to *Discostella stelligera* after a short (approximately 500-year) phase of *Aulacoseira granulata* dominance. The sustained abundance of *Aulacoseira granulata*, with its requirement for water column turbulence, likely indicates a period of greater wind
Figure 2. Diatom stratigraphy, total proportion of planktonic taxa and diatom concentration from the Lake Purrumbete Mackereth core. Only taxa with a relative abundance >5% in at least one sample were included. The inferred precipitation/evaporation (P/E) ratio derived by Jones et al. (1998) from western Victorian palaeoenvironmental data is also shown. The P/E ratio illustrated is the lower estimate of the range illustrated in Jones et al. (1998) non-sep. = the non-separation cells.
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generated mixing during this time.

For approximately the past 3500 years, the diatom community in Lake Purrumbete has been dominated by Discostella stelligera, a freshwater planktonic species that, in high relative abundances such as observed in the Lake Purrumbete record, is indicative of oligotrophic waters (see Tibby 2004 and references therein). Discostella stelligera, which has a total phosphorus optimum of 16 µg l⁻¹, dominates the record at a time of substantial climatic variability and persists through relatively arid and relatively wet phases during which, for example, trees grew at the edges of lakes Keilambete and Bullenmerri and were subsequently drowned approximately 2000 years ago (Bowler 1981). Indeed, the period from 3100 cal BP to 2000 cal BP is one of substantial climate variability in western Victoria, with two >5 m oscillations in the level of Lake Keilambete recorded during this time and with the inferred P/E of 0.78 at 2500 cal BP the lowest recorded since the early Holocene (Jones et al. 1998). However, apart from this period being largely coincidental with the onset of the Discostella stelligera dominance in the Lake Purrumbete record, there is little to differentiate it from the period of sustained higher moisture availability between 2000 cal BP and 110 cal BP where P/E reached 0.95 (see Figure 2). Arguably, Cyclotella stelligera may have been advantaged relative to Cyclostephanos dubius from 3100 cal BP to 2000 cal BP because the conditions which resulted in increased aridity (e.g. a reduction in winter storm tracks delivering moisture to the region) advantaged this smaller, less silicified taxon in a more stratified lake environment. The persistence of this taxon following this period (i.e. post 2000 cal BP), however, is less explicable, but it appears to highlight a degree of resistance to substantial climate variability.

Vegetation response to Holocene climate variability and European impact

In contrast to the dramatic changes apparent in the diatom record, the overriding characteristic of the Lake Purrumbete pollen record is one of stability though the greater part of the Holocene. All of the common dryland pollen types maintain, with some variability, their values over time. The Casuarinaceae are perhaps the only exception, with a decrease in relative abundance from around 4000 cal BP. The apparent stability of Eucalyptus and Chenopodiaceae pollen throughout this period does not appear to support soil salinisation as a likely cause of the decline in Casuarinaceae in our record (sensu Crowley 1994) and it is not clear whether the data presented here are in fact part of the broader decline in Casuarinaceae observed at a number of sites in the western Victorian Basaltic Province (see Kershaw et al. 2004). The muted vegetation response to the climatic changes known to have occurred in the area, and particularly to the relatively arid and variable climatic conditions centred around 3000-4000 BP (Bowler and Hamada, 1971; Bowler 1981; Chivas et al. 1985, 1986; Figure 3) which are shown here to have had dramatic implications for the freshwater ecology of Lake Purrumbete, is remarkable, and has been noted elsewhere (Dodson 1974, p. 716-717, 2001, Dodson et al. 2004). We conclude that the amplitude and/or duration of climatic variability during the Holocene did not exceed the resilience (sensu Holling 1973) of the sclerophyll woodland flora.

As with other vegetation records from western Victoria, the impact of non-indigenous land-use practices since the early part of the 19th century (from 32 cm depth in our record) is dramatic, reflecting the expansion of grasslands at the expense of woodland and forest, and the introduction of exotic plants. It is unclear what processes are driving the increase in Myriophyllum pollen during this period of European settlement. Gell et al. (1993), citing Orchard’s (1985) seminal work on the genus, interpreted similar recent increases in Myriophyllum pollen as evidence of mass-flowering following stranding of Myriophyllum beds. Arguably, similar patterns at other lakes from the region (Tower Hill, main lake, zone ML1; D’Costa et al. 1989; Cobrico Crater, central core; Dodson et al. 2004) imply that recent changes in the aquatic flora are perhaps indicative of some regional phenomenon, rather than site-specific variability in
Figure 3. Pollen stratigraphy from the Lake Purrumbete core, showing variation in selected taxa against time. All taxa expressed as % relative abundance (see text). The upper 60 cm of the record is taken from a frozen spade core, and correlated to the longer Mackereth core using the first appearance of Pinus pollen as a biostratigraphic marker.
Implications for understanding lake response to future climate change

In many Western District lakes, salinity concentrations are highly sensitive to climate (Kirono et al. 2009; Leahy et al. 2010) and recent climate-driven salinity increases have led to losses in biodiversity (Leahy et al. 2010). Future climate changes will further increase the loss of diversity in many western district Lakes. Although salinity in Lake Purrumbete is related to climate (Tibby and Tiller 2007, Yihdego 2010), modelled salinity increases over the coming decades are predicted to be moderate (Yihdego 2010) and not likely to result in large losses of diversity. Given the relative resilience of Lake Purrumbete to future climate driven salinity increases, factors such as macrophyte abundance and algal dynamics, which themselves are mediated by nutrient concentrations, are much more likely to be future drivers of diversity in Lake Purrumbete.

The Lake Purrumbete diatom record indicates that water quality, in particular nutrient status, can exhibit both marked sensitivity and apparent resilience to climate variation. The former is most amply demonstrated by the transition from dominance of a species associated with high nutrient concentrations, *Cyclostephanos dubius*, to *Discostella stelligera*, a taxon with markedly lower nutrient status. By contrast, resistance to environmental change is seen by the continued dominance of *Discostella stelligera* through lengthy periods of contrasting late-Holocene climate. Similarly, the palaeoenvironmental record shows that macrophytes like *Myriophyllum* have exhibited resilience over thousands of years, and then a late-Holocene rapid rise in abundance. This then provides lake managers with evidence that the rapid changes in *Myriophyllum* observed in the historical period have not occurred previously in the Holocene. In combination, these data suggest that while lakes such as Lake Purrumbete may ‘resist’ a degree of climate variability, when shifts do occur they are likely to be more abrupt, sustained and severe than can be predicted from even lengthy monitoring. From a lake-management perspective, climate-driven changes in mixing regime and nutrient cycling may propagate large and sudden changes in lake ecology. Hence, lake managers should give priority to reducing diffuse sources of nutrients to the lake to minimise the risks associated with changes in nutrient status.

Conclusion

The diatom record from Lake Purrumbete indicates that large lake systems can undergo rapid and sustained shifts in their water quality and ecology in response to climate change, even when lake levels show only minor alterations to climate perturbation (De Deckker 1982). Moreover, the limnological sensitivity of Lake Purrumbete to climatic variability is shown to be much greater than the catchment vegetation. It is clear that the limnology of Lake Purrumbete during the early to mid-Holocene was notably different to that of the late Holocene. Indeed, as with other lakes in the region, it appears that water-column nutrient concentrations in this lake were elevated, relative to the time of settlement, from 8000 to approximately 3500 years ago. As a result, the record of past environmental change in lakes such as this can provide an otherwise unobtainable insight into the behaviour of these systems.
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Introduction

A common feature of many Australian landscapes is the interdigitation of eucalypt-dominated sclerophyll forest with rainforest. In most instances, the eucalypt forests dominate the landscape, with rainforest restricted to relatively small fragments and strips that are often (but not always) associated with topographic features such as riparian zones or southeastern-facing slopes. However, these patterns reflect the current state of a dynamic system. Over several
hundreds of thousands of years, the relative dominance of the rainforests and eucalypt forests has waxed and waned across these landscapes in near synchrony (Kershaw et al. 2002; Sniderman et al. 2009). During periods of relatively warm, dry conditions, the eucalypt-dominated vegetation has expanded and the rainforest contracted across the landscape. When the climate has been relatively cool and moist, the rainforests have expanded and the eucalypt forest contracted. This is, in part, thought to be a direct consequence of the ambient environmental conditions and their impact on regeneration success. However, the indirect influence of climate, in particular as a driver of fire regimes, may be as important, if not more important, in defining the structure, composition and relative abundance of rainforest and eucalypt taxa at the landscape scale. During warmer, drier periods fires occur more frequently or are more severe, whereas during cooler, wetter periods the opposite holds (Kershaw et al. 2002). Because rainforest and eucalypt species are considered to be fire-sensitive and fire-resilient, respectively, periods of more frequent or more intense fires should favour the expansion of eucalypt forests and the retreat of rainforests.

Much of the palaeoecological research examining the historical variability of climate-fire interactions and their role in modifying plant community composition has focused on very long time scales – typically millennia or longer. However, these patterns in long-term vegetation dynamics are driven by the accumulation of events that occur on much shorter time scales (i.e. annual to decadal) – scales that are relevant to management and conservation. In an attempt to link this ecological time scale with the longer-term patterns in vegetation dynamics in a temperate Australian context, Jackson (1968) proposed a probabilistic model that related forest composition – in particular, the relative abundance of rainforest and eucalypt species – to fire frequency. He suggested that where fire return intervals were <50 years, the vegetation would shift towards communities dominated by grasses and shrubs. In contrast, where fire return intervals were >350 years, the vegetation would be dominated by rainforest species. At fire return intervals between these thresholds, Jackson hypothesised that mixed forest dominated by eucalypts should persist. A key assumption of Jackson’s model is that rainforest tree species are both sensitive to fire and lack resilience to fire. Thus, in the presence of fire return intervals of less than approximately 300 years, rainforest species have a low probability of persistence within a landscape. Although Jackson developed these ideas for Tasmanian forests, his conceptual model has been widely applied to other Australian landscapes where rainforest and eucalypt forest occur together (e.g. Noble and Slatyer 1980; Ash 1988; Unwin 1989; Bowman 2000) and is commonly used to describe the relationship between eucalypt and rainforest communities to the general public (Figure 1).

In the complex mountainous terrain of Victoria's Central Highlands, areas of cool temperate rainforest dominated by *Nothofagus cunninghamii* (myrtle beech) and *Atherosperma moschatum* (southern sassafras) occur along headwater streams within a landscape matrix of tall open forest dominated by *Eucalyptus regnans* (mountain ash) and *E. delegatensis* (alpine ash). One of the most striking features of the ecology of these tall open forests is the degree to which their dynamics are driven by catastrophic fires. The tall open forests of southeastern Victoria and Tasmania are subject to intense crown fires, which kill a large proportion of the trees over tens or hundreds of thousands of hectares in a single event. The energy released in these fires can be extraordinary, with fireline intensities commonly exceeding 100,000 kW m\(^{-1}\). The eucalypts in these forests are well adapted to this type of fire regime and release seed stored in their crowns soon after a fire passes. The high light environment and heat-treated surface soils promote quick germination and vigorous growth of the new seedlings. This leads to dense, fast-growing stands of tall mountain and alpine ash and is the ecological basis of the clear-fell, burn and sow (CBS) silvicultural
One of the stated ‘Natural Contrasts’ between the rainforest and the eucalypt forest is that ‘rainforests are fire-sensitive and may take hundreds of years to re-establish after fire’. The rainforest immediately adjacent to the trail survived the 2009 fires; all *Eucalyptus regnans* trees within 100 m of the sign were killed by the fires.

Fires are as much a part of the Central Highlands and the surrounding areas as the flora and fauna. In most years, fires occur somewhere within these landscapes. However, since European settlement, three fire seasons have stood out from the rest in terms of extent, ferocity and damage: 1851, 1939 and 2009. Each of these fires was preceded by a period of prolonged high temperature and low relative humidity; each was fanned by hot, dry winds from the arid centre of the continent; and each led to substantial loss of human life and property. From an ecological perspective, each of these fires led to widespread mortality of the forest canopy over hundreds of thousands of hectares and a subsequent landscape-wide pulse of regeneration. Given that the time between these fires was 88 years and 70 years, Jackson’s model would suggest that the landscape would be predominantly eucalypt forest and that rainforest taxa would be severely disadvantaged. However, in the wake of the 2009 fires, it was evident that large tracts of cool temperate rainforest in areas subjected to high-intensity crown fires had survived more or less intact (Pappas 2010). In some instances, cool temperate rainforest stands that survived the 2009 fires were also known to have survived the 1939 and 1851 fires (Simkin and Baker 2008). If cool temperate rainforest is as fire-sensitive as widely believed, this presents a significant conundrum and seems to run counter to Jackson’s model of fire frequency and forest composition. More fundamentally, it raises a simple question: How does cool temperate rainforest in the Central Highlands respond to fire? There are two key issues that must be addressed in answering this question. The first is the temporal scale of enquiry; the second is the distinction between the history of fire and the history of rainforest species on the landscape. Recent work by Whitlock et al. (2003, 2004) has highlighted the strength of using a multi-proxy approach to deal with these issues simultaneously.

**Figure 1.** Interpretive signpost along a hiking trail in cool temperate rainforest near Marysville in the Central Highlands, Victoria. One of the stated ‘Natural Contrasts’ between the rainforest and the eucalypt forest is that ‘rainforests are fire-sensitive and may take hundreds of years to re-establish after fire’. The rainforest immediately adjacent to the trail survived the 2009 fires; all *Eucalyptus regnans* trees within 100 m of the sign were killed by the fires.
Fire ecology in southeastern Australia has benefited from research across a range of temporal and spatial scales, but much of it has focused on either direct observation and experimental manipulation or on proxy records. Inferences from these data are at very different time scales. Direct observations are relevant at annual to decadal scales, whereas most of the proxy data are relevant at the scale of millennia or tens of millennia. A multi-proxy approach allows us to bridge this scale gap and to differentiate between the history of fire and the history of rainforest. For example, soil charcoal provides data on fire occurrence, while pollen records provide data on the rainforest occurrence. Tree rings provide insights into the response (i.e. survival and recruitment) of rainforest species to specific, known fire events, while direct observations provide insights into the scale and severity of fires on rainforest, and their immediate response. The greatest impediment to this multi-proxy approach in Australia has been the lack of multiple proxies from the same area. In this chapter, we take advantage of a unique collection of proxy data sets collected from a single site, Bellel Creek, near Lake Mountain in the Central Highlands of Victoria (Figure 2), as well as other proxy data sets from southeastern Australia that provide additional local and regional context. Together with direct observations from the 2009 Victorian bushfires, we use them to critically examine the resilience of cool temperate rainforest to fire across a wide range of time scales (e.g. decadal to millennial).

Cool temperate rainforest and fire

Evidence from direct observations

In Australian plant ecology, it is almost axiomatic that rainforests are highly sensitive to fire and that rainforest tree species lack the ability to survive all but the lowest intensity fires (e.g. Jackson 1968; Noble and Slatyer 1980; Busby and Brown 1994; Bowman 2000, Figure 1). Nonetheless, the empirical evidence for these assertions is surprisingly weak and is confounded by vague or inconsistent definitions of ‘rainforest’ and ‘sensitivity’ (Hill 2000). In a continent dominated by eucalypts and acacias, which are often highly adapted to fire, rainforest species are relatively poorly adapted to fire. However, their persistence on the landscape for hundreds
of thousands of years suggests that they must have some inherent capacity to either survive fire or regenerate in the wake of fire.

In southeastern Australia, there are large tracts of forest dominated by tall eucalypts such as *E. regnans* and *E. delegatensis* in which the dominant disturbance regime is one of catastrophic crown fires (Ashton 2000). Yet within these landscapes, there exist areas of cool temperate rainforest that have obviously persisted for centuries, if not millennia. Howard (1973) described the distribution and ecology of cool temperate rainforest in Victoria’s Central Highlands. She showed that rainforest species, such as *N. cunninghamii* and *A. moschatum*, were typically restricted to riparian areas and cool, moist gullies that were found in the broader landscape matrix dominated by tall eucalypt species. However, she did find areas – for example, near Mt Donna Buang – in which whole hillsides were dominated by rainforest. Similarly, Lindenmayer et al. (2000) found that while *N. cunninghamii* were commonly associated with cooler, wetter microclimatic conditions, individual trees were not restricted to these sites.

The long-term maintenance of areas of rainforest within these landscapes depends on the successful regeneration of rainforest species. Howard (1973) and Read and Hill (1985) have both suggested that seedlings of the relatively shade-tolerant *N. cunninghamii* could establish under closed canopy, but that successful regeneration was more often associated with gaps in the forest canopy. These studies provided the foundation for the widely held view that cool temperate rainforest is a self-replacing ‘climax’ vegetation type. As canopy trees in the rainforest senesce and die, they create small gaps in the forest canopy that allow new individuals of rainforest species to establish and, in the absence of exogenous disturbance, perpetuate the rainforest on that site. In reality, however, few, if any, areas that support cool temperate rainforest are free of exogenous disturbance. The landscape matrix of tall eucalypt forests in which they occur is susceptible to high-intensity crown fires, which are likely to impact on the patches and strips of rainforest that are scattered within this matrix.

There are two potential questions that directly bear on the issue of rainforest resilience to fire and for which direct observations may provide useful insights. First, do high-intensity, landscape-scale crown fires kill rainforest trees? And, second, if they do kill rainforest trees, do rainforest species regenerate or are they replaced by eucalypt species? Because catastrophic fire events are relatively rare, there are few studies addressing either question. Recently, Pappas (2010) has documented the impacts of the 2009 fires on rainforest located in areas of the Central Highlands north of Marysville that were among the most severely burned. The first and most obvious feature of the 2009 fires is that despite their extent and intensity, the resulting pattern of burn severity was highly variable (Figure 3). Across the broader landscape, some areas of forest were completely consumed (that is, all trees were killed and the leaves and small branches immolated), while others were much less severely impacted. Post-fire surveys of other large, catastrophic fire events (e.g. 1988 Yellowstone fires, 2002 Oregon Biscuit fire) have shown that fire impacts and the resulting re-establishment of vegetation are highly heterogeneous across a range of spatial scales (Kashian et al. 2004; Schoennagel et al. 2008; Donato et al. 2009). In the cool temperate rainforests that Pappas (2010) studied, fire impacts ranged from complete stand-level mortality to small patch burns of <100 m² to areas that showed no evidence of fire impacts. Indeed, the most striking finding of the survey was the variability in fire impacts on rainforest, particularly given that in nearly every case adjacent stands of *E. regnans* and *E. delegatensis* suffered complete crown loss and mortality – in many cases, right up to the margins of the rainforest. So, cool temperate rainforest does appear to be relatively resilient to intense fires within the landscape. In part, this may be explained by the wetter, cooler microclimatic conditions of the riparian zones where the largest rainforest patches are found. However, the close proximity of fire-killed eucalypts to the rainforest margin (often <20 m) suggests that topographic and microclimatic differences cannot alone explain the differential impacts of
mortality between the areas of rainforest and eucalypt-dominated forest.

The other issue – do rainforest species regenerate in the wake of the fire – has also received little attention due to the relative rarity of large, catastrophic fires over the past century. In a survey of two fires in Tasmania in the early 1980s, Hill and Read (1984) observed that in an area of rainforest that was burned, there was abundant rainforest regeneration (from both seed and sprout) – and no indication of eucalypt incursion. However, in an area of mixed forest, where the mature individuals of rainforest species were less abundant and there was a eucalypt overstorey, the regeneration was dominated by eucalypts. Pappas (2010) found that seedlings and vegetative sprouts of *N. cunninghamii* occur in fire-induced gaps in rainforest within 18 months of the 2009 fires; however, their distribution was highly patchy. In addition, she found that eucalypt regeneration was limited to the ecotones between the rainforest patches and the surrounding eucalypt forest and that most of the woody regeneration from seed within the rainforest was from *Acacia* species (*A. dealbata* and *A. frigescens*).

**Evidence from tree rings**

Tree rings are an important source of proxy data on past climatic conditions and ecological dynamics. The strict dating control on, and annual resolution of, tree-ring chronologies allow for accurate dating of past environmental conditions and ecological events (e.g. fires, windstorms) over several centuries, and in some cases, millennia. Tree rings have been used extensively in the northern temperate zone to study the interactions between climate variability, historical fire regimes and forest dynamics (e.g. recruitment, growth and mortality; Swetnam and Betancourt 1990; Swetnam 1993; Brown and Wu 2005). These studies have provided important insights into forest dynamics because they address the time scale of decades to centuries, which is intermediate to the shorter time scales of direct observation and the longer time scales of palaeoecological studies based on pollen and charcoal abundances in lake and ocean sediments. In Australia, where most tree species do not form annual growth rings, relatively few dendrochronological studies have been conducted, limiting inference at this intermediate time scale. The few tree-ring studies that have been conducted in southeastern Australia have focused exclusively on

![Figure 3](image-url). Variability in fire severity in the 2009 Kilmore-Murrindindi fire complex. Within the fire boundaries, darker colours represent more severe fires and lighter colours represent less severe fires. Map provided courtesy of the Department of Sustainability and Environment, Victoria.
reconstructing historical climate variability using the long-lived conifers endemic to Tasmania (Cook et al. 1991; Allen et al. 2001, In press). The best example of this is the multi-millennial reconstruction of summer temperatures in western Tasmania that was developed from huon pine (*Lagarostrobus franklinii*) (Cook et al. 1991, 2000).

Recently, however, Simkin and Baker (2008) used dendrochronological techniques to examine the role of fire on forest dynamics across an edaphic gradient in the Central Highlands of Victoria. Individual trees of several species were cored in rainforest along the riparian margins of Bellel Creek and in eucalypt forest on the mid slopes and upland sites away from the creek. The rainforest was dominated by *Nothofagus cunninghamii* and *Atherosperma moschatum*; the eucalypt forest was dominated by *Eucalyptus regnans* and *E. delegatensis*. The mid-storey tree species, *Acacia dealbata*, was common near the transition from rainforest to eucalypt forest and in the eucalypt forest. Although *N. cunninghamii* is typically associated with riparian sites, it was relatively abundant in the mid storey of the eucalypt forest for several hundred metres away from Bellel Creek. Detailed statistical analyses of inventory plot data across the Central Highlands have shown that *N. cunninghamii* is not strictly limited to wet sites and in some instances has been found in dry sites on relatively exposed ridges (Lindenmayer et al. 2000).

The reconstructed age distributions and growth patterns derived from the tree-ring samples at Bellel Creek demonstrated that the 1939 bushfire had a dramatic impact on the mid-slope and upland sites dominated by eucalypts. In these areas, all of the sampled trees for all species on both sides of Bellel Creek had established in the years immediately after the fire. However, in the rainforest area, many of the trees that were alive at the time of sampling in 2006 were established well before the 1939 fires. Indeed, some individuals – mostly large, gnarled *N. cunninghamii*, many with old fire scars – were established more than 200 years before that fire (Simkin and Baker 2008), and thus may have also survived the other major bushfire that had swept through the Central Highlands in 1851.

The other finding of interest from these dendroecological analyses was that across all of the sites (i.e. riparian, mid slope and upland) there was a distinct pulse of *N. cunninghamii* recruitment immediately after the 1939 fire (Figure 4). Few studies have focused on the conditions that favour recruitment of rainforest species in southeastern Australia. Howard (1973) examined patterns of natural regeneration of *N. cunninghamii* and, in particular, in situ recruitment and the role of gap dynamics in creating the appropriate conditions for successful regeneration. Although a recruitment pulse in eucalypts and acacias is to be expected after a severe bushfire, only Hill and Read (1984) have previously noted (based on direct observation) that rainforest species are capable of vigorous regeneration after fire. However, at Bellel Creek, the reconstructed age distributions obtained from tree rings provide strong empirical evidence of widespread recruitment of *N. cunninghamii*, the dominant species of the rainforest canopy in these forests, in the wake of the 1939 fire (Simkin and Baker 2008). Howard (1973) provides some support for this in her earlier study of *N. cunninghamii* on Mt Donna Buang in the Central Highlands. She used ring counts (without cross-dating) of *N. cunninghamii* to age the canopy trees, and noted that they comprised a single age cohort of individuals that had established in it, which contradicts the idea that rainforest species such as *N. cunninghamii* occurring in the understorey or mid storey of mountain ash forests in some areas of the Central Highlands are the ‘climax’ species that will eventually replace the overstorey eucalypts as part of a relay-floristics-like succession (Jackson 1968; Howard 1973). Rather, the shorter *N. cunninghamii* established contemporaneously with the eucalypts, but due to differences in height growth rates and relative shade tolerance, have formed stratified, even-aged, mixed-species stands (see e.g. Oliver and Larson 1996).
Evidence from pollen

Pollen records are a mainstay of palaeoecological research around the world. In southeastern Australia, they have provided important insights into the tempo and mode of climatic variability and the ensuing changes in vegetational composition during the late Quaternary, and in particular the Holocene, periods. However, the pollen record in southeastern Australia has several important limitations. First, because of the geomorphological history of the region, pollen records are relatively sparse, being restricted to either calderal lakes in the broad peneplains, or perched bogs or swamps in areas of more varied topography. Second, the weak intra-annual seasonality and substantial intra-decadal variability in climatic conditions mean that annual varving of sediments is weak or absent, limiting the temporal resolution of palaeoecological reconstructions for the region. Third, the substantial changes in land-use history over the past two centuries have compromised the quality of many potential palaeoecological sites due to physical mixing of the sediment profile (e.g. from livestock trampling).

Despite these limitations, palaeoecological reconstructions of vegetation in the Central Highlands have provided important insights into historical changes in the distribution and abundance of various plant taxa for at least the past 35,000 years. This has been achieved through the development and analysis of multiple pollen-based reconstructions, which have shown several common, and consistent, patterns. McKenzie (1997, 2002) used pollen and microcharcoal to develop the most comprehensive palaeoecological reconstruction of vegetation change in the Central Highlands. The pollen record compiled by McLeod (2007) from Bellel Creek offers a point of comparison with the other proxy records (i.e. tree rings, soil charcoal) developed from the same site. Interpretation of long-term variability in rainforest dynamics is based primarily on the presence of *N. cunninghamii* pollen in the sediment samples, which show that *N. cunninghamii* has been present within the Central Highlands landscape for most of the past 35,000 years. McKenzie (1997) notes the presence of *N. cunninghamii* in the basal portions of several high-elevation (>900 m) sediment cores that have been dated to ca. 31,500 BP and again from 20,000 BP, at which point the *N. cunninghamii* pollen are found continuously until the present, albeit with fluctuating abundance. The period 31,500-20,000 BP was during the last glacial, in which climatic conditions were as much as 5-8°C below current mean annual

![Figure 4. Age distribution of sampled rainforest trees at Bellel Creek. A distinct pulse of recruitment is evident after the 1939 fire, which burned through the area. However, as shown in the age distribution, many *Nothofagus* survived the 1939 fires (and several survived the 1851 fire as well). Data from Simkin and Baker (2008).](image-url)
temperatures. At high-elevation sites in the Central Highlands, the vegetation would have been limited to either woodlands of extremely cold-tolerant eucalypts (e.g. *E. pauciflora* [snow gum]) or treeless alpine vegetation (e.g. tussock grasslands), both of which are evident in the pollen record from that period and both of which are found at much higher elevations today (e.g. above 1800 m on Mt Kosciuszko to the northeast).

The early Holocene is a period of rapid change in the pollen record from the Central Highlands (McKenzie 1997, 2002; McLeod 2007). At ca. 12,000 BP, treeline was near or below 900 m and wet sclerophyll and rainforest taxa were limited to low-elevation sites. By 9500 BP, herbaceous alpine taxa had retreated upwards across the Central Highlands and were limited to representation in only the highest elevation samples (McKenzie 2002). This change was accompanied by a rapid expansion of wet sclerophyll and rainforest taxa into higher elevation sites across the Central Highlands. During this period, *N. cunninghamii* pollen is a consistent component of the pollen record, but at sufficiently low levels to indicate that it was present but not particularly abundant. By ca. 6000 BP, *N. cunninghamii* reaches its highest representation in the pollen records across the entire elevational gradient of sites (168-1440 m) in the Central Highlands, including at the Bellel Creek site (McLeod 2007). However, fossil charcoal is found in all records from this period, suggesting that the likely warmer, moister climatic conditions of this ‘forest optimum’ (McKenzie 2002) did not eliminate the occurrence of fire within the landscape. Rather, fires were likely restricted to periods of anomalously dry climatic conditions that were too short to be recorded in the sedimentary record. From ca. 4500 BP, the abundance of pollen from *N. cunninghamii* and wet sclerophyll taxa decrease and charcoal levels increase, suggesting the onset of warmer, drier conditions. Kershaw et al. (2002) suggest that these changes were associated with a mid-Holocene strengthening of the El Nino-Southern Oscillation.

**Evidence from soil charcoal**

The pollen record suggests that the abundance of rainforest taxa in the Central Highlands has fluctuated over time. These changes in abundance are typically interpreted in terms of long-term climatic variability and, in particular, the role of climate on fire occurrence. Estimates of fire occurrence in the sediment cores come from measures of fine particulate charcoal, which may be produced locally or blown in from areas outside the catchment. As such, it is difficult to disentangle local and regional influences on the abundance of fine particulate charcoal measured in most sediment cores (Clark 1988). In contrast, macroscopic charcoal particles derived from partially combusted wood are almost exclusively local in origin. Because macro-charcoal may persist in the soil for tens of thousands of years, it can be used to reconstruct millennial-scale variability in fire activity across relatively small areas. Stratigraphic analysis of charcoal within the soil profile, combined with radiocarbon dating, can be used together to develop a reconstruction of local fire activity at relatively coarse temporal scales. When compared with pollen records, which have similar dating resolution, soil charcoal records can provide a local context for interpreting the regional fire signal provided by the pollen and sediment records.

In the Central Highlands, McLeod (2007) used a network of soil pits at Bellel Creek to characterise the distribution and abundance of macro-charcoal particles in the forest soils for most of the past interglacial/glacial/interglacial cycle. Radiocarbon dating of the soil charcoal fragments revealed that fire activity at Bellel Creek has been highly variable since Oxygen Isotope Stage 3 (OIS3, ca. 50,000 BP, Figure 5). This variability is reflected in extended periods with little or no production of macro-charcoal fragments, punctuated by periods of extremely high macro-charcoal abundance. The three periods in which charcoal fragments were most abundant were 45,000-55,000 BP, 11,000-13,000 BP, and 0-2500 BP. Because the accuracy of radiocarbon dating decreases near the margins of prediction (ca. 45,000-50,000 BP), the
errors associated with estimated ages are greatest for the oldest samples (1σ errors = 1700-3250 calendar years). In contrast, the estimated 14C dates from 0-15,000 BP are all relatively tightly constrained (1σ errors = 40-155 calendar years).

The most notable gap in the macro-charcoal record is from 13,000 BP to 38,000 BP, which is coincident with the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) and the accompanying cooler, drier conditions. The pollen records from Bellel Creek and other sites within the Central Highlands suggest that during this period, the vegetation of the upper Central Highlands may have been largely treeless, with plants in the families Poaceae and Asteraceae dominating the vegetation (McKenzie 1997, 2002; McLeod 2007). Anatomical identification of the charcoal fragments found that 65% were from Eucalyptus spp., suggesting a forest composition similar to that which currently dominates most of the Central Highlands (Table 1; McLeod 2007). Notably, only 2% of the charcoal fragments were attributed to Fagaceae (most likely Nothofagus cunninghamii) and all of these were restricted to the lower slope positions along the riparian margins.

The pulse of charcoal fragments at 11,000-13,000 BP occurs at the termination of the LGM, a period of rapid climatic and ecological changes across the region. Warming was occurring in the southwest Pacific as early as 17,000 BP (Turney et al. 2006), with markedly wetter conditions by 12,000-13,000 BP. Pollen from sediment cores collected above 900 m in the Central Highlands documents the transition from treeless alpine and sub-alpine vegetation to forest vegetation around this time (McKenzie 1997). The subsequent gap in soil charcoal fragments from 5000-11,000 BP is associated with forest expansion locally, regionally and globally, as the cool, dry conditions of the LGM ceded to the warmer, moister conditions of the early Holocene. Macro-charcoal fragments reappear at 4500 BP and are found in great abundance from 2500 BP to the present, suggesting a shift to a forested landscape in which fire was a more prominent disturbance or the vegetation was more flammable. As noted above, Kershaw et al. (2002) have proposed that this change was associated with a more general intensification of the El Nino-Southern Oscillation and its increasing influence on regional climate dynamics. The hotter, drier conditions would have increased the probability of fire occurrence and been more conducive to the successful establishment of eucalypts and other sclerophyrous plant species.

Figure 5. Temporal spread of all AMS 14C dates on charcoal fragments obtained from Bellel Creek in the Central Highlands of Victoria. Data are presented in calendar years BP with 1σ error bars. An asterisk (‘*) indicates the lower limit of an infinite age. From McLeod (2007).

So, how resilient is cool temperate rainforest to fire?

The tree species that dominate Australian rainforests are generally considered to be sensitive to fire (e.g. Jackson 1968; Groves 1981; Bowman 2000, Figure 1), due to the absence or poor development of adaptations to fire, such as thick bark, vigorous epicormic sprouting and serotiny, which are so well developed in most non-rainforest taxa (Gill and Ashton 1968; Gill 1981). Yet, across Australia areas of rainforest are commonly found within a matrix of fire-prone
sclerophyll vegetation, often dominated by Eucalyptus species. A range of hypotheses has been proposed to explain this pattern (see e.g. Bowman 2000). From first principles, however, the juxtaposition of fire-adapted sclerophyll forest and putatively fire-sensitive rainforest requires that at least one of the following hypotheses be true:

1. Fires do not transgress rainforest boundaries;
2. Rainforest species possess a degree of resilience to fire; and
3. Fire facilitates regeneration of rainforest species.

The cool temperate rainforests of the Central Highlands of southeastern Australia provide a unique opportunity to test these hypotheses for several reasons. First, cool temperate rainforest in the Central Highlands coexists with wet sclerophyll forest, where it typically occurs as scattered patches and strips within broad tracts of wet sclerophyll forest. Second, over the past two decades, palaeoecologists have developed multiple proxies – tree rings, soil charcoal and pollen – from the Central Highlands that provide a rich, multi-layered historical context for these forests that is not available in other regions of Australia. And third, in 2009 a major catastrophic fire event occurred, permitting direct observation of the impacts of an intense landscape-scale fire on cool temperate rainforest.

In the following sections, we consider the evidence for and against each of the three hypotheses described above. We focus particular attention on integrating the available palaeo-proxy data with other sources of data on the contemporary ecology of the rainforest and wet sclerophyll forest taxa. We realise that these hypotheses are not mutually exclusive and that in some instances it will be difficult to differentiate one from the other given the available evidence. For example, if a fire does not burn a patch of rainforest, is that because the fire did not reach the rainforest or because the rainforest species are resilient to the fire and thus minimally affected? In addition, we realise that we may be accused of establishing ‘straw man’ hypotheses that can be easily knocked down. These are fair concerns, but we believe that the three hypotheses provide a coherent framework for identifying the impact of fire on cool temperate rainforest tree taxa and considering the implications for long-term forest dynamics, which is the basis of management and conservation of these unique ecosystems.

**Fires do not transgress rainforest boundaries**

If we accept the assumption that rainforest taxa are not well adapted to fires, then to persist within the landscape over long periods they must not be subjected to killing fires. That is, fires of some threshold level of intensity must not burn into the rainforest, otherwise widespread mortality would occur. The proxy records from the Central Highlands provide strong evidence that rainforest tree species and fire have been present together within the landscape for long
periods. The pollen records indicate that the dominant rainforest tree species, *N. cunninghamii*, has been present within the landscape, albeit at varying abundance, for most of the past 30,000 years. The micro- and macro-charcoal record also indicates that fire has occurred over much of this period, with the most recent 2000 years showing the highest levels of fire in the proxy record. In addition to the proxy records of past fire occurrence across the Central Highlands landscape, historical records and direct observations provide further evidence of at least three major fires (1851, 1939 and 2009) that have burned hundreds of thousands to millions of hectares of forests in the past 160 years.

Given these multiple, overlapping records of widespread fire throughout the Central Highlands over millennia, it is highly unlikely that areas bearing cool temperate rainforest would not have been directly impacted by fire. However, it is possible that they may have experienced less intense fires than the sclerophyll forest. Even within a landscape subjected to a catastrophic bushfire there is substantial variability in fire intensity at local scales (Figure 3). This variability derives from interactions among topography, microclimatic conditions, variability in weather conditions at the time of the fire (e.g. timing of wind changes, occurrence of rain), and the composition, structure and spatial arrangement of the vegetation. Cool temperate rainforest is often found in cool, moist gullies on southeastern-facing slopes where the lower temperatures and higher relative humidity provide a degree of microclimatic buffering from the fires. However, anecdotal observations from the 2009 bushfires indicate that the fireline intensities within the worst affected areas of the Central Highlands were in excess of 100,000-150,000 kW m\(^{-1}\). It seems unlikely that small differences in microclimatic conditions would have had much potential to stop the fires along the rainforest boundaries under such extreme conditions. However, they may have lowered the amount of radiant heat directed at the rainforest. Another factor that may reduce the intensity of fires burning into cool temperate rainforest is the difference in height of the dominant canopy trees in eucalypt forest and rainforest. In the wet sclerophyll forest, dominant *E. regnans* may be 70-80 m tall, whereas few *N. cunninghamii* reach more than 30 m in height. The preponderance of cool temperate rainforest trees in low-lying areas within the landscape (e.g. gullies and creek lines) further exacerbates differences in canopy height of the rainforest and wet sclerophyll forests. To burn the rainforest canopy, crown fires in the eucalypt forest matrix must drop a considerable distance. However, because heat energy is naturally displaced upwards, burning downwards occurs more slowly and with less energy. Thus, the rainforests may be exposed to less of the energy of the crown fires in the neighbouring tall eucalypt forest as they move towards the rainforest and confine the worst impacts of the fire to the margins of the rainforest. After the 2009 fires, Pappas (2010) found that of the 32 patches of burnt rainforest that she surveyed, the mean distance to the rainforest/eucalypt forest ecotone was only 2.5 m and was heavily skewed towards the edge of the rainforest. Only four patches were more than 15 m into the rainforest, and the one patch farthest into the interior of the rainforest (35 m from the edge) was found in a rainforest patch nearly 200 m wide.

**Rainforest species are resilient to fire**

The proxy data and direct observations suggest that as catastrophic crown fires race through a wet sclerophyll forest, the interdigitated rainforest patches are subjected to the radiant heat from the fire and may burn. If rainforest tree species have persisted within these landscapes under similar fire regimes over long periods, then they must be relatively resilient to fires. This may be manifest as either the ability to endure fires or the ability to regenerate in the wake of fires. In this section, we consider the potential of rainforest species to endure fire; in the next section, we consider the issue of fire-initiated regeneration in rainforest species.

The tree-ring records demonstrate that rainforest tree species can survive catastrophic fire
events. At Bellel Creek in the Central Highlands, the 1939 fire killed eucalypts on both slopes above the creek, as well as rainforest trees within the riparian zone. Yet, a large number of rainforest trees (e.g. N. cunninghamii, A. moschatum) that were alive at the time of sampling in 2006 were established well before the 1939 fire (Simkin and Baker 2008). In some cases, individual trees were >200 years old, indicating that they had survived both the 1851 and 1939 fires. Direct observations after the 2009 fire showed that the 2009 fires had generally burned up to the margins of the rainforest, but that along several hundred metres of the creek, small patches of rainforest (most <200 m²) had been burned as well. The macro-charcoal record from Bellel Creek shows that fires have occurred within the boundaries of the extant cool temperate rainforest repeatedly over the past 2000 years. Obviously, then, some proportion of the populations of most rainforest taxa must be able to endure fires of moderate to high intensity.

The ability of any plant species to endure fires is highly dependent on the fuel characteristics or flammability of its foliage. Eucalypts are well known for their highly flammable foliage and the role of the volatile oils in their leaves in accelerating foliar combustion. Rainforest species have thicker leaves of higher moisture content and are thus likely to be less flammable (although there are few studies that have examined the flammability of rainforest species). Dickinson and Kirkpatrick (1985) showed that leaves of Atherosperma moschatum had lower energy content, had greater moisture and ash contents, and were much slower in propagating fire than eucalypt leaves. Importantly, they found that A. moschatum leaves would not ignite unless they had lost >60% of their moisture content. In contrast, eucalypt and other 'dry' forest species would ignite when leaves had lost <40-50% moisture content. We were unable to find equivalent data for the dominant rainforest canopy tree species N. cunninghamii, but would expect that the fuel properties of its leaves would be more similar to those of A. moschatum than those of eucalypts.

In crown fire ecosystems such as the mixed landscape of the Central Highlands, these differences in the foliar fuel properties of rainforest tree species may play a role in limiting the amount of fire damage to patches of rainforest. With fire spread rates of 5-10 m² sec⁻¹ (20-40 km hr⁻¹), the fire front may pass over the rainforest too quickly to both dry and ignite the foliar biomass in the canopy. In addition, because rainforest patches are often associated with riparian areas, the foliar moisture content of rainforest taxa may remain higher than in upland sites even during the extreme climatic conditions that precede major fire events, further buffering against initiation of crown fires within the rainforest.

The benefits of reduced foliar flammability do not confer immunity from fire, though. Where rainforest tree species are found as scattered individuals in upland sites, fire-induced mortality is much higher. In the 2009 fires, all individuals of N. cunninghamii occurring at low densities in the mid-storey of E. regnans stands or in narrow rainforest strips were killed. Rainforest taxa only survived where the width of the rainforest strip was >50 m (Pappas 2010), suggesting that there is a threshold level of aggregation above which the benefits of higher foliar moisture content and reduced susceptibility to ignition of rainforest taxa would be able to modify fire behaviour. In large patches of cool temperate rainforest, the greatest heat loads from the adjacent eucalypt forest would only be experienced on the margins of the rainforest, meaning that the necessary pre-drying of the rainforest canopy foliage required for ignition would be less likely to occur in the interior of the rainforest patch. This was precisely what was observed in the 2009 fires. Even in areas of the landscape that experienced the most intense fires in 2009, the larger patches of rainforest survived, although they did not emerge unscathed from the fires. In most of these areas, the fires killed individual trees or small groups of trees, creating small to medium-sized gaps (median gap size ca. 1000 m²; range 160-26,000 m²) in the rainforest canopy and a rich ash bed on the forest floor.
Fire facilitates rainforest regeneration

If fires burn into rainforest areas and the rainforest trees are killed, rainforest can still persist if the fire promotes the regeneration of the rainforest tree species. The conventional wisdom is that rainforest tree species, which typically have heavy, poorly dispersed seeds, do not regenerate well after fires. In mixed forest with a eucalypt overstorey and rainforest understorey, rainforest taxa are often overwhelmed by competition from the faster-growing eucalypt species. However, the tree-ring data demonstrate the ability of at least one rainforest canopy species to regenerate rapidly and profusely after a fire. At Bellel Creek, a pulse of *N. cunninghamii* regeneration established immediately after the 1939 fire, in both the riparian area dominated by cool temperate rainforest and the upland sites dominated by the tall eucalypts. Howard (1973) noted that the age structure of a large stand of *N. cunninghamii* on Mt Donna Buang in the Central Highlands was even-aged, suggesting mass recruitment in the wake of a large, stand-replacing disturbance (presumably fire). Silvicultural experiments in northwestern Tasmania that have manipulated overstorey density and forest floor conditions to evaluate the regeneration response of rainforest taxa support these observations. Hickey and Wilkinson (1999) showed that *N. cunninghamii* regeneration is most abundant and vigorous on sites in which most of the overstorey is removed and the ground is burned and/or mechanically disturbed. Indeed, seedlings in their clearfell (with standards) and burn treatment maintained height growth rates of ca. 45 cm yr⁻¹ over nearly two decades (Hickey and Wilkinson 1999). Ellis (1985) showed that after a fire in the 1850s in northeastern Tasmania, diameter growth rates of *N. cunninghamii* were similar to those of sympatric eucalypt species (ca. 3-4 mm yr⁻¹). In a selectively logged area of rainforest in western Tasmania, Jennings et al. (2005) found high levels of *N. cunninghamii* regeneration (>5000 seedlings ha⁻¹), but height growth rates were much slower (ca. 2.5 cm yr⁻¹), although this has been attributed in part to the thin, peaty soils at the site. There was no evidence of *A. moschatum* recruitment despite the presence of adults in the pre-logging rainforest.

In general, the seeds of the dominant tree species in the cool temperate rainforest are relatively large and are dispersed by gravity, water or animals (Howard 1973). In addition, *N. cunninghamii*, like many Fagaceae, is a mast-fruiting tree species, producing large fruit crops at irregular, supra-annual intervals (Howard 1973; Hickey and Wilkinson 1999). In landscapes subjected to rare, but catastrophic, bushfires, mast fruiting would appear to present a serious risk. If mast fruiting occurs in the year or two before a fire, the trees may not have the reproductive capacity to take advantage of the regeneration opportunity presented by a disturbance. However, despite this, *N. cunninghamii* is one of the two dominant canopy tree species in cool temperate rainforest in the Central Highlands and has been for nearly 30,000 years.

Pappas (2010) also noted that almost all of the *N. cunninghamii* that were partially damaged in the 2009 fires showed evidence of vegetation regeneration. Individuals that suffered crown scorch were producing sprouts at the base of the scorched branches, while individuals that suffered heat damage to the main stem were sprouting from the base of the tree. This rapid re-establishment of photosynthetic cover by the rainforest species, independent of sexual reproduction, may be an important mechanism in allowing rainforest taxa to persist in these landscapes where fires are rare, but intense and often damaging (Bond and Midgley 2001).

Conclusion

Cool temperate rainforest and fires have coexisted in the Central Highlands for much of the past 40,000 years. In the past 2500 years, fire activity has been as high or higher than at any other time in the past 40,000 years, yet rainforest is still relatively common across the landscape. Evidence from multiple, overlapping palaeo-proxies, as well as direct observations
after the 2009 fires, suggests that the high-intensity crown fires that burn across the Central Highlands once or twice each century do reach into patches of rainforest, but that many of the rainforest tree species are capable of withstanding the impacts of these fires and, at least in the case of *N. cunninghamii*, can quickly respond with increased recruitment soon after the fire has passed. Direct observations demonstrate that patches of rainforest do survive extreme fires; tree rings covering several centuries show that rainforest trees have survived previous fires and that they are capable of recruiting immediately after large fires. The charcoal and pollen evidence, which cover at least 40,000 years, indicate that the cool temperate rainforests of the Central Highlands have survived major fires over that period. Although the dominant tree species in the cool temperate rainforests are not as highly adapted to fire as the tall eucalypts in the adjacent wet sclerophyll forest, they appear to be more resilient to fire than widely believed. This resilience derives from interactions among the nature of the environment in which the taxa typically occur, their reproductive behaviour in relation to fire, the flammability of their foliage and, finally, stand- and landscape-scale heterogeneity in fire intensity.
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Introduction

The arrival of Europeans in Australia has been described as an ‘apocalyptic event for Australian ecosystems’ (Adamson and Fox 1982:110). It is generally assumed that subsequent transformations of Australian biota and landscapes have been more dramatic than those made by Aborigines over tens of millennia (Young 1996:72). However, there is limited scientific data with meaningful temporal resolution (i.e. decadal or subdecadal) that shows the nature, extent and rate of transformation concomitant with European colonisation of landscapes in Australia (e.g. Dodson et al. 1994a, 1994b; Gale et al. 1995; Mooney 1997; Haberle et al. 2006; see
review in Dodson and Mooney 2002).

There are several comprehensive reviews of the impacts of European colonisation and agriculture on the Australian landscape (Adamson and Fox 1982; Hobbs and Hopkins 1990; Young 1996). Until recently, there was a dearth of high-resolution records that tracked the environmental effects of European colonisation and changing land uses through time (Lunt 2002). Most palaeoenvironmental studies of landscape change in Australia have tended to rely heavily on palynological and microcharcoal data, which have proven to be problematic (Kershaw et al. 1994) and remain to be more fully explored (Dodson and Mooney 2002:455). Some studies, especially more recent ones, have been broadened to include a wider range of palaeoecological proxies and multi-proxy research (e.g. Lentfer et al. 1997; Haberle et al. 2006; Bowdery 2007).

In an attempt to address these issues, a multi-disciplinary study was undertaken to reconstruct past environments at the California Road Wetland in the Ingleburn Creek catchment on the Willunga Plains. The research was devised to address three inter-related themes: first, to assemble a high-resolution palaeoecological record for the 19th century European colonisation of the Willunga Plains, thereby complementing similar investigations on the Fleurieu Peninsula (Bickford 2001; Bickford and Gell 2005; Bickford et al. 2008); second, to use historical background research to reconstruct the transformation of the Willunga landscape during this period (Stuart 2005, 2006), and to synthesise this historical reconstruction with the palaeoecological record; and, third, to assess the value of multi-proxy palaeoecological investigations for understanding environmental change during the recent past (i.e. past 250 years), with a particular focus on the complementarity of pollen and phytolith analyses for vegetation reconstruction and the identification of recently introduced exotics. In other words, the project was originally intended to address two sets of issues: substantive, i.e. to construct a high-resolution, multi-proxy record of landscape transformation during European colonisation; and, methodological, i.e. to integrate historical-palaeoecological reconstructions and assess the complementarity of pollen-phytolith analyses.

The Willunga Plains

... level country stretching for miles; it is of the richest character, and is covered with so long and thick an herbage that it is quite laborious to walk through it. There are numerous woods, of a very open description and some spots where the scenery resembles an Englishman's park... Here was a most luxuriant soil, in some places level and commanding an extensive view; in others having vistas through rows of elegant trees; at others the view is bounded by boldly shaped hills intersected by deep ravines ... (John Morphett, letter home, 1836, describing the plains behind Aldinga while searching South Australia for new places for colonial settlement; cited in Vaudrey and Vaudrey 1991:10).

The Willunga Basin comprises 100,000 ha of relatively low-lying land defined by an escarpment of the Mount Lofty Ranges to the south and east, and includes the Willunga Plains extending west to the coast (Figure 1 upper). On April 13, 1844, the Adelaide Observer described surface water on the plains to be scarce, but in 'winter almost every glen and ravine has water in it; but the little rivulets soon run to waste, and after a few warm days they dry up' (unattributed, in Stuart 2005:18). As well as seasonal variability, there was great spatial variability in the availability of water on the plains; some settlers' wells were sunk to 180 feet, while others to only 10 feet (Dunstan 1977:20; see Newman and Lawrence 1999).

Surface soils across the Willunga Basin were described by 19th century surveyors as varying
greatly in texture, from sands, sandy loams, black and chocolate loams to yellow clays. The floor of the basin contains 'gilgai' soils, also referred to as 'Bay-of-Biscay' soil (Overton 1993:14). Soils in the region tend to be alkaline and deficient in a wide range of mineral elements, including phosphorus (P), nitrogen (N), zinc (Zn), molybdenum (Mo), sulphur (S) and manganese (Mn) (Northcote 1976:65). Despite these deficiencies, the plains have long-been perceived as fertile and well-suited to horticultural and pastoral uses (e.g. Hawker 1901: 52).

The Willunga Plains have an average rainfall of ca. 650 mm, with highest average rainfall from May to August (ca. 88 mm per month) and lowest average rainfall from December to February (less than 25 mm per month) (Bureau of Meteorology 1985). Seasonally, the coolest and wettest months occur during winter, while summer is generally hotter and drier, with high evapotranspiration, low relative humidity and soil water deficit.

Before European settlement, the Willunga Plains comprised open woodlands dominated by *Eucalyptus leucoxylon*, *E. odorata* and *Allocasuarina verticillata*, with a herbaceous understorey of grasses, including perennial grass (*Themeda australis*) and scattered low shrubs of *Acacia* spp., *Callitris* spp. and *Melaleuca* spp. (Specht 1972:34; see Newman 1994). The uplands of the watershed supported sclerophyll forests of *E. obliqua* and *E. baxteri* on the steep westward slopes, with associated shrub understorey, including species in the Myrtaceae, Protaceae and Xanthorrhoeaceae families (Specht 1972:34; Bickford and Gell 2005:201). Following survey in 1839, the plains underwent settlement and transformation into an agricultural landscape. Small pockets of native vegetation communities only survive in some wetlands, gullies and along the top of Sellicks Hill Range.

### A brief history of European settlement

The Aborigines – the Kaurna – who lived, foraged and hunted in the region, survived by exploiting food and water resources, of which newly arrived European colonists were largely ignorant. Unfortunately, there is no detailed archaeological information of Kaurna subsistence on the Willunga Plains before European settlement.

In 1839, James Hawker, Colony Assistant Surveyor, was assigned to survey the road from ‘Horseshoe’ (Noarlunga) to Willunga in a ‘special survey’ of District C (Hawker 1901). This signalled the first official movement of settlers to the southern region, although unsanctioned and undocumented movements may have occurred earlier (see Bickford 2001; also see Gale and Haworth 2002). The Hundred of Willunga was established as a farming region that came to include the townships of Willunga, Aldinga and McLaren Vale. By 1841, 137 Europeans had already settled in the district and the population consistently rose over the following decades (Lewis 1936).

Clearing the land was a normal activity of farm work and, because demand for timber was high for construction and other uses, tree-felling proved a lucrative occupation for some (Williams 1992:27). Licensed timber-cutters denuded the hills and plains of large eucalypts and shrubs, thereby assisting property owners to clear the land (Dunstan 1977:10). No type of timber was left untouched; even the drooping branches and leaves of *Allocasuarina verticillata* were cut to provide fodder for cattle and sheep (Bickford 2001:33). Land was cleared of less useful timber by ring-barking and burning.

The first settlers on the plains established mixed farms adjacent to water springs and permanent water sources. Cattle and sheep were raised and crops of wheat, barley, oats, maize and potatoes were grown with varying success. Santich (1998:94) and British Parliamentary Papers (BPP 1970 [1843]:101) provide an account of one settler’s early years. After arriving in McLaren Vale in January 1840, Charles Hewett and his family took up their sections, named ‘Oxenbury Farm’, and improved them with post and rail fencing, sheep pens, a dairy and
Figure 1. Upper: Map of the Willunga Plains in the Adelaide region of South Australia. Lower: Location of the California Road Wetland coring site on a map of Maslin’s Creek catchment (based on information provided by Planning SA and produced by Adelaide Hills Face Zone project, Flinders University, South Australia).
stockyards (BPP 1970 [1843]:101). In the interim, the family made its home in the hollow of a large gum tree (Santich 1998:94). Yields varied, as the crop of wheat sown in June was large, reportedly 40 bushels per acre, while that sown in July was poor, and potatoes planted in July and August failed (BPP 1970 [1843]:101).

Early agricultural production in the Willunga region focused on cereals, primarily wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), barley (*Hordeum distichon*), rye (*Secale cereale*) and oats (*Avena sativa*). Yields were initially good and returns high (Hallack 1892:1). Over the next 20 years, cereal production steadily increased such that surpluses were produced for export from the region. However, continuous wheat cropping rapidly exhausted soil nutrients, which already had low concentrations of phosphorus and nitrogen (Northcote 1976:65). Harvest yields reduced dramatically and sparked an exodus of farmers to seek new pastures (Hallack 1892:1; Whitelock 1985:260; Linn 1991:85). Wheat cultivation decreased rapidly from 1865 to 1889, leading to the closure of flour mills, while coastal jetties became ‘tombstones to a departed industry’ (Hallack 1892:1). By 1938–39, there were no wheat sales for the region (Charlick 1939), although other fodder and cereal crops remained important: initially hay and then barley from the 1940s being predominant.

Those farmers who remained in Willunga focused on the production of clover (*Trifolium* spp.) and lucerne (*Medicago sativa*) for hay, and oats for sheep and cattle feed, and diversified into fruit and market gardening for local and Adelaide markets (Santich 1998:53). Horticulture was well-established in other colonies by this time, and settlers used their experiences and acquired skills to yield good crops of fruits and vegetables in often unfamiliar environments.

The diversity of fruit production that followed the demise of cereals was on display at the Willunga show in 1883: ‘apples, pears, quinces, peaches, damsons, grapes and mulberries, together with dried apricots, peaches, currants and raisins, preserved figs and softshell almonds’ (Santich 1998:53). Although olives (*Olea europaea*) were brought to South Australia with the first arrivals on the *Buffalo* in 1836, they were not extensively planted until the mid 20th century (Santich 1998:59), with a marked increase since 2003.

The most significant arboricultural crop associated with the Willunga region was almond (*Prunus amygdalus*). Initially, trees were planted in mixed orchards and small lots, but the size of plantings increased following the development of new varieties. From the early 19th century production increased to meet increasing demand, such that by the 1970s more than half of Australia’s almonds were grown there (Santich 1998:58). During the 1990s, the profit potential of wine grapes lured many growers to remove almond trees and other orchards and replace them with vines; the focus of the almond industry has now shifted to the Riverland region of South Australia.

John Reynell established the first South Australian vineyard in 1838 at Reynella on the Noarlunga Plains north of Willunga (Richardson 1936:63). Wine production did not emerge as a significant economic activity until the second half of the 20th century. Since 1980, vines have become the dominant economic crop plant on the Willunga Plains, even though some vineyards in the south have recently given way to olive groves.

In summary, 19th century colonists in South Australia deliberately sought to transpose European land management systems on to the landscape (Hobbs and Hopkins 1990:93; see Williams 1974). The inappropriateness of European crops and farming technology to the Willunga Plains has led, in part, to successive transformations in agricultural practices. As for other parts of the continent, the adjustment of European land-use practices to the Australian environment, or process of landscape learning (see Rockman 2003), has been slow, has been partially imposed through necessity, and is still ongoing.
California Road Wetland

The California Road Wetland is relatively large for the area, permanent and located in the Ingleburn Creek catchment, also known as Maslin’s Creek (Figure 1 lower). The site is an open wetland adjacent to a permanently wet and periodically flowing creek. The creek and wetland have been dissected by roadways which, despite the installation of under-road drains, have affected and impeded drainage. Topography is gentle, with low gradient slopes along the edge of the creek and wetland. Sediments and microfossils accumulating in the wetland comprise in situ biogenic, as well as detrital colluvial and fluvial, components.

During McLaren’s survey of 1840, the surveyor noted a wetland in this locale, with stands of woolly ti-tree (*Leptospermum lanigerum*), which are still common today with surrounding bulrush (*Typha domingensis*) and common reed (*Phragmites australis*). This shrubland assemblage was characteristic of wetlands on the Willunga Plains at that time, with the California Road Wetland being one of the few places where it has survived today. In and around the wetland are other indigenous plants, such as native water parsley (*Berula erecta*), exotic weeds, such as salvation jane (*Echium plantagineum*), and cultivar escapees, including bearded oat (*Avena barbata*) and olive (*Olea europaea*). Slopes adjacent to the wetland are currently cultivated with vines (*Vitis* sp.).

Field methods

Two 1 m sediment long cores were extracted in the field using a D-section corer. These cores were subsequently stored under refrigeration at the Department of Geographical and Environmental Studies, University of Adelaide. Only Core 1 was subsampled for multiple types of dating, palaeoenvironmental and sedimentological analyses. Subsamples of ca. 1 g (dry weight) were collected at ca. 5 cm intervals for matched, or paired, microfossil analyses. Analyses were undertaken following standard procedures for diatoms (Battarbee 1986), phytoliths (Powers et al. 1989; Parr 2002), pollen (Faegri and Iversen 1989) and microcharcoal (Clark 1982). Moisture content and loss on ignition (LOI) measurements were undertaken on 1 cm thick subsamples collected every 2 cm down the core except at 48–49 cm (Rowell 1994); however, the cores were inadvertently thrown away before detailed stratigraphic descriptions could be completed. Three bulk sediment subsamples were submitted to the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation (ANSTO) to assess the sediments for $^{210}$Pb (lead) and $^{137}$Cs (caesium) dating. Additionally, samples were processed to obtain a fine fraction for AMS dating from basal sediments, but insufficient carbon was present (Beta Analytic pers comm. 2007).

Multi-proxy results: General trends and diagnostics

Sedimentary description

Distinct differences in water content and loss on ignition (LOI) occurred down the sedimentary sequence (Figure 2). Organic rich, fibrous, peaty matrices characterised all but one of the upper few samples. Most samples in the field appeared to be organic rich, fibrous, peaty matrices; however, samples had highly variable water contents and LOI suggested generally high, although variable in the upper 10 cm, mineral contents. Mineral inputs were predominantly terrestrial, silt and sand-sized particles. Only the light-coloured sands at the base of the core, below approximately 80 cmbs (cm below surface), appeared of significantly different character in the field.
**Distribution and abundance of microfossils**

The multi-proxy summary diagram (Figure 3) shows marked differences between the distributions and abundance of pollen, phytoliths and diatoms in the sedimentary sequence. Phytoliths were abundant in all residue samples, with the exception of the four lowermost samples and sample 7. In contrast, most samples had poor pollen preservation, with pollen abundance decreasing down the sequence. Preservation was extremely poor in the lower half of the sequence and pollen was absent in sample 13 and the three lowermost samples 19, 20 and 21. Similarly, diatoms were absent in much of the lower sequence; they occurred intermittently in samples 16 to 5, above which there was a marked increase in abundance and good preservation of unbroken diatoms.

![Graph showing water content and loss on ignition (LOI) data](image)

**Figure 2.** Water content and loss on ignition (LOI) data for subsamples from core 1, California Road Wetland (following methods in Rowell 1994:48).

**Pollen**

Most samples collected had extremely poor pollen preservation, with pollen abundance decreasing down the core (Table 1; Figure 3). The majority contained too few grains to make analysis meaningful. Wetland species – i.e. derived from on-site vegetation – predominated, with
Figure 3. Summary of multi-proxy palaeoecological data (pollen, phytoliths, microcharcoal and diatoms) for core 1, California Road Wetland. Pollen percentages are provided for wetland, wetland or terrestrial, and terrestrial types only when pollen sum ×10. All phytolith and diatom data are given as percentages of total phytolith sum. Dots represent low values <1%. Samples 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 were not examined for phytoliths.
few off-site terrestrial species present. Although low pollen frequencies occurred throughout the core and hinder interpretation, some highly tentative observations are possible largely based on presence/absence.

The assemblage is dominated by Cyperaceae and Halogoraceae from sample 17 upwards, and indicates on-site vegetation growing in the wetland. *Leptospermum* pollen only occurs in samples 1, 2 and 5 (Table 1). By contrast, the terrestrial component has much lower representation throughout and especially in the upper five samples, where frequencies were recorded as being absent or very low. Moderate terrestrial pollen frequencies occur in samples 6 to 11. Asteraceae pollen is present from sample 10 to the top, and absent in samples below. Poaceae pollen is present in the upper part of the sequence (from sample 6). Most notably, arboreal species are low throughout. Single *Eucalyptus*-type grains representing Myrtaceae occur in only two samples (8 and 11); *Banksia marginata* is very rare and was recorded in the middle of the sequence (samples 7, 8, 11 and 15); and *Allocasuarina verticillata* occurs sporadically throughout the middle to lower parts of the sequence (samples 5 to 18) and is absent from all but one of the five uppermost samples.

The European-introduced *Pinus* spp. pollen appears for the first time in sample 11, concomitant with the first major increase in pollen abundance, and it is present sporadically throughout the upper sequence. *Pinus* pollen can be used as a chronological marker, albeit regionally specific, of colonial settlement across Australia (Behre 1986). Pine plantations were not established in the region until the 1930s, which may be reflected in the continuous

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollen/Spore type</th>
<th>Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td>56 25 22 9 16 3 17 8 3 1 19 1 2 2 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyperaceae - <em>Baumea</em> type</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyperaceae - <em>Scirpus</em> type</td>
<td>9 14 18 11 6 11 13 19 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restionaceae</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halogoraceae (*Myriophyllum/ <em>Gonocarpus</em>)</td>
<td>1 13 2 5 1 1 6 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monolete fern spore</td>
<td>1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trilete fern spore</td>
<td>1 1 2 1 2 1 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodenia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraniaceae</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospermum</td>
<td>4 4 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wetland or Terrestrial</td>
<td>2 5 4 2 1 1 2 2 4 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asteraceae - Tubuliflorae</td>
<td>6 8 2 6 3 8 4 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asteraceae - <em>Liguliflorae</em></td>
<td>2 1 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poaceae &gt; 50 um</td>
<td>3 6 3 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poaceae &lt; 50 um</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial</td>
<td>2 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrtaceae - <em>Eucalyptus</em> type</td>
<td>2 1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Banksia marginata</em></td>
<td>1 2 2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Allocasuarina</em> (prob <em>verticillata</em>)</td>
<td>2 2 1 3 3 1 1 1 2 5 3 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C prolate 30µm</td>
<td>1 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>1 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dodonaea</em></td>
<td>2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pinus</em></td>
<td>5 1 4 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total grains</td>
<td>88 70 73 30 34 12 47 19 28 10 57 5 0 8 8 6 2 3 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The European-introduced *Pinus* spp. pollen appears for the first time in sample 11, concomitant with the first major increase in pollen abundance, and it is present sporadically throughout the upper sequence. *Pinus* pollen can be used as a chronological marker, albeit regionally specific, of colonial settlement across Australia (Behre 1986). Pine plantations were not established in the region until the 1930s, which may be reflected in the continuous
presence of *Pinus* pollen from sample 3 upwards, with earlier records reflecting planting for ornamentation and landscaping. Given the nature of pollen preservation in samples throughout the core, the absence of *Pinus* pollen in lower samples might not reliably indicate an absence of this introduced genus.

**Phytoliths**

In contrast to diatoms and pollen, phytoliths were abundant and well preserved in all samples, with the exception of sample 7 and the basal samples 18 to 21, where they were less abundant but still in sufficient frequencies to enable meaningful interpretations of vegetation change for the wetland and its vicinity (Figure 4). Phytolith reference collections for Australian vegetation are currently limited, hindering taxonomic identifications (Clifford and Watson 1977; Hart 1992; Lentfer et al. 1997; Bowdery 1998; Wallis 2000, 2001). Additional reference material was obtained from a number of common plant species currently growing at the California Road Wetland (Table 2); the dry-ashing and self-draining crucible procedure (Lentfer 2006) was used for phytolith extraction from these plant samples. Seventy-six phytolith morphotypes were distinguished from the core samples; diagnostic types were assigned to plant species or groups, and non-diagnostic types were assigned to three general categories: elongates, polyhedrals and stomates.

The phytolith record shows that the lowermost samples, 18 to 21, have a predominance of non-grass and arboreal morphotypes mainly characterised by psilate globular morphotypes, found commonly in Myrtaceae, including *Leptospermum* and *Eucalyptus* spp. (compare Figures 5E and 5F) and other globular morphotypes with nodulose and verrucate surface textures. Grasses, including the arundinoid species *Phragmites australis* (compare Figures 5A and 5B) and possibly *Danthonia*, are present, but compared with the overall sequence, have relatively low frequencies in the basal samples.

There is a distinct and sudden decline in arboreal morphotypes and a marked increase in grass morphotypes directly above sample 18. Morphotypes from *Phragmites australis* become the most prevalent from sample 17 and upwards, persisting throughout the entire sequence. The absence of *Phragmites australis* pollen in these same samples reflects its under-representation, as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Phytolith production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptospermum lanigerum</em></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Typha domingensis</em></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Carex divisa</em></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Gahnia triâda</em></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Phragmites australis</em></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Avena barbata</em></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bromus catharticus</em></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Samolus repens</em></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Schoenoplectus pungens</em></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cynara cardunculus</em></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rosa canina</em></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Vitis</em> sp.</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Berula erecta</em></td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
well as that of *Typha* spp., in pollen spectra generally (Finkelstein and Davis 2005).

Morphotypes typical of pooid grasses including the cereal crops (*Triticum, Hordeum* and *Avena* species), related weeds (e.g., *Avena barbata*) and the introduced grass *Bromus catharticus* (Lentfer et al. 1997) appear in the assemblage for the first time in sample 17 and persist throughout the rest of the sequence (Figures 5G and 5H). Larger Poaceae pollen grain types are present from sample 5 upwards, potentially indicative of exotic grasses, and absent from lower contexts due to poor pollen preservation generally. Bilobate morphotypes typical of panicoid grasses, but also present in arundinoid and chloridoid grasses, appear later in the sequence at sample 14, and Cyperaceae morphotypes characteristic of *Ghania* and *Carex* first appear in sample 16 at the same level as the first appearance of diatoms. Finally, morphotypes typical of the grape family Vitaceae (compare Figures 5C and 5D) occur only in the uppermost sample.

**Distribution and abundance of microcharcoal and burnt phytoliths**

There is a distinct disparity between the distributions and abundance of microcharcoal and burnt phytoliths. Burnt phytoliths, identified from a black, opaque appearance under transmitted light (Lentfer and Torrence 2007; see Figure 6), were recorded in the lower part of the sequence. Most notably, a major peak occurred in sample 18, concomitant with a major decline in Myrtaceae phytoliths. In contrast, microcharcoal was more common in the upper part of the sequence, with lesser and major peaks occurring in samples 12 and 10, respectively.

**Dating**

The assessment of samples for $^{210}$Pb (lead) and $^{137}$Cs (caesium) dating suggests that either
Figure 5. Photomicrographs comparing diagnostic phytoliths from plant reference material and California Road Wetland sediments. Example of large cuneiform (bulliform) phytoliths from *Phragmites australis* (A) and weathered cuneiform phytolith extracted from sediment sample 20 (B). Silicified epidermis from cultivated grape vine leaves (C) and microfossils found in sediment sample 1 (D). Psilate globular phytoliths from *Eucalyptus* sp. (E) and sediment sample 19 (F). Examples of silica bodies (epidermal short cells) typical of pooid grasses, including the cereal crops. Both (G) (sample 9) and (H) (sample 11) were recovered from California Road Wetland sediments above sample 18 and occur in wheat (*Triticum* spp.) (see Lentfer et al. 1997; Ball et al. 1993, 1999). The scale bar in all panels represents 10 μm.
all three samples chosen were older than the timeframes ordinarily dated by these techniques, or the samples had been diluted with older sediments eroded from the catchment (Jennifer Harrison pers comm. 2005). If taken at face value, this would suggest that all three samples, which were taken above samples 5, 11 and 16 (see Figure 3), were older than at least 120 years. However, the deposition of ‘old’ sediments in the wetland may have contributed to the greater-than-120-year ages (see Gale et al. 1995 for a detailed examination of this problem). Given uncertainties in the interpretation of the lead and caesium assessments, as well as the

![Figure 6. Photomicrograph of a large burnt cuneiform phytolith extracted from sample 14.](image)

inability to obtain a sufficient sample for AMS dating from the basal sediment, relative dating of the stratigraphy has been undertaken using diagnostic pollen and phytolith types. The use of biostratigraphic markers will support an interpretation that all the sediments from directly above sample 5 to the base of the core are at least 120 years old, i.e. pre-date ca. 1880.

**Dating, diagnostics and resolution**

In the absence of a suitable chronometric method, diagnostic pollen and phytoliths have been relied on to provide approximate and relative indicators of age. *Pinus* pollen, cereal phytoliths and grape phytoliths can be interpreted with respect to a land-use history of the vicinity to provide relative and reasonably reliable chronological markers; they appear reliable because they first occur in the correct chronostratigraphic order as inferred from the land-use history (see Figure 3). Land-use histories for the California Road Wetland vicinity suggest woodland clearance and mixed farming, including cereals, occurred in the 1840s, with cereals persisting into the 20th century. Viticulture did not occur in this area of the Willunga Plains until the late 20th century.

Based on the distribution of pollen, phytoliths and microcharcoal, the majority of the
sediment accumulated rapidly in the 19th century. Cereal phytoliths first occur in sample 17, following a major burnt phytolith peak and shift in vegetation, interpreted to represent land clearance in the early 1840s (see below). Sample 11 contains the earliest occurrence of *Pinus* pollen, and grape phytoliths occur in only the uppermost sample (sample 1), which is anticipated given that it is primarily a late-20th century crop in this area. Taking all the chronological markers together, samples 17 to 5 (or above) may represent fewer than 40 years; rapid deposition may account for the rarity of diatoms below sample 5. Thus, the upper four samples (or fewer) represent at least the past 120 years. Consequently, the majority of the stratigraphy probably represents rapid deposition following initial clearance in the mid 19th century.

Other sites in Australia witness similar depositional trends, as well as problematic $^{210}$Pb dating and pollen preservation, with rapid sedimentation following initial European colonisation (Gale et al. 1995; Haworth et al. 1999). For example, Gale et al. (1995) show extremely high sedimentation rates during the first decade or two of European settlement for a lake in the New England Tablelands of eastern New South Wales; these are inferred to result from land clearance for pastoralism. At California Road Wetland, rapid sedimentation followed land clearance for mixed farming and very low sedimentation has occurred for the past 120 years. The effects of such rapid erosion on often fragile and ancient soils must have been severe, and might have contributed to the nutrient deficiencies of soils in the Willunga Basin.

Thus, the majority of the core appears to fall into a problematic period; sediments below 20 cmbs seem to be older than the minimum reliability of $^{210}$Pb dating in alluvial and colluvial settings, namely ca. 120 years (after Gale et al. 1995), and sediments above at least 80 cmbs contain pollen or phytoliths of exotic species, post-date 1840 and are too young to date with any precision using radiocarbon dating (whether AMS or conventional). Analysis of the California Road Wetland core using diagnostic pollen and phytoliths as chronological markers provides a reasonable, but relatively low resolution, solution to resolving dating problems at this site to clearly show the dramatic transformation of the landscape during the first 40 years or so of European colonisation.

### Comparing historical and palaeoecological records

The results of the multi-proxy palaeoenvironmental analysis are indicative of marked changes in vegetation at the California Road Wetland site as a result of European settlement. The distribution of phytoliths shows that the vegetation represented in the lowermost levels was characterised by myrtaceous woody shrubs and trees with little grass ground cover. This type of vegetation cover seems to indicate a more closed woodland locally, perhaps in the proximity of creek-line vegetation, as opposed to the open woodland that is ordinarily considered to have existed on the Willunga Plains before European settlement.

Directly following a major burning event, represented by burnt phytoliths in sample 18, there was a rapid decline in arboreal vegetation and an increased predominance of grasses, dominated by the locally growing common reed *Phragmites australis*, perhaps indicating eutrophic conditions locally. The pollen analysis corroborates the phytolith evidence by showing a predominance of wetland sedge and Halogoraceae species in the middle-to-upper sequence above sample 18. Successive European influences are signalled by the presence of pooid grass phytoliths, *Pinus* spp. pollen, and grape phytoliths.

Pooid grass phytoliths appear for the first time in sample 17, immediately after the first major burning event, and most likely represent introduced cereals – wheat, barley and oats,
closely related introduced weeds such as the bearded oat *Avena barbata*, and introduced forage grasses. Unfortunately, inflorescence phytoliths that would have enabled more precise identifications of specific cereal crops and grasses (Lentfer et al. 1997; Ball et al. 1999) were not observed in the phytolith assemblages. In contrast with the poorly preserved palynological record, pollen grains identified as potentially cereal occur first in sample 11. The presence of these pooid grasses accords with the introduction of mixed farming by the first European settlers in the early 1840s.

*Pinus* pollen occurs in the middle of the sequence (from sample 11), concomitant with the first good preservation of pollen. The possibility that *Pinus* was present earlier but its pollen was not preserved in the sequence is not discounted. Like the introduced pooid grass phytoliths, *Pinus* pollen in this part of South Australia is indicative of settlement during the 19th century. The presence of grape phytoliths in the uppermost sample represents the recent transformation of this area to viticulture. The absence of almond pollen is not necessarily surprising, given poor pollen preservation in most samples, and because, according to historical records, almonds have not been significant on adjacent slopes.

The appearance of introduced species, the peak in burnt phytoliths and the transformation of arboreal vegetation to an open landscape are signatures of intentional clearance of bushland vegetation for farming. Historic records indicate initial clearances for farming in this part of the Willunga Plains occurred in the 1840s. Sample 18 marks the ‘apocalyptic’ transformation of an open woodland landscape to a farmed landscape.

**Fire histories**

Flammability has been a feature of the Australian landscape since before human colonisation of the continent (e.g. Bradstock et al. 2001), and burning was characteristic of Aboriginal land management practices, whether to increase foraging opportunities (Jones 1969; Gott 2005) or to increase game and facilitate hunting (Bowman et al. 2001). Given the associations of the burnt phytolith peak with the transformation of an arboreal landscape to an open landscape and with European-introduced exotics, it is taken to be more representative of land clearance associated with initial colonisation of the area in the 1840s than the microcharcoal record. European settlement and clearances in the region entailed clear-felling and the burning of unused vegetation material, with subsequent ploughing.

The burnt phytolith and microcharcoal records reflect varying proxy levels of burning down the sequence, with clear, but asynchronous peaks. The disparity between the two microfossil records is intriguing and two sets of processes can be proffered to account for the observed phenomena. Firstly, the source areas for both phytoliths and microcharcoal are predominantly the same, namely the swamp (in situ), adjacent slopes (colluvial) and the catchment (fluvial). Asynchronous microfossil peaks could plausibly represent differential depositional pathways from local and extralocal sources, perhaps with the phytolith assemblage being anticipated to exhibit a greater local component than microcharcoal.

Secondly, the disparity could indicate distinct fire regimes at different times, most likely associated with changing vegetation and land uses. The burnt phytolith peak may derive from the more intense fires associated with the clearance and burning of myrtaceous woodland, whereas burnt phytoliths are largely absent from the less intense fires occurring in the more open, post-1840 farmed landscape. As to why microcharcoal is absent from the earlier burning event, but more frequent in later, less intense fires, this is uncertain, although it has been noted that ‘carbonised particles are particularly well preserved when cool fires burn in grass and sedgelands; fires in forests tend to be more complete in reducing fuel to ash which can leach and wash away’ (Gillieson et al. 1989:111).
At present, there is insufficient data on the comparable taphonomies of microcharcoal and burnt phytoliths to interpret what the asynchronies between the two records represent. However, the asynchronous distribution of burnt phytoliths and microcharcoal raises a significant and unresolved methodological issue for the interpretation of fire histories in the Australian landscape. As with previous debates about the relative merits of macrocharcoal (not analysed during this study) and microcharcoal as an indicator of fire regimes (see Whitlock and Larson 2001), the relative worth of burnt phytoliths for the reconstruction of fire histories needs to be more fully explored.

Conclusions

A multi-proxy record indicates the degree of ecological transformation associated with European colonisation of the Willunga Plains during the second half of the 19th century. European colonisation during the 1840s was ‘apocalyptic’ in terms of vegetation changes and, presumably, for associated soils (although not investigated in detail here); open woodland was rapidly transformed into an open, farmed landscape. Unfortunately, dating problems have enabled only a relatively coarse-grained interpretation of palaeoecological transformation to be reconstructed, a relatively common problem at sites in Australia for the period of early European settlement.

Although of only limited chronological resolution, the study has methodological value. The study reaffirms the value of integrating historical research with multi-proxy palaeoecology in order to understand landscape change in Australia. The use of both approaches provides complementary and mutually corroborating data sets that, on the one hand, enable some scientific measure of historically documented events and, on the other hand, refine and enliven interpretations of palaeoecological signals. Too often, historical background studies are a cursory appendage to palaeoecological research. In this case, the history enables calibration of the relative chronology derived from microfossils. However, history alone does not usually provide a reliable measure of the scale of environmental impacts, which were effectively catastrophic for the ecology of this area in the mid 19th century. This type of study serves as an experimental analogue for places and time periods for which historical records are limited or absent.

Most significantly, the study demonstrates the value of multi-proxy investigations using paired phytolith and pollen analyses in the Australian context. As demonstrated in studies across the world (e.g. Piperno et al. 1991; Denham et al. 2003), but an approach previously not undertaken in Australia, paired phytolith and pollen analyses provide a more robust reconstruction of vegetation and land-use changes in the past than using one method alone. At the California Road Wetland site, and despite limited phytolith reference collections for South Australian flora, phytoliths have proven especially significant because of poor pollen preservation. This study demonstrates the enormous potential of phytolith research to shed light on past human-environment interactions in Australia over the short term (timescales of the past 500 years), as well as its well-documented capacity to shed light on the long term (timescales of millennia and tens of millennia; Bowdery 1998; Wallis 2000).

Multi-proxy (pollen and phytolith) diagnostics are significant for overcoming a ‘chronological gap’ between the older limits of 210Pb dating and the younger limits and precision of radiocarbon dating. The identification of multiple diagnostic pollen and phytoliths derived from exotics has provided chronological guides – taken together with general palaeoecological trends, fire histories and the historical records – for initial land clearance and mixed farming (pooid cereal-type phytoliths), the early period of European settlement (Pinus pollen), and recent viticulture (Vitaceae phytoliths).
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Introduction

The Cape York Peninsula region of northeastern Australia supports considerable biological diversity. It is an environment that illustrates stages in evolutionary through to historical biogeography (Mackey et al. 2001; Turner et al. 2001) and a landscape that reflects culturally based value systems, both indigenous and European (Mackey et al. 2001; McNiven et al. 2007).

Of the vegetation, Cape York Peninsula comprises a complex mosaic of plant associations and structural formations (see Neldner and Clarkson 1995). These vegetation communities reflect underlying physical environmental processes and controls (e.g. edaphic conditions), as well as the degree of climatic seasonality and consequential hydroperiod across the region (Walker and Hopkins 1990; Brock 2001). In turn, the vegetation reflects long-standing biological connections with north Australian monsoonal (wet/dry) megatherm flora, and also has links with the tropical lowland megatherm and temperate upland mesotherm flora of New Guinea (Mackey et al. 2001; Turner et al. 2001). In the continental context, Cape York Peninsula is distinctive in the high level of natural integrity exhibited; Cape York has relatively small, isolated human populations, minimal infrastructure development and the land-use activity is either highly localised or extensive rather than intensive (Mackey et al. 2001). The in-situ conservation of biodiversity is high, which has benefited the humid tropics – or wet tropical biome – in particular. A World Heritage listing of the wet tropics was established in the 1980s, securing 90% of Australia’s surviving tropical rainforest (Abrahams et al. 1995).

Rainforest habitats occupying the humid-tropics zone have been a major focus of Quaternary research, and profiled extensively through their pollen spectra (e.g. Haberle 2005; Walker 2007). Now-refugial patches of rainforest have been shown to have great antiquity
The vegetative heterogeneity – the spatial and temporal connections – at Cape York Peninsula has not been well represented within palynological studies. Many of the monsoonal megatherm vegetation communities have not been captured in the same detail as rainforest, nor palynologically differentiated in terms of structure and composition. This paper seeks to capture and explore some of this missing detail. To quote Kershaw (2007:2), ‘pollen analysis on the sclerophyll side of the rainforest boundary has so far proved elusive’. This ‘elusiveness’ hampers progress in our understanding of Quaternary vegetation-type dynamics, counteracts the up-to-date design and testing of climatic-vegetation models (Harrison et al. 2007; Lynch et al. 2007) of proxy database development/comprehensiveness (Pickett et al. 2004), limits conceptualisations of human-environment interactions and relations (Denham and Mooney 2008), and provides less long-term ecological information relevant to conservationists and land managers (Froyd and Willis 2008).

This paper presents a descriptive study of the modern pollen sampled from numerous vegetation communities within the Torres Strait, the northernmost extension of Cape York Peninsula. Sampling of modern surface (or modern analogue, Overpeck et al. 1985) pollen data is a long-established technique in the interpretative refinement of fossil pollen spectra, and this study accompanies an extensive reconstruction of Quaternary Torres Strait landscapes from fossil pollen assemblages (Crouch et al. 2007; Rowe 2007a, b). The aims are (1) to characterise and differentiate monsoonal vegetation communities of the Torres Strait by their pollen, (2) to identify which pollen taxa (and in what quantities) are indicative of, or most responsible for, vegetation discrimination outside of the north Australian wet-tropical zone, and (3) to gauge the preservation of pollen taxa in the dry sedimentary context of Torres Strait.

Study area

The waters of Torres Strait extend some 45,000 km², separating Cape York Peninsula and northern Australia from New Guinea (Torres Strait Regional Authority 2007). More than 200 islands, coral and sand cays are regionally incorporated. Within this archipelago, the study-site islands of Mua and Badu form part of the southwestern geographical group, centred 70 km north of the Australian mainland (10°08’S, 142°12’E, Figure 1). Climate is strongly seasonal (tropical-isothermal classification, Stern et al. 2000). Spanning 275 km², Mua is separated from Badu by a narrow 2 km passage. Badu spans a smaller, 180 km² area. Each island features a Proterozoic igneous geology, predominantly granitoids and minor interbedded volcanics, with lithosols and texture-contrast low-fertility soils. These hills rise up to 372 m above sea level over low-relief dune systems, plains, swamps and channels of Quaternary alluvium. Mua and Badu are fringed by degraded Quaternary coastal dunes and beaches, extending into off-shore tidal flats (Bain and Draper 1997).

Mua and Badu support ‘the broadest array of plant communities and species diversity in Torres Strait’ (Wannam 2008:605). Landscape disturbance through economic expansion has been minimal and isolated to the localised loss of lowland woodland and mangrove (although the islander practice of landscape firing is common). At a scale of 1:100,000, Neldner and Clarkson (1995) surveyed, mapped and described the vegetation of Cape York Peninsula, including Torres Strait (20 vegetation communities are recorded for Mua and Badu; see Table 1 and text below). Strong affinities are apparent between Torres Strait’s vegetation and that of the western subregion of Cape York Peninsula, particularly in Eucalyptus and Melaleuca dominated woodlands.
Throughout the islands' vegetation, the canopy genera *Eucalyptus*, *Corymbia* and *Melaleuca* are common, with the latter more widespread on the low-relief plains. Lowland woodlands incorporate a more prominent graminoid-herbaceous matrix than hill slopes, and more commonly display mixed (sub)canopy components (non-Myrtaceae, non-sclerophyll and/or malacophyll semi-deciduous taxa). Tree height and density increase with moisture availability. Monsoonal closed or vine forest occurs around watercourses or drainage lines, between bases of hills, rocky knolls and established sand ridge/swales. Mixed structured communities, incorporating *Casuarina* and *Cocos*, grow above the coastal high-water mark. Rhizophoraceae-dominated mangroves occupy sheltered, shallow embayments and estuarine floodplains. Communities adjacent to mangrove stands differ according to local variation in elevation, drainage and salinity (swamp conditions, sedgeland or salt flats are observed).

**Methodology**

*Field technique*

A total of 126 modern pollen samples were collected. Of these, 50 have been used in this study. The reduced number is primarily a reflection of poor organic preservation in the thin soils or coarse sand-dominated sediments typically encountered throughout each island. Sample numbers were further reduced by subsequently merging subsamples collected within sclerophyll woodland environments. Surface samples were collected by hand and sealed in phials. Approximately 100 g from the uppermost 1.0 cm of sediment was gathered, and, where available, moss polsters were incorporated into these samples. In such cases, the whole moss polster down to, but not including, the soil was collected. At collection, the dominant canopy and understorey taxa were recorded.

Surface sediment sample collection was conducted along 12 transects designed to capture each of the major vegetation communities and plant associations, as described above (Figure 1). In turn, and for ease of presentation, the 12 transects have been grouped into four major environmental zones identified across Mua and Badu: mangrove, coastland lowland, interior swamp and sclerophyll, and rainforest (Table 2).
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Table 1. Amalgamated summary of vegetation communities located on Mua and Badu: structural and compositional characteristics (adapted from Neldner and Clarkson 1995; Fox et al. 2001; Beasley 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vegetation community</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Principal taxa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corymbia and/or Eucalyptus</strong></td>
<td>Tall canopy up to 25-30 m. Sparse subcanopy tree layer (15 m) and variable shrub layer (4-5 m). Ground layer sparse to mid-dense.</td>
<td>Corymbia tessellaris, C. novoguineensis, C. mesophila and Eucalyptus spp. dominate. Secondary tree taxa include Acacia (e.g. A. flavescens), Fabaceae spp., Melaleuca viridiflora, Banksia dentata, Pandanus spp., Lophostemon spp. and Grevillea glauca occur as secondary tree/subcanopy taxa. Palms include Livistonia muelleri. Utricularia, Drosera, Tassa and Schoenus are common ground covers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Melaleuca low woodlands and low-open woodlands</strong></td>
<td>Sparse upper canopy (5-15 m tall) with scattered shrub layer and/or very sparse sub-canopy tree layer (&lt;10 m). Ground cover is short and sparse to moderately dense.</td>
<td>Dominant Melaleuca viridiflora and M. acacioides with occasional emergent Corymbia and Eucalyptus spp. Secondary tree taxa include Banksia dentata, Asteromyrtus symphocarpa, Livistonia muelleri, Leucopogon and Acacia spp. Heteropogon and Aristida occur as ground cover; Cyperaceae (e.g. Fimbristylis) present where moist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grasslands and grassy open woodlands</strong></td>
<td>Grassland to 2 m. Emergent tree scattered and/or rare (2-5 m).</td>
<td>Heteropogon, Eriachne, Tocca, Evolvules, Sorghum, Euphorbiaceae, Fabaceae, Convolvulaceae, Liliaceae and unidentified Poaceae spp. occur. Pandanus observed as single emergents. Eucalyptus common on sites approaching savanna.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rainforest</strong></td>
<td>Monsoonal closed forest to 30 m. Even, evergreen buttress but sparse sub-canopy and ground-layer. Vine forest and/or thicket with dense, uneven and semi-deciduous canopy.</td>
<td>Diverse. Canopy trees Welchiodendron longivalve, Acacia polystachya, Terminalia muelleri, Bombax ceriba, Dillenia alata, Ficus and Syzygium spp. are represented. Vines Flagellaria indica, Similax australis, Dioscorea balbiifera, Abrus precatorius, Pandorea and Alyxia spp. are characteristic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wetlands</strong></td>
<td>Semi-permanent swamps and areas subject to inundation, high water tables and/or poor drainage. Structurally variable. Canopy density decreases with tree height and moisture availability. Shallower landscape depressions and/or mudflats favour herbaceous cover.</td>
<td>Dominated by Melaleuca, including M. quinquenervia and M. viridiflora. Subdominant Pandanus spp. may be present. Sparse shrub layer typically composed of Melaleuca juveniles and Fabaceae. Cyperaceae and Restionaceae spp. well represented. Aquatic groups represented by Nymphaea and Nymphaoides.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foredune and/or strand</strong></td>
<td>Discontinuous canopy; vegetation often clumped and interspersed with bare areas. Groundcover short, dense, but also patchy. Disturbance may be common.</td>
<td>Casuarina equisetifolia, Scaevola sericea, Cordia subcordata, Guettarda and Eucalyptus spp. occur as canopy components (palms restricted to areas nearing human habitation). Thespesia, Sporobolus spp. and Ipomoea pes-caprae dominate groundcover.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Characteristics and environmental zone allocation of the modern surface sample transects on Mua and Badu.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transect name</th>
<th>Central coordinates</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Sample interval (metres)</th>
<th>Transect length (metres)</th>
<th>Vegetation</th>
<th>Environmental zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waruid</td>
<td>10°04’N 142°09’E</td>
<td>W1, W2, W3, W4, W5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>Lower-tidal mangrove; upper-tidal mangrove; mudflat; <em>Corymbia</em> and <em>Eucalyptus</em> woodland</td>
<td>Mangrove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talita Kupai</td>
<td>10°10’S 142°12’E</td>
<td>TK1, TK2, TK3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Upper-tidal mangrove; <em>Corymbia</em> and <em>Eucalyptus</em> woodland</td>
<td>Mangrove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiam Point 1</td>
<td>10°12’S 142°18’E</td>
<td>TP1, TP2, TP3, TP4, TP5</td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Coastal swamp; <em>Melaleuca</em> and <em>Eucalyptus</em> open woodland/savanna; grassland</td>
<td>Coastal lowland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiam Point 2</td>
<td>10°12’S 142°18’E</td>
<td>TP1, TP6, TP7, TP8</td>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Lower-tidal mangrove; upper-tidal mangrove; coastal swamp</td>
<td>Coastal lowland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argan</td>
<td>10°05’S 142°06’E</td>
<td>AG1, AG2, AG3, AG4, AG5</td>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>Upper-tidal mangrove; coastal swamp, dune/strand, <em>Corymbia</em> and <em>Eucalyptus</em> woodland</td>
<td>Coastal lowland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zurath</td>
<td>10°16’S 142°06’E</td>
<td>ZU1, ZU2, ZU3, ZU4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Coastal <em>Pandanus</em> swamp, dune/strand</td>
<td>Coastal lowland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boigu Gawat 1</td>
<td>10°10’S 142°14’E</td>
<td>BG1-1, BG1-2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Wetland; <em>Corymbia</em> and <em>Eucalyptus</em> woodland</td>
<td>Inland swamp and sclerophyll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boigu Gawat 2</td>
<td>10°10’S 142°13’E</td>
<td>BG2-A, BG2-1, BG2-2, BG2-3, BG2-4</td>
<td>25-50</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Wetland; <em>Corymbia</em> and <em>Eucalyptus</em> woodland</td>
<td>Inland swamp and sclerophyll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar 20</td>
<td>10°08’S 142°09’E</td>
<td>B20-1, B20-2, B20-3, B20-4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Wetland; <em>Corymbia</em> and <em>Eucalyptus</em> woodland</td>
<td>Inland swamp and sclerophyll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grize</td>
<td>10°09’S 142°07’E</td>
<td>GR1</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Sedgeland; <em>Corymbia</em> and <em>Eucalyptus</em> woodland</td>
<td>Inland swamp and sclerophyll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badu Roadside</td>
<td>10°06’S 142°09’E</td>
<td>BR/s</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td>Single</td>
<td><em>Corymbia</em> and <em>Eucalyptus</em> open woodland</td>
<td>Inland swamp and sclerophyll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mua Hillside</td>
<td>10°12.29’S 142°14.08’E</td>
<td>MH1, MH2, MH3, MH4, MH5 MH6, MH7</td>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>Semi-deciduous vine-thicket, vine forest; <em>Eucalyptus</em> and <em>Melaleuca</em> open woodland</td>
<td>Rainforest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulgrave Peak</td>
<td>10°08.15’S 142°07.87’E</td>
<td>MP1, MP2, MP3, MP4, MP5</td>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>Broadleaf, evergreen closed forest; <em>Eucalyptus</em> forest and (open)woodland</td>
<td>Rainforest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pollen preparation and identification

Laboratory preparations followed standard methods of Faegri and Iversen (1989). Chemical preparations successively removed sulphur compounds, carbonates, humic acids, macrofossils, silicates and cellulose, using HCL, NaOH, HF, and an acetolysis mixture consisting of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$. 
and \( \text{C}_4 \text{H}_6 \text{O}_3 \). \textit{Lycopodium} markers were added to each sample to facilitate pollen concentration calculations. Pollen residues were suspended in glycerol and counted at x400 magnification under a Zeiss Axiosc standard microscope. Counting continued to a minimum of 200 grains (total pollen sum, including wetland taxa, excluding spore types).

Pollen identification was assisted by field specimen collections, authored reference material (Thanikaimoni 1987; Fuhsiung et al. 1997) and regional reference collections held at the School of Geography and Environmental Science, Monash University. A distinction in pollen of the Myrtaceae and Cyperaceae families was particularly sought after (here, limited island genera characterise different ecologies and/or where inconsistent pollen preservation may enforce a reliance on such major groups). Based on field reference collections and the discussions of Chalson (1989) and Churchill (1957, quoted in Chalson 1989), myrtaceous pollen was examined according to equatorial diameter, polar axis, polar islands, colpi depth, concavity of sides, comparative thickness of the pollen grain wall and pore characteristics. No distinction was made when fewer than half of the character-states were visible. Observations for \textit{Melaleuca} include smaller size, concave sides, island presence, obviously angled colpi and thin pores. \textit{Eucalyptus} is described as a larger grain, with a heavier, more robust appearance, thickened pores, convex to straight sides, and lack of polar islands. Unspecified grains fall within the category of ‘Myrtaceae (undiff.).’ For Cyperaceae, only three types were considered sufficiently distinctive: \textit{Cyperus}, \textit{Eleocharis} and \textit{Schoenus} types. In general, these pollen grains are 1-4 aperturate, elongate or more or less spheroidal, with one broad end. \textit{Eleocharis} presents a more tapered shape, possibly with pores set near the base, a grain size of 30-35 µm and a surface pattern tending towards striate. \textit{Schoenus} is similar, except that the exine is smoother and the grain size 32-38 µm. In comparison, \textit{Cyperus} is a smaller grain (to 25 µm), spheroidal and with a thicker, granular exine surface. Kershaw (1971) recognises a similar \textit{Cyperus} type.

Numerical analyses

In the diagrammatic presentation of the pollen data, the Tilia suite of programs was used, specifically the spreadsheet application Tilia (2.0) and graphing counterpart TGVView (v. 2.0.2) (Grimm 1988). Pollen counts are expressed as a percentage of the total pollen sum. Trace pollen is considered as <2% of the sum.

A multidimensional (or multivariate) ordination (MDS plot) was constructed for the 50-sample data set. Count values were square-root transformed and Bray-Curtis coefficients calculated (see Clarke, 1993). The Bray-Curtis index is widely used in ecology (see Faith et al. 1987), and the square-root transformation has the effect of down-weighting the importance of high-abundance taxa, so that sample similarities depend not only on these values, but on those of less common taxa. The robust nature of the ordination is measured through the numerical stress value. A stress less than two corresponds to a good ordination and useful two-dimensional picture of sample similarity (Clarke 1993). An additional analysis-of-similarities (ANOSIM) function test was performed on the 50-sample data set to determine those taxa responsible for sample grouping as observed in the ordination plot, and to reveal those taxa typical of specific vegetation groups (in the sense of making large percentage contributions to the average similarity between group samples) (Clarke 1993; Clarke and Warwick 2001). In seeking out and describing vegetation pattern through pollen, these statistical techniques permit an objective test of the intuitive observations made directly from the pollen diagrams. All calculations and presentations were carried out using the PRIMER v.5 package (Plymouth Routines In Multivariate Analysis, Clarke and Gorley 2001).
Results and discussion

Sixty-three pollen types were identified in the 50 surface sediment samples collected across Mua and Badu. Most pollen types were identified to the genus level, and unidentified taxa represent on average 10.2% of the total pollen sum. Across all samples, the average pollen concentration was 185,610 grains/cm².

Mangrove pollen samples (Figure 2)

Pollen assemblages from the Waruid surface samples reflect a vegetation gradient from low-tide mangrove forest, through back-mangrove mudflat to upland sclerophyll woodland, with a progressive decrease in mangrove representation and increase in non-mangrove components. At Talita Kupai, mangrove representation declines in both landward and seaward directions from the central forest sample of TK2. Eight mangrove taxa are identified in the study samples, five reaching more than trace levels.
The family Rhizophoraceae comprises the majority of recorded mangrove taxa. Of these, *Rhizophora* is the most abundant. Pollen values exceed 50% in all samples from sites in which *Rhizophora* is found growing, declining from 70% in the seaward mangrove forest sample of W1, to 20–25% of the pollen sum in adjacent samples W2–W5. No other mangrove taxa are characteristic of this seaward forest. A more diverse mangrove pollen flora is represented in sites landward of the *Rhizophora*-dominated system and in close proximity to the terrestrial woodland/mangrove ecotone. Samples W2-W4 and TK1 contain a pollen assemblage in which *Ceriops/Bruguiera, Lumnitzera, Excoecaria*, and in particular *Avicennia*, are recorded alongside *Rhizophora*. These upper intertidal taxa comprise up to 45% of the total sum in these four samples, with an additional 15–22% represented by *Cyperaceae* and *Chenopodiaceae* (Waruid sequence). *Poaceae, Leptocarpus* and *Euphorbiaceae* are of secondary importance across the intertidal mudflat samples of W4 and W5 (<10%), as a factor of saline tolerances. Clear trends in mangrove to non-mangrove pollen distributions are less evident along the Talita Kupai transect. This may be partly due to the fewer samples in the sequence, but may also relate to less obvious plant species segregation on a drier site more distant from the open coast (and a strong tide).

Myrtaceae and *Eucalyptus* display minor pollen representation in most samples but increase gradually with distance from the central mangrove zone. Highest representation is achieved close to the parent plant source in samples W5 and TK1 (30% and 10% respectively). Remaining pollen types, notably *Dodonaea, Ilex, Pandanus* and *Euphorbiaceae* are recorded at low frequencies. The major terrestrial pollen types represented in samples W1 and TK3 – *Eucalyptus*/Myrtaceae, *Pandanus* and *Poaceae* – are known to be effectively dispersed by wind (Grindrod and Rhodes 1984) and may therefore be expected to show at least minor representation in such seaward situations and reflect regional dispersal. A discontinuous canopy at the seaward edge may also account for terrestrial pollen presence.

**Coastal lowland pollen samples (Figure 3)**

Pollen spectra from coastal lowland study environments fall into three groups: samples from sites within the Rhizophoraceae-dominated mangrove system, samples approaching the limit of saltwater influences, and samples extending into the surrounding upland catchment. The difference here is mangrove forest habitats defined through local mangrove representation exceeding 80% up to 100%, and mangrove/terrestrial sites with longer-distance mangrove taxa contributing only 30–40% of the total pollen sum. Samples Ag3 to Ag5, Zu2 and Zu4 are noted for their absence of mangrove pollen taxa.

With the exception of *Rhizophora*, mangrove pollen types are poorly represented. This overriding percentage dominance of *Rhizophora* is directly comparable to seaward sites in the above mangrove study. *Rhizophora* shows strongest representation in samples fringing the seaward edge of collection (93%) and decreases sharply landward (25%). In general, samples TP6-TP8 and Ag1 display relatively low pollen diversity when compared with the number of pollen taxa identified in transect samples across the remainder of this study. *Ceriops/Bruguiera, Avicennia, Excoecaria* and *Xylocarpus* are most common (up to 12%) to the rear of the mangrove forest. *Scyphiphora* is locally abundant (16%) adjacent to the *Rhizophora*-dominated forest at Argan. A diverse mangrove pollen flora associated with an increasing terrestrial presence appears an important indicator of upper-intertidal conditions and of a coastal site which has a more open canopy habit.

High pollen percentage values for *Poaceae* (32%) and *Cyperaceae* types (10%) occur in both coastal swamp and coastal woodland samples. Local distributions of *Freycinetia* and *Asteraceae* are reflected in surprisingly low percentage pollen values (<5%) across swamp samples TP1-TP3. *Leptocarpus* has a wider, although similarly minor (<5%), pollen distribution through swamp-
Figure 3. Coastal lowland pollen diagram. Modern pollen assemblages from Tiam Point (upper diagram), Argan (mid diagram) and Zuath (lower diagram) transects. Pollen are expressed as percentage values of the total pollen sum.
edge and woodland locations. Samples from coastal swamp habitats are also noted in particular for their abundant pteridophyta and/or spore morphologies, dominated by the rounded-trilete type (59%) and representative of local sources. Myrtaceae dominates the coastal woodland canopy component, the highest relative pollen values for these taxa recorded with increasing distance from the seaward mangrove limit (71% at Ag5). In general, *Melaleuca* pollen accounts for a greater representation than *Eucalyptus*, particularly surrounding the coastal swamp sites. *Pandanus* pollen comprises a further 7-24% of the total assemblage, with remaining woodland types being minimally represented.

A comparison between samples Zu1-Zu4 and observations made on the parent vegetation of Zurath Islet indicates the absence of numerous taxa. The islet is fringed by strand vegetation dominated by dune flora. Seaward, mangroves are patchy and as such *Rhizophora* (ca. 30%) is a minor component of pollen assemblages in comparison with Mua and Badu. Inland on Zurath, a narrow vine thicket becomes associated with granite outcrops but this community
does not appear to be at all represented through pollen (see below for local deposition trends in rainforest associated pollen). High values for Poaceae pollen (40%), recorded with herbaceous components such as Asteraceae and Cyperaceae, reflect extra-local dispersal from grassland across central islet sandy deposits. Where samples were collected from dune environments fringing Zurath Swamp, *Pandanus* pollen dominates the terrestrial pollen taxa at up to 96%. The Zurath pollen samples also present an interesting near-absence of Myrtaceae.

**Inland swamp and sclerophyll pollen samples (Figure 4)**

Modern pollen content of inland swamps and sclerophyll woodlands is broadly governed by the family Myrtaceae. The dominance of *Melaleuca* in forming a canopy around each swamp is reflected in the high counts of both open-swamp and swamp-fringe samples; *Melaleuca*-type pollen generally maintains a representation of 23% to 70% and becomes increasingly important with distance from the open water. *Melaleuca* values exceed 60% of the pollen sum in samples B20-3 and B20-4, 25% in BG1-2, and more than 40% in BG2-A. The transition to open woodland can be seen in increased representation of sclerophyll canopy dominants. Myrtaceae (undiff.) achieves up to 40% of the pollen sum in samples BG2-4, GR1, and BR/s. Positioned away from swampy habitats, BR/s is distinctive, with a combined dominance of *Eucalyptus*/Myrtaceae (40%) and Poaceae (32%), with higher percentage values for subcanopy sclerophyll taxa such as *Pandanus*, *Banksia* and *Grevillea*.

Reflecting the regional importance of the surrounding woodland, *Eucalyptus* maintains a consistent percentage contribution of 15-21% of the total pollen sum in most samples. Myrtaceae (undiff.) shows the same trend, but with less consistency. Other taxa represented are *Acacia*, *Casuarina*, *Dodonaea*, Sapindaceae and *Terminalia*.

A reduced surface area of open water appears to have affected the proportions of locally versus regionally derived pollen components. The major difference between swamp Bar-20 samples and those from the smaller Boigu Gawat Swamp sites is the increased representation of *Leptocarpus* pollen to ca. 70% in the latter; *Leptocarpus* pollen effectively reflecting high local abundance. A similar trend is a consistent appearance of *Cyperus* (undiff.) and *Eleocharis*. Pollen percentages of herbaceous taxa therefore appear more closely related to local presence or absence of parent sources at all sites, and sampling across the smaller swamp site has resulted in high percentages of the local swamp-forest understorey pollen in association with *Melaleuca*. Low levels of sclerophyll components in samples BG1-1 and BG1-2 are explained as dilution from local pollen. The background component of regional sclerophyll pollen is therefore generally better represented in the open-water samples where it is not filtered by dense swamp fringe vegetation (cf. Tauber 1967).

**Rainforest pollen samples (Figure 5)**

Rainforest taxa do not comprise the bulk of the pollen recorded in rainforest surface samples. Rainforest and allied taxa represent, as a maximum, 53% of the total pollen sum in sample MP1. At the remaining rainforest sites, this component varies from 14% to 41%. In contrast, sclerophyll woodland pollen, particularly Myrtaceae and *Acacia*, make up at least 45% of the pollen sum, increasing to ca. 90% outside the rainforest boundary (although it is noted that the woodland component may be inflated by pollen from local rainforest variants of *Acacia* and Myrtaceae, whose presence could not be differentiated from the sclerophyll category).

Along the two transects, no single rainforest pollen component predominates consistently within any sample. A large number of plant taxa are therefore under-represented within the assemblage. Rainforest samples are marked by numerous poorly represented taxa demonstrating low and often sporadic occurrence (<5%). As best represented, values for *Freycinetia* range from 2-16%, 1-11% for *Croton*, and 1-10% for *Aidia*. *Mangifera*, *Alstonia*, *Tilliaceae* and *Fabaceae* are represented by values up to 7%, and *Ficus* is locally significant at MP4 (18%).
Open woodland taxa reflect many of the trends already described. They are almost entirely composed of Myrtaceae (up to 70%) and the canopy genera *Eucalyptus* and *Melaleuca*. Myrtaceae has background values in sites at some distance from a source, increasing in representation towards and across the forest-open woodland boundary (samples MP5 and MH3). Sample MH4 presents the only variation from this pattern, dominated by *Pandanus* (35%) and significant in its representation of *Banksia* (10%) and *Leptocarpus* (5%). *Dodonaea* and other Sapindaceae are minor dryland components. Although Poaceae does travel into forest samples,
it does not penetrate in great quantities (<5%). Rather, Poaceae increases at the forest boundary (MH5) and makes a marked contribution to the open woodland samples of MH4–MH6 (up to 28%).

**Taxon representation**

The relative abundances of mangrove pollen types reflect the present zonation of plant species and provide a useful index of depositional environments and distance to the open coast. Identification of mangrove habitat by the dominance of Rhizophoraceae is a consistent observation across both Mua and Badu, and directly comparable to other regional mangrove palynological studies (e.g. Grindrod 1985; Crowley et al. 1994; Ellison 2005). High values of mangrove taxa (up to 95% of the total pollen sum) are recorded for lower-tidal mangrove sites, compared with the lower values of 30–60% for upper-tidal and lowland coastal regions. Lowest mangrove pollen values are found at the landward extremity of intertidal mudflats. No mangrove pollen taxa are represented in terrestrial pollen samples associated with sclerophyll, inland Melaleuca-swamp, rainforest or vine-thicket communities, thus demonstrating a clear relationship between pollen source and mangrove distribution. Strong representation of *Rhizophora* pollen indicates frequently inundated saline environments. The representation of *Rhizophora* across the Waruid, Talita Kupai and Tiam Point transects reflects the near-monospecific, local nature of the lower-tidal community. A lack of mangrove pollen diversity, with very low representation of grasses, sedges and herbaceous taxa, confirms the closed-canopy nature of this forest type.

Upper-tidal, landward mangrove vegetation is pollen distinguished from lower-tidal mangrove-forest on the basis of greater individual representation of taxa such as *Ceriops* and *Bruguiera*, *Avicennia* and *Lumnitzera* relative to *Rhizophora* (each of these taxa have restricted pollen dispersal, Grindrod 1985). In turn, the transition to saline mudflat or coastal back-swamp surfaces incorporates increased terrestrial pollen components, reflecting reduced marine inundation and lower salinity of site samples. In agreement, Grindrod (1985, 1988) describes the strong representation of *Avicennia* pollen as particularly indicative of mainland Australian back-mangrove, brackish environments. On Mua and Badu, *Ceriops* and *Bruguiera*, *Avicennia* and *Lumnitzera* combine with Cyperaceae, Poaceae and herbaceous pollen such as Asteraceae and Freycinetia. The modern pollen data suggests that high values of Cyperaceae, as well as Chenopodiaceae, in fossil samples are locally derived, i.e. from a swamp surface or nearby. Crowley et al. (1994) confirm that values of Chenopodiaceae exceeding trace pollen levels indicate the presence of chenopods in local or extra-local vegetation, but warn also that absence cannot be assumed from lower values. High fern values, represented by monolete and trilete spores, are also indicative of coastal swamp surfaces, owing to the predominantly seasonal freshwater accumulation experienced at such sites. *Acrostichum* is the likely species recorded at Tiam Point, where the spores are trilete. Canopy vegetation in the mangrove/terrestrial transition and coastal swamp samples tends to be dominated by *Melaleuca* Myrtaceae pollen derived from swamp *Melaleuca* species. A proportion of the *Pandanus* pollen rain also appears to be widely dispersed, but *Pandanus* dominates pollen assemblages where present in the vegetation (Crowley et al. 1994 also discuss *Pandanus* pollen deposition, both wetland and dryland).

The sclerophyll woodland sites are characterised by the pollen types of their dominant and sub-dominant tree species. *Eucalyptus* Myrtaceae and *Pandanus* pollen indicate the principal canopy composition at the site. Other components such as *Casuarina*, *Dodonaea*, *Acacia* and *Terminalia* Combretaceae and various herbs and lianes have poor, sporadic representation. Each is only likely to appear in a pollen sample when it is abundant in the vegetation of the area. Given the mosaic, open nature of the woodland/savanna vegetation, as well as its
regional pollen distribution characteristics, it is probable that only large-scale canopy changes would be detected in the palaeoecological record. Grasses are consistently represented but, considering that they dominate the understorey of woodland and savanna areas, their pollen contribution is less than expected. Bush (2002) suggests the representation of tropical Poaceae pollen is influenced by numerous factors, including the pollination strategies of other members of their community and the scale of disturbance accompanying human activities. Bush (2002) cautions, in particular, against the interpretation of Poaceae pollen abundance without due regard to local hydrology. Using Poaceae as a simple indicator of regional vegetation change may overstate trends or boundary transitions towards aridity, and disregard seasonal variation in swamp communities. For environments such as Torres Strait, the persistence and timing of fire across woodland samples may also affect the Poaceae pollen record.

Inland swamp forest shows similar Poaceae pollen values to the sclerophyll surrounds, though they combine with high values and diversity within Cyperaceae and the presence of semi-aquatics such as *Leptocarpus* to indicate wetter conditions. Sites in which *Leptocarpus* and Cyperaceae are prominent in the vegetation are clearly identified by the domination by these taxa of the pollen spectra. Deduction of water depth and permanency on the basis of the pollen assemblage is, however, more difficult. The majority of samples comes from sites of fluctuating water levels. It could be concluded that Cyperaceae and *Leptocarpus* pollen are better indicators of shallow (or seasonal) surface water than *Melaleuca*. Higher pollen values of dryland taxa with low pollen production or poor dispersal may also signify shallow water or a high water table. Here, the associated moisture and organic sediment type would allow for greater preservation and entrapment of such pollen. *Melaleuca* pollen associated with a greater regional component is therefore more likely to reflect prominent areas of open water. The improved identification of *Melaleuca* will be important for the refined recognition of free water, particularly so in the observed absence of pollen from *Nymphoides* and the like. Overall, the inland surface study gives confidence that local swamp pollen can be separated from regional pollen sources.

There is a comparatively high level of diversity among the monsoonal-rainforest and vine-thicket pollen samples. No one pollen type dominates; numerous taxa were found to contribute to the pollen signature and, although widely separated, the two rainforest transect studies show similar pollen spectra. On Mua and Badu, rainforest pollen behaviour resembles analyses from mainland humid tropical locations (Kershaw and Strickland 1990; Kershaw and Bulman 1994; Walker and Sun 2000). Linking trends include pollen diversity, but also a penetration of non-rainforest tree pollen to a point exceeding rainforest pollen itself. Similarly, many taxa will not be represented in pollen diagrams given that, within closed forest zones, long-distance pollen dispersal is of little importance; taxa are typically recorded only if growing in proximity to the pollen collection site (i.e. dominant local deposition). However, the two locations vary in their pollen rain composition. Major canopy or emergent tree taxa (e.g. *Stenocarpus*, *Acmena*) are high pollen contributors to the mainland humid tropical records, as are palms and ferns (tree, epiphytic and/or ground ferns). A lack of distinction within the Myrtaceae and *Ataxia* pollen at both Mulgrave Peak and Mua Hillside has hampered the isolation of rainforest vegetation, and the monsoonal communities are differentiated by the presence of *Ficus*, *Aidia*, *Croton* and *Freyvinta* pollen. Although each taxon appeared in extremely low quantities, these low pollen values do not necessarily mean insignificant results. The simple presence/absence of pollen taxa is considered important in differentiating monsoonal rainforest vegetation, and in representing forest patchiness, and may provide a data set more likely to be comparable with a fossil record than percentile data. Burn et al. (2010) make a similar observation in the pollen differentiation of Amazonian rainforest communities. Plants may be well represented in floristic inventories, but under-represented in the pollen because their pollen is less well dispersed. Where such pollen is found within the pollen rain, its presence can provide significant ecological information with
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Figure 6. A. Multi-dimensional ordination (MDS) using group-average linking on Bray-Curtis sediment sample similarities (50 modern pollen samples). B. ANOSIM percentages highlighting taxon contribution (%Contrib) influencing observed similarity cluster through the ordination in Figure 6a.
regards to local vegetation and aid palynological characterisation (Burn et al. 2010). Drier rainforest formations, therefore, are best separated on the basis of the pollen assemblage, rather than any one taxon.

**Multivariate analysis of modern pollen**

The pollen samples have been highlighted according to their respective environmental zone (mangrove, coastal lowland, inland swamp and sclerophyll, and rainforest). The ordination (MDS plot Figure 6a) highlights the relationships between vegetation groups while still acknowledging a degree of within-group variability. It is encouraging that no scores are scattered throughout the ordination. Rather, the tight grouping observed within and around inland swamp and sclerophyll samples is identified as the predominant feature. In essence, these open-canopy vegetation sites show a greater similarity within group than is evident in the mangrove and rainforest groups. A similar, although lesser, trend through the coastal lowland samples is also apparent. Here, the increased importance of regional pollen rain (and its uniformity) in open vegetation sites is a significant factor in reducing variability. The mangrove forest system and rainforest group are clearly distinguishable, and the Zurath samples form a cluster separate from all other samples. This pattern is driven largely by the presence of *Pandanus* and absence of Myrtaceae at Zurath. Coastal swampland is appreciably different from both mangrove and coastal woodland habitats, though closer in approximation to the latter. Vine thicket separates from monsoonal rainforest, approaching sclerophyll woodland in its similarity. The positioning of vine-thicket samples is indicative of the more open nature of its canopy, allowing for a greater regional signal and shared flora, particularly in the presence of sclerophyll emergents.

When considering Figure 6a, strength of the ordination lies in displaying a gradation in vegetation formation across the set of samples. A changing pollen assemblage can be traced from the mangrove forest through the wider coastal environment (firstly incorporating coastal swampland, then coastal woodland), to the more coherent sclerophyll interior. Likewise, a separation of the rainforest samples extends stepwise from the inland swamp to sclerophyll woodlands, blending with vine thicket and stretching through the rainforest at high elevations.

**Determining discriminating taxa**

The contribution each pollen taxon makes to the observed ordination and/or average sample similarity within the vegetation groups of Figure 6a is shown in Figure 6b. Figure 6b reveals species which are statistically typical of a group; pollen taxa are ordered by their average contribution (%Contrib) and those considered good discriminators in this study are indicated by an asterisk.

In many cases, close to half the average similarity value is accounted for by only two pollen taxa, with 90% of a group’s distinction represented by no more than seven taxa. Undoubtedly, the mangrove pollen group is distinguished through *Rhizophora*, independently accounting for 51% of average within-group similarity. *Avicennia* is also significant, at 7%. Poaceae and *Pandanus* contribute equally to the coastal woodland group, at 22%; *Pandanus* is a better representative of the coastal swamp: 44%. The Myrtaceae family maintains a significant presence within the sclerophyll woodland, inland swamp, vine-thicket and rainforest groups. While *Melaleuca* is particular to the inland swamp, contributing 34%, the remaining groups are perhaps best described through other non-Myrtaceae taxa specific to their list. In this respect, sclerophyll woodland is defined via Poaceae as well as *Eucalyptus* pollen, and rainforest through *Acacia*, *Freylinetia* and *Croton*. A lack of distinction within the Myrtaceae family remains a problem when identifying vine-thicket communities. *Croton* is therefore the only pollen taxon highlighted.
A total of 11 pollen taxa have been identified as principally responsible for the observed clustering in Figures 6a and 6b. Between them, *Rhizophora*, *Avicennia*, *Pandanus*, *Poaceae*, *Myrtaceae*, *Eucalyptus*, *Melaleuca*, *Leptocarpus*, *Acacia*, *Freycinetia* and *Croton* capture the full multivariate pattern. However, identifying taxa as typical of a vegetation group does not necessarily correspond to an equal importance in discriminating from one group to another. Taxa may be typical of a number of groups, and the example of *Myrtaceae* has affected the degree to which environments across island interiors can be statistically separated.

**Concluding discussion**

As a first study of its kind for Torres Strait, the modern pollen spectra have allowed for the establishment of guidelines for the interpretation of fossil pollen diagrams (Table 3). This research has shown the importance of independently describing the modern pollen rain from monsoonal Cape York Peninsula, and testing pollen's diagnostic qualities in capturing vegetation heterogeneity. The approach incorporated a visual interpretation of broad trends in the pollen data with statistical analyses of these trends and their significance. The analyses of similarity support each percentage pollen diagram in describing the pollen assemblages and in isolating useful indicators of vegetation and ecological settings. Together, the results show that the modern pollen rain does vary and vegetation groups (structurally and in composition) can be differentiated.

### Table 3. Guiding principles for the interpretation of fossil pollen assemblages, as specified from modern pollen samples in Torres Strait.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollen sum observation</th>
<th>Pollen dispersal</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhizophora</em> &gt;50%</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Lower-tidal, inundated mangrove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhizophora</em> &lt;50%</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Upper-tidal mangrove &amp; adjacent mangrove-terrestrial transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Avicennia</em> &gt;10%</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Upper-tidal mangrove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present – <em>Ceriops</em>, <em>Bruguiera</em>, <em>Lumnitzera</em>, <em>Avicennia</em>, <em>Xylocarpus</em>, <em>Excoecaria</em></td>
<td>Local/Extra-local</td>
<td>Upper-tidal mangrove and adjacent mangrove-terrestrial transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chenopodiaceae</em> &gt; trace level</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Mudflat, saltmarsh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spore types (cumulative) &gt;20%</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Swamp surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spore types (cumulative) &lt;10%</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Myrtaceous open forest to woodland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pandanus</em> &gt;20%</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Localised stand; swamp nearby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present – <em>Pandanus</em>, <em>Melaleuca</em></td>
<td>Extra-local/Regional</td>
<td>Swamp surface and/or swamp nearby</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>High Cyperaceae</em>; Present – <em>Cyperus</em>, <em>Eleocharis</em>, <em>Schomus</em>, <em>Poaceae</em></td>
<td>Local/Extra-local</td>
<td>Swamp surface; inundated sediments/ depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present – <em>Cyperaceae</em>, <em>Leptocarpus</em></td>
<td>Local/Extra-local</td>
<td>Moist sediment surface, localised poor drainage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Leptocarpus</em> &gt;20%</td>
<td>Local/Extra-local</td>
<td>Wet swamp surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Poaceae</em> &gt;10%</td>
<td>Extra-local/Regional</td>
<td>Grasses abundant in local vegetation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Melaleuca</em> &gt;20%</td>
<td>Extra-local/Regional</td>
<td>Wet swamp surface nearby; open water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eucalyptus</em> &gt;20%</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Open forest; woodland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Myrtaceae</em> &gt;25%</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Open forest; woodland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Ficus</em> &lt;trace level</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monsoonal rainforest (established closed forest)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present – <em>Freycinetia</em>, <em>Aidia</em>, <em>Mangnifera</em></td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monsoonal rainforest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Acacia</em> &gt;20%</td>
<td>Extra-local/Regional</td>
<td>Monsoonal rainforest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Freycinetia</em> &gt;10%</td>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Monsoonal rainforest – Sclerophyll transition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present – <em>Myrtaceae</em>, <em>Terminalia</em>, <em>Ilex</em>, <em>Hibiscus</em>, <em>Acacia</em>, <em>Alstonia</em></td>
<td>Local/Extra-local</td>
<td>Mixed canopy forest in region</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The pollen from a wide range of vegetation associations contained within the mangrove, coastal, inland swamp and sclerophyll, and rainforest environmental zones can be explained in terms of local, extra-local and regional components. The majority of taxa recorded were local or extra-local. Locally produced and dispersed pollen types are highly variable between samples and sites, while regional pollen types have relatively even fallout. Key examples of local taxa include *Avicennia*, *Leptocarpus*, *Freycinetia* and *Croton*. Regional dispersal, while contributing considerably to the pollen sum, particularly in open environments, is restricted to a few canopy taxa such as *Eucalyptus* and *Myrtaceae*, and notably *Rhizophora* across coastal landscapes. Based on the pollen diagrams, *Pandanus* behaves in a similar regional manner, but one important result that follows on from the ordination is that palynological dominance of *Pandanus* is indicative of local swamp conditions, especially within coastal lowlands. From the pollen diagrams, Cyperaceae is a minor component of terrestrial communities, but is also diagnostic of swamp communities in high percentages and diverse genus types. When palaeoecological discussions combine with archaeological interpretations, as has occurred in the Torres Strait (Crouch et al. 2007), such swamp (i.e. freshwater availability) indicators are noteworthy. This modern pollen study also suggests that the overall assemblage, as opposed to exclusive reliance on individual pollen types and irrespective of grain percentages, is a useful indicator – valuable in the documentation of monsoonal rainforest as well as upper-tidal mangrove environments, for example. Diverse pollen assemblages have been comprehensively used in the documentation of Torres Strait Holocene coastal changes (Rowe 2007b), but require stronger use inland to be considered outside of *Myrtaceae* pollen trends. An important lesson for the interpretation of Torres Strait palaeovegetation (e.g. Rowe 2008) further rests within the described gradation of samples across Figure 6a. Boundaries between vegetation communities will not always be sharp, introducing the concept of extensive ecotones as important components of the landscape and indicators of spatial vegetation shift. Once again, the smaller understory and/or secondary plant growth indicators – the so-called micro-trends – exist as valuable explanatory components.

While the guidelines in Table 3 can assist in the interpretation of fossil pollen assemblages, the study has also highlighted certain difficulties in obtaining precise signatures. Upon inspection of the pollen diagrams, there is considerable similarity between the overall pollen assemblage of coastal woodland, inland sclerophyll woodland and vine-thicket communities, exemplified by the tight clustering observed in the ordination. High levels of *Myrtaceae* pollen are one reason it is difficult to separate these three different vegetation types. It should also be considered that regular burning activities may serve to create more uniform vegetation and corresponding pollen assemblages across dryland environments, fire blurring the distinction between sediment samples collected within different vegetation communities. In future analyses, modern pollen and charcoal counts should be used together to determine the level of fire disturbance evident in any given sample. Further research also needs to examine modern pollen representation across environmental gradients. Altitude, soil moisture, nutrient status and salinity could be incorporated, in addition to charcoal. Such measurement would improve an understanding of sample ordination, for example, and assist in documenting wider spatial and temporal connections between vegetation groups.

The fact that fewer than half the surface samples collected were used in this study highlights some limitations in litter collections across seasonal environments (sandy and thin, dry sediments). The sedimentary surface is an active, oxidative environment and pollen was found in varied degradative states in all sediment types and locations. This contrasts with wet sedimentary environments, where sediments become anoxic and abiotic as they are buried and where pollen recovery tends towards relatively fresh preservation. At the surface, differential preservation and degradation, and differential recognition of poorly preserved grains might have resulted in some bias, robust grains assuming a more important interpretative role than
might otherwise occur in damp sedimentary fossil collections (Hall 1981; Orvis 1998). As such, there is also a need to adopt additional sampling strategies, such as pollen traps, if this work is to be extended.

In conclusion, the usefulness of modern pollen data from Torres Strait is not limited to this region alone. Demonstrated characterisation of island monsoonal, megatherm vegetation pollen signatures can be usefully applied to a refined understanding of seasonal tropical mainland Australia, a region in which few investigations of modern and fossil pollen rain have been made.
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Introduction

Since the 1960s, scientific understanding of our global environment and its climate has undergone a remarkable transformation. We are now increasingly aware that the world around us is dynamic, and quasi-stable only in the short term. Recognising the challenge of human-induced climate change, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) was established in 1988 and released its most recent Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) in 2007. The AR4 conclusions are startling: By 2100, global temperatures are estimated to increase between 1°C and 6.5°C compared with 1990, accompanied by a sea level rise of between 0.18 m and 0.58 m. This relatively large range in projections is partly due to chaotic climate variability and to uncertainties in emissions, but another significant factor is the paucity of instrumental data with which to test the estimates. A major source of concern is the extent to which the historical record captures processes representative of future change.

The above issues are of particular concern for Australia, a country distinguished by lack of water and high interannual climate variability, but with historical records extending back to only 1880 (Nicholls et al. 2006). Future expectations for increasing aridity, variability and population concentration in urban and coastal areas represent a complex, uncertain and potentially dangerous challenge to Australian society, for which historical records are insufficient to capture the full range of the climate system. For instance, climate models predict that subtropical regions will expand with an increase in global temperatures (Held and Soden 2006), bringing more arid conditions to heavily populated areas (Bengtsson et al. 2006). Recent data, however, indicate expansion over the past few decades is of the same order of magnitude (5-8° of latitude) as that predicted for the end of this century (Seidel et al. 2008). This shift is associated with a reduction of ca. 20% in winter rainfall over the southwest of Western Australia, and the development of new water sources for Perth estimated to have already cost more than $500 million (IOCI...
2002). Within the AR4, perhaps most critical of all for Australia, the future absolute amount and seasonality of rainfall across the region are highly uncertain, but seem likely to decline by the end of the current century (Christensen et al. 2007).

Past climate change provides a critical baseline against which to compare present and future warming by encompassing a broader range of extremes. Most climate reconstructions obtained from geological, chemical and biological proxies have published relationships with temperature (Mann et al. 1998, 2008; Esper et al. 2002; Moberg et al. 2005). Critically, a few measures of precipitation have been reported (e.g. Kershaw et al. 1994; Bowler 1998; Cook and van der Kaars 2006; Lough 2007; Cullen and Grierson 2009), but most are from individual sites and largely of a qualitative nature, limiting our ability to generate a long-term spatially robust reconstruction of past rainfall within Australia. One possibility for resolving this apparent impasse is the exploitation of stable isotopes in terrestrial plant material, particularly species- and tissue-specific \( \delta^{13}C \), an approach that has been demonstrated to provide a measure of the moisture-related conditions under which the tissues formed (e.g. Ehleringer and Cooper 1988; Farquhar et al. 1989; Turney et al. 1999, 2002). Unfortunately, few plant macrofossils (including wood) are found within terrestrial and marine sedimentary sequences across and adjacent to the mainland of Australia (D’Costa et al. 1989; Bohte and Kershaw 1999; Moss and Kershaw 2007), precluding continuous \( \delta^{13}C \) measurements of material through profiles. One alternative is charcoal (e.g. Ferrio et al. 2005; Turney et al. 2006).

Charcoal has considerable potential for developing long-term climate reconstructions. Firstly, charcoal is a common product from biomass burning and largely recalcitrant in lake (Kershaw 1971, 1974, 1975, 1976, 1995; Kershaw et al. 2004; Turney et al. 2004), marine (Kershaw et al. 1993; Wang et al. 1999; van der Kaars et al. 2000; Moss and Kershaw 2007) and soil (Hopkins et al. 1993; Bird et al. 1999; Lehman et al. 2008) environments, allowing preservation on geological timescales (Lynch et al. 2007; Power et al. 2008). Secondly, if charcoal is finely disseminated with sediments, its \( \delta^{13}C \) composition should reflect the proportions of C3 and C4 plants within the local vegetation (primarily controlled by the most effective season of rainfall; Hattersley 1983; Polley et al. 1993; Ehleringer et al. 1997) and/or the degree of physiological stress on C3 plants as a result of changing moisture availability (Ehleringer and Cooper 1988; Turney et al. 1999; Turney et al. 2002). To date, however, although \( \delta^{13}C \) of charcoal has been measured through selected sedimentary sequences within the Australian region (Wang et al. 1999; Turney et al. 2001), demonstrating a quantitative relationship with any moisture-related variable has proved elusive.

**Methods**

To test the relationship between charcoal isotopic content and moisture, surface soil samples were collected from a network of 17 sites spanning a large precipitation gradient across Australia (Figure 1 and Table 1), ranging from Buderim and Darwin at >1500 mm/year, to Marla in South Australia at <200 mm/year. Samples were taken down to a depth of 2 cm below the surface, in an attempt to provide a long-term average isotopic composition of charcoal from each site. In the laboratory, the samples were sieved through a series of meshes to isolate the fraction 2 mm and 125 \( \mu \)m. Using a biological microscope, individual fragments of charcoal were hand picked.

Importantly, because particulates produced during combustion are a complex mix of variably carbonised material (some of which can undergo further oxidation during diagenesis), the direct measurement of charcoal particles for \( \delta^{13}C \) composition is not appropriate, as incompletely carbonised material may distort the values obtained during analysis. Here, we have applied the method outlined by Bird and Gröcke (1997) for isolating oxidation resistant elemental carbon
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Figure 1. Surface charcoal sampling locations across Australia. Details of numbered sites are given in Table 1. Modified from Williams et al. 2009.

Table 1: Site locations, surface charcoal $\delta^{13}C$ and annual mean precipitation values, with summary statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site number</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Latitude, 'S</th>
<th>Longitude, 'E</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}C$, ‰ (VPDB)</th>
<th>Annual precipitation, mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Barkly Station</td>
<td>19°42'</td>
<td>135°49'</td>
<td>-23.1</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pine Creek</td>
<td>13°49'</td>
<td>131°49'</td>
<td>-27.5</td>
<td>1178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Marla</td>
<td>27°08'</td>
<td>133°30'</td>
<td>-24.9</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mount Garnet</td>
<td>17°47'</td>
<td>144°57'</td>
<td>-25.4</td>
<td>759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Buderim</td>
<td>26°42'</td>
<td>153°04'</td>
<td>-26.6</td>
<td>1712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mataranka</td>
<td>14°56'</td>
<td>133°04'</td>
<td>-25.2</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Renmark</td>
<td>34°14'</td>
<td>140°37'</td>
<td>-23.1</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Renner</td>
<td>18°19'</td>
<td>133°47'</td>
<td>-24.7</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ti Tree</td>
<td>22°07'</td>
<td>133°25'</td>
<td>-22.6</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Freemantle</td>
<td>32°02'</td>
<td>115°45'</td>
<td>-25.2</td>
<td>838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Margaret River</td>
<td>34°09'</td>
<td>115°02'</td>
<td>-25.8</td>
<td>1163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pt Augusta</td>
<td>32°28'</td>
<td>137°44'</td>
<td>-24.7</td>
<td>241</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Charcoal samples extracted from between 2 mm and 125 µm were decarbonated overnight using 1N HCl, washed with MilliQ™ water, centrifuged and then placed in concentrated HF overnight at 60°C to remove silicate material. The remaining material was then washed again in MilliQ™ water, centrifuged and placed in 0.1N NaOH for three hours at room temperature to remove humic acids. Samples were then washed repeatedly in MilliQ™ water, until the solution became clear, and placed in a K₂Cr₂O₇/H₂SO₄ solution at 60°C for 14 hours (Bird and Gröcke 1997). The OREC samples were again washed with MilliQ™ water, then freeze-dried.

The stable carbon isotope (δ¹³C) composition of OREC samples was determined using an elemental analyser coupled to a Micromass Prism III mass spectrometer operated in continuous flow mode. δ¹³C values are expressed as per mille (‰) relative to the international V-PDB standard, with a precision of 0.15‰ at 1σ. Duplicate measurements were made and an average value taken.

Figure 2. Relationship between surface charcoal δ¹³C and annual precipitation in Australia.
Results and discussion

The isotopic values obtained during this study range from −23.1‰ to −27.5‰. Overall, there appears to be a general trend to heavier values in the interior of Australia (Figure 1). Assuming the carbonised plant material from the surface soil samples reflects local vegetation, the OREC δ¹³C values can be used for comparison against long-term climate data.

Typical C₃ and C₄ δ¹³C values range from −22 to −33‰, and −9 to −16‰ respectively (Deines 1980). The results obtained fall almost entirely within the range of values expected for C₃ vegetation and suggest little (if any) carbon derived from C₄ photosynthesis is present in the OREC samples collected across Australia. If correct, the enriched δ¹³C values are typical of C₃ plants in moisture-limited environmental conditions (Ehleringer and Cooper 1988; Turney et al. 1999), as a result of reduced stomatal conductance and/or altered net assimilation.

To test the relationship between OREC δ¹³C values and climate, data were compared with bioclimate estimates obtained from each site generated from the prediction system BIOCLIM (Busby 1991). BIOCLIM produces up to 35 bioclimatic parameters based on long-term climate measurements of maximum and minimum temperature, rainfall, solar radiation and pan evaporation. Comparisons were made with all parameters. Although several of the climate variables proved highly correlated with surface charcoal OREC δ¹³C values, the most robust and significant relationship was that obtained against annual precipitation across a range of 260 mm to 1200 mm (Figure 2 and Table 1).

We observe a linear and negative correlation between annual precipitation and OREC δ¹³C (Figure 2) (F = 20.01, p < 0.0004469), explaining more than half of the variance (R² = 0.57, R²_adj = 0.54). The correlation is highly significant, suggesting that the δ¹³C in vegetation of the immediate area (as represented by the surface soil charcoal) is strongly influenced by the amount of rainfall over the year. This result is consistent with previous studies, which have identified the importance of moisture availability in controlling stomatal conductance (Ehleringer and Cooper 1988) and the composition of individual Australian species (Miller et al. 2001) in the Queensland plant community δ¹³C (Stewart et al. 1995). For instance, over a 1100 mm annual rainfall range, the Queensland study demonstrated a mean 4‰ shift (Stewart et al. 1995), comparable to the mean 3‰ difference observed in the charcoal samples collected across Australia (Figure 2).

The mechanism for the changes in δ¹³C may be best explained by stomatal conductance responses to moisture availability. During growth, under low moisture availability, plant stomatal conductance will decrease to minimise water loss, reducing the exchange of carbon dioxide between the substomatal cavity and the surrounding atmosphere, thereby decreasing the discrimination against δ¹³C relative to δ¹²C (Farquhar et al. 1989). The preliminary results reported here, therefore, provide strong support that charcoal δ¹³C may offer considerable potential for quantifying past changes in precipitation, and suggest the observation made within community-averaged δ¹³C observed across a rainfall gradient in Queensland (Stewart et al. 1995) may be extended to the fossil record.

The above relationship should only be considered a first-order estimate, however. During heating, the cellulose and hemicellulose content of plant material form mainly volatile products due to the thermal cleavage of sugar units, while lignin dominates the production of charcoal since it is not so easily cleaved to lower-molecular-weight fragments. As a result, during carbonisation of woody material, increasing temperature progressively depletes the δ¹³C content of bulk charcoal by up to 1.3‰ (Turney et al. 2006), consistent with the greater susceptibility of cellulose to thermal degradation relative to lignin (Czimczik et al. 2002). Although the OREC
is most likely dominated by lignin (Bird and Gröcke 1997), it is unclear whether the isotopic fractionation observed in bulk charcoal reflects an increasing proportion of this component in the final char and/or there is a genuine fractionation within lignin with changing temperature. Another potentially significant limitation of this study is the uncertain age range of the charcoal obtained from the surface soil samples. Although the sampling strategy adopted here had the advantage of providing an average estimate of surface vegetation $\delta^{13}C$ values, the duration represented is unknown and may be of the order of centuries. Remarkably, in spite of these issues, there still remains a statistically significant correlation between isotopic content and climate, suggesting that if samples were obtained over the same period as meteorological data, a more robust relationship may be quantified.

Conclusions

There is a statistically significant relationship between elemental carbon $\delta^{13}C$ obtained from ‘modern’ surface charcoal and annual precipitation in Australia. Such a relationship is expected because of the important role moisture availability plays in the distribution and response of flora. In spite of the uncertainties associated with comparing climate parameters derived from historic meteorological data and surface charcoal of unknown age, the relationship suggests this approach might be used to quantify past changes in rainfall across Australia. Future studies focusing on comparing charcoal samples of known age with meteorological data over a common period should improve the robustness of future reconstructions. This finding is of particular importance in Australia, a country distinguished by lack of water and where few quantified methods of precipitation are available to extend historical records beyond 1880.
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The line it is drawn, the curse it is cast
The slow one now, will later be fast
As the present now, will later be past
The order is rapidly fadin’
And the first one now will later be last
For the times they are a changin’

Bob Dylan

Introduction

One could line up a suite of palaeoecological research papers published about Australian sites and, while they would not extend from Lake Wangoom to Lynch’s Crater, they would fill much of the pollen microscope laboratory at Monash University. In one way, that, in fact, would be the best place to start to assemble the bibliography, as many of the papers have emanated from Peter Kershaw and the long list of honours and postgraduate students he has supervised, his post-doctoral fellows and the palaeoecological diaspora that is the legacy of this legend from Littleborough. Of course, all of these students would suggest it be assembled elsewhere, as they know too well that it would take many years to find all of the papers in Peter’s office.

If this list was separated into those with a long-term focus and those with a direct management focus, there would be a clear bias to the former. While Peter’s supervisor patiently examined detailed records of change in fine temporal (and spatial) resolution (Walker et al. 2000), and first coined the term ‘fine-resolution pollen analysis’, his student’s focus was clearly on the ecological response of vegetation communities to Milankovitch-scale climatic fluctuations. The pollen record from Lynch’s Crater is progressively developed in an ever increasing number of publications (Kershaw 1978, 1986, 1993; Turney et al. 2006; Kershaw et
al. 2007) and this is complemented by long offshore records (Harle 1997; Moss and Kershaw 2007) and those from the western plains (Kershaw et al. 1991; Kershaw, 1998; Harle et al. 2004), and uplands (McKenzie and Kershaw 1997; Kershaw et al. 2007) of Victoria. While Peter Kershaw’s website observes that his research focus is on “Environmental Change … as a basis for understanding present landscapes and contributing to their future management”, Peter has only occasionally ventured into the dark side of environmental management. Perhaps he shied away after the Queensland Forestry Commission used his Lynch’s Crater pollen diagram in a brochure justifying rainforest logging (Figure 1) and, in doing so, changed the chronology from thousands to millions of years, reversed the time frame and postulated that humans (*Homo erectus*) arrived in Australia at 1.5 million years ago (Kershaw and Gell 1990). Despite this, Peter rightly holds a firm view that these long-term records are relevant to management, but his passion for the large, deep-in-time changes kept him largely temporally isolated from those doing the managing. The charge of examining more recent time frames, in fine detail, was left to his students (Gell et al. 1993), and his students’ students (Bickford et al. 2008), and these have, more or less, helped or hindered managers, depending on your point of view.

![Figure 1](image-url). The pollen record from Lynch’s Crater as (re)interpreted by the Queensland Forestry Commission (from Kershaw and Gell 1990).
Management questions

Particularly over the past decade, there has been an explosion of research directed towards applied ecology, undertaken with a view to generating evidence to assist environmental managers make effective decisions. Increasingly, these have been fully replicated research designs dedicated to establishing sufficient power of analysis to demonstrate both significance and repeatability. Also, they have been analysed or modelled using increasingly sophisticated statistical techniques that reveal, at least to the statistician, what the data is showing in terms of identifiable changes or causal relations. However, these are contemporary studies, and so, by their very nature, do not address the forces that lead to the management issues at hand. As Weatherhead (1986) observed, managers tend to use the right techniques to address the wrong questions. In Australia, the evidence of very early, post-European settlement landscape destabilisation (Gale and Haworth 2002), salinisation (Gell et al. 2005a), eutrophication (Gell and Little 2006) and sediment flux (Reid et al. 2002, 2007) is testament to the fact that contemporary ecologists are researching already disturbed, and sometimes degraded, ecosystems.

There are several management questions that require a historical, and palaeoecological, approach. For wetland managers, palaeolimnological approaches can provide critical evidence relating to:

- The heritage status of a wetland by assessing its present condition against its long-term range of variability.
- The sensitivity or resilience of a wetland by retrospectively assessing its ecological response to past perturbations.
- The prognosis of a wetland by identifying its trajectory of change or infilling.
- The drivers of wetland change by associating past ecological shifts with documented shifts in climate or management regime.
- The health of the wetland by identifying the degree to which it has departed from its historical range of variability (Bennion and Battarbee 2007).

In all cases, in Australia, where the shift in ecological condition predated the 1970s, these questions cannot be answered by contemporary ecological research.

For wetlands and their managers, the magnitude of the recent ‘Big Dry’ very much sharpened, perhaps for the first time, their focus on the history of drought and the trajectories of wetland condition subjected to reduced effective rainfall within substantially disturbed catchments. The types of questions asked included:

- What are the effects of clearfell harvesting on aquatic systems?
- What is the natural ecological character of this wetland (e.g. for reviews of status under the Ramsar protocol)?
- What are the main drivers of river and wetland change and what should be the management target for our wetland?
- Is this drought unusual and if so what is the cyclicity of events of this magnitude?

Impact of clearfell harvesting

The lag in vegetation, and so pollen, response to catchment changes often means that palynological evidence is not a decisive measure of the impact of direct human impacts, such as clearfell harvesting. The more responsive nature of the aquatic biota, however, has proved to be incisive, as demonstrated in the case of Tea Tree Swamp on the Delegate River (Gell and
The Delegate River drains the northern slopes of the Errinundra Plateau, East Gippsland, which is a large area of Victoria’s forest estate that was subject to considerable environmental debate in the 1980s (Mercer 1995). Short, fine-resolution pollen and charcoal records were generated with a view to assessing the changes to the catchment through the European period, in association with an ethnohistoric study of catchment settlement and use. While some forest understorey taxa (e.g. \textit{Tasmannia}) were shown to be sensitive to the post-settlement fire regimes, the most dramatic changes in the pollen flora were identified to be in the uppermost sediments. In three different cores, taxa with reproductive life histories sensitive to swamp drying (\textit{Sphagnum} and \textit{Myriophyllum pedunculatum}) increased in representation by orders of magnitude in the upper sediments deposited after 1970 AD (Gell et al. 1993). The timing of this change coincided with the commencement of forest harvesting in the catchment. By the time of the study, almost half the forest had been clearfelled. While the 12,000-year record of Ladd (1979) was of coarser resolution, this very recent change was unprecedented, and attested to the significance of the hydrological impact of ash forest regeneration on this scale (Figure 2). This phenomenon was documented in the classic Correnderrk catchment study using space-for-time substitution (Kuczera 1985), and the combination of this modelling approach and the palaeoecological record (Wilby and Gell 1994) was able to document conclusively the opportunity cost in terms of water yield of intensively harvesting tall, ash forests. The outcome also identified clearfell harvesting as the driver of change that led a state-listed wetland outside its range of historic variability.

\textbf{Figure 2.} The impact of clearfell harvesting as revealed by Sphagnum spores and \textit{Myriophyllum} pollen relative to the 12,000-year record of Ladd (1979) (from Wilby and Gell 1994).
Assessment of natural ecological character

The International Treaty on Wetlands of International Significance was signed in Ramsar, Iran, in 1971. Australia, as a signatory, listed many wetlands in the early years. As part of this process, nominations were required to state the natural ecological character of the wetland, as well as the attributes for which it qualified under a range of criteria. One such wetland was the Coorong, a 110 km long back-dune lagoon adjacent to the mouth of the River Murray. It was listed in 1985 and its ecological character was described as (DEH 2000):

The Coorong, Lake Alexandrina & Lake Albert. 01/11/85; South Australia; 140,500 ha; 35º56'S 139º18'E. National Park, Game Reserves. Shorebird Network Site. A saline to hypersaline lagoon separated from the ocean by a dune peninsula and connected to two lakes forming a wetland system at the river's mouth. The lakes contain fresh to brackish water. The site is of international importance for migratory waterbirds, providing habitat for more than 30% of the waders summering in Australia. The site includes important nesting colonies of waterbirds. The globally endangered Orange-bellied Parrot over-winters on the reserve. The area is noted for its extensive aboriginal, historic and geological sites.

To preserve this saline to hypersaline state, an embargo was placed on releases of freshwater from the upper southeast of South Australia, via Salt Creek. Effectively, no more than 45 ML was to be released lest the condition of the lagoon become too fresh. As the rate of water abstraction across the Murray-Darling Basin increased, and the regional climate shifted from a flood to a drought-dominated regime, the mouth of the Murray River closed and the salinity of the Coorong rapidly increased to as much as 220 g/L in its southern waters. As the salinity increased, the populations of fish and waterbirds, which underpinned the initial nomination, declined. This led to calls for the dedication of 700 GL of river water into the system to save the Coorong and adjacent lakes (Gell 2010). Palaeolimnological evidence from cores taken the length of the Coorong revealed it to have a subsaline history with little direct contribution from the river (Fluin et al. 2007), as revealed by very low levels of the river plankter Aulacoseira granulata (Figure 3). In fact, it was highly reliant on freshwater contributions from Salt Creek. The embargo on these, based on the misidentification of its natural ecological character during its Ramsar listing, as well as the commissioning of weirs to limit the tidal prism into the lakes, was a driver of its hypersalinity. This lead to a depletion in its decomposer flora, which drove a net accumulation of carbon (McKirdy et al. 2010). The elevated carbon oxygen demand led to sediment anoxia which extinguished much of its invertebrate biota and its functional Ruppia autotroph community (Krull et al. 2009; Dick et al. In press). Clearly, the lack of historical context was fatal to the state of this internationally significant wetland and brings into question the merits of the expenditure of several million dollars of research on the contemporary ecology of this degraded system.

Managing floodplain wetlands

The records of wetland change along the floodplain of the River Murray and its tributaries provide evidence for management to understand the drivers of ecological change and the natural ecological condition of wetlands, which may form ‘aspirational’ targets of restoration efforts. While they clearly contradict the views of the irrigation lobby that the case for degradation has been exaggerated (Benson et al. 2003), they also provide clear warnings for managers that the mere provision of environmental flows is not sufficient to alleviate the problems associated with
Several of the Monash diaspora have undertaken research on the limnology (Gell et al. 2002; Tibby et al. 2003; Tibby 2004; Tibby and Reid 2004; Philibert et al. 2006) and palaeolimnology of floodplain wetlands from the upper (Reid et al. 2002; Tibby et al. 2003) to the lowermost reaches above the terminal lakes (Gell et al. 2005a, b, 2007a; Gell and Little 2006; Fluin et al. 2010; Gell 2010). The palaeodiatom records were assembled in a larger database as part of the Environmental Futures Network working group OZPACS (Figure 4), which endeavoured to provide temporal context to the management of Australian ecosystems (Fitzsimmons et al. 2007). A metadata analysis of the Murray Darling Basin sites reveals that considerable change has taken place, and that the diatom assemblages of all MDB sites differ markedly from their ‘natural’ historical range of variability. At a superficial level, this contradicts the claims of Benson et al. (2003), and suggests that the degraded state of the wetlands of the MDB has been underestimated.

Ogden and Reid (Thoms et al. 1999; Ogden 2000; Reid et al. 2002) were the first to demonstrate the demise of the basin’s productive wetlands by illustrating the shift in diatom and cladoceran assemblages from predominantly epiphytic forms to communities dominated by plankton. This shift is a consequence of a change in the light regime, itself impacted by the increased flux in fine sediments, leading to turbid waters. This effect has similarly been revealed in wetlands down-catchment, mainly revealed through the widespread colonisation of sites by excessive sedimentation, salinisation and eutrophication.
low-light-tolerant, tychoplanktonic diatoms within the Fragilariaceae (Gell et al. 2009a). This has been supported by consistent evidence for increased sediment accumulation rates. These have increased five to 80 fold, attaining up to 40mm/year, raising the prospect that these shallow wetlands are at risk of complete infilling. This process is further accelerated through sediment accretion by aquatic plants that have increased their abundance since regulation (Figure 5) and as wetlands infill (Gell et al. 2006). Additionally, there is evidence for early salinisation (Gell et al. 2005b) and eutrophication (Gell and Little 2006), and, more recently, acidification (Gell 2010). Several of these stressors appear to have commenced concurrently, prompting Gell et al. (2007b) to suggest co-variation between drivers of change and stressors on condition. In effect, however, calls for water allocations to reverse the degradation of the wetlands of the Murray Darling Basin are somewhat facile when faced with the body of evidence now assembled more fully revealing their plight.

Figure 4. The metadatabase of diatom records across eastern Australia from the OZPACS website.
Figure 5. The rise of *Typha* after the regulation of the Murray River relative to the past 2700 years.
Drought

It is pleasing to muse that the goals of those who drove the wealth of long-term palaeoclimate research in Australia tended to shy from the environmental debate, and that now, past climates are central to the greatest environmental challenge of our time. It is amusing also to recognise that this has drawn the focus to examining the past 2000 years in detail to provide context, in the manner of the ‘Hockey Stick’ of Mann et al. (1998), to the present climatic circumstances. The assemblage of the record of data of Australia’s climate over this time frame is being advanced by several means, including through the IGBP PAGES-supported AUS2k workshop (Gergis and Turney 2010) and the Palaeoclimates relevant to NRM in the MDB workshop and report (Gell et al. 2009b). While the goal of deriving climate metrics from sediment records remains a challenge, it is clear from the emerging records that droughts of considerable duration have occurred in the recent past (Barr 2010), that wetlands have changed significantly in the absence of industrialised people across this time frame (Mills et al. 2010), and that the recent ‘big dry’ is unusual at the millennial timescale.

Conclusion

While not necessarily the primary goal of Peter Kershaw, a clear legacy of his contribution to palaeoecological science is the production of a diverse array of research, undertaken in fine resolution, that has been directed at addressing recent environmental change with the deliberate and direct intention of informing natural resource management. From this research, we understand that most of our aquatic ecosystems are degraded and are under considerable ongoing stress through increasing fluxes of salts, nutrients and sediments under hydrological dry conditions wrought by a drought of unusual duration and depth. We can say with confidence that many wetlands are now in unprecedented condition and that the demands of managers have never been so acute.

Some of the lessons for managers include the reality that some resource-extraction activities have a clear opportunity cost in terms of water yield. In the context of the recent drought, it is even clearer now that catchment activities should be focussed firstly on prioritising water yield over other consumptive activities. There is a lesson from the Coorong that, where goals for restoration or rehabilitation are to be set, the identification of those goals should include a palaeoecologically derived understanding of the natural condition and audit of the present status before management measures are implemented. A further and perhaps final lesson is that palaeoecology can provide the only means of understanding the drivers of wetland change as contemporary ecological approaches can only experiment on derived systems. The evidence from the MDB wetlands reveals that these sites changed very early after settlement, have been stressed by multiple drivers of change and are unlikely to be remediated in a sustainable way by the mere provision of river water. In this instance, we can advocate that the allocation of scarce water resources should be limited to those sites that have already implemented measures to control the influx of salts, nutrients and sediments.

As a postscript, it can be noted that much of this research emerged in the 20th century but has rarely been incorporated into restoration plans or management measures. It remains true that ‘Despite the obvious importance of the historical approach, there is a reluctance on the part of planners and managers to take full account of its implications’ (Kershaw and Gell 1990:19). It is clear, therefore, that there remains considerable scope for an improved program of the extension of this knowledge to the broader natural resource management community. As with all science, there is both a reluctance to, and considerable incentives not to, engage with research users through the production of outputs and presentation at fora that do not provide...
good return to the academic's institution. While the national research priorities continue to
draw research into applied priorities, and 'Water – a critical resource' is a clear, relevant example,
there remains an obligation for outputs to be palatable for end users so that the management
measures implemented are targeted, timely and effective.
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Introduction

Climatic excursions in the late Pleistocene dramatically reduced habitat available to organisms dependent on forested landscapes (Hopkins et al. 1993; Kershaw 1994; Kershaw et al. 2007; VanDerWal et al. 2009). Pollen analysis and bioclimatic modelling of rainforest in northeastern Queensland indicate the region was subject to massive change during Quaternary glaciations. The consequences for rainforest-dependent species were severe, especially for organisms with limited mobility or adaptability (Schneider et al. 1998). We report here on present-day regional-scale genetic structure in the spectacled flying fox (*Pteropus conspicillatus*), generally assumed to be a rainforest specialist, and on the insights modern-day processes may provide for understanding responses of an extremely mobile animal to Pleistocene habitat contraction and fragmentation.
Figure 1. Sampling locations of Pteropus conspicillatus, indicating sample sizes and gene flow estimates (Nm) among regions and within the Wet Tropics region. Locality codes: PNG = Papua New Guinea, IR = Iron Range, DT = Daintree, CN = Cairns, GV = Gordonvale, WR = Whiteing Road, MC = Mena Creek, TY = Tully, PR = Powley Road, TS = Tolga Scrub, MA = Mareeba.
All flying foxes are potentially extremely mobile and some species make seasonal migrations across hundreds of kilometres, following cycles of fruiting and flowering of favoured food trees (Eby 1991; Tidemann and Nelson 2004). Species such as the little red flying fox (Pteropus scapulatus) and grey-headed flying fox (P. poliocephalus) traverse many hundreds of kilometres in the course of a year and are apparently panmictic (Sinclair et al. 1996; Webb and Tidemann 1996; Luly et al. 2010). In contrast, the spectacled flying fox is considered to be closely associated with rainforest (Richards 1990a, b) and currently has a discontinuous distribution in northeastern Queensland, New Guinea and adjacent islands. By far the largest known populations are associated with coastal and upland rainforest in the Wet Tropics World Heritage Area (hereafter the Wet Tropics) between about Townsville and Cooktown in northeast Queensland (Westcott et al. 2001). A small colony – a few hundred strong at most (Fox 2006) – is found approximately 400 km north of the Wet Tropics in the Iron Range National Park on Cape York Peninsula, where isolated pockets of wet tropical rainforest provide habitat. The species occurs widely and patchily outside Australia (Figure 1), but little is known about population sizes. It is found in the Molucca Islands in Indonesia, in lowland New Guinea from approximately Agats in Irian Jaya, around the north coast to the Port Moresby district in the east and offshore in the D’Entrecasteaux Islands and the Louisiade Archipelago. There is a break in the range on the drier southern coast of New Guinea closest to the tip of Cape York Peninsula (Bonaccorso 1998). The New Guinea distribution is, at its closest, approximately 600 km from the northernmost permanent Australian colony at Iron Range. Radio telemetry and satellite tracking have shown that individual spectacled flying foxes in the Wet Tropics region undertake regular movements between colonies (Shilton pers. comm.). It is not known whether any movement occurs between the Wet Tropics, Iron Range (IR) and Papua New Guinea (PNG), or what movements occur within New Guinea and Indonesia.

Given the assumed habitat specialisation of the spectacled flying fox, the species might be expected to exhibit stronger genetic differentiation across its disjunct range than apparently less specialised congeners. Examining the extent of differentiation, if any, in the modern range of the spectacled flying fox may help inform thinking on the capacity of flying fox species to maintain genetic integrity in the even more severely fragmented habitats that prevailed during glacial episodes. To investigate this, we set out to determine the extent of gene flow between colonies in the Wet Tropics, and between the Wet Tropics as a whole and other regions (IR, PNG) where spectacled flying fox populations occur.

**Materials and methods**

**Samples**

Samples were collected from 718 individual spectacled flying foxes distributed widely across the range of the species (see Figure 1). Sampling effort across the species’ range was very uneven because of the difficulties of gaining access to elusive animals living in remote areas. Many of our samples were obtained from tick-paralysed bats rescued from camps on the Atherton Tableland (location abbreviations as in Figure 1). Other samples came from bats taken for veterinary attention or to be raised by wildlife care groups, the location assigned to such animals being the camp nearest to where they were found. Samples from IR were obtained from bats captured in mist nets. Wing tissue was obtained from living bats following Worthington-Wilmer and Barratt (1996). A small piece of wing membrane was removed from dead bats with scissors. Tissue samples were stored in 5 M NaCl-saturated 20% DMSO (Dimethylsulphoxide) and refrigerated at 4°C until processed.

Samples from PNG were small pieces of wing membrane obtained from skins held in the Australian Museum. Most (seven) were from Hull Island, Milne Bay Province (museum
accession numbers EBU23156, 23157, 23159, 23162, 23164, 23171, 25578). Two came from elsewhere in Milne Bay Province (EBU23179, 26345) and one from West Sepik Province (EBU25020). The remaining five PNG samples were collected in Madang Province courtesy of the Wildlife Conservation Society. The unevenness of sampling from across the species’ range constrained the level of analyses attempted.

**DNA extraction and amplification**

DNA extraction was carried out using a QIAGEN DNeasy™ tissue extraction kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA was used at a final concentration of 3 ng/μL for amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Six microsatellite loci were amplified and scored: one dinucleotide (Ph9) and one trinucleotide (C6) locus characterised from *Pteropus rodricensis* (O’Brien et al. 2007); three dinucleotide loci (PC25b6, PC26a7, PC31h4) and one with a compound repeat (PC36c2) characterised from *P. conspicillatus* by Fox et al. 2007. Primers were labelled with 5’ fluorophores HEX, FAM or TET (Geneworks Ltd). Concentrations of reagents in the PCR reaction mix varied by locus (see Table 1). PCR products were purified by centrifugation through 300 μL of Sephadex (G-50), before being analysed on a MegaBace 1000 Genetic Analyser (Amersham BioSciences™) at the Advanced Analytical Centre, James Cook University. Allele sizes were estimated using the ET 400-Rox (Amersham BioSciences™) internal size standard and the program Fragment Profiler v 1.2 (Amersham BioSciences™).

**Table 1.** Final concentration of reagents used in amplification of each microsatellite locus for 15 μL PCR reactions (including 1.5 mM MgCl2 and 0.4 units Taq DNA polymerase).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locus</th>
<th>MgCl2 (mM)</th>
<th>dNTPs (mM)</th>
<th>Primer (μM)</th>
<th>DNA (ng)</th>
<th>Thermocycler profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PC25b6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC26a7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC36c2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC31h4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data analyses**

All PNG samples were treated as a single ‘colony’. Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) expectations were tested for each locality by locus using GenAlEx v6.1 (Peakall and Smouse 2005). Micro-Checker (van Oosterhout et al. 2006) was used to detect the presence of null alleles, large allele dropout and stuttering. GenAlEx v 6.1 was used to calculate mean and effective numbers of alleles and expected and observed heterozygosities. Allelic richness, calculated in FSTAT (Goudet 1995), was averaged across loci to give mean allelic richness by locality. Numbers of private alleles, and the number of migrants (N_m) between regions per generation using the private alleles method (Slatkin 1985), were calculated using GenePop (Raymond and Rousset 1995).

Two approaches were used to measure genetic differentiation among localities. Firstly, an analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA), which accounts for gene frequencies and number of mutations, was calculated for $F_{ST}$ as well as $R_{ST}$ using GenAlEx. Pairwise $F_{ST}$ (following Peakall et al. 1995), and $R_{ST}$ (following Michalakis and Excoffier 1996) values were
also calculated, and a principal coordinates analysis (PCA) was performed using a distance matrix. All significance tests were based on 999 random permutations. Secondly, an exact test based on an unbiased estimate of the p-value of a log-likelihood (G) was performed using a Markov Chain method in GenePop. This is a more powerful way of testing for panmixia using multiple loci and unbalanced sample sizes (Ryman and Jorde 2001; Waples and Gaggiotti 2006) Genotypic differentiation across population pairs was calculated using a Markov Chain of 1000 dememorisations, 1000 batches and 10,000 iterations per batch. $F_{IS}$ by locality and locus was calculated following Weir and Cockerham (1984) in Fstat.

An analysis of isolation-by-distance (IBD) was performed using the program isolde in GenePop. This uses a regression of $F_{ST}/(1- F_{ST})$ against the shortest distance (in kilometres) between any two localities. A Bayesian population assignment protocol implemented in structure v2.1 (Pritchard et al. 2000) was used to infer the number of populations represented in the data and to assign individuals to those populations. Models were run for 1–15 putative populations. Conditions for running structure included a model run burn-in procedure of 100,000 replicates, followed by 100,000 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulations, using the admixture model with allele frequencies correlated between populations. Longer burn-in and MCMC trials were performed but likelihood values were not improved up to 250,000 replicates for each. Ten iterations were performed for each putative number of populations (K).

Evidence of population expansion/contraction was tested using the program Bottleneck v 1.2.02 (Piry et al. 1999). This program evaluates deviation from a theoretical mutation-drift equilibrium and is expressed as the difference between the measured heterozygosity ($H_e$, defined and discussed further in Piry et al. 1999), and the heterozygosity expected at mutation-drift equilibrium ($H_{eq}$). Luikart and Cornuet (1998) suggested that the Wilcoxon’s test is appropriate for data sets with fewer than 20 loci. Although only six loci were used in this analysis, the highly polymorphic nature of all loci will increase the power of the test. The one-tailed Wilcoxon’s test for heterozygosity excess and the sign test were used for both mutation models (infinite alleles model IAM, and step-wise mutation model SMM). Estimations were made over 1000 replicates. Each locality was tested individually and then all Wet Tropics localities were combined and treated as a single population.

**Results**

**Genetic diversity, Hardy Weinberg equilibrium and linkage disequilibrium**

All microsatellite loci exhibited high levels of polymorphism, with the number of alleles recorded ranging from 14 (locus C6) to 22 (locus Ph9). Twenty-three private alleles were detected across all localities and loci. Significant departures from Hardy Weinberg expectations were recorded in the PR, TS and WR localities for one, four and two loci respectively. There was no pattern to the loci that were out of Hardy Weinberg equilibrium. Loci were known not to be linked (Fox et al. 2007).

Evidence of locus stutter, which was attributed to a possible single base mutation but not further verified, was detected by Micro-Checker. No loci showed evidence of large allele drop-out. Several loci showed a homozygous excess. However it was not possible to discriminate between normal population processes and the presence of null alleles. We have assumed the former in our analyses.

Table 2 presents allele frequency statistics by locality. The mean number of alleles per population (across all loci) ranged from 10.0 to 16.2, except for three localities (PNG, MA and TY), two of which had small sample sizes, where the mean number of alleles per population ranged from 6.7 to 7.5 (Table 2). Mean allelic richness by locality over all loci ranged from
5.7 to 7.2 (Table 2). There was no significant difference across localities, but mean allelic richness was significantly lower, at loci PC36c2, C6 and PC31h4 (data not shown). Overall, mean expected and observed heterozygosity was high ($H_e = 0.79; H_o = 0.78$). Across localities, $H_e$ ranged from 0.83 in DT to 0.75 in PNG, while $H_o$ ranged from 0.82 in TY to 0.74 in PNG (Table 2). Weir and Cockerham's $f(F_{IS})$ by locality and locus indicated that some populations exhibit excess heterozygosity or a deficit at some loci, although no single population or locus consistently deviated from zero. Averaged over all localities and loci ($0.051$) $f$ was low and not significant.

Table 2. Summary of mean standard allele frequency statistics by locality. Na = mean number of alleles across loci; Ne = effective number of alleles; Ho = observed heterozygosity; He = expected heterozygosity; A. Rich = allelic richness across loci. Refer to Figure 1 for locality codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Ne</th>
<th>Ho</th>
<th>He</th>
<th>A. Rich.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PNG</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>5.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>6.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>6.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>7.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>6.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>6.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>6.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TY</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>6.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>6.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Genetic Differentiation**

When the three regions (PNG, IR, Wet Tropics) were compared, AMOVA generated a low but significant $F_{ST}$ value of 0.041 ($p=0.001$) (Table 3), with most variability found among individuals within populations (96%). Four percent of the total variation occurred among regions ($p=0.001$). Using $R_{ST}$ values, a larger proportion (17%) and a significant amount of the variability was found among regions ($p=0.01$). Neither $F_{ST}$ nor $R_{ST}$ pair-wise comparisons of populations were significant after Bonferroni correction, but many were significant before this correction was applied (Table 4). It is worth noting that all between-locality $R_{ST}$ comparisons, including IR, are high, supporting and possibly driving the greater regional $R_{ST}$ result. In the PCA, 90% of the variation could be explained by the first axis and a further 6% was described by the second axis (Figure 2). The PCA highlights the close relationship between localities within the Wet Tropics region, and a distinct difference between Iron Range and the Wet Tropics. The PNG samples are separated from all other localities, mainly on the second axis.

Calculated using Fisher’s method of combining probabilities across independent tests, revealed 20 locality pairs (out of 55 possible comparisons) as significantly different (before correction for multiple tests), with the majority of those 20 pairs including either PNG or IR. Once the level of significance was adjusted, seven pairs remained significant, six containing PNG and one of the Wet Tropics populations, the last pair containing IR and the Cairns (Wet Tropics) population.

The number of migrants per generation ($N_m$), estimated using the conservative method of private alleles, was low between the Wet Tropics and PNG ($N_m = 1.5$), greater between IR and PNG ($N_m = 2.4$), and greater again between IR and the Wet Tropics ($N_m = 3.9$). These figures suggest low levels of movement between regions. A relatively high gene flow was inferred.
Figure 2. Two-dimensional plot of principal co-ordinates analysis (PCA) based on population pairwise Fst values for sampled locations of *Pteropus conspicillatus*, using a standardised distance analysis. The first two axes explain 96% of the variation of the data set (axis 1 = 90% and axis 2 = 6%). Refer to Figure 1 for locality codes. Note that points for PR and WR are superimposed.

Table 3. Amova estimates of genetic variation among three regions (WT, IR and PNG), among localities and among individuals in the spectacled flying fox.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>Fixation indices</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Percentage of variation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>F-statistics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among regions</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.57</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among populations within regions¹</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27.66</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among individuals within pops</td>
<td>1423</td>
<td>3558.94</td>
<td>2.501</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R-statistics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among regions</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3986.94</td>
<td>27.92</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among populations within regions¹</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1755.59</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Among individuals within pops</td>
<td>1423</td>
<td>187176.63</td>
<td>131.54</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>82.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Note that regions PNG and IR are each assumed to consist of one population for the purposes of this analysis.
Table 4. Pairwise F$_{ST}$ values (below diagonal) and R$_{ST}$ (above diagonal). None was significant after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (Dunn Sidak method – [Sokal and Rohlf 1995]). * significant before Bonferroni correction. Pairwise R$_{ST}$ values with IR as one of the localities are shown in bold. Refer to Figure 1 for locality codes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pop</th>
<th>PNG</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>DT</th>
<th>GV</th>
<th>MA</th>
<th>MC</th>
<th>PR</th>
<th>TS</th>
<th>TY</th>
<th>WR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PNG</td>
<td>0.366*</td>
<td>0.086*</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.050*</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.036*</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.113*</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>0.090*</td>
<td>0.152*</td>
<td>0.340*</td>
<td>0.222*</td>
<td>0.112*</td>
<td>0.332*</td>
<td>0.290*</td>
<td>0.219*</td>
<td>0.287*</td>
<td>0.256*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>0.056*</td>
<td>0.042*</td>
<td>0.069*</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.020*</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.062*</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
<td>0.062*</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
<td>0.047*</td>
<td>0.107*</td>
<td>0.041*</td>
<td>0.020*</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.079*</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV</td>
<td>0.036*</td>
<td>0.041*</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>0.054*</td>
<td>0.043*</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.075*</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
<td>0.053*</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
<td>0.049*</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS</td>
<td>0.028*</td>
<td>0.054*</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TY</td>
<td>0.031*</td>
<td>0.071*</td>
<td>0.018*</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
<td>0.047*</td>
<td>0.010*</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

among localities within the Wet Tropics region (Nm=15.7).

A significant IBD effect was found throughout the range of *P. conspicillatus* ($R^2=0.47$, $p=0.003$) (Figure 3a). To ensure that this pattern was not solely created by differences between Australia and PNG, the data from PNG samples were removed and the test repeated using only Australian samples. A non-significant result was obtained $R^2=0.17$, $p=0.10$) (Figure 3b), indicating that there is weak differentiation between the Wet Tropics localities and Iron Range. *STRUCTURE* results (not shown) did not support the occurrence of multiple populations, as all individuals shared proportional assignment to multiple populations when $K>1$.

Statistical analysis of allele frequencies using the program *BOTTLENECK* indicated that the majority of localities conformed to the Infinite Alleles Model (IAM). A significant excess of heterozygotes relative to that expected under mutation-drift equilibrium was exhibited by TS, PR and WR. A significant result under the IAM for the sign test and the one-tailed Wilcoxon test was detected in PR and WR (Table 5). One locality, PR, was only significant for the one-tailed Wilcoxon test under the IAM model. When combined, all Wet Tropics populations showed a significant result for a bottleneck under the Wilcoxon test.

Table 5. Significance values (p-values) for the sign and Wilcoxon’s tests for a heterozygosity excess relative to that expected under mutation-drift equilibrium in *BOTTLENECK*. * Marginally significant ($p = 0.05$). ** Significant ($p <0.05$) *** Highly significant ($p <0.01$). Refer to Figure 1 for locality codes. Shaded locality codes are from the Wet Tropics region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Sign test</th>
<th>Wilcoxon test (One-tailed for H excess)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PNG</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>0.502</td>
<td>0.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>0.203</td>
<td>0.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GV</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC</td>
<td>0.481</td>
<td>0.656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>0.047*</td>
<td>0.008**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.023*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TY</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>0.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WR</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.039*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Wet Tropics</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.016**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 3. Relationship between genetic distance, calculated using \( F_{st}/(1-F_{st}) \), and the natural logarithm of geographical distance (km). A. Test of isolation-by-distance between localities throughout the entire distribution of the spectacled flying fox \( (Pteropus conspicillatus) \) \( (R^2 = 0.47, p = 0.003) \) (including two separate PNG sampling locations). B. Test of isolation-by-distance for colonies of spectacled flying foxes within Australia \( (R^2 = 0.17, p = 0.104) \).

Discussion

In the Wet Tropics region, the spectacled flying fox is panmictic, with no impediments to gene flow detected among colonies throughout the region. Little genetic differentiation was observed between Iron Range and the Wet Tropics region. At the very broadest scale, there is weak genetic structuring across the range of the species. Bats in PNG differ from those in Australia, reflecting the effects of IBD across spatial and topographic barriers, but differences are slight, suggestive either of continuing but rare exchange of individuals between the regions or of relatively recent fragmentation of a previously continuous range.

The Wet Tropics World Heritage Area is repository for the greatest genetic diversity in the spectacled flying fox, suggesting that colonies in this area are part of a large, long-established metapopulation. This finding contrasts with suggestions that the species is a relatively recent
immigrant from PNG or southeast Asia (McKean 1970; Schodde and Calaby 1972). Our conclusion of panmixia is consistent with radio and satellite tracking of individual bats moving throughout the Wet Tropics region (Shilton pers. comm.) and suggests that these movements may underlie the high rate of gene flow within this region. No bats have yet been detected moving between the Wet Tropics and Iron Range, but the low genetic differentiation between these regions suggests either that occasional reproductively effective movements occur, or that there have been few generations since colonisation and isolation of IR.

Application of Bottleneck analysis to samples from the Wet Tropics region suggests a marked reduction in spectacled flying fox numbers at some time in the past. The effect is discernable when Bottleneck is applied individually to the largest sample groups (PR, TS and WR) and when all Wet Tropics samples are pooled. Sample sizes from PNG and IR were inadequate for a meaningful test. The timing of the bottleneck in the Wet Tropics cannot be determined as we are unable to estimate effective population size. However, palaeoenvironmental reconstructions (Hopkins et al. 1993; Graham et al. 2006; Hilbert et al. 2007; Kershaw et al. 2007; VanDerWal et al. 2009) show that rainforest in the Wet Tropics Region was severely reduced through the last glacial cycle, and recovered to approach its pre-European extent in the early Holocene. Habitat contraction provides a potential cause for the decreased populations suggested by Bottleneck. Taken at face value, the genetic data appear to indicate that habitat reductions, and the climate changes that caused them, must have been modest rather than severe in amplitude and that a substantial amount of suitable habitat persisted through the Last Glacial Maximum. This interpretation is at variance with palaeoenvironmental reconstructions of LGM climate and vegetation and illustrates the need for multi-disciplinary perspectives on interpretation of the past, no matter what proxies are employed.

The effect of the unavoidably uneven sampling effort among localities limits the extent to which some relationships can be analysed and conclusions drawn. In particular, interpretations of weak differences in genetic structure at the broadest sampling scale should be made cautiously. The geographical gap between PNG and Australian bats is reflected in a measurable IBD effect. However, analyses in Structure did not differentiate between regions but instead suggested that all *P. conspicillatus* samples, including those from PNG and IR, came from a single genetic population. Small sample sizes from some localities (Waples and Gaggiotti 2006) and the frequency of common alleles (data not shown) among the PNG samples would have reduced the ability of Structure to resolve what may be subtle differences in population genetic structure. Thus, population similarity between PNG and Australia might reflect a type II error rather than a meaningful biological finding, and further sampling in PNG and elsewhere is needed to confirm these results.

The weak genetic distinction between bats in Australia and PNG suggests that there is at least some interchange between regions. Estimated numbers of migrants between Australia (especially the Wet Tropics) and PNG are low. Although one migrant per generation is theoretically sufficient to offset genetic drift between populations (Mills and Allendorf 1996; Whitlock and McCauley 1999), in ‘real world’ scenarios, especially where population sizes fluctuate (Vucetich and Waite 2000), 10–20 migrants per generation may be needed to slow drift-induced divergence. Movement of spectacled flying foxes between Australia and PNG has not yet been recorded but, based on the apparent interchange between the Wet Tropics and Iron Range, the distance is not insurmountable. Return flights do occur to PNG by the black flying fox (*Pteropus alecto*), an apparent habitat generalist, via Torres Strait (Breed et al. 2010). The limited genetic differentiation between Australia and PNG would be consistent with occasional cross-Torres Strait flights of spectacled flying foxes. It would also be consistent with a geologically recent disjunction between Australian and PNG bats. A much more intensive sampling effort in PNG will be required to draw further conclusions with confidence.
In Australia, weak inter-regional differentiation is readily understandable in the light of the capacity of the spectacled flying fox for strong and sustained flight and its apparent plasticity of habitat use at times of environmental stress. Although the spectacled flying fox rarely roosts more than 6 km from wet tropical rainforest, and has long been assumed to feed primarily on rainforest species (Richards 1990a, b), in reality individuals regularly feed on a wide variety of non-rainforest species, including eucalypts (Eucalyptus spp., Corymbia spp.) in tall open forests adjoining rainforest communities and in tropical woodland and savanna ecosystems (Parsons 2005; Parsons et al. 2006). When circumstances require it, the species is able to cross, or survive within, substantial tracts of sclerophyllous vegetation, as illustrated by the dispersal response elicited by severe tropical cyclone Larry (Shilton et al. 2008). This event occurred in March 2006, caused massive damage to rainforest across a broad swathe of the Wet Tropics, and was followed by near total evacuation of known haunts of the spectacled flying fox in the region (Shilton et al. 2008). A year after the cyclone, the majority of animals had returned to the Wet Tropics from wherever it was that they had found refuge.

Such catastrophic events might be the trigger for colonisation of distant patches of suitable habitat, such as IR. There is no evidence that the Wet Tropics animals moved en masse to IR after Cyclone Larry, as no field surveys were conducted. However, any such dispersal could lead to levels of gene flow between Australian regions, and conceivably beyond, sufficient to offset divergence through genetic drift. Iron Range and PNG are themselves prone to natural disasters (cyclones, perhaps also volcanic eruptions in PNG) which could disperse populations. Given that severe tropical cyclones are frequent on a micro-evolutionary time-scale, these could be potent drivers of dispersal and population mixing in a volant species such as the spectacled flying fox. Fleeing a disaster is not an option open to an overwhelming majority of rainforest inhabitants of the Wet Tropics region: localised extinction is a more likely event (Schneider et al. 1998).

We have established that there is substantial gene flow between colonies in the Wet Tropics, but some, albeit rather weak, genetic structure when the three regions are considered (Wet Tropics, IR and PNG). Does current gene flow between widely separated habitats provide a model for survival of the species during glacial periods? It appears that under present-day conditions, the spectacled flying fox is able to maintain functional gene flow across distances of several hundred kilometres of apparently unsuitable habitat. When considered against the modelled extent of potential Pleistocene habitat in Australia (VanDerWal et al. 2009), we conclude that the mobility of this species, in combination with its habitat plasticity, evident under both stressed and normal circumstances, would allow gene flow to occur reasonably freely throughout the region and between isolated rainforest patches at that time.
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