

## Guest editors' introduction to special theme issue: Reflective practices

Patrick Alan Danaher, Faculty of Education and Centre for Research in Transformative Pedagogies, Toowoomba Campus, University of Southern Queensland, Australia, [danaher@usq.edu.au](mailto:danaher@usq.edu.au)

André P. Grace, Department of Educational Policy Studies, Faculty of Education, University of Alberta, Canada, [andre.grace@ualberta.ca](mailto:andre.grace@ualberta.ca)

*Studies in LEID* is an international journal of scholarship and research that supports emerging scholars and the development of evidence-based practice in education.  
© Copyright of articles is retained by authors. As an open access journal, articles are free to use, with proper attribution, in educational and other non-commercial settings.  
ISSN 1832-2050

### Rationale

This special theme issue of *Studies in Learning, Evaluation, Innovation and Development* is entitled *Reflective Practices: Promoting Professional Learning in Contemporary Workplaces*. Current rhetoric constructs such workplaces as learning organisations and communities of practice facilitating situated learning (Anderson, Reder, & Simon, 1997; Lave & Wenger, 1993) where the effective attainment of outcomes depends on employees at all levels renewing their professional learning and contributing to ongoing efficiencies. Potential barriers to the promotion of this view of professional learning include employees who might take a different view of their roles and/or organisations failing to accept and build on that learning.

Reflective practice (Schön, 1987) is a key pre-requisite if such professional learning is to energise contemporary workplaces and to enhance individual and institutional development. This issue of the journal canvasses a range of evidence-based strategies deployed by the contributing authors to engage in reflective practices of various kinds in their respective workplaces, with a view to evaluating their effectiveness and their impact on professional learning. What emerges from that engagement is a recognition of the situated character of reflection and of the complexity – even the confusion – of influences on its likely efficacy in initiating and sustaining productive change and lifelong learning.

The impetus for the theme issue came from the work of participants in a workshop that the guest editors conducted about researching and publishing in lifelong learning at the 3<sup>rd</sup> international lifelong learning conference hosted by the Central Queensland University Library at Rydges Capricorn International Resort in June 2004 (with the participants representing universities and training organisations in Queensland, South Australia and New Zealand). Out of that workshop and subsequent discussions grew a commitment by the participating authors and the guest editors to bring together a broad range of articles exploring the intersection among reflective practice, professional learning and the character and influence of contemporary workplaces. That commitment was enlarged and deepened by other authors who did not participate in the workshop, but whose work has enabled them to make a significant contribution to the discussion.

The rationale for this focus on reflective practices is threefold. Firstly, there is a growing specialised literature, to which this theme issue seeks to contribute, on contemporary workplaces and the ways in which they facilitate and/or inhibit professional learning. Much of this literature emphasises the innovative and positive opportunities afforded by new technologies and work practices and influenced by broader socioeconomic changes, such as change management, collaboration, mobile work and teleworking (Becker, Quinn, & Tennessen, 1995; Becker, Rappaport, Quinn, & Sims, 1992; Becker & Tennessen, 1995; Becker, Tennessen, & Dahl, 1996). By contrast, other studies have highlighted workplaces as sites of overt and covert discrimination and marginalisation for particular groups of people. For example, the gendered composition of such workplaces has been demonstrated to be both significant and often marginalising for women (Acker, 1991; Moore, 2003; Probert, 2002). Similarly, despite progress on a number of fronts, workplace racism persists, particularly in disguised or unrecognised forms (Transport Salaried Staffs' Association, n.d.). These kinds of characteristics and developments form the broader context against which individual experiences of workplaces as facilitating and/or hindering professional learning need to be understood.

Secondly, there is a growing recognition that professional learning is not an 'optional extra', but rather a crucial element in harnessing energies and maximising outcomes in contemporary workplaces (International Labour Office, 2002; see also Campaign for Learning, n.d.). Yet such energies and outcomes depend for their attainment as much on individuals as on institutions. It is therefore vital to record and listen to the wide array of individual perspectives represented in this theme issue.

Thirdly, there is value in contemporary analysis in contesting the notion of the reflective practitioner (Schön, 1987). While there is an intuitive identification with a process of reflecting on one's work in order to enhance it, there are at least two potential challenges to the utility and viability of such a concept. One such challenge is the postmodernist critique of the idea(1) of a unified self; the other challenge is the fractured and fragmented character of many contemporary workplaces that function as sociocultural sites which are framed by the nexus of culture, language, social relationships, and power and in which autonomous agency is downplayed and even extinct. This theme issue therefore presents an opportunity for authors and readers alike to evaluate the effectiveness and relevance of reflective practices as a concept and a process in the early 21<sup>st</sup> century.

## Overview

In the first article, Stephanie White from the Christchurch College of Education in New Zealand deploys her own reflective practices and experiential knowledge as a liaison lecturer to explore 10 final-year student-teachers' experiences of situated learning – linking the contextualised teaching of knowledge and skills with their prospective use in real life situations – during fieldwork experience in primary school classrooms. The author analyses qualitative data gleaned from a range of sources in terms of five key themes: learning situated in authentic settings; engagement between novice and expert; the opportunity to employ strategies that assist learning; the opportunity to shift learning between contexts and situations; and the opportunity to engage in communities of learning and practice. White argues that there is a strong link between the student-teachers' capacity and opportunity for situated learning on the one hand and the effectiveness of their reflective practices on the other, and that there are specific strategies (such as

through action research) in which both student-teachers and supervising teachers can engage that are likely to enhance that link.

Nicolette Lee from the Swinburne University of Technology in Australia uses the second article to propose a variation on Laurillard's well-known 2002 Conversational Framework in order to incorporate experiential learning in a design studio setting, the focus of the author's teaching. Lee draws on her own experiential knowledge to argue against the privileging of abstract knowledge at the cost of experiential learning. Reflective practice emerges in the context of this particular type of workplace as being both a valuable tool for thinking and action and composed of multiple sources of information and forms of knowledge construction.

The third article, by Kerri-Lee Harris and Richard James of the Centre for the Study of Higher Education at the University of Melbourne in Australia, highlights the enduringly significant and sometimes controversial policies and practices associated with university student assessment. The authors explain the careful thought and their own experiential knowledge that underpinned the development and implementation of the first-named author's *Guide for Reviewing Assessment* (Harris, 2005), which was based on seven generic principles of good practice: matching assessment, learning exercises and objectives; variety and complexity in assessment; the timing of assessment; informing students; equity and fairness; feedback to students; and feedback to staff. Harris and James ponder the factors that facilitate and inhibit the broader adoption of this set of reflective practices in a particular university workplace.

In the fourth article, Judy Nagy from Deakin University in Australia also investigates the issue of assessment as it frames the intricate interplay between student plagiarism and customising learning to individual student cohorts in a postgraduate course aimed mainly at international students. The author associates changes to student assessment to concerns about cheating as well as to a situated move to a more teacher-centred approach to course pedagogy. What was striking in this study was that reflection was located in the specific context of the course's development and was in turn the catalyst for pedagogical and assessment changes that made sense in that context but that Nagle acknowledges might be seen differently by other academics.

Despite the diversity of evidence-based strategies, reflective practices and contemporary workplaces canvassed in these articles, there are some important common elements that speak to the continuing relevance of professional learning in all its forms. One element is the recognition and valuing of multiple sources and types of knowledge, including the experiential. A second element is an understanding of change as both a constant factor and the opportunity – when approached with goodwill and insight – for beneficial improvement. A third element is the situated character of the reflective practices and the professional learning; it makes a fundamental and profound difference when, where, why and by whom they are enacted and engaged. If these and other lessons are learned and embraced, workplaces of all kinds are likely to be happier and more meaningful and productive sites of teaching and learning in the broadest sense.

## **Acknowledgments**

The guest editors acknowledge gratefully the articles written by the contributing authors, both those who participated in the original workshop and those who

agreed subsequently to be involved. The editors of *Studies in Learning, Evaluation, Innovation and Development*, particularly Geoff Danaher, Jeanne McConachie and Fons Nouwens, have been helpful and hospitable in creating a home for the issue in the journal. The anonymous referees of the submitted articles have enhanced the clarity and coherence of the published texts.

## References

- Acker, J. (1991). Hierarchies, jobs, bodies: A theory of gendered organisations. In J. Lorber & S. Farrell (Eds.), *The social construction of gender* (pp. 163–179). Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Anderson, J. R., Reder, L. M., & Simon, H. A. (1997). Situative versus cognitive perspectives: Form versus substance. *The Educational Researcher*, 26(1), 18–21.
- Becker, F. D., Quinn, K. L., & Tennessen, C. M. (1995). *Ecology of collaborative work*. Ithaca, NY: International Workplace Studies Program, College of Human Ecology, Cornell University.
- Becker, F. D., Rappaport, A. J., Quinn, K. L., & Sims, W. R. (1992). *Telework centers: An evaluation of the North American and Japanese experience*. Ithaca, NY: International Workplace Studies Program, College of Human Ecology, Cornell University.
- Becker, F. D., & Tennessen, C. M. (1995). *Social connectivity in the mobile workplace*. Ithaca, NY: International Workplace Studies Program, College of Human Ecology, Cornell University.
- Becker, F. D., Tennessen, C. M., & Dahl, L. M. (1996). *Managing workplace change*. Ithaca, NY: International Workplace Studies Program, College of Human Ecology, Cornell University.
- Campaign for Learning. (n.d.). *Why get involved?* Retrieved May 7, 2005, from <http://www.campaign-for-learning.org.uk/campaigns/learningatwork/lawday/law02buscase.htm>
- Harris, K.-L. (2005). *Guide for reviewing assessment*. Melbourne, Vic, Australia: Centre for the Study of Higher Education, University of Melbourne. Retrieved October 25, 2006, from [http://www.cshe.unimelb.edu.au/downloads/assess\\_sep05.pdf](http://www.cshe.unimelb.edu.au/downloads/assess_sep05.pdf)
- International Labour Office. (2002). *Learning and training for work in the knowledge society*. Geneva, Switzerland: Author.
- Laurillard, D. (2002). *Rethinking university teaching: A framework for the effective use of learning technologies* (2nd ed.). London, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- Lave, J., & Wenger, E. (1993). *Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation*. Oakland, Vic, Australia: Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge.
- Moore, T. G. (2003, June). *The gap between hope and happening: Feminist consciousness meets phallogocentric smog in a regional Australian university*. Unpublished Doctor of Philosophy thesis, Faculty of Education and Creative Arts, Central Queensland University, Rockhampton, QLD, Australia.
- Probert, B. (2002). 'Grateful slaves' or 'self-made women': A matter of choice or policy. *Australian Feminist Studies*, 17(37), 7–17.
- Schön, D. A. (1987). *Educating the reflective practitioner: Toward a new design for teaching and learning in the professions*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Transport Salaried Staffs' Association. (n.d.). *Hidden racism still pervades British workplaces*. Retrieved May 7, 2005, from [http://www.tssa.org.uk/article-48.php3?id\\_article=1773](http://www.tssa.org.uk/article-48.php3?id_article=1773)