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Abstract

Although the topic of market segmentation has been discussed extensively in the mainstream marketing literature, limited attention has been paid to segmentation for product strategy development in database marketing. In order to provide a framework for how the database is segmented for product strategy, this research undertook in-depth interview and case studies to explore the process. Four main issues were developed for investigation including: what bases and variables, sequence of bases, sources of information and method of segmentation were used during product development. The findings of this research showed that the database is segmented during two stages of product strategy development: product research and testing. The main bases used for research and testing is behavioural data stored in the firm’s database. During research and testing of the product, the database is segmented mainly on behaviour with demographics being added in certain industries. Attitudinal data is merely used to understand the needs of behavioural segments rather than to segment database customers. The sequence of bases applied to segmentation for research and testing is usually that of behaviour followed by demographics. Furthermore, segmentation for idea generation, testing and research is based solely on data from a firm’s internal database (to the exclusion of external databases) and only the ‘a priori’ segmentation method is applied to the database. The research showed that the industry type only impacted on the types of bases used but not on other aspects of segmentation.

Introduction

Market segmentation is a core application of mainstream (Kotler, Adam, Brown & Armstrong 2001) and database marketing (Master 2000; Drozdenko 2002; Levin & Zahavi 2002). Indeed, this topic has received much attention in the mainstream marketing scholarly literature over the past decades. For example, elements of segmentation which have been discussed in the literature include the appropriateness of bases and variables used for segmentation (Hanson 1996; Vyncke 2002), the focus of the firm and its impact on segmentation processes (Datta 1996; Jenkins & McDonald 1997), effectiveness and attractiveness of segments (Kotler, Chandler, Brown & Adam 2001) and the sequence of applying bases during segmentation (Sampson 1992).

Despite this extensive coverage, there appears to be limited research that explores how segmentation is carried out for strategy development in database marketing. That is, the literature has explored some aspects of database segmentation on its own (for example, Schoenbachler, Gordon, Foley, & Spellman, 1997; Adolf, Grant-
Thompson, Harrington, & Singer, 1997) or in relation to promotional strategy
development (for example, Carr 1994), however, this coverage has not been
comprehensive with authors discussing some issues only and discussing them in
isolation from one another. Furthermore, there is no comprehensive study which
explores segmentation issues in relation to product strategy development in database
marketing. Therefore, in order to cover this gap, this research will address the
following problem: how do database marketers use their customer databases to
segment the market for product development?

**Segmentation elements in database marketing.** Given the limited research about
segmentation for product strategy development in database marketing, four issues for
further research were developed based upon both the mainstream and database
marketing literature. The first issue relates to deciding which bases and variables are
most relevant for database segmentation for product strategy development. Six bases
for segmentation were identified in the literature including demographic, geographic
(Keegan, Moriarty & Duncan 1995), geodemographic (Jackson & Wang 1994),
psychographic (Nancarrow & Wright 1999) behavioural (Kotler, Adam Brown &
Armstrong 2001) and attitudinal bases (Greenberg & McDonald 1989), although
geodemographics tends to be associated solely with database marketing.

However, although segmentation bases have been discussed in the literature, it is
unclear which of these bases are most appropriate for new product development in
database marketing. For example, one thought is that the attitudinal base (that is needs
and benefits or product functions) is most useful for new product development
(Greenberg & McDonald 1989; DeTienne & Thompson 1996; Wu & Wu 2000).
Another thought is that behaviour should be used for database marketing
segmentation because it is based on ‘concrete reality’ (Rosenfield 1992, p. 16). Yet a
third thought is that demographics and psychographics are the best bases for new
product development (Nancarrow & Wright 1999). Therefore, there is uncertainty
about which bases are most appropriate for database segmentation for product
development.

**Sequencing of bases.** The issue of segmentation bases raises a second issue: what is
the sequencing involved in segmentation when more than one base is being used
together to segment a market? There is contradiction in the literature. One argument is
that behavioural segmentation should precede attitudinal segmentation (Haynes,
Helmes & Casavant 1992; Morrall 1996; Adolf et al 1997). Opposing this argument is
that attitudinal data should precede behavioural data (Haley 1968). That is, customers
should be firstly segmented according to the needs/benefits (attitudinal base) that they
have, and then re-segmented based on behaviour, demographics, and/or
psychographics (Haley 1968). Therefore, there appears to be some contradiction in
the literature about the sequencing of bases and this needs to be investigated in
relation to product strategies in database marketing.

**Methods used to derive segments.** The above discussion of bases also gives rise to a
third issue, that is, how to derive segments. There are three methods for segmenting
the market: ‘a priori’ segmentation, hypothesised segmentation and unstructured
segmentation (Haley 1968; Sampson 1992; Wind 1978). In ‘a priori’ segmentation,
the segments are predefined according to some criteria such as demographics, or
behaviour to find out why they differ (Haley 1968; Wind 1978; Green & Krieger
1991). In *hypothesised* segmentation, how and why segments differ is investigated based on primary research (Sampson 1992). Finally, in *unstructured* segmentation or cluster-based segmentation, what segments exists and how and why are all unknown, and statistical techniques (Wind 1978; Wedel & Steenkamp 1991; Ables 1997) are used to arrive at a segment. While the mainstream marketing literature states that the hypothesised and unstructured segmentation are the most accurate methods (Haley 1968; Wills & Wills 1992; Sampson 1992; Houghton & Oulabi 1993), there is no indication of which method is most appropriate for database segmentation on its own and specifically in relation product strategies.

**Information source used to obtain segmentation bases and variables.** The final segmentation issue investigated in this paper relates to the various sources from which data can be obtained about each base. Effective segmentation depends on using the most effective bases and this in turn depends on accessing appropriate data sources. Data can be acquired from three sources in database marketing: internal databases, internal field research, and external databases (Jackson & Wang 1994). Internal database usually contain information such as customers’ names, addresses, key demographic variables, past purchasing and payment history (Van Raaij & Verhallen 1994, p. 56). The second source, field research information (such as customer surveys) enhances the internal database information by including internally available information that is not on the database. (Cameron & Targett 1992). Finally, external sources of data usually include outside commercial databases that provide information such as demographics, lifestyles and other behavioural data. (Bickert 1997). The issue that arises from this discussion is that it is unclear which source(s) are most relevant for product strategies in database marketing.

In summary, this research is justified because segmentation related issues outlined above have not been discussed in relation to product strategy development in database marketing.

**Methods**

Given the gaps in the literature, this research relied on exploratory data to develop and confirm theory about the research problem (King 1994). Therefore, data was collected through case study interviews. Case research was used because this research involved an investigation of a real-life, pre-paradigmatic body of knowledge about a dynamic and contemporary phenomenon where the boundaries between the phenomenon and the context are not clear (Yin 1994). A total of 11 interviews were conducted with managers of 9 firms (cases) in 9 industries. This number of cases was used because it fell within the guidelines for case research. That is, although the there are no rules for the number of cases that should be used in research (Perry 1994), this research followed the guidelines set out by Hedges (1985) and Eisenhardt (1989) which suggest no more than 12 cases or between 4 and 10 cases should be used, respectively. The names of the 11 firms have been disguised in order to maintain confidentiality. Therefore, in the analysis, organizations are referred to by the name of the industry in which they operate such as Financial1, Financial 2, Automobile, Telecommunication, Publishing 1, Publishing 2, Charity, Fast Food, Art, Photography and Consumer Goods. All of the firms in this study are established, well-known organizations nationally and in some cases internationally.
Findings and discussion

Cases used for analysis. Research results show that product strategy for customers is an important database marketing strategy. Of the 11 firms interviewed, 7 (64%) had segmented their databases for product strategy formulation because they felt that the customer is an important part of the process. The remaining four firms did not implement this strategy through the database because their database was not large enough to allow it or because they did not usually develop new products. Next, how the database is segmented is discussed in relation to the seven firms only.

Results of the analysis for the seven firms is summarised in table 1 showing that that the database was used for research and testing in relation to product strategy development (row a). Five firms (71%) (Financial 1, Financial 2, Telecommunications, Publishing and Consumer Goods) stated that they used their customer database for research. These firms either used their customer database to identify new product ideas (column iv), or to investigate whether the new product idea would be successful using primary research such as focus groups and surveys (columns i, ii, and vi) or secondary behavioural data in the database (column vii). For example, Telecommunications use their customer database to locate ideas about new products and product improvements suggested by customers. The remaining two firms (29%), Fast Food and Photography, (columns i and ii) used their databases to test whether their customers need a new product.

Furthermore, database segmentation was important part of research and testing because product research or testing was only carried out on a sub-segment of the database rather than the entire customer base (row b). Therefore, selecting relevant segments for research or testing entailed using the behavioural base on its own or in combination with demographics. Behaviour was important for selecting segments to research or test because all seven firms wanted to focus on their most profitable customers and/or customers who have certain product usage patterns such as those who had previously bought similar products to the proposed new product. For example, when developing a new book, Publishing 1 segmented its database to identify all those customers who had previously bought books from the firm (column vi). Similarly, Telecommunications identified its most profitable customers and then searched their records for any new product ideas or suggestions that they had suggested over time.

Although behaviour was the key segmentation base, demographic segmentation was equally important for segmentation with the two firms (29%) operating in the financial industry (rows d, columns iii and iv). Both finance firms noted that demography is an indicator of product usage patterns (behaviour) in this industry. For example, consumers have a need for home loans at a certain lifecycle stage, whereas almost any age would qualify for a savings account.
Table 1 Segmentation processes used by firms to reactivate their customers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of research (a)</th>
<th>Fast Food (i)</th>
<th>Photography (ii)</th>
<th>Financial 1 (iii)</th>
<th>Financial 2 (iv)</th>
<th>Telecommunication (v)</th>
<th>Publishing 1(vi)</th>
<th>Consume Goods (vi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Firms segmenting their database for testing</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firms segment the databases for research other than testing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Segmentation bases (e)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behaviour</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>demographics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Segmentation variables (f)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product type</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFM</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of last order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage rate (includes propensity to save in Financial firms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>\√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>\√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifecycle/lifecycle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>\√</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>\√</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sequence of bases (g)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sequence of bases (g)</th>
<th>Fast Food (i)</th>
<th>Photography (ii)</th>
<th>Financial 1 (iii)</th>
<th>Financial 2 (iv)</th>
<th>Telecommunication (v)</th>
<th>Publishing 1(vi)</th>
<th>Consume Goods (vi)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Behaviour then demographics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not used/ not applicable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bases selection (h)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>''a priori''</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Data sources (i)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal database</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source: analysis of interview data**

Furthermore, the attitudinal base was unimportant for database segmentation for new product. Only those firms who conducted focus groups or surveys (columns iii to vi) stated that attitudinal data was collected. However, attitudinal data was important to fine tune products or gauge its success in relation to selected behavioural segments rather than to segment the database with. For example, Fast Food identifies customers with certain product usage and loyalty and then investigates whether the segment is interested in the new product and if so what benefits/attributes to include. The Consumer Goods firm relies only on secondary behavioural data for product strategy. For example, this firm compares the types of products that its profitable customers’ purchase to its current stock to determine what types of new stock to provide. Those who test the new product on consumers rely on their purchasing response to new product to predict its success. Therefore, this research supports Rosenberg’s (1992)
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assertion that behaviour is the most important base but goes further to state that depending on the industry, the demographic base is also just as important.

*Variables used.* Although all firms used behavioural variables, not all firms used similar behavioural variables (row f). Three (43%) firms identified customers whom they believed had a need for the product, regardless of whether or not these customers were profitable (column i, ii, and vi). For example, Publishing 1 selected all book buyers in its database to develop a new product form. However, four (57%) firms (Telecommunications, Consumer Goods, Financial 1 and Financial 2) identified the most profitable customers using RFM and then researched them to elicit their new product ideas and needs (column ii, iv, v, vii).

*Sequence of bases.* The segmentation element of sequencing was irrelevant for all cases except the two (29%) financial firms where two bases were used (row g, columns iii and iv). In both firms, behaviour was used before demographics. That is, behaviour was used first to identify customers using similar products to the new product idea or using products that may be related to the new product idea. Next, the more profitable customers were sought out from these segments. Finally, these profitable customers were profiled demographically to make sure that their demographic characteristics were the right ones for the new product idea.

*Method of selecting bases.* Regardless of whether firms segmented their databases for research or testing, all firms used the ‘a priori’ method for segmentation (row h). The ‘a priori’ method was used because firms understand their customers and know through knowledge and experience which customers are most likely to be interested in the new product (Financial 1, Financial 2). Therefore, the database is used only as a means to find all those customers who have the right characteristics required for the new product, and to estimate the size and thus profitability of the segment. The findings of this research contradict the literature by stating that the ‘a priori’ rather than the hypothesised or cluster based methods is more appropriate for new product development in database marketing.

*Sources of information.* Lastly, the internal database was used in all seven cases (row h). This database was the only one used for segmentation because almost all segmentation variables were behavioural or attitudinal data gathered by the firm about its customers. In some industries (Telecommunication and Financial 2) demographic data was also collected from customers and stored and so, there was no need for additional information from external databases. In brief, although the literature outlines three sources of data, only one of these is relevant for new product development in database marketing.

**Conclusion**
In conclusion, this research aimed to investigate how database segmentation is conducted for products strategy. The results showed that the database is segmented to identify the relevant segments for product research and testing. Behaviour and demographics were the only bases used for segmentation with behavioural segmentation preceding demographic segmentation. The information used to segment customers was based solely on a priory segmentation of firms’ internal databases. Although further research is warranted to validate findings, it is still a useful indication of how the database should be used in new product development.
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